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1. **Agency of Human Services – Integrated Eligibility Project**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Weak</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Last Project Review: Interim Update of June 30, 2017.* While this project was last evaluated as Weak, during the past six months AHS has been actively engaged in determining a new strategy, and the current evaluation is therefore Neutral (Unknown). Concerns identified previously had included the lack of an actionable plan, the lack of accurate cost estimates and expenditure tracking, and the pressures of tight schedules and limited resources. The new strategy is expected to address these to some degree, and should be approved and documented during the month of January 2018. Following the documentation and presentation of this strategy a determination should be made as to when to conduct the next project review.

2. **Agency of Administration – ERP Expansion Project / Vision Upgrade**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Weak</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Last Project Review: Full Review of March 20, 2017.* This project continues to be strong in that it is remaining in scope, on schedule, and within budget. Activities during the next several months will include development of additional requirements documents, as well as preparation for design, implementation, and test of the various modules. The next project review should be performed as an Interim Update, tentatively scheduled for June 30, 2018.

3. **Agency of Human Services – Vermont Health Connect Operations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Weak</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Last Operations Review: Independent Review of VHC (SSG) December 17, 2016.* During the past year VHC has significantly improved operations, and monthly Key Performance Indicator reports show most metrics as meeting organizational goals. The recent Open Enrollment period concluded successfully, and there are no significant issues. However, as pointed out in the 12/17/2016 SSG review, long term success is not guaranteed due to innate structural weaknesses of the system, as well as concerns regarding funding and supportability. Given the uncertainty regarding the future of State Based Exchanges no additional reviews of VHC are recommended at this time.

4. **Judiciary – Next Generation Case Management System Project**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Weak</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Last Project Review: Interim Update of September 30, 2017.* This project continues to be strong, in that it is remaining in scope, on schedule, and within budget. Significant activities in the next several months will include the first trial implementations which will provide insights into actual successes. The only major concern at this point is that although the project is actively progressing through development and implementation it has not yet been fully funded. The next project review should be performed as an Interim Update, and is tentatively scheduled for June 30, 2018.

5. **Department of Labor – Unemployment Insurance Modernization Project**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Weak</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Last Project Review: Full Review of January 23, 2017.* This project is structurally strong in that it builds on a proven solution from another state and does not require any Vermont funding. However, lack of sufficient resources for software development continues to negatively impact the schedule. Additional resources have been approved, and if they can be found and effectively integrated into the project over the next several months the status should improve. Barring significant changes in status this project should not require an additional review during the upcoming year.
6. General – Health Information Technology Activities (HIE/HIT/VITL)

Last Review: Independent Evaluation of Vermont HIE/HIT (HealthTech Solutions) of November 10, 2017. This evaluation was required by Act 73 of 2017, and while it did not result in an overall grade or score the content of the report indicates that it should be somewhere between Weak and Neutral. The report included several findings, of which two were that a) Vermont is not organized in a way that increases its chances for success, and (b) Stakeholders lack confidence and there is clear room for improvement. This evaluation trends up from Weak due to the fact that during the past six months the Department of Vermont Health Access has been actively engaged in changing the approach to HIE, and has made progress in addressing some of the concerns raised by the report. This subject will be undergoing additional review by various Legislative committees during the current session, and will not require additional external reviews in the near term.

7. General – Agency of Digital Services Organizational Review

Last Review: Independent Review of ADS Reorganization (JFO internal / Draft) of December 30, 2017. The Joint Fiscal Office requested that an internal review of the DII/ADS reorganization be performed in order to determine whether this reorganization was effective, and also to review how this reorganization compared to similar efforts in other states. The essential finding was that this reorganization aligns well with other states, however it is too early to determine whether the reorganization is meeting expectations. The key recommendation from the review was that in order to both achieve and measure success, ADS must define specific high level goals, document the steps and schedule that will be used to achieve those goals, establish baselines of current performance, and identify metrics that will be used to establish progress against goals. Ideally this takes the form of an approved Strategic Plan, which serves both to guide the CIO in the performance of his duties, and as a way for Legislative committees to evaluate the performance of the Agency. The ADS Strategic Plan of January 12 2018 should be evaluated against those expectations.