H. 107      An act relating to paid family and medical leave

    Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be adopted?

    Shall the House pass the bill, the failure of the Governor to approve notwithstanding?

H. 568      An act relating to human trafficking and prostitution

    Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Judiciary in Section 3 only?

H. 619      An act relating to permitted candidate expenditures

    Shall the bill pass?

H. 673      An act relating to tree wardens

    Shall the bill pass?

H. 688      An act relating to addressing climate change

    Shall the report of the Committee on Energy and Technology be amended as offered by Rep. Donahue of Northfield?

    Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Energy and Technology, as amended?
Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment?
Yeas, 102. Nays, 45. Absent, 2
House Journal 9/9/2020 Page 43

Shall the bill pass, the failure of the Governor to sign not withstanding?
Yeas, 103. Nays, 47. Absent, 0
House Journal 9/17/2020 Page 46

**H. 716 An act relating to Abenaki hunting and fishing licenses**

Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Natural Resources, Fish and Wildlife, as amended?
Yeas, 138. Nays, 5. Absent, 6
House Journal 6/3/2020 Page 28

**H. 926 An act relating to changes to Act 250**

Shall the bill be recommitted to the Committee on Natural Resources, Fish, and Wildlife?
Yeas, 43. Nays, 93. Absent, 12
House Journal 2/27/2020 Page 19

Shall action be postponed one legislative day?
Yeas, 45. Nays, 96. Absent, 7
House Journal 2/28/2020 Page 20

Shall the bill pass?
Yeas, 88. Nays, 52. Absent, 8
House Journal 2/28/2020 Page 21

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment?
Yeas, 93. Nays, 56. Absent, 0
House Journal 9/25/2020 Page 49

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment with a further proposal of amendment as offered by Rep. Higley of Lowell, and others?
Yeas, 51. Nays, 97. Absent, 1
House Journal 9/25/2020 Page 51

**H. 942 An act relating to the Transportation Program and miscellaneous changes to laws related to transportation**

Shall the bill pass?
Yeas, 147. Nays, 0. Absent, 2
House Journal 5/29/2020 Page 24
H. 959  An act relating to education property tax

Shall the bill be read a third time?

Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington?

Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Ralph of Hartland?

H. 961  An act relating to making first quarter fiscal year 2021 appropriations for the support of State government, federal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) appropriations, pay act appropriations, and other fiscal requirements for the first part of the fiscal year

Shall the bill be read a third time?

Shall Rep. McFaun of Barre Town be granted leave of the House to offer an amendment after third reading?

Shall the amendment offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington be substituted for the amendment offered by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others?

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment to the House proposal of amendment with further amendment by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others in sections B3 and B4 only?

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment with a House proposal of amendment as offered by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others, on all other sections of the bill?
Yeas, 133. Nays, 6. Absent, 6  House Journal  6/24/2020  Page 41
H. 965  An act relating to health care- and human services-related appropriations from the Coronavirus Relief Fund

Shall the bill be read a third time?
Yeas, 145. Nays, 0. Absent, 4         House Journal   6/17/2020         Page 36

H. 966  An act relating to COVID-19 funding and assistance for broadband connectivity, housing, and economic relief

Shall the bill pass?

H. 969  An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government

Shall the bill be read a third time?

Shall the bill be amended as proposed by Rep. Briglin of Thetford?
Yeas, 100. Nays, 42. Absent, 7        House Journal   9/11/2020         Page 45

Shall the House adopt the report of the Committee of Conference?

S. 23   An act relating to increasing the minimum wage

Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be adopted?

Shall the bill pass the failure of the Governor to sign not withstanding?
Yeas, 100. Nays, 49. Absent, 0        House Journal   2/25/2020         Page 15

S. 54   An act relating to the regulation of cannabis

Shall the report of the committee on Government Operations be amended as proposed by the committee on Ways and Means?
Yeas, 96. Nays, 49. Absent, 4

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as proposed by the Committee on Government Operations, as amended?

Yeas, 90. Nays, 54. Absent, 4

Shall the House proposal of amendment be amended as offered by Rep. Dickinson of St. Albans Town?

Yeas, 27. Nays, 117. Absent, 4

Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be adopted?

Yeas, 92. Nays, 56. Absent, 1

S. 119 An act relating to a statewide standard and policy for law enforcement use of force

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill, as recommended by the Committee on Judiciary?

Yeas, 106. Nays, 37. Absent, 6

S. 219 An act relating to addressing racial bias and excessive use of force by law enforcement

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by the Committee on Judiciary?

Yeas, 147. Nays, 0. Absent, 2

S. 348 An act relating to temporary elections procedures in the year 2020

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by Rep. Myers of Essex and others?

Yeas, 50. Nays, 95. Absent, 4

Shall the House propose to the Senate to adopt the amendment as offered by Rep. Beck of St. Johnsbury and others?

Yeas, 50. Nays, 94. Absent, 5

Shall the House propose to the Senate to adopt the amendment offered by Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe and others?

Yeas, 57. Nays, 88. Absent, 4

Shall the bill pass in concurrence?
H.R. 18  House resolution allowing for remote participation during the current declared emergency

Shall the House approve remote voting pursuant to temporary House Rule 9A?
Yeas, 148. Nays, 0. Absent, 1

H.R. 21  House resolution commemorating the 155th anniversary of Juneteenth and recognizing our State's and nation's continuing struggle for racial equality

Shall the House adopt the resolution?
Yeas, 128. Nays, 17. Absent, 4

J.R.S. 58  Joint resolution relating to weekend adjournment

Shall the House adopt the Resolution?
Yeas, 145. Nays, 0. Absent, 4

Prop 2  Declaration of rights; clarifying the prohibition on slavery and indentured servitude

Shall the proposal be adopted?
Yeas, 145. Nays, 0. Absent, 4
Prop 2  Declaration of rights; clarifying the prohibition on slavery and indentured servitude

Shall the proposal be adopted?

Yeas, 145. Nays, 0. Absent, 4

House Journal 1/21/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:


Those voting in the negative are: none

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Donahue of Northfield | Grad of Moretown | Quimby of Concord | Smith of New Haven
An act relating to paid family and medical leave

Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be adopted?

Yeas, 89. Nays, 58. Absent, 2

House Journal 1/23/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Barholomew of Hartland
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Brigin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Brumsted of Shelburne
*Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Christensen of Weathersfield
*Coffey of Guilford
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
*Corcoran of Bennington
*Cordes of Lincoln
Demrow of Corinth
*Donlan of Waitsfield

Donovan of Burlington
*Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
*Giambatista of Essex
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
*Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowsinski of Burlington

LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanphere of Vergennes
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Masland of Thetford
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
Morris of Springfield
Mrowicki of Putney
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmon of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Scheu of Middlebury
Sheldon of Middlebury
Squirrell of Underhill
*Stevens of Waterbury
Sullivan of Burlington
Taylor of Colchester
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Townsend of South Burlington
Trieber of Rockingham
Troiano of Stannard
Waltz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Brennan of Colchester
Browning of Arlington
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
*Cina of Burlington
Colburn of Burlington
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield

Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Feltus of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gonzalez of Winooski
Goslant of Northfield
Graham of Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
*Haas of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
LaClair of Barre Town
Lefebvre of Newark

Leffler of Enosburgh
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Mattos of Milton
*McCoy of Poultney
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morrissey of Bennington
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
Page of Newport City
Pajala of Londonderry
Palasik of Milton
Quimby of Concord
Rosenquist of Georgia
Rosen of Swanton
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Szott of Barnard
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Toof of St. Albans Town

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Christie of Hartford
Grad of Moretown
S. 23  
An act relating to increasing the minimum wage

Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be adopted?

Yeas, 93. Nays, 54. Absent, 2

House Journal 1/24/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ancel of Calais</td>
<td>Barre City</td>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Barre City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Barre City</td>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td>Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>Bates of Bennington</td>
<td>Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates of Bennington</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>Biron of Vergennes</td>
<td>Vergennes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
<td>Shaftsbury</td>
<td>Bragin of Thetford</td>
<td>Stowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bragin of Thetford</td>
<td>Stowe</td>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td>Pownal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Brattleboro</td>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>St. Johnsbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td>Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td>Bennington</td>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>Winooski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>Winooski</td>
<td>*Chesnut-Tangeman of Middle</td>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Chesnut-Tangeman of Middlet</td>
<td>Springs</td>
<td>Springs</td>
<td>Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springs</td>
<td>Springs</td>
<td>Christensen of Weathersfeld</td>
<td>Middle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen of Weathersfeld</td>
<td>West Rutland</td>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>*Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td>Manchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td>Winooski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td>Winooski</td>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td>Newbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td>Newbury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bancroft of Westford</td>
<td>Brand</td>
<td>Batchelor of Derby</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batchelor of Derby</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>*Beck of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Beck of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td>Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td>Colchester</td>
<td>Browning of Arlington</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browning of Arlington</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
<td>Cupoli of Rutland City</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupoli of Rutland City</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
<td>Town</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town</td>
<td>Pittsford</td>
<td>*Donahue of Northfield</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Donahue of Northfield</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Bennington</td>
<td>Felts of Lyndon</td>
<td>Coventry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felts of Lyndon</td>
<td>Coventry</td>
<td>Gamache of Swanton</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamache of Swanton</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>*Gannon of Wilmington</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Gannon of Wilmington</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>McCoy of Poultney</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCoy of Poultney</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>McFaun of Barre Town</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFaun of Barre Town</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>Morris of Milton</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris of Milton</td>
<td>Barre Town</td>
<td>Murphy of Fairfax</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy of Fairfax</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Myers of Essex</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers of Essex</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Norris of Shoreham</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris of Shoreham</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Palasik of Milton</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palasik of Milton</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Quimby of Concord</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quimby of Concord</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Rogers of Waterville</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers of Waterville</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Rosenquist of Georgia</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenquist of Georgia</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Savage of Swanton</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savage of Swanton</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Scheuermann of Stowe</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheuermann of Stowe</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Seymour of Sutton</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seymour of Sutton</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Shaw of Pittsford</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw of Pittsford</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Sibilia of Dover</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibilia of Dover</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Smith of New Haven</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith of New Haven</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Strong of Albany</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong of Albany</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Tenerzini of Rutland Town</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenerzini of Rutland Town</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Toof of St. Albans</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toof of St. Albans</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>*Wood of Waterbury</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Wood of Waterbury</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>Yantachka of Charlotte</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yantachka of Charlotte</td>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gonzalez of Winooski</td>
<td>Moretown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td>Moretown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### H. 107

An act relating to paid family and medical leave

Shall the House pass the bill, the failure of the Governor to approve notwithstanding?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeas, 99. Nays, 51. Absent, 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancel of Calais</th>
<th>Demrow of Corinth</th>
<th>*Krowinski of Burlington</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Dolan of Waitsfield</td>
<td>LaLonde of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td>Donovan of Burlington</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td>Durfee of Shaftsbury</td>
<td>Macag of Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birong of Vergennes</td>
<td>Emmons of Springfield</td>
<td>Lippert of Hinesburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigit of Thetford</td>
<td>Gannon of Wilmington</td>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td>Gardner of Richmond</td>
<td>McCarth of St. Albans City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
<td>McCormack of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Gonzalez of Winooski</td>
<td>Mccullough of Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td>Haas of Rochester</td>
<td>Mrowicki of Putney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>Hashim of Danmerston</td>
<td>Nicoll of Ludlow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Chesnut-Tangeman of</td>
<td>Hill of Wolcott</td>
<td>Notte of Rutland City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middletown Springs</td>
<td>Hooper of Montpelier</td>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen of</td>
<td>Hooper of Randolph</td>
<td>O'Brien of Tunbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weathersfield</td>
<td>Hooper of Burlington</td>
<td>O'Sullivan of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Houghton of Essex</td>
<td>Partridge of Windham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
<td>Patt of Worcester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>James of Manchester</td>
<td>Potter of Clarendon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
<td>Pugh of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td>Jessup of Middlesex</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>Johnson of South Hero</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td>Killacky of South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland Hanzas of</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td>Kornheiser of Brattleboro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordon of Lincoln</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Those who voted in the negative are:

| Bancroft of Westford     | Gamache of Swanton         | Mattos of Milton          |
| Batchelor of Derby       | Goslant of Northfield      | McCoy of Poultny          |
| Bates of Bennington      | Graham of Williamstown     | McFaun of Barre Town      |
| Beck of St. Johnsbury    | Greggier of Fairfield      | Morgan of Milton          |
| Brennan of Colchester    | Hango of Berkshire          | Morrissey of Bennington   |
| Browning of Arlington    | Harrison of Chittenden     | Murphy of Fairfax          |
| Burditt of West Rutland  | Helm of Fair Haven         | Myers of Essex            |
| Canfield of Fair Haven   | Higley of Lowell           | *Norris of Shoreham       |
| Cupoli of Rutland City   | LaClair of Barre Town      | Page of Newport City      |
| Dickinson of St. Albans  | Lefebvre of Newark         | Pajala of Londonderry     |
| Town                     | Leffler of Enosburgh       | Palakis of Milton         |
| Donahue of Northfield    | Marcotte of Coventry       | Quimby of Concord         |
| Fagan of Rutland City    | Martel of Waterford        | Rosenquist of Georgia     |
| Felitus of Lyndon        |                           |                           |

#### Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are: none
**H. 619  An act relating to permitted candidate expenditures**

Shall the bill pass?

Yeas, 124. Nays, 21. Absent, 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Those who voted in the affirmative are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ancel of Calais</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bancroft of Westford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batchelor of Derby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates of Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck of St. Johnsbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birong of Vergennes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigit of Thetford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browning of Arlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of Middlestown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland Hanzas of Bradford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan of Waitsfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovan of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durfee of Shaftsbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder of Starkboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmons of Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gannon of Wilmington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardner of Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzalez of Winooksi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper of Montpelier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper of Randolph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houghton of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessup of Middlesex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killacky of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimbell of Woodstock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitzmiller of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kornheiser of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krowinski of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaClair of Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanpher of Vergennes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lefebvre of Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leffler of Enosburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lippert of Hinesburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macag of Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcotte of Coventry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mats of Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCormack of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCoy of Poultney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClough of Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFaun of Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisey of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrowick of Putney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy of Fairfax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicoll of Ludlow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris of Shoreham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notte of Rutland City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Brien of Tunbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ode of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Sullivan of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palasik of Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partridge of Windham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patt of Worcester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter of Clarendon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugh of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Those who voted in the negative are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupoli of Rutland City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donahue of Northfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feltus of Lyndon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamache of Swanton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goslant of Northfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hango of Berkshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helm of Fair Haven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higley of Lowell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martel of Waterford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qaimby of Concord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenquist of Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seymour of Sutton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith of New Haven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong of Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terenzini of Rutland Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toof of St. Albans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highlwy of Lowell</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

House Journal 2/5/2020
An act relating to human trafficking and prostitution

Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Judiciary in Section 3 only?

Yeas, 126. Nays, 19. Absent, 3

House Journal 2/20/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:


Those who voted in the negative are:

Beck of St. Johnsbury  Brennan of Colchester  Cupoli of Rutland City  Dickinson of St. Albans Town  Gamache of Swanton  Graham of Williamstown  Hango of Berkshire  Harrison of Chittenden  Higley of Lowell  Martel of Waterford  Mattos of Milton  McCoy of Poultney  Palasik of Milton  Qimby of Concord  Rosenquist of Georgia  *Scheuermann of Stowe Smith of Derby  Terenzini of Rutland Town  Toof of St. Albans Town

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Rachelson of Burlington  Walz of Barre City  Wood of Waterbury
H. 688  An act relating to addressing climate change

Shall the report of the Committee on Energy and Technology be amended as offered by Rep. Donahue of Northfield?

Yeas, 44. Nays, 99. Absent, 5
House Journal 2/20/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bancroft of Westford</th>
<th>Batchelor of Derby</th>
<th>Beck of St. Johnsbury</th>
<th>Brennan of Colchester</th>
<th>Browning of Arlington</th>
<th>Burditt of West Rutland</th>
<th>Canfield of Fair Haven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Feltus of Lyndon</td>
<td>Gamache of Swanton</td>
<td>Goslant of Northfield</td>
<td>Graham of</td>
<td>Williamstown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaClair of Barre Town</td>
<td>Lefebvre of Newark</td>
<td>Leffler of Enosburgh</td>
<td>Marcotte of Coventry</td>
<td>Mattos of Milton</td>
<td>McCoy of Poultney</td>
<td>McFaun of Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palasik of Milton</td>
<td>Quimby of Concord</td>
<td>Rosenquist of Georgia</td>
<td>Savage of Swanton</td>
<td>Scheuermann of Stowe</td>
<td>Seymour of Sutton</td>
<td>Shaw of Pittsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancel of Calais</th>
<th>Anthony of Barre City</th>
<th>Austin of Colchester</th>
<th>Bartolomew of</th>
<th>Bates of Bennington</th>
<th>Birong of Vergennes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
<td>Donlan of Waitsfield</td>
<td>Donovan of Burlington</td>
<td>Elder of Starksboro</td>
<td>Emmons of Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaLonde of South</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Gardner of Richmond</td>
<td>Gannon of Milton</td>
<td>Lippner of Vergennes</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krowinski of</td>
<td>Lippner of Hinesburg</td>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans</td>
<td>Macaig of Williston</td>
<td>Macaig of Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugh of South</td>
<td>Liptker of Vermont</td>
<td>Gonzales of Winooski</td>
<td>McGinn of Williston</td>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
<td>McGinn of Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td>McCormack of</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Haas of Rochester</td>
<td>Burns of Burlington</td>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hashim of Dummerston</td>
<td>Hill of Wolcott</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hooper of Montpelier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hooper of Randolph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hooper of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houghton of Essex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>James of Manchester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jessup of Middlesex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Killacky of South</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kimbell of Woodstock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kitzmiller of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Montpelier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kornheiser of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brattleboro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Martel of Waterford</th>
<th>Morgan of Milton</th>
<th>Smith of New Haven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toof of St. Albans Town</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An act relating to addressing climate change

Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Energy and Technology, as amended?

Yeas, 105. Nays, 37. Absent, 6

House Journal 2/20/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais   Anthony of Barre City   Austin of Colchester
*Bartholomew of Hartland   Bates of Bennington   Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester   Briglin of Thetford   Brownell of Pownal
Browning of Burlington   Brumsted of Shelburne   Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury   Carroll of Bennington   Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs   Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford   Cina of Burlington   Coffey of Guilford
*Colburn of Burlington   Colston of Winooski   Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury   Copeland Hanzas of Bradford   Corcoran of Bennington
                      *Cordes of Lincoln   Demrow of Corinth
                      Dolan of Waitsfield   *Donahue of Northfield
                      Donovan of Burlington   Durfee of Shaftsbury
                      Elder of Starksboro   Gannon of Wilmington
                      Gardner of Richmond   Giambatista of Essex
                      Gonzalez of Winooski   Grad of Moretown
                      City of Haas of Rochester
                      City of Hooper of Montpelier
                      Hooper of Burlington   Houghton of Essex
                      Howard of Rutland City   James of Manchester
                      Jerome of Brandon   Jessup of Middlesex
                      Killacky of South   Kimmel of Woodstock
                      Kitzmiller of Montpelier   Kornheiser of Brattleboro
                      LaLonde of South   Lefebvre of Newfane
                      Leipert of Hinesburg   Long of Newfane
                      Lippert of Union   Macaig of Rutland City
                      Masland of Thetford   McCarthy of St. Albans
                      City of McCormack of Burlington
                      McCullough of Williston
                      Morris of Springfield
                      City of Murphy of Fairfax
                      Nicoll of Ludlow   Noyes of Wolcott
                      O'Brien of Tunbridge   Ode of Burlington
                      O'Sullivan of Burlington
                      Pajala of Londonderry
                      Partridge of Windham
                      Patt of Worcester
                      Potter of Clarendon
                      Pugh of South
                      Rachelson of Burlington
                      Ralph of Hartland
                      Redmond of Essex
                      Reed of Braintree
                      Rogers of Waterville
                      Scheu of Middlebury
                      Sheldon of Middlebury
                      Sibilia of Dover
                      Squirrel of Underhill
                      Stevens of Waterbury
                      Sullivan of Dorset
                      Sullivan of Burlington
                      Szott of Barnard
                      Taylor of Colchester
                      Till of Jericho
                      Toleno of Brattleboro
                      Toll of Danville
                      Townsend of South Burlington
                      Troiano of Stannard
                      Walz of Barre City
                      Webb of Shelburne
                      White of Hartford
                      Yacovone of Morristown

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford   Batchelor of Derby   Beck of St. Johnsbury
Brennan of Colchester   Burditt of West Rutland   Canfield of Fair Haven
Cupoli of Rutland City   Dickinson of St. Albans Town   Fagan of Rutland City
Feltus of Lyndon
                      Gamache of Swanton   Goslant of Northfield
                      Graham of Williamstown   Hango of Berkshire
                      Helm of Fair Haven   Higley of Lowell
                      LaClair of Barre Town   *Leffler of Enosburgh
                      Marcotte of Coventry   Mattos of Milton
                      McCoy of Poultney   McFaun of Barre Town
                      Morrissey of Bennington
                      Myers of Essex
                      Norris of Shoreham
                      Notte of Rutland City
                      Page of Newport City
                      Palasik of Milton
                      Quimby of Concord
                      Rosenquist of Georgia

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Gregoire of Fairfield   Morgan of Milton   Toof of St. Albans Town
Martel of Waterford   Smith of New Haven   Wood of Waterbury
S. 23  An act relating to increasing the minimum wage

Shall the bill pass, the failure of the Governor to sign notwithstanding?

Yeas, 100. Nays, 49. Absent, 0

House Journal 2/25/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
*Cordes of Lincoln

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Brennan of Colchester
Browning of Arlington
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire

Denrow of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
*Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Johnson of South Hero
Killacky of South
Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro

Demrow of Corinth
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Emmons of Springfield
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
*Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Johnson of South Hero
Killacky of South
Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro

*Krowinski of Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Masland of Thetford
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
Morris of Springfield
Mrowicki of Putney
Nicol of Ludlow
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Pajala of Londonderry
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South
Burlington

*Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Scheu of Middlebury
Sheldon of Middlebury
Squirrell of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Scott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
*Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
*Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Martel of Waterford
Mattos of Milton
McCoy of Poultney
*McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Bennington
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Norris of Shoreham
Page of Newport City
Palasik of Milton
Quimby of Concord

Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Schueermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sibilia of Dover
*Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Strong of Albany
Terenzini of Rutland
Toof of St. Albans Town
An act relating to the regulation of cannabis

Shall the report of the committee on Government Operations be amended as proposed by the committee on Ways and Means?

