

H.949 CoC: Draft Analysis of Adjusting the Excess Spending Threshold; Budget data as of 5/18/26

NOTE: Data presented in this analysis is preliminary, reflect best estimates, and should not be considered final.

Education Spending data presented in this analysis was shared by the Agency of Education on May 18, 2026 and reflects best available information.

This analysis reflects estimated impacts if the excess spending threshold were to be changed for fiscal year 2027, but does not include any modeling of the school construction exemption included in the CoC report.

Analysis does not include the school construction exemption included in the CoC Report			
Current law		H.949 CoC Construct for FY28	
Excess spending threshold at 118%		Excess spending threshold at 115.5%	
Excess spending threshold for 118%	\$ 16,470	Excess spending threshold for 115.5%	\$ 16,121
Total count of districts over threshold		Total count of districts over threshold	
8	\$ 4,262,117	13	\$ 7,208,630

a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.	g.	h.	i.	j.
County (As assigned by AOE)	School District	Estimated FY27 Education Spending	Estimated FY27 Total Excess Spending Exclusions	FY27 LTWADM	FY27 Ed Spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Estimated FY 27 excess spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending	At 115.5% Threshold: FY 27 Estimated excess spending per LTWADM	At 115.5% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending
Addison	Lincoln	\$4,537,596	\$0	331	\$13,710	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Addison	Addison Northwest Unified SD	\$23,749,147	\$969,224	1,518	\$15,647	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Addison	Addison Central Unified Union School District	\$42,576,137	\$0	2,723	\$15,637	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Addison	Mount Abraham Unified SD	\$29,291,058	\$0	1,924	\$15,227	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Addison	Granville-Hancock USD	\$2,274,001	\$0	182	\$12,527	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Addison	Rochester-Stockbridge USD	\$4,635,901	\$0	353	\$13,127	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Arlington	\$9,061,212	\$0	599	\$15,128	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	North Bennington ID	\$2,808,347	\$0	236	\$11,894	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Readsboro	\$1,840,522	\$0	121	\$15,186	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Sandgate	\$1,329,263	\$0	137	\$9,689	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Searsburg	\$606,917	\$0	45	\$13,448	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Stamford	\$2,215,079	\$0	164	\$13,525	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Winhall	\$4,158,568	\$0	320	\$12,998	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Mt. Anthony UHSD #14	\$34,920,208	\$0	2,849	\$12,255	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	Taconic and Greg Reg SD	\$38,214,908	\$146,710	2,911	\$13,128	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bennington	SW Vermont UESD	\$30,833,664	\$0	2,221	\$13,884	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Caledonia	Danville	\$8,280,456	\$0	503	\$16,471	\$1	\$493	\$349	\$175,699
Caledonia	Peacham	\$2,896,065	\$0	182	\$15,895	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Caledonia	St Johnsbury	\$26,345,319	\$198,528	1,812	\$14,541	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Caledonia	Stannard	\$250,290	\$0	16	\$15,751	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Caledonia	Hazen UHSD #26	\$8,392,114	\$0	525	\$15,991	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Caledonia	Kingdom East UUSD	\$47,125,822	\$0	3,180	\$14,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Caledonia	Caledonia Coop. SD	\$16,565,216	\$0	1,028	\$16,119	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.949 CoC: Draft Analysis of Adjusting the Excess Spending Threshold; Budget data as of 5/18/26

NOTE: Data presented in this analysis is preliminary, reflect best estimates, and should not be considered final.

Education Spending data presented in this analysis was shared by the Agency of Education on May 18, 2026 and reflects best available information.

This analysis reflects estimated impacts if the excess spending threshold were to be changed for fiscal year 2027, but does not include any modeling of the school construction exemption included in the CoC report.

Analysis does not include the school construction exemption included in the CoC Report			
Current law		H.949 CoC Construct for FY28	
Excess spending threshold at 118%		Excess spending threshold at 115.5%	
Excess spending threshold for 118%	\$ 16,470	Excess spending threshold for 115.5%	\$ 16,121
Total count of districts over threshold		Total count of districts over threshold	
8	\$ 4,262,117	13	\$ 7,208,630

a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.	g.	h.	i.	j.
County (As assigned by AOE)	School District	Estimated FY27 Education Spending	Estimated FY27 Total Excess Spending Exclusions	FY27 LTWADM	FY27 Ed Spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Estimated FY 27 excess spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending	At 115.5% Threshold: FY 27 Estimated excess spending per LTWADM	At 115.5% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending
Chittenden	Burlington	\$109,078,822	\$18,618,547	6,909	\$15,787	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chittenden	Colchester	\$56,594,101	\$0	3,594	\$15,747	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chittenden	Milton	\$34,361,479	\$385,578	2,436	\$14,103	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chittenden	South Burlington	\$61,533,183	\$1,990,963	3,920	\$15,696	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chittenden	Winooski ID	\$32,136,631	\$2,926,460	2,157	\$14,896	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chittenden	Essex Westford Educational Community Unified Union School District	\$80,261,486	\$564,808	5,547	\$14,468	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chittenden	Champlain Valley Unified Union SD	\$91,374,880	\$3,557,346	5,725	\$15,962	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chittenden	Mt. Mansfield UUSD	\$55,066,098	\$0	3,491	\$15,776	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Essex	Brighton	\$3,462,782	\$0	246	\$14,068	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Essex	Canaan	\$4,377,904	\$65,787	255	\$17,190	\$462	\$117,537	\$810	\$206,296
Essex	Northeast Kingdom Choice SD	\$7,473,091	\$0	587	\$12,736	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Franklin	Fairfax	\$19,661,978	\$2,672,369	1,369	\$14,358	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Franklin	Fletcher	\$4,449,727	\$0	348	\$12,771	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Franklin	Georgia	\$18,288,872	\$129,772	1,163	\$15,720	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Franklin	Maple Run Unified School District	\$57,953,912	\$0	3,996	\$14,503	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Franklin	Northern Mountain Valley UUSD	\$24,594,707	\$406,805	2,123	\$11,585	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Franklin	Enosburgh-Richford UUSD	\$18,897,427	\$0	1,653	\$11,430	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Franklin	Missisquoi Valley School District	\$39,298,048	\$382,267	3,228	\$12,173	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grand Isle	Alburgh	\$7,700,766	\$0	550	\$14,007	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grand Isle	South Hero	\$5,545,512	\$0	337	\$16,469	\$0	\$0	\$347	\$116,923
Grand Isle	Champlain Islands UUSD	\$10,898,501	\$0	677	\$16,104	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lamoille	Cambridge	\$6,708,903	\$30,564	499	\$13,441	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lamoille	Stowe	\$17,439,596	\$131,879	1,100	\$15,850	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lamoille	Wolcott	\$6,140,310	\$0	432	\$14,229	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lamoille	Lamoille North MUUSD preK-6	\$17,210,753	\$835,278	1,157	\$14,882	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lamoille	Lamoille North MUUSD 7-12	\$20,067,333	\$147,769	1,548	\$12,965	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lamoille	Lamoille South UUSD	\$17,303,576	\$0	1,349	\$12,823	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.949 CoC: Draft Analysis of Adjusting the Excess Spending Threshold; Budget data as of 5/18/26

NOTE: Data presented in this analysis is preliminary, reflect best estimates, and should not be considered final.

Education Spending data presented in this analysis was shared by the Agency of Education on May 18, 2026 and reflects best available information.

This analysis reflects estimated impacts if the excess spending threshold were to be changed for fiscal year 2027, but does not include any modeling of the school construction exemption included in the CoC report.

Analysis does not include the school construction exemption included in the CoC Report			
Current law		H.949 CoC Construct for FY28	
Excess spending threshold at 118%		Excess spending threshold at 115.5%	
Excess spending threshold for 118%	\$ 16,470	Excess spending threshold for 115.5%	\$ 16,121
Total count of districts over threshold		Total count of districts over threshold	
8	\$ 4,262,117	13	\$ 7,208,630