Yeas, 96. Nays, 49. Absent, 4

House Journal 2/26/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Brennan of Colchester
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burditt of West Rutland
Burke of Brattleboro
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Collburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Demrow of Corinth
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Fegard of Berkshire
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macaf of Williston
Masland of Thetford
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
Morris of Springfield
Mrowicki of Putney
Nicoll of Ludlow
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Browning of Arlington
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Feltus of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gosland of Northfield
Graham of Williamstown
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
 Demrow of Corinth
 Donovan of Burlington
 Durfee of Shaftsbury
 Elder of Starksboro
 Emmons of Springfield
 Fegard of Berkshire
 Gannon of Wilmington
 Gardner of Richmond
 Giambatista of Essex
 Gonzalez of Winooski
 Grad of Moretown
 Haas of Rochester
 Hashim of Dummerston
 Hill of Wolcott
 Hooper of Montpelier
 Hooper of Randolph
 Howard of Rutland City
 James of Manchester
 Jerome of Brandon
 Jessup of Middlesex
 Killacky of South Burlington
 Kitzmiller of Montpelier
 Kornheiser of Brattleboro
 LaLonde of South Burlington
 Lanpher of Vergennes
 Lippert of Hinesburg
 Long of Newfane
 Macaf of Williston
 Masland of Thetford
 McCarthy of St. Albans City
 McCormack of Burlington
 McCullough of Williston
 Morris of Springfield
 Mrowicki of Putney
 Nicoll of Ludlow
 Notte of Rutland City
 Noyes of Wolcott
 O'Brien of Tunbridge
 Ode of Burlington
 O'Sullivan of Burlington
 Partridge of Windham
 Patt of Worcester

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Houghton of Essex
Johnson of South Hero

S. 54
S. 54  An act relating to the regulation of cannabis

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as proposed by the Committee on Government Operations, as amended?

Yeas, 90. Nays, 54. Absent, 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Bartholomew of Hartland
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Birong of Vergennes
Brownell of Pownal
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Copeland Hansz of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Emmons of Springfield
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
*Harrison of Chittenden
Hashim of Dunmerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
*Kornheiser of Burlington

Those who voted in the negative are:

Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Bock of Chester
Brennan of Colchester
Briglin of Thetford
Browning of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Christensen of Weathersfield
Conquest of Newbury
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield
Elder of Starksboro
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Felton of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gosland of Northfield
Graham of
Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Hango of Berkshire
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
*Hooper of Montpelier
*Leffler of Enosburgh

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Houghton of Essex
Johnson of South Hero
Martel of Waterford
Pugh of South Burlington

Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Sheldon of Middlebury
*Sibilia of Dover
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Taylor of Colchester
*Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Troiano of Stannard
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shrewsbury
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Quimby of Concord
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Strong of Albany
Szott of Barnard
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
S. 54  An act relating to the regulation of cannabis

Shall the House proposal of amendment be amended as offered by Rep. Dickinson of St. Albans Town?

Yeas, 27. Nays, 117. Absent, 4
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford  Beck of St. Johnsbury  Bock of Chester  Brennan of Colchester  Browning of Arlington  Canfield of Fair Haven  Cupoli of Rutland City  Dickinson of St. Albans Town  Fagan of Rutland City  Gregoire of Fairfield  Hango of Berkshire  Harrison of Chittenden  Helm of Fair Haven  Higley of Lowell  Leffler of Enosburgh  Martel of Waterford  Mattos of Milton  McCoy of Poultney  Palasik of Milton  Potter of Clarendon  Quimby of Concord  Rosenquist of Georgia  Savage of Swanton  Smith of Derby  Strong of Albany  Toof of St. Albans Town

Those who voted in the negative are:


Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Giambatista of Essex  Gonzalez of Winooski  Rachelson of Burlington  Toll of Danville
H. 926  An act relating to changes to Act 250

Shall the bill be recommitted to the Committee on Natural Resources, Fish, and Wildlife?


Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford  Gamache of Swanton  McCoy of Poultney  Rosenquist of Georgia
Batchelor of Derby  Gosslant of Northfield  McFaun of Barre Town  Savage of Swanton
Brennan of Colchester  Hango of Berkshire  Morgan of Milton  Scheuermann of Stowe
Browning of Arlington  Harrison of Chittenden  Morrisey of  Shaw of Pittsford
Burdit of West Rutland  Helm of Fair Haven  Bennington  Siblius of Dover
Canfield of Fair Haven  Higley of Lowell  Murphy of Fairfax  Smith of New Haven
Cupoli of Rutland City  Hooper of Randolph  Myers of Essex  Strong of Albany
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  LaClair of Barre Town  Norris of Shoreham  Szott of Barnard
Donahue of Northfield  Martel of Waterford  Page of Newport City  Terenzini of Rutland Town
Fagan of Rutland City  Mattis of Milton  Quimby of Concord  Toof of St. Albans
Feltus of Lyndon

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais  Corcoran of Bennington  LaLonde of South Burlington  Redmond of Essex
Anthony of Barre City  Cordes of Lincoln  Lapherre of Vergennes  Rogers of Waterville
Austin of Colchester  Demrow of Corinth  Lefebvre of Newark  Scheu of Middlebury
Bartholomew of Hartland  Dolan of Waitsfield  Lippert of Hinesburg  Seymour of Sutton
Bates of Bennington  Donovan of Burlington  Long of Newfane  Sheldon of Middlebury
Birong of Vergennes  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Macaig of Williston  Squirrel of Underhill
Bock of Chester  Elder of Starksboro  Masland of Thetford  Stevens of Waterbury
Briglin of Thetford  Emmons of Springfield  McCarthy of St. Albans City  Sullivan of Dorset
Brownell of Pownal  Fegard of Berkshire  McCormack of Burlington  Sullivan of Burlington Town
Brumsted of Shelburne  Haas of Rochester  McCullough of Williston  Taylor of Colchester
Burke of Brattleboro  Hashim of Dummerston  Killacky of South Burlington  Till of Jericho
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Hill of Wolcott  Hill of Wolcott  Toleno of Brattleboro
Carroll of Bennington  Hooper of Montpelier  Hooper of Burlington  Townsend of South Burlington
Chase of Colchester  Houghton of Essex  Howard of Rutland City  Troiano of Stannard
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  James of Manchester  Jerome of Brandon  Walz of Barre City
Christensen of Weathersfield  Jessup of Middlesex  Jessup of Middlesex  Webb of Shelburne
Christie of Hartford  Killacky of South Burlington  Killacky of South Burlington  White of Hartford
Cina of Burlington  Killacky of South Burlington  Killacky of South Burlington  Wood of Waterbury
Coffey of Guilford  Kimmel of Woodstock  Killacky of South Burlington  Yacovone of Yarmouth
Colburn of Burlington  Kitzmiller of Montpelier  Kitzmiller of Montpelier  Yantachka of Charlotte
Colston of Winooski  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Young of Greensboro
Conlon of Cornwall  Krowinski of Burlington  Krowinski of Burlington  Zanesville of
Conquest of Newbury  Newport
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Burlington  Burlington  Zanesville of

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Beck of St. Johnsbury  Grad of Moretown  Marcotte of Coventry  Smith of Derby
Gardner of Richmond  Graham of Williamstown  Palasik of Milton  Toll of Danville
Giambatista of Essex  Gregoire of Fairfield  Rachelson of Burlington
H. 926  An act relating to changes to Act 250

Shall action be postponed one legislative day?

Yeas, 45. Nays, 96. Absent, 7

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bancroft of Westford</th>
<th>Goslant of Northfield</th>
<th>Mattos of Milton</th>
<th>Shaw of Pittsford</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batchelor of Derby</td>
<td>Graham of</td>
<td>McCoy of Poultny</td>
<td>Sibilis of Dover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Williamstown</td>
<td>McFaun of Barre Town</td>
<td>*Smith of Derby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
<td>*Gregoire of Fairfield</td>
<td>Morrissey of Bennington</td>
<td>Smith of New Haven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td>Hango of Berkshire</td>
<td>Myers of Essex</td>
<td>Strong of Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browning of Arlington</td>
<td>Harrison of Chittenden</td>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
<td>Sullivan of Dorset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burtitt of West Rutland</td>
<td>Helm of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
<td>Szott of Barnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Higley of Lowell</td>
<td>Palasik of Milton</td>
<td>Terenzini of Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupoli of Rutland City</td>
<td>Hooper of Randolph</td>
<td>Quimby of Concord</td>
<td>Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans Town</td>
<td>LaClair of Barre Town</td>
<td>Rosenquist of Georgia</td>
<td>Toof of St. Albans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donahue of Northfield</td>
<td>Martel of Waterford</td>
<td>Savage of Swanton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schuermann of Stowe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancel of Calais</th>
<th>Cordes of Lincoln</th>
<th>LaLonde of South</th>
<th>Pugh of South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td>Dolan of Waitsfield</td>
<td>Lanphier of Vergennes</td>
<td>Ralph of Hartland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td>Donovan of Burlington</td>
<td>Lefebvre of Newark</td>
<td>Redmond of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates of Bennington</td>
<td>Durfee of Shaftsbury</td>
<td>Lippert of Hinesburg</td>
<td>Reed of Braintree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biron of Vergennes</td>
<td>Elder of Starksboro</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
<td>Rogers of Waterville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigin of Thetford</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Macaig of Williston</td>
<td>Scheu of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td>Felts of Lyndon</td>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
<td>Seymour of Sutton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td>Gannon of Wilmington</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans</td>
<td>Sheldon of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Gardner of Richmond</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Squirrell of Underhill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Haas of Rochester</td>
<td>McCormack of Burlington</td>
<td>Stevens of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td>Hashim of Dummerston</td>
<td>McGuffey of Williston</td>
<td>Sullivan of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>Hill of Wolcott</td>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
<td>Taylor of Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs</td>
<td>Hooper of Montpelier</td>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
<td>Till of Jericho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen of Weathersfield</td>
<td>Houghton of Essex</td>
<td>Mrowicki of Putney</td>
<td>Toleno of Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
<td>Murphy of Fairfax</td>
<td>Townsend of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>James of Manchester</td>
<td>Nicoll of Ludlow</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
<td>Norris of Shoreham</td>
<td>Troiano of Stannard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td>Jessup of Middlesex</td>
<td>Notte of Rutland City</td>
<td>Walf of Barre City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td>Killacky of South</td>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
<td>Webb of Shelburne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>Kimbell of Woodstock</td>
<td>O'Brien of Tunbridge</td>
<td>White of Hartford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td>Kitzmiller of Montpelier</td>
<td>Ode of Burlington</td>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland Hanzas of Bradford</td>
<td>Brattleboro</td>
<td>O'Sullivan of Burlington</td>
<td>Yacovone of Yarmouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td>Krowinski of Burlington</td>
<td>Partridge of Windham</td>
<td>Morristown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gamache of Swanton</th>
<th>Gonzalez of Winooski</th>
<th>Rachelson of Burlington</th>
<th>Toll of Danville</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td></td>
<td>Young of Greensboro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H. 926  An act relating to changes to Act 250

Shall the bill pass?

Yeas, 88. Nays, 52. Absent, 8

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais  Anthony of Barre City  Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland  Beck of St. Johnsbury  Bock of Chester
Briglin of Thetford  Brownell of Pownal  Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro  Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester  *Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield  Christie of Hartford  Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford  Colburn of Burlington  Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury  Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln  Denrow of Corinth  *Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield  Gannon of Wilmington  Gardner of Richmond
Haas of Rochester  Hashim of Dummerston  Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier  Hooper of Burlington  Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City  James of Manchester  Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex  Killacky of South Burlington
Kitchmaier of Montpelier  Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington  LaLonde of South Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington  Lanpher of Vergennes
Lefevre of Newark  Lippert of Hinesburg  Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston  Masland of Thetford  McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington  *McCullough of Williston
Morris of Springfield  Mrowicki of Putney  Nicoll of Ludlow
Noyes of Wolcott  O'Brien of Tunbridge  Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington  Partridge of Windham  Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford  Batchelor of Derby  Bates of Bennington
Biron of Vergennes  Brennan of Colchester  Browning of Arlington
Burditt of West Rutland  Canfield of Fair Haven  Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  *Donahue of Northfield  Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire  Felts of Lyndon  Mattos of Milton
Goslant of Northfield  Graham of Williamstown
Gregeori of Fairfield  Harrison of Chittenden  Helm of Fair Haven
Hango of Berkshire  Higley of Lowell  Hooper of Randolph
LaClair of Barre Town  LeFler of Enosburgh  Marcot of Coventry
Martel of Waterford

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Gamache of Swanton  Giambatista of Essex  Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown  Rachelson of Burlington  Toll of Danville
Rosenquist of Georgia  Savage of Swanton  Scheuermann of Stowe
Shaw of Pittsford  Sibilia of Dover  Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven  Strong of Albany  *Sullivan of Dorset
Sztott of Barnard  Terenzini of Rutland Town
Till of Jericho
H.R. 18  House resolution allowing for remote participation during the current declared emergency

Shall the House approve remote voting pursuant to temporary House Rule 9A?

Yeas, 148. Nays, 0. Absent, 1
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Bartholomew of Hartland
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Brennan of Colchester
Brigit of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Browning of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burritt of West Rutland
Burke of St. Johnsbury
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnutt-Tangeman of Middlebury
Christensen of Weathersfield
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Cupoli of Rutland City
Demrow of Corinth
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donahue of Northfield

Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksville
Emmons of Springfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Feltus of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Gosland of Northfield
Grad of Moretown
Graham of Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Haas of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montgomery
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaClair of Barre Town
LaLonde of South Burlington

Lanpher of Vergennes
Lefebvre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburgh
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Masland of Thetford
Matts of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCoy of Poultney
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Springfield
Morrissey of Bennington
Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
Notte of Rutland City
O'Brien of Tunbridge
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Page of Newport City
Pajala of Londonderry
Palask of Milton
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Quimby of Concord
Rachelson of Burlington

Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Squirrell of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
Troyano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Christie of Hartford
H. 673   An act relating to tree wardens

Shall the bill pass?

Yeas, 114. Nays, 32. Absent, 3
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westminster
Bartholomew of Hartland
Birong of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Briglin of Thetford
Browning of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Demrow of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Emmons of Springfield
Felts of Lyndon
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Graham of Williamstown
Haas of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Burlington
Kornheiser of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaClair of Barre Town
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Masland of Thetford
McCarty of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Morris of Springfield
Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Pajala of Londonderry
*Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Seldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of New Haven
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Burlington
Taylor of Colchester
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Townsend of South Burlington
Trioano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Brennan of Colchester
Brownell of Pownal
Canfield of Fair Haven
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield
Elder of Starksboro
Fagan of Rutland City
Gamache of Swanton
Goslant of Northfield
*Gregoire of Fairfield
Harrison of Chittenden
Higley of Lowell
Lefebvre of Newport City
Leffler of Enosburgh
Matts of Milton
McCoy of Poultney
Morgan of Milton
Morrissey of Bennington
Notte of Rutland City
Page of Newport City
Palasik of Milton
Quimby of Concord
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Smith of Derby
Sullivan of Dorset
Szott of Barnard
Toof of St. Albans Town

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Burditt of West Rutland
Helm of Fair Haven
Terenzini of Rutland Town

24
An act relating to the Transportation Program and miscellaneous changes to laws related to transportation

Shall the bill pass?

Yeas, 147. Nays, 0. Absent, 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Bartholomew of Hartland
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Brennan of Colchester
Brigin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Browning of Arlington
Burditt of West Rutland
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Cupoli of Rutland City
Demrow of Corinth
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donahue of Northfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Dugan of Rutland City
Emmons of Springfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Felton of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Gosslant of Northfield
Grad of Moretown
Grecio of Fairfield
Hagg of Rutland City
Hans of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Hash of Dummerston
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South
Burlington
Kimball of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaClair of Barre Town
LaLonde of South
Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lefebvre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburgh
Lippert of Berlin
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Masland of Thetford
Mattos of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCoy of Poultney
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Springfield
Morrissey of Bennington
Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
Notre of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Page of Newport City
Pajara of Londonderry
Palasik of Milton
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South
Burlington
Quimby of Concord
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
Troyano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Graham of Williamstown
White of Hartford
H. 959  
An act relating to education property tax

Shall the bill be read a third time?

Yeas, 127. Nays, 20. Absent, 2
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais  
Anthony of Barre City  
Austin of Colchester  
Bancroft of Westford  
Bartholomew of Hartland  
Batchelor of Derby  
Bates of Bennington  
Beck of St. Johnsbury  
Biron of Vergennes  
Bock of Chester  
Brennan of Colchester  
Brigit of Thetford  
Brownell of Pownal  
Brumsted of Shelburne  
Burke of Brattleboro  
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  
Canfield of Fair Haven  
Carroll of Bennington  
Chase of Colchester  
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  
Christensen of Weathersfield  
Christie of Hartford  
Cina of Burlington  
Coffey of Guilford  
Colburn of Burlington  
Colston of Winooski  
Conlon of Cornwall  
Conquest of Newbury  
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  
Corcoran of Bennington  
Cordes of Lincoln  
Cupoli of Rutland City

Demrow of Corinth  
Dolan of Waitsfield  
Donovan of Burlington  
Durfee of Shaftsbury  
Elder of Starksboro  
Emmons of Springfield  
Fagan of Rutland City  
Fegard of Berkshire  
Feltus of Lyndon  
Gannon of Wilmington  
Gardner of Richmond  
Giambatista of Essex  
Gonzalez of Winooski  
Grad of Northfield  
Grad of Moretown  
Haas of Rochester  
Hango of Berkshire  
Harrison of Chittenden  
Hashim of Dummerston  
Helm of Fair Haven  
Hill of Wolcott  
Hooper of Montpelier  
Hooper of Randolph  
Hooper of Burlington  
Houghton of Essex  
Howard of Rutland City  
James of Manchester  
Jerome of Brandon  
Jessup of Middlesex  
Killacky of South Burlington  
Kimbell of Woodstock  
Kornheiser of Brattleboro  
Krowinski of Burlington  
LaLonde of South Burlington  
LaPher of Vergennes  
Lefevre of Newark  
Lippert of Hinesburg  
Long of Newfane  
Macag of Williston  
Marcotte of Coventry  
Masland of Thetford  
Maw of Milton  
McCarrick of St. Albans City  
McCormack of Burlington  
McCoy of Poultney  
McCullough of Williston  
Morris of Springfield  
Mrowick of Putney  
Murphy of Fairfax  
Myers of Essex  
Nicoll of Ludlow  
Norris of Shoreham  
Notte of Rutland City  
Noyes of Wolcott  
O'Brien of Tunbridge  
Ode of Burlington  
O'Sullivan of Burlington  
Page of Newport City  
Pajala of Londonderry  
Palask of Milton  
Partridge of Windham  
Patt of Worcester  
Potter of Clarendon  
Pugh of South Burlington  
Rachelson of Burlington  
Ralph of Hartland  
Redmon of Essex  
Reed of Brantree  
Rogers of Waterville  
*Schou of Middlebury  
Seymour of Sutton  
Shaw of Pittsford  
Sheldon of Middlebury  
*Sibilia of Dover  
*Smith of New Haven  
*Squier of Underhill  
Stevens of Waterbury  
Strong of Albany  
Sullivan of Dorset  
Sullivan of Burlington  
Szott of Barnard  
Taylor of Colchester  
Till of Jericho  
Tole of Brattleboro  
Toll of Danville  
Townsend of South Burlington  
Troiano of Stannard  
Tully of Rockingham  
Walz of Barre City  
*Webb of Shelburne  
White of Hartford  
White of Waterbury  
Yacovone of Morristown  
Yantachka of Charlotte  
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

*Browning of Arlington  
Burritt of West Rutland  
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  
Donahue of Northfield  
Gamache of Swanton  
*Gregoire of Fairfield  
Higley of Lowell  
LaClair of Barre Town  
Leffler of Enosburgh  
Martel of Waterford  
McFaun of Barre Town  
Morgan of Milton  
Morrisey of Bennington  
Quimby of Concord  
Rosenquist of Georgia  
Savage of Swanton  
Scheuermann of Stowe  
Smith of Derby  
Terenzini of Rutland Town  
Toof of St. Albans Town

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Graham of Williamstown  
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
An act relating to education property tax

Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington?

Yeas, 48. Nays, 97. Absent, 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bancroft of Westford</th>
<th>Goslant of Northfield</th>
<th>Martel of Waterford</th>
<th>Quimby of Concord</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batchelor of Derby</td>
<td>Graham of Williamstown</td>
<td>Mattos of Milton</td>
<td>Rosenquist of Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates of Bennington</td>
<td>Gregoire of Fairfield</td>
<td>McCoy of Poultney</td>
<td>Savage of Swanton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td>Hango of Berkshire</td>
<td>McFaun of Barre Town</td>
<td>Scheuermann of Stowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Browning of Arlington</td>
<td>Harrison of Chittenden</td>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
<td>Seymour of Sutton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
<td>Helm of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
<td>Shaw of Pittsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs</td>
<td>Higley of Lowell</td>
<td>Morrissey of Bennington</td>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupoli of Rutland City</td>
<td>Kimbell of Woodstock</td>
<td>Myers of Essex</td>
<td>Strong of Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donahue of Northfield</td>
<td>LaClair of Barre Town</td>
<td>Norris of Shoreham</td>
<td>Sullivan of Dorset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
<td>Lefebvre of Newark</td>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
<td>Szott of Barnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felts of Lyndon</td>
<td>Leffler of Enosburgh</td>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
<td>Terenzini of Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamache of Swanton</td>
<td>Marcotte of Coventry</td>
<td>Palasik of Milton</td>
<td>Town</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancel of Calais</th>
<th>Cordes of Lincoln</th>
<th>LaLonde of South</th>
<th>Ralph of Hartland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Redmond of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td>Dolan of Waitsfield</td>
<td>Lippert of Vergennes</td>
<td>Reed of Brantree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td>Durfee of Shaftsbury</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
<td>Rogers of Waterville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Emmons of Springfield</td>
<td>Macaig of Williston</td>
<td>Scheu of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birong of Vergennes</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Masland of Tewfird</td>
<td>Sheldon of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
<td>Gannon of Wilmington</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans City</td>
<td>*Sibilia of Dover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td>Gardner of Richmond</td>
<td>McCormack of Burlington</td>
<td>Squirrel of Underhill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briglin of Thetford</td>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
<td>McCullough of Williston</td>
<td>Stevens of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td>Gonzalez of Winooski</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sullivan of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor of Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Haas of Rochester</td>
<td></td>
<td>Till of Jericho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Hashim of Dummerston</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toleno of Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td>Hill of Wolcott</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toll of Danville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>Hooper of Montpelier</td>
<td></td>
<td>Townsend of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen of Weathersfield</td>
<td>Hooper of Randolphp</td>
<td></td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Houghton of Essex</td>
<td></td>
<td>Troiano of Stannard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tully of Rockingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>James of Manchester</td>
<td></td>
<td>Walz of Barre City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Webb of Shelburne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td>Jessup of Middlesex</td>
<td></td>
<td>White of Hartford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>Killacky of South</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yacovone of Morristown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland Hanzas of Bradford</td>
<td>Krowinski of Brattleboro</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yantachka of Charlotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td>Krowinski of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
<td>*Young of Greensboro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dickinson of St. Albans Town</th>
<th>Donovan of Burlington</th>
<th>Kitzmiller of Montpelier</th>
<th>Smith of New Haven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
H. 959  

An act relating to education property tax

Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Ralph of Hartland?

Yeas, 13. Nays, 131. Absent, 5
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Burke of Brattleboro  Cina of Burlington  Hashim of Dummerston  *Ralph of Hartland
Chase of Colchester  *Colburn of Burlington  Hill of Wolcott  Szott of Barnard
*Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  Gonzalez of Winooski  Mrowicki of Putney  Nicoll of Ludlow

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Leffler of Enosburgh  Rachelson of Burlington
Anthony of Barre City  Elder of Starksboro  Lippert of Hinesburg  Redmond of Essex
Austin of Colchester  Emmons of Springfield  Long of Newfane  Reed of Braintree
Bancroft of Westford  Fagan of Rutland City  Macag of Williston  Rogers of Waterville
Bartholomew of Hartland  Fergard of Berkshire  Marcotte of Coventry  Rosenquist of Georgia
Batchelor of Derby  Felts of Lyndon  Martel of Waterford  Savage of Swanton
Bates of Bennington  Gamache of Swanton  Masland of Thetford  Scheu of Middlebury
Beck of St. Johnsbury  Gannon of Wilmington  Mattos of Milton  Scheuermann of Stowe
Birong of Vergennes  Gardner of Richmond  McCarthy of St. Albans City  Seymour of Sutton
Bock of Chester  Giambatista of Essex  McCormack of Burlington  Shaw of Pittsford
Brennan of Colchester  Goslan of Northfield  Murphy of Fairfax  Sheldon of Middlebury
Briglin of Thetford  Graham of Williamstown  Myers of Essex  Sibilia of Dover
Brownell of Pownal  Gregoire of Fairfield  McCoy of Poultney  Smith of Derby
Browning of Arlington  Hango of Berkshire  McCullough of Williston  Squirrel of Underhill
Brumsted of Shelburne  Harrison of Chittenden  McFaun of Barre Town  Stevens of Waterbury
Burdit of West Rutland  Helm of Fair Haven  Morgan of Milton  Strong of Albany
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Higley of Lowell  Morris of Springfield  Sullivan of Dorset
Canfield of Fair Haven  Hooper of Montpelier  Morrissey of Bennington  Sullivan of Burlington
Carroll of Bennington  Hooper of Randolph  Murphy of Fairfax  Taylor of Colchester
Christensen of Weathersfield  Houghton of Essex  Myers of Essex  Terezini of Rutland Town
Christie of Hartford  Howard of Rutland City  Norris of Shoreham  Till of Jericho
Coffey of Guilford  James of Manchester  Notte of Rutland City  Toleno of Brattleboro
Colston of Winooski  Jerome of Brandon  Noyes of Wolcott  Toll of Danville
Conlon of Cornwall  Jessup of Middlesex  O'Brien of Tunbridge  Toof of St. Albans Town
Conquest of Newbury  Killacky of South  Ode of Burlington  Townsend of South Burlington
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Burlington  O'Sullivan of Burlington  Troiano of Stannard
Corcoran of Bennington  Kimbell of Woodstock  Page of Newport City  Tully of Rockingham
*Cordes of Lincoln  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Pajala of Londonderry  Walz of Barre City
*Cupoli of Rutland City  Krowinski of Burlington  Palasik of Milton  *Webb of Shelburne
Demrow of Corinth  LaClair of Barre Town  Partridge of Windham  White of Hartford
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  LaLonde of South Burlington  Patt of Worcester  Wood of Waterbury
Dolan of Waitsfield  Lapner of Vergennes  Pugh of South Burlington  Yacovone of Morristown
Donahue of Northfield  Lefevre of Newmarket  Quimby of Concord  Yantachka of Charlotte

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Donovan of Burlington  Kitzmiller of Montpelier  Smith of New Haven  Young of Greensboro
Grad of Moretown
An act relating to Abenaki hunting and fishing licenses

Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Natural Resources, Fish, and Wildlife, as amended?

Yeas, 138. Nays, 5. Absent, 6
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Bartholomew of Hartland
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burdit of West Rutland
Burge of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooksi
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Cupoli of Rutland City
Demrow of Corinth
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donahue of Northfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Gamache of Swanton
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Goslant of Northfield
Grad of Moretown
Graham of Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Haas of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lefebvre of Newark
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macal of Williston
Maricotte of Coventry
Masland of Thetford
Matts of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCoy of Poultney
McCullough of Williston
McFauln of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Moris of Springfield
Morrisey of Bennington
Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Page of Newport City
Pajal of Londonderry
Palasik of Milton
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Quimby of Concord
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of New Haven
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Brennan of Colchester
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
LaClair of Barre Town
Martel of Waterford
Leffler of Enosburgh
Martel of Waterford

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bock of Chester
Browning of Arlington
Kitzmill of Montpelier
Leffler of Enosburgh
Martel of Waterford
Leffler of Enosburgh
Smith of Derby
Wood of Waterbury
H. 961  An act relating to making first quarter fiscal year 2021 appropriations for the support of State government, federal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) appropriations, pay act appropriations, and other fiscal requirements for the first part of the fiscal year

Shall the bill be read a third time?

Yeas, 142. Nays, 5. Absent, 2
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Bartholomew of Hartland
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Brennan of Colchester
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burditt of West Rutland
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooksi
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Cupoli of Rutland City
Demrow of Corinth
Dickinson of St. Albans Town

Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Felts of Lyndon
Gannon of Wilmingon
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooksi
Gosland of Northfield
Grad of Moretown
Graham of Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Haas of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Hashim of Dummerston
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South

LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lefebvre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburgh
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macaig of Williston
Marquette of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Masland of Thetford
Matts of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCoy of Poultney
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Springfield
Morrissey of Bennington
*Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Page of Newport City
Pajala of Londonderry
Palasik of Milton
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Quimby of Concord
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Taylor of Colchester
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

*Browning of Arlington
*Donahue of Northfield
*Gamache of Swanton
*Szott of Barnard
Terenzini of Rutland Town

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Hooper of Randolph
Till of Jericho
H. 961  An act relating to making first quarter fiscal year 2021 appropriations for the support of State government, federal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) appropriations, pay act appropriations, and other fiscal requirements for the first part of the fiscal year

Shall Rep. McFaun of Barre Town be granted leave of the House to offer an amendment after third reading?

Yeas, 73. Nays, 74. Absent, 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bates of Bennington  Donovan of Burlington  McCoy of Poultney  Savage of Swanton
Beck of St. Johnsbury  Elder of Starksboro  McFaun of Barre Town  Scheuermann of Stowe
Bock of Chester  Felts of Lyndon  Morgan of Milton  Seymour of Sutton
Brownell of Pownal  Gamache of Swanton  Morris of Springfield  Shaw of Pittsford
Browning of Arlington  Gardner of Richmond  Morrissey of Bennington  Sibilia of Dover
Brumsted of Shelburne  Gonzalez of Winooksi  Nicos of Ludlow  Smith of Derby
Burditt of West Rutland  Gossant of Northfield  Noyes of Wolcott  Smith of New Haven
Burke of Brattleboro  Gregoire of Fairfield  O'Sullivan of Burlington  Strong of Albany
Canfield of Fair Haven  Haas of Rochester  Palask of Milton  Sullivan of Dorset
Carroll of Bennington  Hango of Berkshire  Quimby of Concord  Szott of Barnard
Chase of Colchester  Harrison of Chittenden  Raelson of Hartland  Terenzini of Rutland
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown-Springs  Higley of Lowell  Rojas of Burlington  Town
Christensen of Weathersfield  Hooper of Randolph  Rachelson of Burlington  Walz of Barre City
Cina of Burlington  Houghton of Essex  Rich of Rutland City  Wood of Waterbury
Colburn of Burlington  LaClair of Barre Town  Ralph of Hartland  {Wood of Waterbury}
Corcoran of Bennington  Lefler of Enosburgh  Rogers of Waterville  Yacovone of Morristown
Cordes of Lincoln  Martel of Waterford  Rosenquist of Georgia  Yantachka of Charlotte
Demrow of Corinth  Donahue of Northfield  McCormack of Burlington  Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais  Dolan of Waitsfield  Krowinski of Burlington  Partridge of Windham
Anthony of Barre City  Durfee of Shaftsbury  LaLonde of South Burlington  Patt of Worcester
Austin of Colchester  Emmons of Springfield  Lapp of Vergennes  Potter of Clarendon
Bancroft of Westford  Fagan of Rutland City  Lippert of Hinesburg  Pugh of South Burlington
Bartholomew of Hartland  Fegard of Berkshire  Littler of Williston  Redmond of Essex
Batchelor of Derby  Giambatista of Essex  Long of Newfane  Reed of Braintree
Birong of Vergennes  Grad of Moretown  Macaig of Williston  Scheu of Middlebury
Brennan of Colchester  Graham of Winooski  Marquette of Coventry  Sheldon of Middlebury
Briglin of Thetford  Huffman of Readsboro  Masland of Thetford  Squirrel of Underhill
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Helm of Fair Haven  Matts of Milton  Stevens of Waterbury
Christie of Hartford  Hooper of Montpelier  McCarthy of St. Albans City  Sullivan of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford  Hooper of Burlington  McColough of Williston  Taylor of Colchester
Colston of Winooski  James of Manchester  McCormick of Putney  Toleno of Brattleboro
Conlon of Cornwall  Jerome of Brandon  Murphy of Fairfax  {Toll of Danville}
Conquest of Newbury  Jessup of Middlesex  Myers of Essex  Townsend of South Burlington
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Killacky of South Burlington  Norris of Shoreham  Tully of Rockingham
Cupoli of Rutland City  Kimbell of Woodstock  Nottle of Rutland City  Webb of Shelburne
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  O'Brien of Tunbridge  White of Hartford

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Kitzmiller of Montpelier  Till of Jericho

S. 348  An act relating to temporary elections procedures in the year 2020

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by Rep. Myers of Essex and others?

Yeas, 50. Nays, 95. Absent, 4
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

*Bancroft of Westford  Donahue of Northfield  Marquette of Coventry  Rosenquist of Georgia  Batchelor of Derby  Fagan of Rutland City  Martel of Waterford  Savage of Swanton

Those who voted in the negative are:


Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Graham of Williamstown  Hill of Wolcott  Kitzmiller of Montpelier  Till of Jericho
An act relating to temporary elections procedures in the year 2020

Shall the House propose to the Senate to adopt the amendment as offered by Rep. Beck of St. Johnsbury and others?

Yeas, 50. Nays, 94. Absent, 5

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Brennan of Colchester
Browning of Arlington
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Corcoran of Bennington
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland
Bock of Chester
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Chase of Colchester
*Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Cordes of Lincoln
Demrow of Cornith
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Carroll of Bennington
Christensen of Weathersfield
Conlon of Cornwall
Graham of Williamstown
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An act relating to temporary elections procedures in the year 2020

Shall the House propose to the Senate to adopt the amendment offered by Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe and others?

Yeas, 57. Nays, 88. Absent, 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Brennan of Colchester
Browning of Arlington
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs
Corcoran of Bennington
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Feltus of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gosnell of Northfield
Gregoire of Fairfield
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
Hooper of Randolph
LaClair of Barre Town
Lefebvre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburgh
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Matts of Milton
McCoy of Poultney
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morrissey of Bennington
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Page of Newport City
Palasik of Milton
Potter of Clarendon
Quimby of Concord
Reed of Brantree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Toof of St. Albans Town
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
*Bartholomew of Hartland
Bock of Chester
Brigin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Brunstead of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Chase of Colchester
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Cordes of Lincoln
Demrow of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
Hashim of Dummerston
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kinzimiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Kowinski of Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macaig of Williston
Masland of Thetford
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
Morris of Springfield
Mrowicki of Putney
Nicoll of Ludlow
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Pajala of Londonderry
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Pugh of South Burlington
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Scheu of Middlebury
Sheldon of Middlebury
Squirell of Underhill
Sullivan of Waterbury
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Christensen of Weathersfield
Emmons of Springfield
Graham of Williamstown
Norris of Shoreham
S. 348  An act relating to temporary elections procedures in the year 2020

Shall the bill pass in concurrence?
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Lanpher of Vergennes  Ralph of Hartland
Anthony of Barre City  Elder of Starksboro  Lefebvre of New Hartford  *Redmond of Essex
Austin of Colchester  Fegard of Berkshire  Lippert of Hinesburg  Reed of Brantree
Bartholomew of Hartland  Felts of Lyndon  Long of Newfane  Rogers of Waterville
Bates of Bennington  Gannon of Wilmington  Macaig of Williston  Scheu of Middlebury
Biron of Vergennes  Gardner of Richmond  Marquette of Coventry  Scheuermann of Stowe
Bock of Chester  Giambattista of Essex  Masland of Thetford  Seymour of Sutton
Briglin of Thetford  Gonzalez of Winooski  McCarthy of St. Albans  Shaw of Pittsford
Brownell of Pownal  Grad of Moretown  McCarra of Burlington  Sheldon of Middlebury
Browning of Arlington  *Gregoire of Fairfield  McCarron of Burlington  Sibilia of Dover
Brumsted of Shelburne  Haas of Rochester  McCullough of Williston  Smith of New Haven
Burke of Brattleboro  Hashim of Dummerston  McFaun of Barre Town  Squirrel of Underhill
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Hooper of Montpelier  Morris of Springfield  Stevens of Waterbury
Carroll of Bennington  Hooper of Burlington  Mrowicki of Putney  Sullivan of Dorset
Chase of Colchester  Houghton of Essex  Murphy of Fairfax  Sullivan of Burlington
Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs  Howard of Rutland City  Myers of Essex  Szott of Barnard
Christie of Hartford  James of Manchester  Nicoll of Ludlow  Taylor of Colchester
Cina of Burlington  Jerome of Brandon  Notte of Rutland City  Terenzini of Rutland Town
Coffeey of Guilford  Jessup of Middlesex  O’Brien of Tunbridge  Toll of Jericho
Colburn of Burlington  Killacky of South  O’Dee of Burlington  Toll of Danville
Colston of Winooski  Kimbell of Woodstock  O’Sullivan of Burlington  Townsend of South Burlington
Conlon of Cornwall  Kitzmiller of Montpelier  *Page of Newport City  Troiano of Stannard
*Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Pajala of Londonderry  Tully of Rockingham
Concoran of Bennington  Krowinski of Burlington  Partridge of Windham  Walz of Barre City
Cordes of Lincoln  LaClair of Barre Town  Patt of Worcester  Webb of Shelburne
Demrow of Corinth  LaLonde of South  Pugh of South  White of Hartford
Dolan of Waitsfield  Burlington  Burlington  Wood of Waterbury
Donovan of Burlington  Burlington  Burlington  Yacovone of Morristown

Those who voted in the negative are:

*Bancroft of Westford  *Dohane of Northfield  Martel of Waterford  Rosenquist of Georgia
Batchelor of Derby  Fagan of Rutland City  Mattos of Milton  Savage of Swanton
Beck of St. Johnsbury  Gamache of Swanton  McCoy of Poultney  Smith of Derby
Brennan of Colchester  Goslant of Northfield  Morgan of Milton  Strong of Albany
Burditt of West Rutland  Hango of Berkshire  Morrisey of Bennington  Toof of St. Albans Town
Canfield of Fair Haven  Harrison of Chittenden  Palasik of Milton  Town
Cupoli of Rutland City  Higley of Lowell  Potter of Clarendon  Young of Greensboro
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  "Leffler of Enosburgh  Quimby of Concord

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Christensen of Weathersfield  Graham of Williamstown  Helm of Fair Haven  Norris of Shoreham
Emmons of Springfield
Joint resolution relating to weekend adjournment

Shall the House adopt the Resolution?

Yeas, 145. Nays, 0. Absent, 4
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

- Ancel of Calais
- Anthony of Barre City
- Austin of Colchester
- Bancroft of Westford
- Bartholomew of Hartland
- Batchelor of Derby
- Bates of Bennington
- Birong of Vergennes
- Bock of Chester
- Brennan of Colchester
- Brigitin of Thetford
- Brownell of Pownal
- Browning of Arlington
- Brumsted of Shelburne
- Burditt of West Rutland
- Burke of Brattleboro
- Campbell of St. Johnsbury
- Canfield of Fair Haven
- Carroll of Bennington
- Chase of Colchester
- Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
- Christie of Hartford
- Cina of Burlington
- Coffey of Guilford
- Colburn of Burlington
- Colston of Winooski
- Conlon of Cornwall
- Conquest of Newbury
- Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
- Corcoran of Bennington
- Cordes of Lincoln
- Cupoli of Rutland City
- Demrow of Corinth
- Dickinson of St. Albans Town
- Dolan of Waitsfield
- Donahue of Northfield
- Durfee of Shaftsbury
- Elder of Starksboro
- Emmons of Springfield
- Fagan of Rutland City
- Fegard of Berkshire
- Feltus of Lyndon
- Gamache of Swanton
- Gannon of Wilmington
- Gardner of Richmond
- Giambatista of Essex
- Gonzalez of Winooski
- Gosland of Northfield
- Grad of Moretown
- Graham of Williamstown
- Greigore of Fairfield
- Haas of Rochester
- Hango of Berkshire
- Harrison of Chittenden
- Helm of Fair Haven
- Higley of Lowell
- Hooper of Montpelier
- Hooper of Randolph
- Hooper of Burlington
- Houghton of Essex
- Howard of Rutland City
- James of Manchester
- Jerome of Brandon
- Jessup of Middlesex
- Killacky of South Burlington
- Kimbell of Woodstock
- Kitzmiller of Montpelier
- Kornheiser of Brattleboro
- Krowinski of Burlington
- LaClair of Barre Town
- LaLonde of South Burlington
- Lanpher of Vergennes
- Lefebvre of Newark
- Leffler of Enosburgh
- Lippert of Hinesburg
- Long of Newfane
- Macaig of Williston
- Marcotte of Coventry
- Martel of Waterford
- Masland of Thetford
- Mattos of Milton
- McCarthy of St. Albans City
- McCormack of Burlington
- McCoy of Poultney
- McCullough of Williston
- McFaun of Barre Town
- Morgan of Milton
- Morris of Springfield
- Morrissey of Bennington
- Mrowicki of Putney
- Murphy of Fairfax
- Myers of Essex
- Nicol of Ludlow
- Norris of Shoreham
- Notte of Rutland City
- Noyes of Wolcott
- O'Brien of Tunbridge
- Ode of Burlington
- O'Sullivan of Burlington
- Page of Newport City
- Pajala of Londonerry
- Palask of Milton
- Partridge of Windham
- Patt of Worcester
- Potter of Clarendon
- Pugh of South Burlington
- Quimby of Concord
- Rachelson of Burlington
- Ralph of Hartland
- Redmond of Essex
- Reed of Braintree
- Rogers of Waterville
- Rosenquist of Georgia
- Savage of Swanton
- Scheu of Middlebury
- Scheuermann of Stowe
- Seymour of Sutton
- Shaw of Pittsford
- Sheldon of Middlebury
- Sibilia of Dover
- Smith of Derby
- Smith of New Haven
- Squirrell of Underhill
- Stevens of Waterbury
- Strong of Albany
- Sullivan of Dorset
- Sullivan of Burlington
- Szott of Barnard
- Taylor of Colchester
- Terenzini of Rutland Town
- Till of Jericho
- Toleno of Brattleboro
- Toll of Danville
- Toof of St. Albans Town
- Townsend of South Burlington
- Troiano of Stannard
- Tully of Rockingham
- Walz of Barre City
- Webb of Shelburne
- White of Hartford
- Wood of Waterbury
- Yacovone of Morristown
- Yantachka of Charlotte
- Young of Greensboro

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

- Beck of St. Johnsbury
- Christensen of Weathersfield
- Donovan of Burlington
- Hashim of Dummerston
H. 965  An act relating to health care- and human services-related appropriations from the Coronavirus Relief Fund

Shall the bill be read a third time?