a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.	g.	h.	i.	j.
County (As assigned by AOE)	School District	Estimated FY27 Education Spending	Estimated FY27 Total Excess Spending Exclusions	FY27 LTWADM	FY27 Ed Spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Estimated FY 27 excess spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending	At 115.5% Threshold: FY 27 Estimated excess spending per LTWADM	At 115.5% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending
Orange	Strafford	\$4,384,858	\$0	299	\$14,689	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	Thetford	\$10,475,188	\$28,515	564	\$18,586	\$2,065	\$1,163,852	\$2,413	\$1,360,279
Orange	Blue Mountain USD #21	\$11,898,106	\$0	750	\$15,871	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	Waits River Valley USD #36	\$9,862,808	\$0	774	\$12,739	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	Orange Southwest UUSD	\$21,945,071	\$0	1,412	\$15,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	Echo Valley Community School District	\$7,233,390	\$0	554	\$13,052	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	First Branch Unified SD	\$8,661,613	\$0	616	\$14,062	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	Oxbow UUSD	\$17,651,000	\$0	1,157	\$15,252	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	Rivendell Interstate School District	\$8,846,318	\$0	537	\$16,465	exempt	\$0	exempt	\$0
Orleans	Charleston	\$3,441,916	\$0	242	\$14,222	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Coventry	\$5,136,491	\$0	334	\$15,379	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Craftsbury	\$5,686,314	\$131,500	357	\$15,924	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Derby	\$7,153,832	\$0	616	\$11,611	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Holland	\$1,137,495	\$0	120	\$9,448	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Jay	\$1,259,249	\$0	76	\$16,571	\$101	\$7,694	\$450	\$34,177
Orleans	Lowell	\$2,344,835	\$0	192	\$12,231	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Morgan	\$759,993	\$0	80	\$9,489	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Newport City	\$8,631,977	\$0	561	\$15,383	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Newport Town	\$3,958,369	\$0	297	\$13,335	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Troy	\$5,000,727	\$0	401	\$12,480	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Westfield	\$999,927	\$0	68	\$14,653	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	North Country Jr UHSD #22	\$6,560,400	\$0	483	\$13,594	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	North Country Sr UHSD #22	\$19,285,400	\$0	1,460	\$13,213	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Lake Region UHSD	\$10,823,640	\$0	688	\$15,737	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Lake Region Union Elementary-Middle School district	\$17,320,692	\$0	1,347	\$12,856	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orleans	Mountain View Union Elementary School District	\$8,907,436	\$33,043	549	\$16,239	\$0	\$0	\$57	\$31,272

H.949 CoC: Draft Analysis of Adjusting the Excess Spending Threshold; Budget data as of 5/18/26

NOTE: Data presented in this analysis is preliminary, reflect best estimates, and should not be considered final.

Education Spending data presented in this analysis was shared by the Agency of Education on May 18, 2026 and reflects best available information.

This analysis reflects estimated impacts if the excess spending threshold were to be changed for fiscal year 2027, but does not include any modeling of the school construction exemption included in the CoC report.

Analysis does not include the school construction exemption included in the CoC Report			
Current law		H.949 CoC Construct for FY28	
Excess spending threshold at 118%		Excess spending threshold at 115.5%	
Excess spending threshold for 118%	\$ 16,470	Excess spending threshold for 115.5%	\$ 16,121
Total count of districts over threshold		Total count of districts over threshold	
8	\$ 4,262,117	13	\$ 7,208,630

a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.	g.	h.	i.	j.
County (As assigned by AOE)	School District	Estimated FY27 Education Spending	Estimated FY27 Total Excess Spending Exclusions	FY27 LTWADM	FY27 Ed Spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Estimated FY 27 excess spending per LTWADM	At 118% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending	At 115.5% Threshold: FY 27 Estimated excess spending per LTWADM	At 115.5% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending
Rutland	Ira	\$845,811	\$0	86	\$9,871	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Pittsfield	\$864,214	\$0	66	\$13,041	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Rutland City	\$43,196,000	\$0	3,411	\$12,666	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Rutland Town	\$11,041,840	\$56,403	786	\$14,056	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Barstow UUSD	\$6,558,074	\$0	443	\$14,809	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Mill River UUSD	\$17,238,431	\$433,264	1,220	\$14,132	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Otter Valley UUSD	\$28,076,929	\$0	1,982	\$14,167	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Slate Valley UUSD	\$27,313,505	\$67,405	2,300	\$11,878	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Wells Spring Unified USD	\$6,284,034	\$0	498	\$12,617	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Quarry Valley Unified USD	\$19,967,604	\$241,218	1,701	\$11,740	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rutland	Mettawee SD	\$6,506,956	\$0	500	\$13,007	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	Cabot	\$4,575,070	\$0	313	\$14,628	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	Twinfield USD #33	\$8,678,346	\$0	581	\$14,940	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	Harwood UUSD	\$42,601,368	\$563,816	2,625	\$16,228	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	Paine Mountain School District	\$25,746,567	\$0	1,707	\$15,085	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	Montpelier-Roxbury Unified USD	\$28,714,530	\$0	1,910	\$15,035	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Washington	Washington Central UUSD	\$36,337,813	\$0	2,219	\$16,373	\$0	\$0	\$251	\$557,778
Washington	Barre UUSD	\$44,694,488	\$0	3,506	\$12,748	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