Yeas, 145. Nays, 0. Absent, 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais  Donahue of Northfield  Lefebvre of Newport  Rachelson of Burlington
Austin of Colchester  Donovan of Burlington  Leffler of Enosburgh  Ralph of Hartland
Bancroft of Westford  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Lippert of Hinesburg  Redmond of Essex
Bartholomew of Hartland  Elder of Starksboro  Long of Newfane  Reed of Braintree
Batchelor of Derby  Emmons of Springfield  Macaig of Williston  Rogers of Waterville
Bates of Bennington  Fagan of Rutland City  Martel of Waterford  Rosenquist of Georgia
Beck of St. Johnsbury  Fegard of Berkshire  Masland of Thetford  Savage of Swanton
Birong of Vergennes  Felts of Lyndon  Matsos of Milton  Scheu of Middlebury
Bock of Chester  Gamache of Swanton  McCarthy of St. Albans City  Scheuermann of Stowe
Brennan of Colchester  Gannon of Wilmingston  Gardner of Richmond  Seymour of Sutton
Brigin of Thetford  Giambatista of Essex  Giuffri of Northfield  Shaw of Pittsford
Brownell of Pownal  Gonzalez of Winooski  Gosslant of Northfield  Sheldon of Middlebury
Browning of Arlington  Goslant of Northfield  Grad of Moretown  Sibilia of Dover
Brumsted of Shelburne  Gregoire of Fairfield  Haas of Rochester  Smith of New Haven
Burke of Brattleboro  Haas of Rochester  Harris of Dummerston  Squirrel of Underhill
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Hango of Berkshire  Harrison of Chittenden  Stevens of Waterbury
Canfield of Fair Haven  Hashim of Dummerston  Helm of Fair Haven  Strong of Albany
Carroll of Bennington  Higley of Lowell  Hill of Wolcott  Sullivan of Dorset
Chase of Colchester  Hooper of Montpelier  Hooper of Randolph  Sullivan of Burlington
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  Hooper of Randolph  Hooper of Burlington  Szott of Barnard
Christensen of Weathersfield  Houghton of Essex  Howard of Rutland City  Taylor of Colchester
Christie of Hartford  Jerome of Brandon  James of Manchester  Terenzini of Rutland Town
Cina of Burlington  Jessup of Middlesex  Killacky of South Burlington  Till of Jericho
Coffey of Guilford  Kornheiser of Burlington  Kimbell of Woodstock  Toleno of Brattleboro
Colburn of Burlington  LaClair of Barre Town  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Toll of Danville
Colston of Winooski  LaLonde of South Burlington  *Krowinski of Burlington  Toof of St. Albans Town
Conlon of Cornwall  LaPlante of Barre Town  LaPlante of Barre Town  Townsend of South Burlington
Conquest of Newbury  LaPlante of South Burlington  LaPlante of South Burlington  Troiano of Stannard
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Laplante of South Burlington  Lamphere of Vergennes  Tully of Rockingham
Corcoran of Bennington  LaPlante of South Burlington  Lefebvre of Montpelier  Walz of Barre City
Cordes of Lincoln  *Krowinski of Burlington  Lefebvre of Montpelier  Webb of Shelburne
Cupoli of Rutland City  LaPlante of South Burlington  Lefebvre of Montpelier  White of Hartford
Demrow of Corinth  LaPlante of South Burlington  Lefebvre of Montpelier  Wood of Waterbury
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  LaPlante of South Burlington  Lefebvre of Montpelier  Yacovone of Morristown
Dolan of Waitsfield  LaPlante of South Burlington  Lefebvre of Montpelier  Yantachka of Charlotte

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Anthony of Barre City  Graham of Williamstown  Kitzmmiller of Montpelier  Smith of Derby
House resolution commemorating the 155th anniversary of Juneteenth and recognizing our State's and nation's continuing struggle for racial equality

Shall the House adopt the Resolution?

Yeas, 128. Nays, 17. Absent, 4
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Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Browning of Arlington
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Demrow of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donahue of Northfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Emmons of Springfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Felts of Lyndon
Gannon of Wilmington
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Haas of Rochester
Harrison of Chittenden
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lefebvre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburgh
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Marcotte of Coventry
Masland of Thetford
Mattos of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Springfield
*Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Nicoll of Ludlow
Nobis of Shoreham
Notte of Rutland City
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Page of Newport City
Pajala of Londonderry
Palasik of Milton
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
*Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of New Haven
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Sullivan of Dorset
*Sullivan of Burlington
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
Trovano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

*Bancroft of Westford
Brennan of Colchester
*Cupoli of Rutland City
*Gamache of Swanton
Goslant of Northfield
Donovan of Burlington
Brennan of Colchester
Cupoli of Rutland City
Gamache of Swanton
Goslant of Northfield
*Donovan of Burlington
*Durfee of Shaftsbury
*Emmons of Springfield
*Fagan of Rutland City
*Fegard of Berkshire
*Felts of Lyndon
*Gannon of Wilmington
*Giambatista of Essex
*Gonzalez of Winooski
*Grad of Moretown
*Gregoire of Fairfield
*Haas of Rochester
*Harrison of Chittenden
*Hashim of Dummerston
*Hill of Wolcott
*Hooper of Montpelier
*Hooper of Randolph
*Houghton of Essex
*Howard of Rutland City
*Jerome of Brandon
*Jessup of Middlesex
*Killacky of South Burlington
*Kimbell of Woodstock
*Kitzmiller of Montpelier
*Kornheiser of Brattleboro
*Krowinski of Burlington
*LaLonde of South Burlington

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Burditt of West Rutland
Conlon of Cornwall
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Morrissey of Bennington

* indicates a member who was present but did not vote.
H. 966  An act relating to COVID-19 funding and assistance for broadband connectivity, housing, and economic relief

Shall the bill pass?

Yeas, 143. Nays, 1. Absent, 5

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Bartholomew of Hartland
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Brigel of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Browning of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Cupoli of Rutland City
Demrow of Corinth
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Feltus of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Goslant of Northfield
Grad of Moretown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Haas of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Hashim of Dummerston
Higley of Lowell
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaClair of Barre Town
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lefebvre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburgh
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Masland of Thetford
Matus of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCoy of Poultney
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Springfield
Morrissey of Bennington
Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O’Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O’Sullivan of Burlington
Page of Newport City
Pajala of Londonderry
Palasik of Milton
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Quimby of Concord
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsfld
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walc of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Donahue of Northfield

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Brennan of Colchester
Burditt of West Rutland
Graham of Williamstown
Helm of Fair Haven
Hooper of Randolph
**H. 961**  An act relating to making first quarter fiscal year 2021 appropriations for the support of State government, federal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) appropriations, pay act appropriations, and other fiscal requirements for the first part of the fiscal year

Shall the amendment offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington be substituted for the amendment offered by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others?

Yeas, 16. Nays, 129. Absent, 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bancroft of Westford</th>
<th>*Donahue of Northfield</th>
<th>Higley of Lowell</th>
<th>Quimby of Concord</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Browning of Arlington</td>
<td>Feltus of Lyndon</td>
<td>LaClair of Barre Town</td>
<td>Scheuermann of Stowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burdit of West Rutland</td>
<td>Gamache of Swanton</td>
<td>Lefebvre of Newark</td>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans</td>
<td>*Harrison of Chittenden</td>
<td>*Martel of Waterford</td>
<td>Strong of Albany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancel of Calais</th>
<th>Durfee of Shaftsbury</th>
<th>Lippert of Hinesburg</th>
<th>Ralph of Hartland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Elder of Starksboro</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
<td>*Redmond of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td>Emmons of Springfield</td>
<td>Macaig of Williston</td>
<td>Reed of Braintree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
<td>Marquette of Coventry</td>
<td>Rogers of Waterville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batchelor of Derby</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Mattos of Milton</td>
<td>Rosenquist of Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates of Bennington</td>
<td>Gardner of Richmond</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans</td>
<td>Savage of Swanton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Gonzalez of Winooski</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Scheu of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biron of Vergennes</td>
<td>Goslant of Northfield</td>
<td>McCormack of Burlington</td>
<td>Seymour of Sutton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td>McCoy of Poultney</td>
<td>Shaw of Pittsford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td>Graham of</td>
<td>McCullough of</td>
<td>Sheldon of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigin of Thetford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sibilia of Dover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith of New Haven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Squirrel of Underhill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*Stevens of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sullivan of Dorset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sullivan of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Szott of Barnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor of Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terenzini of Rutland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen of Weathersfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*Till of Jericho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Toleno of Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Toll of Danville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Toof of St. Albans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Townsend of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland Hanzas of Bradford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trosino of Stannard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tully of Rockingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walz of Barre City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupoli of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Webb of Shelburne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>White of Hartford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovan of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan of Waitsfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yacovone of Morristown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
<td>Leffler of Enosburgh</td>
<td>Yantachka of Charlotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Young of Greensboro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dolan of Waitsfield</th>
<th>Giambatista of Essex</th>
<th>Leffler of Enosburgh</th>
<th>Masland of Thetford</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H. 961    An act relating to making first quarter fiscal year 2021 appropriations for the support of State government, federal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) appropriations, pay act appropriations, and other fiscal requirements for the first part of the fiscal year

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment to the House proposal of amendment with further amendment by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others in sections B3 and B4 only?

Yeas, 82. Nays, 61. Absent, 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais  Cordes of Lincoln  Krowinski of Burlington  Pugh of South Burlington
Anthony of Barre City  Denrow of Corinth  LaLonde of South Burlington  Ralph of Hartland
Austin of Colchester  Donovan of Burlington  Lapner of Vergennes  Redmond of Essex
Bartholomew of Hartland  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Lippert of Hinesburg  Rogers of Waterville
Birong of Vergennes  Emmons of Springfield  Long of Newfane  Scheu of Middlebury
Bock of Chester  Gannon of Wilminton  Masland of Thetford  Sheldon of Middlebury
Briglin of Thetford  Gardner of Richmond  McCarthy of St. Albans City  Squirrel of Underhill
Brownell of Pownal  Gonzalez of Winooski  O'Brien of Tunbridge  Stevens of Waterbury
Brumsted of Shelburne  Grad of Moretown  O'Sullivan of Burlington  Sullivan of Burlington
Burke of Brattleboro  Haas of Rochester  Pugh of South Burlington  Szott of Barnard
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Hashim of Dummerston  Ralph of Hartland  Till of Jericho
Chase of Colchester  Hill of Wolcott  McCullough of Williston  Toleno of Brattleboro
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middlebury Springs  Hooper of Burlington  Morris of Springfield  Toll of Danville
Christensen of Weathersfield  Houghton of Essex  Mrowicki of Putney  Townsend of South Burlington
Christie of Carlyle  Howard of Rutland City  Nicoll of Ludlow  *Troiano of Stannard
Cina of Burlington  Jerome of Brandon  O'Brien of Tunbridge  Walz of Barre City
Coffey of Guilford  Jessup of Middlesex  Ode of Burlington  Webb of Shelburne
*Colburn of Burlington  Kitzmiller of Burlington  O'Sullivan of Burlington  White of Hartford
Colston of Winooski  Killacky of South  Partridge of Windham  Yacovone of Morristown
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Kornheiser of Burlington  Patt of Worcester  Yantachka of Charlotte
Coffey of Guilford  Killacky of South  Partridge of Windham  Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford  Gamache of Swanton  McFaun of Barre Town  Scheuermann of Stowe
Batchelor of Derby  Goslant of Northfield  Morgan of Milton  Seymour of Sutton
Bates of Bennington  Gregoire of Fairfield  Morrissey of Bennington  Shaw of Pittsford
Brennan of Colchester  Hango of Berkshire  Murphy of Fairfax  Sibilia of Dover
Browning of Arlington  Harrison of Chittenden  Myers of Essex  Smith of Derby
Burditt of West Rutland  Helm of Fair Haven  Norris of Shoreham  Smith of New Haven
Canfield of Fair Haven  Higley of Lowell  Noyes of Wolcott  Strong of Albany
Carroll of Bennington  Hooper of Randolph  Page of Newport City  Sullivan of Dorset
Conquest of Newbury  James of Manchester  Pajala of Londonderry  Taylor of Colchester
Corcoran of Bennington  Kimbell of Woodstock  Palasik of Milton  Terenzini of Rutland Town
Cupoli of Rutland City  LaClair of Barre Town  Quimby of Concord  Toof of St. Albans Town
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  Lefebvre of Newark  *Rachelson of Burlington  Tully of Rockingham
Donahue of Northfield  Leffler of Enosburgh  Reed of Braintree  Wood of Waterbury
Fagan of Rutland City  Martel of Waterford  Rosenquist of Georgia
Fegard of Berkshire  Mattos of Milton  Savage of Swanton
Feltus of Lyndon  *McCoy of Poulney

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Beck of St. Johnsbury  Dolan of Waitsfield  Graham of Williamstown  Macaig of Williston
Conlon of Cornwall  Giambatista of Essex  Van Horn of Newbury  Visser of Newport
H. 961  An act relating to making first quarter fiscal year 2021 appropriations for the support of State government, federal Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) appropriations, pay act appropriations, and other fiscal requirements for the first part of the fiscal year

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment with a House proposal of amendment as offered by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others, on all other sections of the bill?

Yeas, 133. Nays, 6. Absent, 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeas</th>
<th>Nays</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ancel of Calais</td>
<td>Donahue of Northfield</td>
<td>Lanpher of Vergennes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Donovan of Burlington</td>
<td>Lefebvre of Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td>Durfee of Shaftsbury</td>
<td>Leffier of Enosburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bancroft of Westford</td>
<td>Elder of Starksboro</td>
<td>Lippert of Hinesburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td>Fagan of Rutland City</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batchelor of Derby</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Martocci of Coventry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates of Bennington</td>
<td>Gamache of Swanton</td>
<td>Mattos of Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birong of Vergennes</td>
<td>Gannon of Wilmington</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
<td>Gonzalez of Winooski</td>
<td>McCormack of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigin of Thetford</td>
<td>Goslant of Northfield</td>
<td>McCullough of Williston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td>Mcfaq of Barre Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td>Graham of</td>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
<td></td>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Gregoire of Fairfieild</td>
<td>Morrissey of Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mrowicki of Putney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Helm of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Murphy of Fairfax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td>Higley of Lowell</td>
<td>Myers of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>Hill of Wolcott</td>
<td>Nicoll of Ludlow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs</td>
<td>Hooper of Randolph</td>
<td>Norris of Shoreham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen of Weathersfield</td>
<td>Hooper of</td>
<td>Notte of Rutland City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Houghton of Essex</td>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
<td>O'Brien of Tunbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>James of Manchester</td>
<td>Ode of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
<td>O'Sullivan of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td>Jessup of Middlesex</td>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>Killacky of South</td>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Palasik of Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland Hanzas of Bradford</td>
<td>Kitzmiller of Montpelier</td>
<td>Partridge of Windham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td>Kornheiser of</td>
<td>Patt of Worcester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
<td>Brattleboro</td>
<td>Potter of Clarendon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupoli of Rutland City</td>
<td>Krowinski of</td>
<td>Pugh of South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmow of Corinth</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans Town</td>
<td>LaLonde of South</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quimby of Concord</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Those who voted in the negative are:

Browning of Arlington | Harrison of Chittenden | McCoy of Poulney |
Feltus of Lyndon      | LaClair of Barre Town  | Smith of Derby |

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Beck of St. Johnsbury  | Gardner of Richmond    | Macaig of Williston |
Brennan of Colchester  | Giambatista of Essex   | Masland of Thetford |
Dolan of Waitsfield    | Hashim of Dummerston   | Sullivan of Dorset |

42
An act relating to addressing racial bias and excessive use of force by law enforcement

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by the Committee on Judiciary?

Yeas, 147. Nays, 0. Absent, 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Bartholomew of Hartland
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Birong of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Brennan of Colchester
Briglin of Thetford
Brown of Pownal
Browning of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burritt of West Rutland
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
#Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Cupoli of Rutland City
Demrow of Cornith
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donahue of Northfield
Donovan of Burlington
Dorothy of Shaftsbury
Dube of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Felts of Lyndon
Gamache of Swanton
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Northfield
Grad of Moretown
Graham of Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Haas of Rochester
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Hashim of Dummerston
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lapher of Vergennes
LeFevre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburgh
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Masland of Thetford
Matts of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCoy of Poultney
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Springfield
Morrissey of Bennington
Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Nicoll of Ludlow
Norris of Shoreham
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O’Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O’Sullivan of Burlington
Page of Newport City
Pajala of Londonderry
Palasik of Milton
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Quimby of Concord
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheu of Middlebury
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Stevens of Waterbury
Strong of Albany
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Toof of St. Albans Town
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Waltz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Yacovone of Morristown
Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Squirrell of Underhill
Till of Jericho

House Journal 6/26/2020
H. 688      An act relating to addressing climate change

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment?

Yeas, 102. Nays, 45. Absent, 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland
Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
*Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Coffey of Guilford
Colburn of Burlington
Colton of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Denrow of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Fegard of Berkshire
Gannon of Wilmington
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Burlington
Kornheiser of Brattleboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

*Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Brennan of Colchester
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans
*Donahue of Northfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Feltus of Lyndon
Demrow of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
*Krowinski of Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington
LaNphere of Vergennes
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Masland of Thetford
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
Morris of Springfield
*Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Nicoll of Ludlow
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
*Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Pajala of Londonderry
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph Watson of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Scheu of Middlebury
Sheldon of Middlebury
*Sibilia of Dover
Squirrel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Sullivan of Dorset
*Sullivan of Burlington
Szott of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
*Till of Jericho
Toleo of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Walz of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
*Yacovone of Morristown
*Yantachka of Charlotte
Young of Greensboro

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Helm of Fair Haven Lefebvre of Newark

Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Strong of Albany
Terezini of Rutland Town
Toof of St. Albans Town
Tully of Rockingham
H. 969    An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government

Shall the bill be read a third time?

Yeas, 140. Nays, 4. Absent, 5

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais    Anthony of Barre City    Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford    Bartholomew of Hartland    Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington    Beck of St. Johnsbury    Biron of Vergennes
Bock of Chester    Briglin of Thetford    Brownell of Pownal
Browning of Arlington    Brumsted of Shelburne    Burditt of West Rutland
Burke of Brattleboro    Campbell of St. Johnsbury    Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington    Chase of Colchester    Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield    Christie of Hartford    Coffey of Guilford
Colston of Winooski    Conlon of Cornwall    Conquest of Newbury
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford    Corcoran of Bennington    Cordes of Lincoln
Cupoli of Rutland City    Demrow of Corinth    Dickinson of St. Albans
Dolan of Waitsfield    Donahue of Northfield

Those who voted in the negative are:

Cina of Burlington    *Colburn of Burlington    *Ralph Watson of Hartland

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Brennan of Colchester    Gonzalez of Winooski    Smith of Derby
Gardner of Richmond    Till of Jericho

House Journal 9/10/2020
H. 969   An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government

Shall the bill be amended as proposed by Rep. Briglin of Thetford?

Yeas, 100. Nays, 42. Absent, 7

Those who voted in the affirmative are:


Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford    Batchelor of Derby    Beck of St. Johnsbury    Burditt of West Rutland    Canfield of Fair Haven    Cupoli of Rutland City    Dickinson of St. Albans    Donahue of Northfield    Fagan of Rutland City    Felton of Lyndon    Gamache of Swanton    Goslant of Northfield    Gregoire of Fairfield    Hango of Berkshire    Harrison of Chittenden    Helm of Fair Haven    Higley of Lowell    LaClair of Barre Town    Lefebvre of Newark    Martel of Waterford    Mattos of Milton    *McCoy of Poultney    McFaun of Barre Town    Morgan of Milton    Morrissey of Bennington    Myers of Essex    Norris of Shoreham    Page of Newport City    Palasik of Milton    Quimby of Concord    Rosenquist of Georgia    Savage of Swanton

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Brennan of Colchester    Gonzalez of Winooski    Graham of Williamstown    Leffler of Enosburgh    Stevens of Waterbury


Yost of South Burlington    Zullo of Rutland Town    Zullo of Barre Town    Tovar of Springfield    Timms of Lamoille    Tressel of Newport

Till of Jericho    Toll of Danville
**H. 688  An act relating to addressing climate change**

Shall the bill pass, the failure of the Governor to sign not withstanding?

Yeas, 103. Nays, 47. Absent, 0  
House Journal 9/17/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancel of Calais</th>
<th>Demrow of Corinth</th>
<th>Krowinski of Burlington</th>
<th>Rachelson of Burlington</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td>Dolan of Waitsfield</td>
<td>LaLonde of South Burlington</td>
<td>Ralph Watson of Hartland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td>Donovan of Burlington</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
<td>Redmond of Essex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td>Durfee of Shaftsbury</td>
<td>Macag of Williston</td>
<td>Reed of Braintree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birong of Vergennes</td>
<td>Emmons of Springfield</td>
<td>Lippert of Hinesburg</td>
<td>Rogers of Waterville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock of Chester</td>
<td>Fegard of Berkshire</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
<td>Scheu of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Briglin of Thetford</td>
<td>Gannon of Wilmington</td>
<td>Macag of Williston</td>
<td>Sheldon of Middlebury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td>Gardner of Richmond</td>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
<td>Sibilia of Dover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browning of Arlington</td>
<td>Giambatista of Essex</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans</td>
<td>Squirrel of Underhill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td>Gonzalez of Winooski</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Stevens of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Grad of Moretown</td>
<td>*McCormack of Burlington</td>
<td>Sullivan of Dorset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td>Haas of Rochester</td>
<td>McCuilough of Williston</td>
<td>Sullivan of Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td>Hashim of Dammerston</td>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
<td>Szott of Barnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>Hooper of Montpelier</td>
<td>*Mrowicki of Putney</td>
<td>Taylor of Colchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs</td>
<td>Hooper of Burlington</td>
<td>Murphy of Fairfax</td>
<td>Till of Jericho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen of Weathersfield</td>
<td>Houghton of Essex</td>
<td>Nicoll of Ludlow</td>
<td>Toleno of Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
<td>Toll of Danville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>James of Manchester</td>
<td>O'Brien of Tunbridge</td>
<td>Townsend of South Burlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
<td>*Ode of Burlington</td>
<td>Troiano of Stannard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colburn of Burlington</td>
<td>Jessup of Middlesex</td>
<td>O'Sullivan of Burlington</td>
<td>Walz of Barre City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colston of Winooski</td>
<td>Johnson of South Hero</td>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
<td>Webb of Shelburne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>Killacky of South</td>
<td>Partridge of Windham</td>
<td>White of Hartford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conquest of Newbury</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Patt of Worcester</td>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland Hanzas of Bradford</td>
<td>Kimbell of Woodstock</td>
<td>Potter of Clarendon</td>
<td>Yacovone of Morristown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td>Kitzmiller of Montpelier</td>
<td>Pugh of South</td>
<td>Yantachka of Charlotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
<td>Kornheiser of Brattleboro</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>Young of Greensboro</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

| Bancroft of Westford                       | Gamache of Swanton | Martel of Waterford | Rosenquist of Georgia |
| Batchelor of Derby                         | Goslant of Northfield | Mattos of Milton | Savage of Swanton |
| Bates of Bennington                        | Graham of Williamstown | McCoy of Poultney | Scheuermann of Stowe |
| Beck of St. Johnsbury                      | Gregoire of Fairfield | McFaun of Barre Town | Seymour of Sutton |
| Brennan of Colchester                      | Hango of Berkshire | Morgan of Milton | Shaw of Pittsford |
| Burditt of West Rutland                    | Harrison of Chittenden | Morrissey of Bennington | Smith of Derby |
| Canfield of Fair Haven                     | Helm of Fair Haven | Myers of Essex | Smith of New Haven |
| Cupoli of Rutland City                     | Higley of Lowell | Norris of Shoreham | Strong of Albany |
| Dickinson of St. Albans                    | LaClair of Barre Town | Noste of Rutland | Terenzini of Rutland Town |
| Donahue of Northfield                      | Lefevre of Newark | Page of Newport City | Toof of St. Albans |
| Fagan of Rutland City                      | Lefler of Enoisburgh | Palasik of Milton | Town |
| Feltus of Lyndon                           | Marcotte of Coventry | Quimby of Concord | Tully of Rockingham |

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are: none
An act relating to the regulation of cannabis

Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be adopted?