H.949 CoC: Draft Analysis of Adjusting the Excess Spending Threshold; Budget data as of 5/18/26

NOTE: Data presented in this analysis is preliminary, reflect best estimates, and should not be considered final.

Education Spending data presented in this analysis was shared by the Agency of Education on May 18, 2026 and reflects best available information.

This analysis reflects estimated impacts if the excess spending threshold were to be changed for fiscal year 2027, but does not include any modeling of the school construction exemption included in the CoC report.

Analysis does not include the school construction exemption included in the CoC Report			
Current law		H.949 CoC Construct for FY28	
Excess spending threshold at 118%		Excess spending threshold at 115.5%	
Excess spending threshold for 118%	\$ 16,470	Excess spending threshold for 115.5%	\$ 16,121
Total count of districts over threshold		Total count of districts over threshold	
8	\$ 4,262,117	13	\$ 7,208,630

a. County (As assigned by AOE)	b. School District	c. Estimated FY27 Education Spending	d. Estimated FY27 Total Excess Spending Exclusions	e. FY27 LTWADM	f. FY27 Ed Spending per LTWADM	g. At 118% Threshold: Estimated FY 27 excess spending per LTWADM	h. At 118% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending	i. At 115.5% Threshold: FY 27 Estimated excess spending per LTWADM	j. At 115.5% Threshold: Total Estimated FY 27 Excess Spending
Windham	Halifax	\$2,482,622	\$0	183	\$13,565	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Marlboro	\$3,029,929	\$0	204	\$14,840	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Rockingham	\$10,117,843	\$0	815	\$12,419	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Stratton	\$742,530	\$0	64	\$11,624	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Vernon	\$7,327,661	\$0	465	\$15,758	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Westminster	\$5,030,255	\$0	328	\$15,315	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Windham	\$578,330	\$0	38	\$15,259	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Bellows Falls UHSD	\$8,933,069	\$0	689	\$12,971	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	West River UESD	\$6,980,097	\$133,253	428	\$16,304	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	WEST RIVER UNION EDUCATION DISTRICT #72B	\$6,938,659	\$133,253	433	\$16,020	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	River Valleys USD	\$6,004,637	\$0	390	\$15,397	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Twin Valley Unified SD	\$10,333,316	\$387,944	675	\$15,317	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Athens Grafton School District	\$1,488,834	\$0	101	\$14,814	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windham	Windham SE UUSD	\$61,695,507	\$0	3,862	\$15,975	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windsor	Hartford	\$37,117,897	\$2,949,473	2,100	\$17,678	\$0	\$0	\$152	\$318,615
Windsor	Hartland	\$11,614,613	\$0	646	\$17,983	\$1,513	\$976,969	\$1,861	\$1,202,065
Windsor	Norwich	\$15,935,268	\$0	835	\$19,086	exempt	\$0	exempt	\$0
Windsor	Sharon	\$6,641,791	\$0	482	\$13,779	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windsor	Springfield	\$29,616,359	\$649,879	2,131	\$13,901	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windsor	Weathersfield	\$9,807,090	\$234,625	574	\$17,072	\$194	\$111,438	\$543	\$311,636
Windsor	Windsor Central MUUSD	\$26,848,206	\$964,976	1,487	\$18,056	\$937	\$1,393,164	\$1,285	\$1,911,380
Windsor	Green Mountain Unified SD	\$18,535,768	\$0	1,154	\$16,060	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windsor	White River Unified District	\$14,223,634	\$0	1,109	\$12,825	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Windsor	Ludlow-Mt. Holly Unified USD	\$10,038,476	\$0	611	\$16,420	\$0	\$0	\$298	\$182,442
Windsor	Mt. Ascutney SD	\$15,098,378	\$0	887	\$17,024	\$554	\$490,970	\$902	\$800,067