Yeas, 92. Nays, 56. Absent, 1

House Journal 9/17/2020

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Bartholomew of Hartland
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Brownell of Pownal
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Carroll of Bennington
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Coffey of Guildford
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Demrow of Corinth
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Emmons of Springfield
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Hashim of Dummerston
Hill of Wolcott
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killacky of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowinski of Burlington
LaClair of Barre Town
LaLonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes

Those who voted in the negative are:

Austin of Colchester
Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Biron of Vergennes
Brennan of Colchester
Briglin of Thetford
Browning of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Conquest of Newbury
Cupoli of Rutland City
Dickinson of St. Albans
*Donahue of Northfield
Elder of Starksboro
Fagan of Rutland City
Fegard of Berkshire
Feltus of Lyndon
Gamauche of Swanton
Goslant of Northfield
Graham of Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Helm of Fair Haven

Higley of Lowell
Lefebvre of Newark
Leffler of Enosburg
Martel of Waterford
Masland of Thetford
McCoy of Poultney
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morris of Springfield
Morrissey of Bennington
Murphy of Fairfax
Myers of Essex
Norris of Shoreham
Page of Newport City

Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macaig of Williston
Marquette of Coventry
Mattriss of Milton
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
Mrowicki of Putney
Nicoll of Ludlow
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Pajala of Londonderry
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
*Rachels of Burlington
*Ralph Watson of Hartland

Palasik of Milton
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Quimby of Concord
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Shaw of Pittsford
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven Strong of Albany
*Szott of Barnard
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Toll of Danville
Townsend of South Burlington

Young of Greensboro

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bock of Chester
**S. 119  An act relating to a statewide standard and policy for law enforcement use of force**

Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill, as recommended by the Committee on Judiciary?

Yeas, 106. Nays, 37. Absent, 6

House Journal 9/22/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeas</th>
<th>Nays</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ansel of Calais  
Anthony of Barre City  
Austin of Colchester  
Bartholomew of Hartland  
Beck of St. Johnsbury  
Biron of Vergennes  
Bock of Chester  
Briglin of Thetford  
Browning of Arlington  
Brumsted of Shelburne  
Burke of Brattleboro  
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  
Carroll of Bennington  
Chase of Colchester  
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  
Christensen of Weathersfield  
Christie of Hartford  
Cina of Burlington  
Coffey of Guilford  
Colburn of Burlington  
Colston of Winooski  
Conlon of Cornwall  
Conquest of Newbury  
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  
Corcoran of Bennington  
Cordes of Lincoln  
Demrow of Corinth  
Dolan of Waitsfield  
Donahue of Northfield  
Donovan of Burlington  
Durfee of Shaftsbury  
Elder of Starksboro  
Emmons of Springfield  
Fagard of Berkshire  
Gannon of Wilmington  
Gianbatista of Essex  
Gonzalez of Winooski  
Grad of Moretown  
Gregoire of Fairfield  
Haas of Rochester  
Hashim of Dummerston  
Hill of Wolcott  
Hooper of Montpelier  
Hooper of Randolph  
Hooper of Burlington  
Houghton of Essex  
Howard of Rutland City  
James of Manchester  
Jerome of Brandon  
Jessup of Middlesex  
Killacky of South Burlington  
Killacky of South  
Montpelier  
Kornheiser of Brattleboro  
Krowinski of Burlington  
LaLonde of South Burlington  
LaPanher of Vergennes  
Lefebvre of Newark  
Leffler of Enosburgh  
Lipart of Hinesburg  
Long of Newfane  
Macal of Williston  
McCarthy of St. Albans City  
McCullough of Williston  
Mrowicki of Putney  
Murphy of Fairfax  
Nicoll of Ludlow  
Norris of Shoreham  
Notte of Rutland City  
Noyes of Wolcott  
O'Brien of Tunbridge  
Ode of Burlington  
O'Sullivan of Burlington  
Pajala of Londonderry  
Patt of Worcester  
Potter of Clarendon  
Pugh of South Burlington  
Rachelson of Burlington  
Ralph Watson of Hartland  
Redmond of Essex  
Reed of Braintree  
Rogers of Waterville  
Scheu of Middlebury  
Scheuermann of Stowe  
Sheldon of Middlebury  
Squirrell of Underhill  
Stevens of Waterbury  
Sullivan of Dorset  
Sullivan of Burlington  
Szott of Barnard  
Taylor of Colchester  
Till of Jericho  
Toleno of Brattleboro  
Toll of Danville  
Toof of St. Albans Town  
Townsend of South Burlington  
Troiano of Stannard  
Tully of Rockingham  
Walz of Barre City  
Webb of Shelburne  
White of Hartford  
Wood of Waterbury  
Yacovone of Morristown  
Yantachka of Charlotte  
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Batchelor of Derby  
Bates of Bennington  
Brennan of Colchester  
*Burritt of West Rutland  
Canfield of Fair Haven  
Cupoli of Rutland City  
Dickinson of St. Albans  
Fagan of Rutland City  
Feltus of Lyndon  
Batchelor of Derby  
Gardiner of Richmond  
Goslant of  
Mattos of Milton  
McCoy of Poultney  
McFaun of Barre Town  
Hango of Berkshire  
Harrison of Chittenden  
Helm of Fair Haven  
Higley of Lowell  
LaClair of Barre Town  
Marcotte of Coventry  
Martel of Waterford  
Gardner of Richmond  
Northfield  
*Morris of Springfield  
*Morris of Springfield  
Higley of Lowell  
LaClair of Barre Town  
Martel of Waterford  
Gamache of Swanton  
Graham of Williamstown  
McCormack of Burlington  
Partridge of Windham

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bancroft of Westford  
Brownell of Pownal  
Bancroft of Westford  
Gamache of Swanton  
McMorris of Springfield  
*Morris of Springfield  
Morgan of Milton  
Morgan of Milton  
Myers of Essex  
Page of Newport City  
Partridge of Windham

Bancroft of Westford  
Brownell of Pownal  
Bancroft of Westford  
Gamache of Swanton  
Graham of Williamstown  
McCormack of Burlington  
Partridge of Windham
An act relating to changes to Act 250

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment?

Yeas, 93. Nays, 56. Absent, 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Anthony of Barre City
Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland
Bock of Chester
Briglin of Thetford
Brownell of Pownal
Browning of Arlington
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christensen of Weathersfield
Christie of Hartford
Cina of Burlington
Colburn of Burlington
Colston of Winooski
Conlon of Cornwall
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Cordes of Lincoln
Dolan of Waitsfield
Donovan of Burlington
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Fegard of Berkshire
Feltus of Lyndon
Gannon of Wilmington
Gardner of Richmond
Giambatista of Essex
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
Hooper of Montpelier
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex
Howard of Rutland City
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Jessup of Middlesex
Killahey of South Burlington
Kimbell of Woodstock
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Krowniski of Burlington
LaLonde of South Burlington
LaPonde of South Burlington
Lanpher of Vergennes
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Macag of Williston
Masland of Thetford
McCarthy of St. Albans City
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
Morris of Springfield
Mrowicki of Putney
Murphy of Fairfax
Nicoll of Ludlow
Noyes of Wolcott
O'Brien of Tunbridge
Ode of Burlington
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Potter of Clarendon
Pugh of South Burlington
Rachelson of Burlington
Ralph Watson of Hartland
Redmond of Essex
Scheu of Middlebury
Seldon of Middlebury
Squirel of Underhill
Stevens of Waterbury
Sullivan of Dorset
Sullivan of Burlington
Taylor of Colchester
Till of Jericho
Toleno of Brattleboro
Toll of Danville
Townsend of South Burlington
Troiano of Stannard
Tully of Rockingham
Walc of Barre City
Webb of Shelburne
White of Hartford
Wood of Waterbury
Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bancroft of Westford
Batchelor of Derby
Bates of Bennington
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Biron of Vergennes
Brennan of Colchester
Burditt of West Rutland
Canfield of Fair Haven
Carroll of Bennington
Coffey of Guilford
Conquest of Newbury
Cupoli of Rutland City
Demrow of Corinth
Dickinson of St. Albans
Donahue of Northfield
Fagan of Rutland City
Gamache of Swanton
Goslant of Northfield
Graham of Williamstown
Gregoire of Fairfield
Hango of Berkshire
Harrison of Chittenden
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
Hooper of Randolph
LaClair of Barre Town
Lefebvre of Newark
Marcotte of Coventry
Martel of Waterford
Matts of Milton
McCoy of Poultney
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morrissey of Bennington
Myers of Essex
Norris of Shoreham
Notte of Rutland City
Page of Newport City
Pajala of Londonderry
Palakis of Milton
Quimby of Concord
Reed of Braintree
Rogers of Waterville
Rosenquist of Georgia
Savage of Swanton
Scheuermann of Stowe
Seymour of Sutton
Shaw of Pittsford
Sibilia of Dover
Smith of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Strong of Albany
Szott of Barnard
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Toof of St. Albans Town

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are: none
H. 969  An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government

Shall the House adopt the report of the Committee of Conference?

Yeas, 141. Nays, 5. Absent, 3

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais  Elder of Starksboro  Lefebvre of Newark  Rachelson of Burlington
Anthony of Barre City  Emmons of Springfield  Leffler of Enosburgh  Redmond of Essex
Austin of Colchester  Fagan of Rutland City  Lippert of Hinesburg  Reed of Braintree
Bancroft of Westford  Fegard of Berkshire  Long of Newfane  Rogers of Waterville
Bartholomew of Hartford  Felts of Lyndon  Macag of Williston  Rosenquist of Georgia
Batchelor of Derby  Gamache of Swanton  Marcotte of Coventry  Savage of Swanton
Bates of Bennington  Gunnion of Wilmington  Martel of Waterford  Scheu of Middlebury
Beck of St. Johnsbury  Gardner of Richmond  Masland of Thetford  Scheuermann of Stowe
Biron of Vergennes  Goslant of Northfield  Mattos of Milton  Seymour of Sutton
Bock of Chester  Grad of Moretown  McCormack of Burlington  Shaw of Pittsford
Briglin of Thetford  Graham of Williamstown  McCoy of Poulney  Sheldon of Middlebury
Brownell of Pownal  Gregoire of Fair Haven  McCullough of Williston  Sibilia of Dover
Brumsted of Shelburne  Haas of Rochester  McFaun of Barre Town  Smith of Derby
Burditt of West Rutland  Harrison of Chittenden  Morgan of Milton  Smith of New Haven
Burke of Brattleboro  Hashim of Dummerston  Morris of Springfield  Squirrel of Underhill
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Harrison of South Burlington  Morrissey of Bennington  Stevens of Waterbury
Canfield of Fair Haven  Helm of Fair Haven  Mrowicki of Putney  Strong of Albany
Carroll of Bennington  Higley of Lowell  Murphy of Fairfax  Sullivan of Dorset
Chase of Colchester  Hill of Wolcott  Myers of Essex  Sullivan of Burlington
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  Hooper of Montpelier  Nicoll of Ludlow  Taylor of Colchester
Christensen of Weathersfield  Hooper of Burlington  Norris of Shoreham  Terenzini of Rutland Town
Christie of Hartford  Houghton of Essex  Notte of Rutland City  Tolino of Brattleboro
Coffey of Guilford  Howard of Rutland City  Noyes of Wolcott  Toll of Danville
Colston of Winooski  James of Manchester  O'Brien of Tunbridge  Toof of St. Albans Town
Conlon of Cornwall  Jerome of Brandon  Ode of Burlington  Townsend of South Burlington
Conquest of Newbury  Jessup of Middlesex  O'Sullivan of Burlington  Troiano of Stannard
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Killacky of South  Page of Newport City  Tully of Rockingham
Corcoran of Bennington  Burlington  Pajala of Londonderry  Walz of Barre City
Cordes of Lincoln  Montpelier  Palasik of Milton  Webb of Shelburne
Cupoli of Rutland City  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Partridge of Windham  White of Hartford
Demrow of Corinth  *Krowinski of Burlington  Pate of Worcester  Wood of Waterbury
Dickinson of St. Albans  Burlington  Potter of Clarendon  Yacovone of Morristown
Dolan of Waitsfield  LaClair of Barre Town  Pugh of South  Yantachka of Charlotte
Donahue of Northfield  LaLonde of South Burlington  Quimby of Concord  Young of Greensboro
Donovan of Burlington  Burlington  Lefebvre of Starksboro  Zott of Barnard
Durfee of Shaftsbury  Lanphere of Vergennes

Those who voted in the negative are:

Cina of Burlington  *Gonzalez of Winooski  *Ralph Watson of Hartland
*Colburn of Burlington

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Brennan of Colchester  Browning of Arlington  Hooper of Randolph
H. 926  An act relating to changes to Act 250

Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment with a further proposal of amendment as offered by Rep. Higley of Lowell, and others?

Yeas, 51. Nays, 97. Absent, 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford  Gamache of Swanton  Mattos of Milton  Savage of Swanton
Batchelor of Derby  Goslant of Northfield  McCoy of Poultney  Scheuermann of Stowe
Bates of Bennington  Graham of  McFaun of Barre Town  Seymour of Sutton
Brennan of Colchester  Williamstown  Morgan of Milton  Shaw of Pittsford
Burdit of West Rutland  Gregoire of Fairfield  Morrissey of Bennington  Sibilia of Dover
Canfield of Fair Haven  Hango of Burlington  Myers of Essex  Smith of Derby
Carroll of Bennington  Harrison of Chittenden  Norris of Shoreham  Smith of New Haven
Coffey of Guilford  Helm of Fair Haven  Page of Newport City  Strong of Albany
Cupoli of Rutland City  Lefebvre of Newark  Palasik of Milton  Sullivan of Dorset
Dickinson of St. Albans  LaClair of Barre Town  Pajala of Londonderry  Szott of Barnard
Donahue of Northfield  Leffler of Enosburgh  Quimby of Concord  Tenerzini of Rutland
Fagan of Rutland City  Marotte of Coventry  Rogers of Waterville  Toof of St. Albans
Feltus of Lyndon  Martel of Waterford  Rosenquist of Georgia  Town

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais  Demrow of Corinth  Krowinski of Burlington  Raelichson of Burlington
Anthony of Barre City  Dolan of Waitsfield  LaLonde of South Burlington  Ralph Watson of Hartland
Austin of Colchester  Donovan of Burlington  Lippert of Hinesburg  Redmond of Essex
Bartholomew of Hartland  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Long of Newfane  Reed of Braintree
Birong of Vergennes  Elder of Starkboro  Macaig of Williston  Scheu of Middlebury
Bock of Chester  Emmons of Springfield  Masland of Thetford  Sheldon of Middlebury
Briglin of Thetford  Fegard of Berkshire  McCarthy of St. Albans City  Squirrel of Underhill
Brownell of Pownal  Gardner of Richford  McMcCormack of Burlington  Stevens of Waterbury
Browning of Arlington  Giambatista of Essex  Masland of Thetford  Sullivan of Burlington
Brumsted of Shelburne  Gonzalez of Winooski  McCarthy of St. Albans City  Taylor of Colchester
Burke of Brattleboro  Grad of Moretown  McCormack of Burlington  Till of Jericho
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Haas of Rochester  McCullough of Williston  Toole of Brattleboro
Chase of Colchester  Hashim of Dummerston  Morris of Springfield  Toll of Danville
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  Hill of Wolcott  Mrowicki of Putney  Townsend of South Burlington
Christensen of Weathersfield  Hooper of Montpelier  Murphy of Fairfax  Troiano of Stannard
Christie of Hartford  Hooper of Randolph  Nicoll of Ludlow  Tully of Rockingham
Cina of Burlington  Hooper of Burlington  Notte of Rutland City  Walz of Barre City
Colburn of Burlington  Houghton of Essex  Noyes of Wolcott  Webb of Shelburne
Colston of Winooski  Howard of Rutland City  O'Brien of Tunbridge  White of Hartford
Conlon of Cornwall  James of Manchester  Ode of Burlington  Wood of Waterbury
Conquest of Newbury  Jerome of Brandon  O'Sullivan of Burlington  Yacovone of Morristown
Copeland Hanzas of Bradford  Jessup of Middlesex  Partridge of Windham  Yantachka of Charlotte
Corcoran of Bennington  Killacky of South Burlington  Patt of Worcester  Young of Greensboro
Cordes of Lincoln

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Beck of St. Johnsbury
Proposal #2    Shall the proposal be adopted?

Rep. Peter Anthony of Barre City explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Our act this morning honors an urging by Vermont Supreme Court Justice Thomas Hayes. In a case known as ‘Jewel’ Justice Thomas announced our Constitution, ‘while not the only star in the sky, it is certainly the brightest’

He urged resort to our founding document as the primary defense of liberty.”

Rep. Christie of Hartford explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes for Proposition 2. After visiting with my fellow Vermonters from all over the state there came a clear consensus that this was the right things to do. I would like to thank our Speaker, Government Operations, and all leadership for aligning this historic event to the holiday of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.”

Rep. Kitzmiller of Montpelier explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I want to thank my colleagues for this overwhelming support!”

H. 107    Shall the report of committee of conference be adopted?

Rep. Burke of Brattleboro explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes on this imperfect bill so that my constituents can take advantage of its benefits. In a larger context I find it sad and unconscionable that we as a State struggle to provide minimal benefits while our wealthy country is one of only four in the world without a paid family leave policy.”

Rep. Cina of Burlington explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I voted no on the Committee of Conference report because I would like the bill to go to a new committee of conference. We still have time to get this bill into better shape. Let’s go back to the table with the Senate, resume negotiations, and create a Paid Family and medical Leave program that truly works for all Vermonters and takes care of the most vulnerable.”

Rep. Cordes of Lincoln explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While like many in this body I was sorely disappointed that we could not get to Universal TDI, I believe that this bill sets a good foundation on which we can improve. As a nurse, I see who is able to be at their loved one’s bedside and who isn’t. I also heard from constituents asking me to support H.107. One said that they and their spouse chose not to have children in part because neither of them could afford to stay home or afford child care. Lastly, should the governor choose to veto this bill, I will vote to override.”

Rep. Dolan of Waitsfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes for this compromise bill in support for the Vermont working families who may find themselves facing an unanticipated, immediate, and potentially devastating family crisis. It can happen to any of us at any time. This bill offers real advantages for Vermont’s working families at a time of greatest need.”

Rep. Durfee of Shaftsbury explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted Yes on this bill. As the manager of a small business, I see first hand the challenges of attracting and retaining employees, and know all too well the hidden costs associated with employee turnover. By providing Vermont workers some financial protection during the critical times of bonding with a child or caring for a family member, this bill will aid employers by promoting a more stable work force.”

Rep. Giambatista of Essex explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes to create a paid family and medical leave program. A recent Finra survey found 49 percent of Vermonters lack a rainy-day fund. Fourteen percent have taken a hardship withdrawal from a retirement account. These are the realities for too many Vermonters. When our neighbors
face life-and-death situations, this paid family and medical leave program will be there or them to provide stability. Madam Speaker, this bill moves us one step closer to a Vermont that works for all of us.”

**Rep. Haas of Rochester** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I fully support family leave, and I wish I could vote for this bill. But I must vote no because the compromise regarding medical leave threatens the possibility of a full and comprehensive program in the future. In the 1970s, I was fortunate to work in California, which already had a comprehensive temporary disability insurance program. TDI was just another deduction from my check, like social security, and I was happy to know that I had the coverage. Vermont is clearly way behind on providing temporary disability coverage for its workers. The voluntary TDI program in this bill is likely to be so fragile that it will fail. If it fails, our efforts to pass a mandatory TDI program could be delayed indefinitely.”

**Rep. Kornheiser of Brattleboro** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This bill is a huge step forward for financial security for Vermont families and will improve people’s lives within months of passing, and so I voted yes today. I’ve known too many families that returned to work days after giving birth, or had to leave jobs they cared about because of an illness in their family. This program makes it easier to make it as a family in Vermont. However, it isn’t enough: we must follow through next year and add universal personal medical leave, include self-employed Vermonters, shift the cost burden to those who can most afford it, and carefully regulate the administration of the program.”

**Rep. McCoy of Poultney** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I oppose a mandatory, broad based payroll tax of $29 million, when we are trying to make Vermont more affordable and business friendly. I wholeheartedly support a voluntary paid family leave program that I choose to enroll in. My choice.”

**Rep. Stevens of Waterbury** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Upon further review from legislative council, none of the retirement accounts mentioned would be subject to this program.”
S. 23 Shall the report of the committee of conference be adopted?

**Rep. Beck of St. Johnsbury** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Raising the minimum wage will do little to nothing for low-wage workers; addressing the benefit cliff, high cost of living, education, and increasing the earned income tax credit will.”

**Rep. Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This bill represents a compromise agreement that fully satisfies no one. Some would say that means the bill has found the sweet spot. I recognize the reality that this will is still far from a livable wage, but it does move us forward.”

**Rep. Colburn of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This bill is a compromise for those of us who wanted as fast a path as possible to a $15 an hour minimum wage and a meaningful livable wage for Vermonters. It’s a compromise that puts money in the pockets of vulnerable Vermonters and allows us to revisit the issue quickly and keep fighting for more. I support it and thank the committee for their work.”

**Rep. Donahue of Northfield** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

A single parent with two children making $10 per hour receives almost $65,000 a year in net family resources – which equals $31 per hour. A single parent with two children who goes off the benefits cliff loses $10,000 per year – as an average – but often that is radically less because employer health care contributions vary so broadly.

This bill does not achieve any progress towards greater income equity, and we won’t achieve that goal until we consider earnings in combination with net resources. It also fails to provide any commitment to address the increase in state resources that will be needed if we intend to maintain Medicaid benefits in our state. For these reasons, I can’t support this bill. I hope in the future we will look more comprehensively at the interrelationship between wages and health care, as I urged in the bill I introduced earlier this session.”
**Rep. Gannon of Wilmington** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted no because this bill assumes there is a single economy in Vermont. That is patently false. There is a rational way to raise the minimum wage. All we need to do is look across the waters of Lake Champlain and look at what New York did when it raised the minimum wage.”

**Rep. Graham of Williamstown** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I know better than you how to run my business than you do don’t need your help.”

**Rep. Gregoire of Fairfield** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

There are many ways in which we can help the poorest Vermonters. Unfortunately, this bill makes us feel good but risks putting minimal real money back into their pockets due to numerous issues including loss of benefits that may be greater than their increased wages. That’s counterintuitive.”

**Rep. Krowinski of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes for raising the minimum wage. By boosting wages families can meet the basics; their spending will help local businesses and help communities thrive.”

**Rep. Mrowicki of Putney** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes, knowing how many minimum wage workers work hard, work long, and still can’t make ends meet. And, knowing the majority of minimum wage workers are women makes this vote even easier. It’s time to address, even to this small degree, the inequity of this, and the overall growing income disparity in this country. So, I VOTE YES!”

**Rep. Ralph of Hartland** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I voted against the minimum wage bill last year because I would like it to be stronger and for us to work towards getting to $15/hour by 2024. The current bill doesn’t quite do this but it is a step in the right direction and I hope we can work to improve this in the future, which is why I am voting in support of the bill today.”

**Rep. Stevens of Waterbury** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

It’s easy to get distracted by the many reasons for raising the wage, but our statute in the case of minimum makes it clear in a declaration of policy, and it is where we started our work: ‘It is the declared policy of the State of Vermont that workers employed in any occupation should receive wages sufficient to provide adequate maintenance and to protect their health, and to be fairly commensurate with the value of the services rendered.’ S.23 moves this policy along, and yes, falls short.”

**Rep. Sullivan of Dorset** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I supported this conference report. Low and middle-income workers’ reduced bargaining power is a major reason why their wages have not kept pace with the overall growth of the economy. Minimum wage has become one of the better hopes for propping up wages for low-income workers.”

**Rep. Wood of Waterbury** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I would have loved to support higher wages for Vermonters. But not at the expense of older Vermonters and people with disabilities and those nonprofit providers who are reliant on Medicaid supports for their daily lives and to keep them living in their own homes and out of more expensive care. Promises are not enough.”

**Rep. Yacovone of Morrisstown** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I will continue to do everything I can to assure caregivers paid by Medicaid and those they serve will not be adversely impacted by a minimum wage increase.”

**Rep. Yantachka of Charlotte** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I vote YES on this bill. This bill will help minimum wage earners in two ways: it will increase their earnings and, in turn, will increase the earned income tax credit that they will be eligible for.”
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H. 107 Shall the House pass the bill, the failure of the Governor to approve notwithstanding?

Rep. Brumsted of Shelburne explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Back in the early 70s when I was just 6 years old my Dad went to work one morning and never came home. I lived in Rhode Island, and my father worked as a contractor for the U.S. Department of the Navy. There was a terrible accident and he was killed, leaving my mom a widow with two young children. She was debilitated with grief and could not work until she recovered months later. We survived that year with help from our extended family and the state’s paid leave program, Temporary Disability Insurance (TDI). Without this help we would have lost our home and so much more. Enacted in 1942, Rhode Island’s TDI was the first of its kind in the United States. Here in Vermont, I have heard many stories like mine where families fall on hard times through no fault of their own or parents need to stay home with a newborn longer than their allotted vacation time. These stories and many more are why I voted ‘yes’ today.

This bill is a true compromise with significant movement by all legislators. Good policy almost always requires that everyone involved works together to reach compromise. When that happens, all Vermonters win.

I believe a paid family and medical leave policy will make Vermont a better place to live, work, raise a family, and grow a business. This sort of assurance for many families, like mine all those years ago, provides a safety net for those difficult rainy days that all of us face from time to time.”

Rep. Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

The last time I spoke on this bill I was urging a ‘No’ vote in order to establish another opportunity to improve and strengthen the bill. That effort did not succeed so it is now time to reassess and establish this bill as law – and to commit to improving and strengthening it next year.”

Rep. Krowinski of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
Today we continued our fight to ensure Vermonters have access to a robust paid family and medical leave program. I voted yes because our plan would improve health outcomes, boost Vermonter’s economic security, and help level the playing field for our small businesses across the state. While I’m incredibly disappointed that Vermonters won’t get access to this important program we’ll continue to fight for families.”

Rep. Norris of Shoreham explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted No in order to give the Governor time to implement his plan for an optional buy-in plan. I support the concept of paid family leave but I can’t vote to tax every wage earner in Vermont to start a program that no doubt will grow in expense. A tax is a tax and rarely goes away.”

Rep. Ode of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Today Vermont failed to strengthen families as they care for their babies and for family members who are sick. The inextricable link between strong families and a strong economy has been ignored.”

Rep. Smith of Derby explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I realize that this bill only takes about 20 cents out of each hundred that I earn. That’s not much. A mere slight percentage of my paycheck. However, when you take all of these mere percentages over a certain time frame it grows into a full (or bigger) percentage. In a way, I’m glad I’m old enough to be on Social Security because you can’t touch that.”

Rep. Sullivan of Dorset explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I am truly disappointed today. Let me be clear at the outset: I unequivocally and without reservation support Vermont’s adoption of a paid family leave plan.

Unfortunately, we are today being asked effectively to adopt the second of what will be two competing and irreconcilable plans.

I have serious issues with both plans. Issues that honestly needed to be worked out between the governor and this body before we launched these ventures.
Instead, at a time we are facing an astronomical and growing unfunded pension liability gap, when we are in desperate need to make substantial investment in our corrections and mental health systems, we are committing to spend another $3 to $6 million in General Fund dollars to set up this plan. A plan that’s broken from the outset.

I find that to be the height of irresponsibility.

I will not support this bill. Come back when we get it right.”
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H. 619  Shall the bill pass?

Rep. Donahue of Northfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Because of the title of this bill, I feel I need to make clear that I do believe that expenses for child care are legitimate campaign expenses. The amendment, however, opens up a can of worms.”
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H. 568  Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Judiciary in Section 3 only?

Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Setting up a separate study committee, with, at most 4 meetings, at an additional cost to Vermont taxpayers, to examine the issue of legalizing prostitution is a waste. Your committee should be able to handle this within their scope of work during a legislative session.”

Rep. Till of Jericho explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes. The women of Vermont need those of us in the legislature to understand the most effective ways to protect them and help them escape the horrors of human trafficking.”
H. 688  Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the committee on Energy and Technology, as amended?

Rep. Bartholomew of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I learned about the effects of carbon dioxide in our atmosphere when I was in junior high school. If we had followed the lead of our President in the late 1970s, I believe the required changes in our technology, economy, and behavior would have been modest in order to avoid the problems associated with climate change. That opportunity has passed, and we now are out of time. The solution to the climate crisis we are facing no longer is simple. It’s going to require acknowledgment of the problem, significant action, changes in behavior, innovative research, and money. It will affect every person, town, city, business, corporation, and government. Huge numbers of citizens are raising the alarm and calling for action. We’ve seen their passion in our own House chamber. Their methods may have been bothersome, but their message was clear.

I was particularly moved when a large group of young Vermon ters came for a demonstration on climate change outside the State House and proceeded to file through the building. I took an opportunity to hold the door open for them. It took a full 20 minutes for all of them to pass! Again, their message was clear. It’s time to act. This bill is only a first step to address climate change, but one that I wholeheartedly support.”

Rep. Colburn of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Today we take an important step to make good on our promises to Vermon ters for meaningful climate action. I’m so grateful for the hard and thoughtful work by everyone who brought this forward and made it possible for me to vote in support of a policy that’s so important to my constituents, my daughters, and the very word we live in. We have much more work to do, but today is a good day, and a hopeful one.”

Rep. Cordes of Lincoln explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

In Lars von Triers slow moving film Melancholia, wedding party goers display opulent illusions of celebration amongst angst, betrayal and denial as the planet Melancholia hurtles toward an earth destined to be imminently destroyed.

We are facing our own Melancholia, despite decades of scientific consensus, despite polls showing that a majority of Americans support climate action. We are thwarted by a deafening lack of moral and existential urgency by many elected leaders.
I am grateful that this body moved forward with this good foundation. 'One of the penalties of an ecological education is that one lives alone in a world of wounds.' (Aldo Leopold) We don't have to live alone in a world of wounds if we choose collective action over collective paralysis, denial, and dissociation.”

**Rep. Donahue of Northfield** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I am concerned about appropriating $972,000 without yet seeing our budget and even more concerned about abdication of our role in charting this course, so I do not support this bill with its current structures. But this effort is too important to fail to move it to its next stages. I vote yes, now, with the hope that it will return to this body with the changes it needs so that I can support it in its final iteration.”

**Rep. Harrison of Chittenden** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I agree that we are contributing to climate change and that we all need to do our part in reducing carbon emissions. This bill is far from perfect in my view. I worry about the delegation of our responsibilities. I am truly on the proverbial fence post. And while I am supporting this amendment, I am hopeful that the bill is improved going forward in the process.”

**Rep. Krowinski of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes for the Global Warming Solutions Act because it creates a strong and effective path to cut pollution and will increase climate resilience in all of our communities.”

**Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

At this time, I cannot vote for this bill, and I want to be clear that it is not because of any disagreement with the premise. Climate change needs our attention. Our agricultural and tourism industries need action and Vermonters want to know what we are doing for the environment outside of clean water.

However, I cannot condone the placement of authority that belongs in this body to a council of persons that have no accountability to the public. I cannot agree that we shift the balance of power
and delegate our authority. I cannot stand and represent my constituency and at the same time vote to remove their voices from this crucial conversation.”

Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Time for talk is over. It’s time to match words with actions.”

Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Madam Speaker. As my committee well knows, I agree with much of what is in this bill and hope to be able to support it when it returns from the Senate. I simply cannot cede this kind of legislative authority and responsibility to the Executive Branch. My community has experienced too often and too recently what this kind of relinquishing of our legislative duties does.”

Rep. Smith of Derby explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted no because I will have no part of cheating my northeast kingdom constituents out of their hard earned paychecks.”
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S. 23 Shallow the bill pass, the failure of the Governor to approve notwithstanding?

Rep. Cordes of Lincoln explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Adjusted for inflation, minimum wage is what it was in 1960-1970. The Economics 101 argument that higher wages lead to job loss is erroneous. No well-supported historical trend proves this. History and economic complexity tell us the opposite – the ‘income effect’ of increased wages maintains or increases jobs.

Paul Wellstone was right – ‘we all do better when we all do better.’”

Rep. Gonzalez of Winooski explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I could speak for hours on my reasons for voting yes. Yet I will be brief as I am infrequent.

Poverty is a complex problem. This bill does not address many of the aspects of the complexity. For one, this bill does not address income inequality and our state and federal unwillingness to have the wealthy pay their fair share.

What this modest increase does is address dignity of workers. The dignity of getting closer to paying one’s own bills with one’s own work. I voted yes for that dignity.”

Rep. Krowinski of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes because, for too many hardworking Vermonters, wages haven’t kept up with the cost of living. Every working parent should get paid enough to care for their kids and set them off toward a great future. When parents earn more and their children do better. By gradually raising the minimum wage we’ll help build an economy that works for everyone. Thank you.”

Rep. McFaun of Barre Town explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote to sustain the Governor’s veto on S.23 because I feel there is a better way to lift people out of poverty. We already have one of the highest minimum wages in the country and it is rising every year automatically. I feel a meaningful income tax credit is a better way to put money in low income people’s pockets and it doesn’t have any negative impact on our rural businesses. It’s a win-win situation for business and the very people we are trying to help out of poverty.”

Rep. Rachelson of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Raising the minimum wage is not a novel idea. Twenty states have recently raised their minimum wage. The research from over 100 minimum wage increases has shown that it has lowered poverty levels for children and households. And, at the same time, there is no correlation between raising the minimum wage and loss of jobs. Neither did it result in raising the cost of living nor negatively effecting the business climate. It’s time to give many eligible Vermonters a raise.”

Rep. Smith of Derby explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
It still gives a working Vermonter some pride and self-esteem to earn a raise rather than having it handed to them.”

Rep. Till of Jericho explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes to override this veto. This is, among other things, a women’s issue. Minimum wage jobs are disproportionately held by women. We need to continue our work on equalization of wages across gender. Secondly, if we want to keep young people in Vermont, increasing starting wages is a critical component.”

Rep. Yacovone of Morristown explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

The unrelenting undertow of poverty is all consuming. Poverty fills our prisons, crowds our hospital emergency rooms, and robs our schools of their capacity to educate our children. This increase in minimum wage will not end poverty as we know it. It will, however, help smooth the sharp edges of poverty to make life a little easier to tolerate for many. It is not a perfect solution, but I believe it will make Vermont a better place to live.”
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S. 54 Shall the report of the committee on Government Operations be amended as proposed by the committee on Ways and Means?

Rep. Till of Jericho explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

We should not limit the financial assistance to local communities who allow a retail establishment which is what happens with the local sales tax option. Towns with licensed growers, manufacturers, distributors, and testing labs will also have expenses which the sales tax does not address. The local fees which we will vote upon later today will help all communities in the supply chain as well.”
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S. 54 Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as proposed by the committee on Government Operations as amended?

Rep. Harrison of Chittenden explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I am not a fan of recreational marijuana. I voted against legalization two years ago. I was on the losing side and the use of the product is now legal in Vermont to those over 21. The pragmatic side of me is to go forward in a way that is regulated and taxed, which is why I voted in support of S.54.”

Rep. Hooper of Montpelier explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted no.

The issue I cannot resolve is the effect of this bill on people of color. I once asked the defender general why people become involved in the justice system. I thought he’d say something about poverty or crime. He said, simply, car stops.

A car stop begins the chain of events that results in 12% of the population of our prisons being people of color. I am convinced this bill will result in more car stops.

I know that there is an intention to address issues of racial justice in other bills.

The good intention to address these issues, doesn’t offset the very real likelihood that this bill will ensure that we will continue to sweep people of color up in the justice system. As attractive as a regulated system is, I can’t support doing it at the expense of our friends, friends, neighbors and children, whose skin happens to be a different color.”

Rep. Kornheiser of Brattleboro explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes on S. 54 because I believe this legislation lays the groundwork for a cannabis industry that reflects Vermont values: local ownership, small farms, and a strong quality brand. I’m proud of the careful provisions to ensure historically marginalized populations can access the market equitably, and other provisions to bring transparency and limit consolidation in the market.”

Rep. Krowinski of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes because my constituents overwhelmingly support taxing and regulating cannabis. It's a matter of public health and public safety. What we have now in place isn't working. This bill is an important step forward for our state.”

Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh explained her vote as follows:
“Madam Speaker:

The need for more taxation and bureaucratic bloat in Vermont is nonexistent. This is my primary reason for voting against the bill.

Secondly, if we are to push forward with retail sale and commercial growing, a bill with no aspect of public safety, specifically in the way a safe and effective and accurate roadside test, seems the worst possible way to do it. My opposition is not to cannabis, cannabis use, or current law. My opposition is specific to this bill for the reasons I outlined.”

Rep. Rachelson of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

When I was going door to door, legalizing the sale of cannabis was one of the top three issues identified. Several constituents asked why we made cannabis legal but gave them no way to legally obtain it. One constituent asked: why did the legislature pass such a stupid law? After careful consideration and research on this complex bill, I vote yes on S.54. It is critically important that we educate young people about the dangers and risk of using cannabis before age 25. And, we should not be legislating and criminalizing using drugs, in cases where there is no harm brought to others.

A 2017 study in the American Journal of Public Health, found that 'changes in motor vehicle crash fatality rates for Washington and Colorado were not statistically different from those in similar states without recreational marijuana legalization.' A 2018 paper published by the National Bureau of Economic Research reached a similar conclusion.

A recent journaled study found that legalization and access to recreational marijuana reduced annual opioid mortalities by 20% to 35%. I continue to be concerned about the use of wishful tests that cannot show impairment. The National Highway Safety Board among others, have found that blood and saliva tests’ accuracy and reliability are still questioned. And, we know that both are inadequate to determine impairment; the science isn’t there yet. My hope is that we continue to be cautious about allowing into evidence tests that won’t show impairment.”

Rep. Sibilia of Dover explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Though I did not vote to legalize marijuana 2 years ago, and it is still illegal federally, because Vermont is allowing use and cultivation, I believe we need to regulate it. If we are going to regulate marijuana, we have to pay for the cost to manage that regulation. For that reason I support taxing marijuana sales.”
**Rep. Till of Jericho** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I opposed legalization of cannabis. I still believe legalization was a mistake. However, the question before us today is this; if we have legalized marijuana, what is the most rational way to structure that legalization. What we have currently is the worst of all worlds. A citizen can possess marijuana, but has no legal way to buy it. A citizen can grow cannabis but no legal way to buy seeds or plants to grow.

The current system has no control over content on safety of products, no testing of products, no money for education and prevention, no limit on the amount of THC in a serving on a package. S.54 corrects these problems and I vote yes.”
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**S. 54 Shall the House proposal of amendment be amended as offered by Rep. Dickenson of St. Albans Town?**

**Rep. Cina of Burlington** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no on this amendment regarding saliva testing because I will not support warrantless searches and the erosion of our civil liberties in the name of public safety through questionable means.”
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**H. 926 Shall the action be postponed one legislative day?**

**Rep. Gregoire of Fairfield** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I am disappointed that this body voted against the opportunity to bring the myriad changes to this bill to our constituents during town meeting week. After all, we are here to represent them.”

**Rep. Smith of Derby** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This vote delay would have given all members an opportunity to hear their constituents’ voices. Isn’t that what we’re supposed to do? The answer to that one is yes.”
H. 926  Shall the bill pass?

Rep. Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This bill is a perfect example of our work never being done. Is there more to do? Certainly. Is the bill perfect? Certainly not. But this bill does make considered and needed steps in an area that is guaranteed to aggravate everybody at some point.”

Rep. Dolan of Waitsfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I support the bill’s attention to the challenges facing Vermont now and over the next 50 years. I support the added protections for intact forests and for making Vermont’s communities more resilient to flooding and other impacts from a changing climate.”

Rep. Donahue of Northfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Act 250 provides vital protections to our state. This bill has good elements, but also opens the door to new issues that will add to the complexity, and the lack of consistency and predictability, that have dogged Act 250 for so long. Today’s amendments narrowed some of those doors, but not enough to convince me that the positive elements outweigh the many new burdens it will create. This is not an Act 250 reform bill.”

Rep. McCullough of Williston explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Governor Dean C. Davis is a personal friend of mine and a Vermont hero, moving Act 250’s landmark legislation. I am grateful for the opportunity to help craft Act 250’s next generation and voting yes today.”

Rep. Rogers of Waterville explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I voted yes out of appreciation for the additional exemptions from Act 250 for trails, village centers, and the forest products industry. However, I speak directly for my constituents and many other Vermonter when I share a deep concern that this current bill does not substantively address the length of time or level of expense required to go through the Act 250 process, or the inconsistency with which Act 250 is applied in different regions of the state. I sincerely hope that we will follow through with addressing these issues within the current legislative biennium.”

Rep. Sheldon of Middlebury explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Act 250 has served Vermont well for fifty years. This bill addresses climate change and ecosystem protection while supporting development where we want it, ensuring that Act 250 continues to protect the Vermont we love.”

Rep. Sullivan of Dorset explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted no as I am disappointed that after all the time spent on this bill that the need to correct the de novo review process was passed over. I am further disappointed that the discussions did not move away from ‘Isn’t it great to use this bill as an avenue to address climate change’ to one where the discussion was focused on the collective prosperity of Vermont and how business development combined with natural resource preservation may have better gotten us to a bill that preserved all the good we are blessed to have.

Regulatory schemes and processes that are not predictable in application, that use vague standards, that are uncertain as to the potential for delay, are killers to new investment and growth.”
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H.R. 18 Shall the House approve remove voting pursuant to temporary House Rule 9A?

Rep. Donahue of Northfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

We are in an extraordinary time, where safety requires that we avoid coming together as a group, and yet, we cannot simply suspend our responsibilities. Despite its flaws in allowing us to fully meet our obligations for representation, we must do what we can to make a remote system serve its functions in order to move emergency responses forward and to maintain government functions, during the time that we have declared a state of emergency resulting from this pandemic. I thank the Speaker for her leadership in helping us chart this balance.”
Rep. Gregoire of Fairfield explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no because I believe in the leaders of my towns. They know what is best for their communities.”

Rep. Partridge of Windham explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

The bill does not take any rights or responsibilities away from the legislative body in towns. What it does is it reinforces the fact that legislative bodies should be appointing tree wardens. Not all towns do. There is no penalty for not appointing tree wardens, however, it's meant to reinforce the importance of this position given the fact that we are confronted with a different kind of pandemic and that is the Emerald Ash Borer.”

Rep. Browning of Arlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no because this bill raises property tax rates in a recession, which runs the risk of making the recession worse. The burden of the difficult situation in which we find ourselves should be borne by the state not the property taxpayers, many of whom cannot bear it.”

Rep. Scheu of Middlebury explained her vote as follows:

“I voted yes on H959. The $156 million projected deficit in the education fund is a COVID-19 problem, NOT a school budget problem. Communities across the state passed what they believed were responsible and appropriate school budgets this year. We cannot punish schools, students, and communities for a global pandemic that is entirely not their fault.”
In fact, when students come back to school, they are likely to need more assistance, not less, and we must ensure we have the resources to allow schools to do what we are asking of them. We need this bill so our schools and communities can move forward.”

Rep. Sibilia of Dover explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This bill does not acknowledge or address the inequity in opportunities these rates will purchase for all Vermont students as has been clearly documented in the pupil weighting study this body requested. Nonetheless, it is not practical to have all districts revote budgets and our students need our education system to function. And so I vote yes.”

Rep. Webb of Shelburne explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes on this bill. It protects communities from the anticipated double digit property tax increases; sets a more stable foundation to provide education and support for students in the midst of a global pandemic; and provides options as we increase our understanding of possible uses of federal funds particularly for struggling students as well as the resources from our own changing economy.”

Rep. Wood of Waterbury explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes because I agree school districts need to know what they are dealing with July 1st. However, as such a large part of state expenditures, I also believe school expenditures, along with others, will need to be part of the solution to recover from the financial crisis we are facing.”
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H. 959 Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington?

Rep. Browning of Arlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes to ensure that we actually reform our education finance system to make it more equitable, more efficient, and more sustainable. Without a framework of accountability to support the tax committees by requiring reports on their progress in developing proposals we are less likely to get this important work done for Vermonters.”
Rep. Sibilia of Dover explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Our students and taxpayers need us to take more than symbolic actions on their behalf.”

Rep. Young of Greensboro explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This amendment would achieve exactly nothing so I vote no.”
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H. 959   Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Ralph of Hartland?

Rep. Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This amendment would not impose a tax or otherwise alter the existing tax structure. What it would do is simply direct a study to gain more information on a complex and necessary topic.”

Rep. Colburn of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

We are in an economic and moral crisis where Vermonters are waiting in lines for food and being turned away, and where marginalized and oppressed peoples are being disproportionately affected by the economic impacts of the pandemic, including in our educational settings. This was a study on the feasibility of taxing second homes valued at over a million dollars. If we want to move through this crisis with an eye to equity, we are going to have to reject the status quo and start moving forward with conversations about how the wealthiest Vermonters can contribute their fair share.”

Rep. Cordes of Lincoln explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I wholeheartedly support work to make our tax system more progressive and more equitable to many thousands of Vermonters, I want to be certain we don’t hurt Vermont landowners that have large pieces of property, much of it generational, but don’t have large financial reserves. We need more time in this legislature than this amendment allows.”
Rep. Ralph of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I support this amendment because it is just a study which will explore creating a 3rd tax category for high value 2nd homes separate from commercial and agricultural properties. More studies will help us better understand what the impacts of this restructuring will have. This new tax category could make it easier in the future to put more of the tax burden on these wealthy individuals and lessen the burden on regular Vermonters. To quote Mellody Hobson, president and co-CEO of Ariel Investments and a member of the board of directors at Starbucks, JPMorgan Chase and Quibi. 'So much of this unrest, this civil unrest, is tied to economic inequality. That’s just a fact. We need to move the needle on this economic inequality.’”

Rep. Webb of Shelburne explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no. By looking at property value without considering income of the owners will contribute to moving the rest of our lakeshore, mountain, and valuable properties out of the hands of Vermonters.”
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H. 961   Shall the bill be read a third time?

Rep. Browning of Arlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no because despite the strength of this Phase 1 bill it includes language providing for increases in compensation for state employees of all kinds that are unacceptable to me at a time when so many Vermonters are struggling. I know that these allocations are likely to change in the future, but since I must vote on the legislation before me, I have to oppose such compensation increases that would make it more difficult to support Vermont workers, farms, and businesses.”

Rep. Donahue of Northfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

My very reluctant “no” vote is based upon my great fear that sustaining current levels of operations now will put Vermonters at much higher risk for deeper cuts in the remaining three quarters of the fiscal year”
Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

My yes vote recognizes the difficulty your Appropriations Committee so successfully navigated to present this budget for the people of Vermont. Budgets are difficult in any time and the Vermont values of Fiscal responsibility and caring for our neighbors in need, that are upheld in this budget are to be commended. This budget also brings some certainty to times with little certainty and blazes the trail for the next step in the budget process. Big thanks to your Appropriations Committee.”

Rep. Szott of Barnard explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I want to emphasize my appreciation for the hard work of the Appropriations Committee in these extraordinary times, but precisely because these are extraordinary times I believe we should be exploring all budgetary options to ensure we minimize the pain inflicted on our most vulnerable citizens. Austerity budgeting disproportionately hurts them. I realize we are early in the process, but emergency deficit spending should have been seriously discussed by the committee before moving forward.”
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H. 961 Shall Rep. McFaun of Barre Town be granted leave of the House to offer an amendment after third reading?

Rep. Toll of Danville explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Of course, I support the voice of students to be part of any committee that impacts them. However, I am concerned with the total number of any one group dominating a committee. This could possibly allow for more than 9 members on this committee and that concerns me.”

Rep. Webb of Shelburne explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Our rules require that amendments be presented before third reading for many reasons. This bill has as long path to enactment and is unlikely to remain as it is after leaving this chamber.”

Rep. Wood of Waterbury explained her vote as follows:
“Madam Speaker:

While I do not support the amendment, I support a member’s right to request an amendment.”
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S. 348    Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by Rep. Myers of Essex and others?

Rep. Bancroft of Westford explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I find it quite ironic that this body does not want to embrace measures to ensure the integrity of mail-in voting, while it takes the integrity of its own votes on legislation very seriously. During roll call votes, we are constantly reminded there is a prohibition on the use of electronic devices and verbal communications.”

Rep. Gregoire of Fairfield explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I strongly support and encourage the pursuit of the expansion of voting opportunities including but not limited to mail, candidates, PACs and activist groups should not be allowed access to a voter’s ballot. I hope that the Secretary of State acts to limit these activities.”

Rep. Krowinski of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no. We are the in the middle of a public health pandemic and we should be doing everything in our power to keep people safe and that their vote be counted. This amendment doesn't allow people to vote how they want because it limits how they can cast their ballot. It's critical we move this bill forward again so we can ensure we have safe and secure elections in Vermont. Thank you.”

Page 32

S. 348    Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by Rep. Beck of St. Johnsbury and others?

Rep. Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I believe that we all agree that we want to prevent nefarious election activity of any kind, and to keep voters safe. However I don’t believe that this amendment is the correct vehicle to do that.”

Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

My no vote, is to make sure we do everything we can to keep voters and those counting votes safe, and move this bill to let the Sec of State do the job he was elected to do, oversee elections and issue his election directive to cover issues as they arise.

My vote is also to make sure as many Vermonters as possible vote in this election and that we do nothing to suppress the vote.”

Rep. Yantachka of Charlotte explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I've known our Secretary of State for 15 years. He has a national reputation for guarding the integrity of our elections, He is dedicated not only to the right of every eligible citizen to vote, but also that votes cannot be fraudulently cast. I have every confidence that he and his staff will design a process that will do both. This amendment is unnecessary, and I vote No.”
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S. 348 Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe and others?

Rep. Bartholomew of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

We should be removing barriers to voting, not making it harder, particularly during a public health emergency. This amendment does nothing to provide any additional security to the election process that isn’t already illegal. The Secretary of State already has the authority to regulate the process to insure the integrity of our elections. The Secretary of State’s office has much to accomplish to prepare for the upcoming elections, to train Town Clerks, and to educate voters. Time is of the essence. We need to get this bill to the Governor’s desk.”

Rep. Ralph of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I voted no. The ability of a political candidate to pick up ballots represents a constituent service that highlights the strengths of our citizen legislature and the strong relationships of trust that exists between voters and their elected officials. Picking up ballots further helps to strengthen our democratic process by making voting easier and more personable. Eliminating this will weaken our VT process and potentially cause fewer people to vote who normally count on others to help get their ballots to the polling stations. VT is unique and different from the political dynamics that we see at the National Level and we should work to keep it that way.”

**Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

We had the chance today to show Vermonters that election integrity is important to us; that the appearance of impropriety and perception of conflicts of interest are important to us. We failed, Madam Speaker, and we should be ashamed of ourselves.”

**Rep. Yacovone of Morristown** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

What candidate in their right mind would harvest ballots? Most do not seek political suicide. This amendment is flawed. When your wife cannot deliver her own mother’s ballot something is wrong. This amendment is a solution in search of a problem.”
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**S. 348 Shall the bill pass in concurrence?**

**Rep. Bancroft of Westford** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I support allowing universal mail-in voting, I cannot in good conscience do so if there are no constraints on ballot harvesting. The lack of constraints exposes voters to the possibility of being pressured to vote a certain way. Allowing ballots to be harvested by candidates and special interest groups puts the voting process in jeopardy of abuse and calls into question the Integrity of the voting process.”

**Rep. Copeland Hanzas of Bradford** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

In passing this bill we are completing the work we began in March to make certain Vermonters can safely vote in November. This bill simply gives the Secretary of State the go ahead to execute
the plan he began crafting at the beginning of March. All this bill does is put a ballot in every active, registered voters hands and make it possible for Vermonters to vote without putting their health or the health of our poll workers in jeopardy.”

Rep. Donahue of Northfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted for the original bill that established the opportunity to allow the mailing of ballots to every registered voter if needed for safety to maximize access to voting during this pandemic, and I fully intended to support moving forward through this revision to that bill. However, I was previously unaware that we had no laws – restrictions that are common in many other states –against collection of ballots by people unknown to the voter who might be visiting multiple homes. We are incentivizing that behavior when we send ballots to every voter. That presents a risk that is equivalent to the very risks we seek to reduce by reducing in-person voting, and it is inconsistent with the very reason that I otherwise support this bill. Rejection of that protective amendment would compel me to vote no. But the further rejection of a prohibition against candidates themselves collecting ballots is truly stunning.”

Rep. Gregoire of Fairfield explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Voting by mail was authorized in March. It is not the issue before us today. I’m disturbed that the governor’s role was not respected. He has asked to be removed and I will support that request. I am further disappointed by the lack of safeguards in place to protect voters.”

Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

It is our duty to ensure free and fair elections. I support mail in voting for Vermonters, which is why I voted for act 92. I cannot support this emergency measure without making the necessary corrections to existing law to keep candidates from harvesting ballots. For that reason, for fair elections especially in a pandemic, I vote no.”

Rep. Ode of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Protecting Vermonters' right to vote, protecting poll workers' health, protecting voters’ health, all in a global pandemic.
I am thankful to be able to vote to protect and promote democracy in our great little state, Vermont. I vote yes!”

**Rep. Page of Newport City** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes for S348 because I feel that approving this bill is the right thing to do to protect our voting citizens. I regret that we were unable to approve some of the other amendments which I feel would have made this bill and our election system more secure and ultimately above reproach. Thank you.”

**Rep. Redmond of Essex** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes on S.348. In Essex, Essex Junction and Westford, we experienced a five-fold increase in voting recently on our school budget using an all vote-by-mail program. I want all Vermonters to have this opportunity – to know that their health and safety is paramount during a pandemic and that all voters will have equal and convenient access to use their voices in the coming General Election this November.”
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**H. 965**  Shall the bill be read a third time?

**Rep. Krowinski of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes for this critical COVID relief bill. The passage of this bill brings the total Health and Human Services Recovery package to nearly $355 million to date. This package brings relief to many including health care providers, child care providers, and makes an essential investment in food security by appropriating $18 million for the Vermont Foodbank, school meals, and senior meals. I appreciate everyone’s work to move this legislation so quickly so we can help Vermonters get through this pandemic. Thank you.”
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**H.R. 21**  Shall the House adopt the resolution?

**Rep. Bancroft of Westford** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I could not bring myself to vote for this resolution given the divisive and political inflammatory statement regarding the President. If this derogatory statement had not been in the resolution, I would have supported and voted for it. It is this kind of political speak that divides instead of unifying.

I have serviced in the legislature for six years and today, Madame Speaker, you have allowed this body to sink to a new low. I naively believed that this was an august body with decorum standards beyond approach. We have well defined protocols in how we address and interrogate House members. The interrogation protocol is designed to temper emotions, and stifle acrimony when contentious issues come before us. We are forbidden from impugning the honor and motives of members when we are on the floor. Sadly, this rule does not apply to anyone who is not a member. The actions of this body today made it clear that members can express their opinions, no matter how disrespectful and inflammatory they maybe about anyone except for House members.

I did not vote for President Trump and frequently disagree with his statements and positions. I understand that the passion of those opposing him run high, but it reflects poorly on this body when raw patrician politics is allowed to come to the floor of the House. I am absolutely sure that if I had a similar resolution drafted except that the past racially divisive stands of the Democrat candidate for President were highlighted, it would not see the light of day.

I am ashamed of this body and, in the future, I will not be bound by any rules that precludes me from calling into question the integrity and motives of a member during floor time. I am embarrassed that this body has descended in to a political gutter.”

Rep. Cupoli of Rutland City explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I have a different take on this Resolution. There is never any mention of the Italian Americans who arrived in America from Sicily in the early nineteen hundreds. Their skin was dark, and many were lynched as they were considered as Black. We were subjected to racism back then.

My Italian American heritage has been violated by removing Columbus Day in our State, where many Italian Americans settled and made our marble and granite business famous all across America and beyond.

I have the most wonderful and talented Grandson who is biracial and could not be more proud of him and his accomplishments. I will celebrate Juneteenth with him.”

Rep. Donovan of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
Marion Anderson, a talented African American singer said, 'No matter how big a nation is, it is no stronger than its weakest people, and as long as you keep a person down, some part of you has to be down there to hold him down, so it means you cannot soar as you might otherwise.’ Let's soar together and finally rid our nation of this pandemic of racism.”

**Rep. Fegard of Berkshire** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes on H.R. 21 to commemorate a day that represents so much about the hidden history of our nation. Victors write the history books and those in power have treated issues about race very much like a war.

The enslaved peoples who's sweat, blood and tears literally built the wealth of our nation's founding families on plantations as well as Wall Street, the White House, many other infrastructures that continue to serve populations of our nation's upper crust as well as public good. There are not statues of these people who struggled against odds few could imagine to not only survive atrocities and cruelties, but to bend their backs to labor for others and still have the resilience to build communities that have persisted. Text books do not include the brutality of our nation's development and history into modern times. We do not read in our primary and secondary education text books about:

1) The Barbados model of slavery that created extreme wealth for sugar cane plantation owners by literally working their slaves to death, which is why they needed constant replenishment of slaves. This model was adopted by America's deep South states. 2) The hundreds of documented genocidal events during the Jim Crowe era, when most of the confederate monuments were erected. These massacres, which happened across our nation, were typically triggered by envy of white people about the progress their black neighbors were making in building their own lives and wealth after hundreds of years of enslavement and decades of continued abuse.

I vote yes to honor this day in part because my own great grandfather was a member of the KKK in Texas (but which we must remember had a robust membership and active agenda in Vermont). He was known for being lazy and mean, which fits the model of what I have seen of racists. I cannot do enough in my one life to address the harm that at least one of my own family members and all of his ilk have wreaked upon a people for no reason other than the color of their skin.

I vote yes because people should be judged on the content of their character, not the color of their skin, and we still have not gotten there.”

**Rep. Higley of Lowell** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I support the section of the resolution acknowledging Juneteenth, the holiday that commemorates the end of slavery in the United States and applaud my colleagues for bringing it forward.

I also support our Vermont law enforcement community and their efforts over the years to implement bias-free policing and applaud their efforts going forward in their willingness to work together with all Vermonters to end the pattern and practice of desperate, inequitable treatment of the people throughout the criminal justice system.”

**Rep. Krowinski of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes. In recognizing the 155th year anniversary of Juneteenth it’s important to name that people of color and other marginalized communities still live and work under a different set of written and unwritten rules. Leaders in our communities, our state, and our country must commit lifting all people up and do what it takes to break down systemic racism in this county. Thank you.”

**Rep. McCoy of Poultney** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I stand to recognize, reflect, and acknowledge the significant issues facing people of color in our communities, and to celebrate Juneteenth, the commemoration of General Granger’s reading of the federal order of emancipation of slaves. While there is still a great deal of work to do to ensure equality and justice for all in Vermont and throughout our country, Vermont has been a leader in the quest for equality and justice, and I am proud to stand on the shoulders of those past Vermont leaders. All House Republicans were hopeful we could vote for this resolution today, but cannot, in good conscience, participate in trying to match President Trump's deliberately provocative and inflammatory rhetoric with more of our own deliberately provocative rhetoric. We should be setting an example as a way forward, and the provocative sections of this resolution, I’m afraid, are not the way to do that and are not examples I am proud of. I vote no.”

**Rep. Mrowicki of Putney** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes for this timely opportunity to both celebrate such an important date in American history for our African-American brothers and sisters and also recognize that current events highlight how much work we still have to do. It also reminds as America looks for leadership on racism and so many other vital issues, we can't look to Washington. With the absence of real leadership on this in Washington, leadership on Systemic Racism and other Justice issues has to come from the states and grassroots. The next question then, is, who will join us in this work?”
**Rep. Redmond of Essex** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes. I believe that silence is complicity. As a patriotic white American, I have a responsibility to name and call out the racism that the President foments in the national conversation, keeping white supremacy emboldened and intact. As Emma Lazarus said more than 100 years ago, 'until all are free, none of us are free.' May we realize the fullest breadth of the Juneteenth commemoration - expansive freedom for every human life”

**Rep. Smith of Derby** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This resolution is inciting and that is not what we, as Vermonters, should be addressing in this time of turmoil in the Country! Juneteenth is a time for remembrance and heartfelt consideration and not for inciting! We all should remember the wisdom and sincerity of the Rev. Martin Luther King and this resolution does not go in that direction.”

**Rep. Sullivan of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

It is time for all of us to explore deeply how to get beyond our racist past. Racism is a system that was developed to keep white men in power, both politically and economically. Remember, when black lives matter then all lives will matter. I was proud to vote for this resolution.”

**H. 961** Shall the amendment offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington be substituted for the amendment offered by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others?

**Rep. Browning of Arlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes to delay the commitment to funding any increases in state employee compensation until we have more information about state revenues. To make the commitment now may threaten our capacity to serve Vermonters through these difficult times, and it may even result in layoffs of state employees themselves.”

**Rep. Donahue of Northfield** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
The budget for most of the year is not being passed until September because we don’t know yet how bad the economic situation might be. If we lock in the Pay Act proposal now, before we have the financial projections needed to even be drafting the budget, we create the risk that the only option at that point will be layoffs. We need to delay this decision in order to be able to make responsible, informed judgments.”

Rep. Harrison of Chittenden explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Will Rogers once said, “If you find yourself in a hole, stop digging.” I vote yes to save jobs of our state employees and not increase taxes on Vermonter”

Rep. Martel of Waterford explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Everyone deserves a bonus and or a raise in these times, but don’t forget if things fall apart or the revenue goes way down, remember it will be our tax dollar that has to pay these bonuses and raises, holding off until September, isn’t too much to ask.”

Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote to support the Collective bargaining process and in respect to that process, as well in support of this agreement, negotiated by all parties in good faith.”

Rep. Redmond of Essex explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no. Our essential state workers on the frontlines of our correctional facilities, our courts, our unemployment phone-lines, and our Legislature have kept the wheels of government turning during one of the most serious health and economic emergencies of our lifetimes. They deserve our commitment and investment in the coming year. They had our backs; now we must have theirs.”

Rep. Stevens of Waterbury explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
As they did after Tropical Storm Irene, state workers — union and non-union— showed up in the face of a crisis. They are Vermonters and did what was needed of them in a crisis for Vermonters. They set up work stations at home. They showed up at the state hospital and at prisons. They were assigned to different departments from their regular positions. They acted above and beyond their paygrade, and they served Vermonters who were, for many, many of them, interacting with state government for the first time. We called them essential workers. Once upon a time, back in mid-March, we were all in this together. I, for one, will not destroy their morale by saying that I should have a say in whether they negotiate a new contract or not, certainly not after the service they have provided and certainly not after such a successful negotiation with the Administration.”

Rep. Till of Jericho explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote no.

While I recognize good arguments on both sides of this debate, we have here, for the first time in 6 years, an agreement negotiated and supported by both the Governor and the VSEA. We have asked a lot from our state workers during the COVID 19 pandemic. Let us not forget, the underlying amendment which this Browning Amendment seeks to replace, is already a compromise funding only the first year of the 2 negotiated years. The underlying amendment is a reasonable middle ground, supporting our state workers and honoring the good faith negotiations which brought us this agreement.”
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H. 961 Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment to the House proposal of amendment with further amendment as offered by Rep. Hooper of Montpelier and others in sections B3 and B4 only?

Rep. Colburn of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Our current levels of legislative pay prevent so many Vermonters from serving here with us and prevent this body from fully reflecting the people of our state. I am happy to forgo a pay raise next year for myself, but if we truly want to make progress on issues of equity in the legislature, we can’t treat our service like a volunteer job. I support moving forward along the path this amendment sets out for us and hope we’ll take a serious look at the question of legislative pay in the future.”

Rep. McCoy of Poultney explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
We should not change statutorily how we are going to be compensated now, during a pandemic and during record unemployment in our state. We in effect, will tie our pay to pay we ourselves negotiate for constitutional officers so we can, by default, give ourselves the same pay increase. This is not the time for a statutory change as to how we are paid. I vote no.”

**Rep. Rachelson of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Even though this amendment does not put a pay increase into effect at this time, I regretfully must vote no. The legislature needs to look at how legislators’ pay is determined and who makes those decisions. I worked a full time job in addition to serving my first six years as a legislator. I now can attest to how clearly difficult it is to live on this salary alone; and there are not many jobs that work well with serving. We cannot have this body be only accessible to people who are getting a pension, have independent means, choose to work an extra 30-40 hours a week at another job if they can get one, or resolve to live in poverty while they serve. We must fix this issue and we must do it soon. If we don’t we will never get the true representative democracy that we tout as having in our citizen legislature. I believe we should more fully examine how legislative pay is determined and who makes this decision.”

**Rep. Troiano of Stannard** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This is not a pay increase for Legislators not next year, not the year after that. My concern is If I was a 30 year old with two children I would not be here to cast this vote We must see that this body remains accessible to all and not just those who can afford to be here.”
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**S. 219** Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as offered by the Committee on Judiciary?

**Rep. Christie of Hartford** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I am so proud of the joint work of your House Government Operations Committee and House Judiciary Committee. Your leadership needs to be commended also for personally reaching out to Vermont Communities of Color, our Abenaki Brothers and Sisters, Our Psychiatric Survivor Community and Other Disenfranchised Vermonters. We as a state came together with this bill. It is meant as a step to create change and I repeat a step. This is truly a proud moment Madame
speaker. You know when you know. I thank this body of Allies, Friends and Colleagues for your support as a proud Vermont Person of Color. Thank you Madame Speaker.”
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H. 688  Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment?

Rep. Bancroft of Westford explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

H.688 is bad legislation. I understand we are in the midst of dramatic climate change, but I cannot support legislation which hands over legislative authority to a 23 member council, that is not directly accountable to voters. It is the responsibility of the legislature to create a legally enforceable system by which Vermont will reduce its greenhouse gas emissions. The setting of emission targets is not a trivial matter. Depending on the targets, the impact on Vermonters will likely be substantial, especially hurting low income individuals, and discourage economic growth. These considerations are the sole responsibility of the legislature. Besides abdicating legislative authority, H.688 allows anyone to sue the State, if the emission targets set by this unelected council are not met. Adding insult to injury, the state will not only have to devote resources to defending the state, the state will also be responsible for the plaintiff’s legal fees, if they prevail. We are in the middle of a health crisis. We do not know what the situation will be in four months. Come January, we should have a better understanding of our financial situation. It would be prudent to wait until this coming January to craft legislation, whereby elected officials are responsible for setting targets and how best to accomplish them.”

Rep. Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Vermont’s version of a Global Warming Solutions Act is neither radical nor idealistic. The perils of climate change are clearly recognized not just by environmental advocates, but also by the US military, by land use planners, by the financial sector and certainly by the insurance industry.

None of these entities are what you would call tree huggers. They are hard eyed analysts trying to calculate the odds in a rapidly changing world. They are calculating the very things that H.688 explicitly names - primarily a resilient infrastructure and an evolving economy - and they have determined that action is long overdue. We cannot wait any longer.”

Rep. Donahue of Northfield explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
We need this bill. We need to move forward with aggressive leadership: as individuals, and as a state. We need to move forward as rapidly as possible. But “possible” is not always an easy word. The pandemic that has struck us does require re-calibration of our very short-term priorities to ensure that we are, in fact, able to place full and focused attention on this priority as soon as we are able. Our COVID-distorted legislative process has impaired the possibly that this bill would have been more responsible in its over-delegation of our own fundamental responsibilities, and our economy needs to be the very immediate priority, before investing state resources into this bill. Delaying a matter of only a few months, to the start of our new session, would allow us the ability to do that essential financial re-evaluation and the potential for that re-calibration. I vote yes in my heart for this bill, but I vote no on moving it forward irresponsibly in the midst of our current pandemic and during an emergency extension of our legislative session.”

Rep. Gregoire of Fairfield explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

We should all work to live the most conscientious lives that considers our impact on those around us as well as on our world. We should make those decisions based on facts that often get overlooked. For example, as we move toward electric, we must be aware that 63% comes from fossil fuels. This action not affect the global climate. We can however make Vermont more resilient to these events- I’ve seen nothing that remotely corrects this reality. As an aside, while California experiences more forest fires lets be honest about causation- climate change is only one. The others are increased movement into rural areas and poor forest management due to government policy. We are definitely experiencing change- what this bill does or doesn’t do is my objection.

Finally, I have issues abdicating our responsibilities on this important issue to an unelected body.”

Rep. Hango of Berkshire explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Our isolated, rural communities stand today on the brink of economic disaster. To hand over yet more control over how we transport ourselves, heat our homes, and recreate is unacceptable. With this bill, the voice of rural Vermont will not be heard. There is a better effort in all of us to find a way forward that will address climate crisis mitigation efforts and is meaningful to all Vermonters. Thank you.”

Rep. Krowinski of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Madam Speaker, I vote yes because we are facing a climate change crisis that’s not going to stop because of the COVID pandemic, it’s critical we take action now. The Global Warming Solutions
Act is about creating accountability for action and planning for a resilient economic future. Thank you.”

**Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

The Global Warming Solutions Act presents zero solutions. It abdicates the authority of the legislature to a 23 person non-elected board. It spends nearly a million dollars carelessly while we are working to put a budget forward that can close a deficit of hundreds of millions of dollars.

This bill is nothing more that a vehicle designed to rob Vermonter’s of their voice, their representation, hard earned money, and their right to a governance that is accountable.

We all have a compelling and vested interest in making our state, nation, and globe a cleaner, healthy, and perpetitious place for ourselves and future generations. That does not equate to authorizing lazy governance and needlessly spending millions with nothing to show for it.

A vote yes on this trainwreck of a bill is a vote against Vermonter’s. Madam Speaker, I vote no.”

**Rep. McCoy of Poultney** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I agree Global Warming is real, I take issue with the means this bills uses to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions. Setting up a Vermont Climate Council that, in effect, writes policy and adopts a plan the state must follows flies in the face of a citizen legislature, the very individuals elected to represent the citizenry of our state. I do not take likely my responsibility of representing our citizenry and am opposed to giving away my authority to draft a plan, write policies and roll out a plan that will get us to our carbon emission goals; goals the legislature voted into law, not a council with no legislative authority. I vote no.”

**Rep. Mrowicki of Putney** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

With a complete abdication of leadership at the national level, we’ve lost 4 years in the fight against Global Warming, so States must stand up and act on Climate. As we deal right now with the triple pandemics of Covid, climate and racism, waiting Is no longer an option.

We saw what waiting has done to us with Covid. While the rest of the world digs itself out of that hole, the lack of leadership in the US keeps us stuck in the mess.
I vote yes, for the economic benefits ahead, for the Environmental benefits ahead, and to take the long overdue steps of acting now and helping leave a cleaner planet for our children, grandchildren and generations to come.”

**Rep. Ode of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I am thankful that through this global coronavirus pandemic, our mighty little state of Vermont acted early, relied on science, and continues to rely on science. As a result, Vermont enjoys very low incidence of COVID-19 infections in our communities and Vermont's economy is making great strides to recover.

What this pandemic has taught us is that you act early. You rely on science. And we will, I hope, succeed with our climate change challenge just as Vermont is on the path to success with COVID-19.

I vote yes for the benefit of our people, for the benefit of our economy, and for the benefit of this great little state of Vermont.”

**Rep. Sibilia of Dover** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Madame Speaker, I want to reassure Vermonters and my colleagues that with the passage of this bill and the creation of this council, lawmakers will retain their ability to pass laws.”

**Rep. Sullivan of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Madam speaker. I turn 68 years old today and while I’m seeing a lot of the effects of global warming I probably won’t live long enough to see the absolute worst of it if we don’t act but people I love Will.”

**Rep. Till of Jericho** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes. We are facing an existential climate crisis, which is more obvious every day. We in Vermont, unlike our neighboring New England states, have not succeeded in reducing our per person CO2 emissions. We need to pass this Global Warming Solutions Act as a first step now.”

**Rep. Yacovone of Morristown** explained his vote as follows:
“Madam Speaker:

It has been said we all have the power to do nothing. Some yield that power with reckless abandon. Now is not the time to do nothing. We have an obligation to address this problem before it is unaddressable. Our house burns while many seek excuses.”

Rep. Yantachka of Charlotte explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

H.688, the Global Warming Solutions Act, creates a foundation for the necessary work Vermont has to do to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to the climate change crisis. It will allow us to prepare Vermont to be more resilient in the face of the effects of climate change which are already upon us. Proper planning can grow our economy and save Vermonters money over the long run. Inaction is penny wise and pound foolish. For my children and grandchildren and for future generations, I vote yes.”
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H. 969  Shall the bill be read a third time?

Rep. Colburn of Burlington explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I appreciate the excellent work of our Appropriations committee, I’m unable to support the budget at this time. We’ve missed an opportunity in our overall process to ask the wealthiest Vermonters to give back the significant tax breaks they received under the Trump administration, so we can better support low-wage, frontline workers and address the serious inequities amplified by the COVID-19 crisis.”

Rep. McCoy of Poultney explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I voted yes, I must express my concern about our need to research and provide funding for new software and hardware for our unemployment insurance trust fund system. Our current system’s painful inequities became quite evident during this COVID pandemic.”

Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
When you left the Chair of House Appropriations, I admit a bit of trepidation that you were leaving big shoes to fill because of what stellar work you did and how you organized that committee. As your successor finishes work on her last budget before retiring, she deserves praise for having filled those expectation, and likewise has done an amazing job. I applaud the Chair and the entire committee for the work they did to balance the budget and do so in a caring, compassionate way. In tough times, they did tough work and my vote is an easy one to support this good work.”

Rep. Ralph Watson of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I am grateful for the remarkable work done by the appropriations committee in creating a steady and balanced budget despite such extraordinary circumstances. However, I voted no on the budget because it does not generate any new revenues through taxes on the wealthy which would help the State proactively work to address the many social and economic inequities which were exposed during the COV19 Public Health Emergency.”

Rep. Szott of Barnard explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Despite an infusion of over one billion federal dollars, we missed a chance to create a truly transformative "people's budget." In a time of crisis, services ought to be expanded, not merely maintained. Instead we produced a "steady state" budget. Thus, once again, we have fallen short of creating a Vermont that works for all of us and I must vote no.”

Rep. Toleno of Brattleboro explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

When we left in June knowing we would have to come back to do new budget work, I confess that I worried about the overwhelming nature of what would have to be done. I shouldn’t have worried: so much incredible collaboration from the House’s committees and our extraordinary Appropriations Committee have made it possible. We should be proud of this work. It is responsive to the moment and to what is happening to Vermonters in the midst of deep crisis. We address needs of everyday Vermonters across the sectors and have managed to fund the rest of the year in a fiscally responsible way. We have worked to make child care more affordable, medicine more integrated in how it approaches mental health, invested in public transportation and made a major investment in Vermont’s public higher education system. This balanced and thoughtful budget, in the most trying of times, is truly in the spirit of a Vermont that works for all of us.”

H. 969 Shall the bill be amended as proposed by Rep. Briglin of Thetford?
Rep. McCoy of Poultney explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Our House Energy and Technology Committee concurred with the Senate, who chose to remove both funding and positions from this bill. This body passed H.688 two days ago and fast tracked to the Governor. I have a hard time voting yes to changing a bill that both our Senate and House Energy and Technology Committee concurred with; a bill we not only passed a mere two days ago, but said bill now sits on our Governor’s desk. I vote no.”
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H. 688    Shall the bill pass, the failure of the Governor to sign not withstanding?

Rep. Bartholomew of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Our Governor often has articulated his concerns about climate change. Unfortunately, his concern apparently stops with the rhetoric. He has repeatedly failed to take any meaningful action to help Vermont to do its part to address this urgent problem. It is clear that any progress on this important issue will have to come from the Legislative Branch rather than the Executive Branch. I vote to override the veto.”

Rep. Briglin of Thetford explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

A vision without a plan is a hallucination. H.688 moves us from aspiration to accountability. This is the first step to protecting Vermonters in building a more resilient state.”

Rep. Burke of Brattleboro explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Twelve years ago I entered this body optimistic about working to reduce carbon emissions. To my dismay, and despite many good goals and programs, our emissions have actually gone up. The time is now to begin to take this seriously. This bill begins that process.”

Rep. McCormack of Burlington explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I vote yes to over-ride the governor's veto not, as much, to cut our emissions but to begin to provide so sorely needed leadership. Some state has got to stand up and demonstrate that cutting CO2 emissions drastically can be done, can be easily done and must be done.

Madame Speaker, if we do not want to be sued, all we need to do is meet our greenhouse gas emission goals. Goals of which, are apparently supported by all three political parties represented in this House."

**Rep. Mrowicki of Putney** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes, because it's time to act. The effects of Global warming will more likely be experienced by our children and grandchildren than many of us voting today. That's not a legacy I want to leave to my children and grandchildren.

My vote today is for my children and grandchildren and all the children who will have to deal with our lack of action. The time to act is now, and I vote yes.”

**Rep. Ode of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

The Coronavirus pandemic demonstrates a stark reality. Environmental degradation disproportionately impacts communities of color—neighborhoods already terribly harmed by systemic inequality.

We must follow science to tackle the continuing threat that climate change poses to achieving environmental justice.

I look forward to the Governor standing with the Secretary of Administration, some cabinet members, and scientists to deal with the global threat of climate change just as he has stood with them to deal with the global threat of the COVID-19 pandemic.

I vote yes to taking an important step to achieving the goal of environmental justice --by converting greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals into requirements-- in this the Global Warming Solutions Act.”
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**S. 54 Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be adopted?**

**Rep. Donahue of Northfield** explained her vote as follows:
“Madam Speaker:

I voted for this bill as strong harm-reduction legislation, when it had a ban on advertising. I cannot support a bill without such a restriction and which, in fact, defaults to allow any and all advertising in the absence of an uncertain, future negotiated legislative action. Sales and marketing interests win today.”

**Rep. Gonzalez of Winooski** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

People of color have long been disproportionately negativity affected by the criminalization of cannabis. With legalization but not regulation we have continued to disproportionately negatively impact people of color. And while far from perfect, this bill makes efforts to address these disparities. I look forward to future legislation and rule making that makes vital additions to continue to addressing these disparities.”

**Rep. Rachelson of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I was planning to vote no, and still struggle with this vote. Legalizing the sale of cannabis was one of the top issues that my constituents identified. Several asked why we made cannabis legal but gave them no way to legally obtain it. One constituent asked: why did the legislature pass such a stupid law? S. 54 gives adult Vermonters a legal and safe way to purchase cannabis in Vermont, instead of the overly burdensome requirement that individuals grow their own or break the law.

I am greatly troubled by some of the provisions in this bill. Specifically, this bill legitimizes saliva testing for evidentiary use to determine impairment, which is a massive step backwards for civil liberties, effective law enforcement, and ignores the science and facts.

We want our roads to be safe. I don’t disagree that we should give our law enforcement officers’ tools they need to reduce impaired driving. Where I do disagree--strongly-- is with the stated efficacy of saliva tests. This is not a clash of values or philosophy; this is a disagreement over an empirical matter of fact. It is the widespread scientific consensus, through peer-reviewed study, that saliva tests are ineffective at detecting recent cannabis use without an abundance of false positives. That’s why they are still not approved by the National Highway Safety Administration, as breathalyzers are for alcohol impairment.

It doesn’t matter if saliva testing is done at the barracks after a warrant is granted, because the test itself is not sound or useful. This bill legitimizes a flawed test, leading to our friends and neighbors being falsely accused, detained, taken to the barracks to wait for a warrant, and their privacy is violated in order to be given this faulty test.
So why, you might ask, did I vote for this flawed bill? While this legislation is far from perfect, it’s a solid step forward for individuals who have been unfairly targeted by the police and entered into our criminal justice system for the use of cannabis, and eliminates the need to break the law in order to obtain a legal amount of cannabis.

While I voted yes, I will continue to robustly advocate for further restrictions on saliva testing. I urge my colleagues to work with me to do the same.”

**Rep. Ralph Watson of Hartland** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes for this bill because I do not believe prohibition works and believe that we need to bring an already active black market into the legal market as a way of protecting Vermonters. I was concerned by the potential that advertising could be used to target children, but I recognize that measures are in the bill to prevent many recognized advertising strategies, which target children. I do however strongly encourage the body next session to adopt measures to severely limit the advertising marijuana and make sure that every measure be taken to ensure that in no way can advertising be used to target our youth and our future.”

**Rep. Sibilia of Dover** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I have previously voted against legalization of cannabis because I felt we needed to tax and regulate cannabis if we were legalizing it. Today I voted yes for a regulated market.”

**Rep. Stevens of Waterbury** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes. This vote is, in essence, a vote to keep the sales and recreational use illegal and in the black market, unregulated, unsafe, and untaxed. Or not. By voting yes, I am proud to say that it is time to take the sale and recreational use out of the darkness and into the light. And, Madam Speaker, I am appalled that the death of students from our high school was used to make a cheap political point by misrepresenting the causes of the driver’s choice and drug use, both illicit and prescribed. We should be ashamed of using such a tragedy for such a purpose.”

**Rep. Szott of Barnard** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:
I believe VLCT that this bill is bad for municipalities. I believe NOFA and Rural VT that this bill is bad for Vermont farmers. I believe Justice for All that this bill exacerbates racial inequity and I vote no.”

**Rep. Till of Jericho** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I hate voting for anything that might increase youth utilization of cannabis, I believe we are in an untenable situation now with legalized possession but no legal way to obtain the cannabis you are allowed to possess. S.54 would be much easier for me to support with the ban on advertising and primary enforcement of seat-belts which were in the House version. Yet, we cannot allow the perfect to be the enemy of the 'better than we have now'.”

**Rep. Yantachka of Charlotte** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I am disappointed that this bill no longer contains a ban on advertising, I expect that after the Cannabis Control Board report next year, that the legislature will take appropriate action to include strong restrictions on advertising. I vote Yes to move a regulatory system forward.”
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**S. 119 Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill, as recommended by the Committee on Judiciary?**

**Rep. Burditt of West Rutland** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

In S. 119 we heard of tight time frames, time constraints, timelines, deadlines and the end of the session is near. Not only that we heard of experts not having opportunity to speak and of other unfinished business in S. 119.

S. 119 was rushed to get it in before adjournment.”

**Rep. Donahue of Northfield** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This bill supports our excellent law enforcement officers across our state. It holds up values and a standard that they already hold themselves to. It allows us to restore public trust, which, when
damaged, unfairly impugns all officers. At the same time, it enables accountability for those rare situations where the power entrusted to police is abused – an accountability that does not currently exist in statute.”

**Rep. Morris of Springfield** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

There is little doubt that the events in Minneapolis, Rochester and many, many others around the country regarding the abuse of police power, resulting in death, are deplorable and should be illegal and the officers held accountable.

In all regards, deaths in these seemingly passive events are disturbing and needs to be addressed.

However, earlier we voted to pass S.124 that would create a Criminal Justice Council to encourage uniform standards for law enforcement training and create statewide policies. We should let the Criminal Justice Council to their work that we just legislated, and come back with recommendations on training and statewide policies before moving forward with this amendment. Legislation can be developed following their review and recommendations.”
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**H. 926** Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment?

**Rep. Dolan of Waitsfield** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I support this bill as an important step in supporting our rural, forest-based and outdoor recreation-based economy and for a more resilient landscape to handle the impacts of climate change.”

**Rep. Yacovone of Morristown** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I was excited about the prospect of Act 250 reform. That excitement did not last long however. To vote against this bill out of frustration might make a point but to do so would be at the expense of some important incremental improvements. Progress even a little at a time is better than none at all.”
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**H. 969** Shall the House adopt the report of the Committee of Conference?

**Rep. Colburn of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:
“Madam Speaker:

We have done many good things in this budget and I especially want to thank the member from Danville for always being so genuinely inclusive and engaging with me, regardless of how I’m voting or where I stand. Unfortunately, I continue feel that we have failed to get the balance right between direct relief to vulnerable Vermonters, workers, and consumers and a business sector that has been subjected to minimal criteria for funding eligibility. Everywhere we look, from the sacrifices expected of our lowest wage workers to the disparate health impacts of BIPOC Vermonters, we see inequities revealed by the COVID-19 pandemic. I believe we have also missed an opportunity to ask the wealthiest and most privileged Vermonters to help us address income inequality. I hope we do things differently in January and create a revenue and budgeting process that I can support.”

Rep. Giambatista of Essex explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes to support this budget. When the pandemic struck Vermont and forced closure of the State House, we adapted. We came together stronger than ever, and immediately led with our values to support Vermonters and our communities through this trying time. We moved swiftly. We acted with purpose. We brought neighbors together and collaborated. This budget is the culmination of our shared effort. It lifts those in need and sets a strong foundation for the recovery that lies ahead.”

Rep. Gonzalez of Winooski explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

These are extra-ordinary times. Our appropriations committee did an excellent job with allocating the funds they had, in ways that will soften the edges of the economic and health crisis we are in. However, in these extraordinary times we needed to also raise funds. In creative and mundane ways, such as funds from the wealthiest who are not currently paying their fair share. Without a corresponding revenue bill I vote no on the budget.”

Rep. Krowinski of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes to support this budget that reflects our values. We made critical investments and prioritized an emergency response to the COVID pandemic, supports to stabilize our health care system, hazard pay for our front line workers, funding for our state colleges, housing for the homeless and vulnerable Vermonters. Madam Speaker these are only some of the examples of how this budget reflects our strong commitment to Vermonters. Thank you.”
**Rep. McCarthy of St. Albans City** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

With the transportation investments in this budget, Vermonters will travel on safer roads, with a historic level of paving and road projects. We increased support for our town’s road programs to take pressure off of local budgets. This budget also puts small, but meaningful funding behind transforming our transportation sector with support for electric vehicles, public transit and other measures to reduce energy usage in the sector making up the largest share of our carbon emissions, with the largest impact on a changing climate. I vote yes.”

**Rep. Ralph Watson of Hartland** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

A man jumps from a building and as he is falling, he says “so far so good… so far so good. Our Appropriations Committee has done an amazing job to create a stable and balanced budget. Things appear to be good right now, but the reality is that the gap between the rich and poor continues to grow and our system and environment are facing unprecedented challenges. What we need right now is not to continue to do things the way they have always been done, we need to do more to raise revenues to support essential social services, the people of this state, and to respond to the climate emergency like we have responded to this public health emergency. I cannot blindly vote to support a continuation of the status quo when we can all see the writing on the wall and the time to do something about it is quickly running out.” This budget, without a revenue bill, is much like this man’s fall, “so far so good… so far so good,” but It is not the fall that matters but where and how we land.”