

February 20, 2025

## Exploring Cap-and-Invest: A Pollution Reduction Strategy

#### Presented to the Vermont House and Senate Transportation Committees Thursday, February 20

9:00 a.m.





- Welcome
- What led Vermont to do this study?
- What is a cap-and-invest program?
- Climate policy study findings
  - How can a cap-and-invest help Vermont meet our climate goals?
  - What are the potential benefits and impacts to Vermonters?
- Discussion/Q&A







#### **Vermont climate action planning**





## How does a cap-and-invest program work?



Graphic: Franz Litz (adapted)



4

# A cap-and-invest program lets the market decide how to reduce emissions



Franz Litz (adapted)



#### Other states and provinces are doing cap-and-invest



6

## How could cap-and-invest affect Vermonters?

#### **Benefits**

More money into climate action, such as energy efficiency programs, EV, and resilience



More jobs in the climate workforce



A CO

Improved health and environment

Improves decision making for businesses by formalizing a timeline for emissions reductions



Higher prices for conventional fossil fuels

Potential border effects with non-participating neighbors









# How could the state make sure a program is affordable for Vermonters?





# Proceeds can be reinvested into clean energy and efficiency programs



February 20, 2025



## SUMMARY: Why consider cap-and-invest?

Declining emissions cap provides more certainty about emission reductions

#### Price on carbon pollution provides a signal to influence future investments

Proceeds can be equitably reinvested into energy efficiency & pollution reduction strategies that benefit Vermonters

Complements and supports other pollution reduction policies



February 20, 2025

## What did Vermont study?

Act 148 of 2024 (the T-Bill) requires:

The State in coordination with the VCC to develop a written analysis to:

- Address the pros, cons, costs, and benefits of Vermont participating in regional or cap-andinvest program, such as the Western Climate Initiative (WCI) and the New York Cap-and-Invest program;
- Explore the adoption of a clean transportation fuel standard





## The study....

The study estimated ...

- 1. the amount of emissions reduction that could be generated
- 2. the revenue that could be generated for reinvestment
- 3. potential benefits and impacts to Vermonters
  - a) Household costs
  - b) Jobs
  - c) Social cost of carbon
  - d) Health benefits
- 4. the resources needed to administer a program and timeline

The study is providing information to support a recommendation from the Treasurer's Office to the Legislature about a cap-and-invest program.





## Advisory, Public, & Stakeholder Involvement

- Five Technical Advisory Committee meetings
- Two virtual public meetings (October 2024)
- Focus groups (October/November 2024)
  - Potentially obligated industries
  - Business community
  - Environmental and community-based organizations, including equity/ environmental justice groups
- Study Webpage





## **Program Options**

#### **Program to Join:**

#### **Western Climate Initiative**

- CA, Quebec, possibly WA
- In operation since 2013

#### New York Climate Initiative

- New York State
- Not established yet
- Earliest start is 2027

#### **Sectors to Include:**

#### **Transportation**

Transportation + Residential / Commercial / Industrial Fuels

#### Transportation + R/C/I Fuels + Industrial Processes



#### **Modeled Allowance Price Trajectories**





#### **Baseline Emissions and GWSA Levels**





#### **2029 Emissions with No Reinvestment**



#### **2029 Emissions with Full Reinvestment**





#### **2029 Transportation Emissions**





## **Projected Emissions by Scenario** (50% reinvestment)





#### **2049 Covered Sector Emissions** (Full Reinvestment)





#### Estimated 2030 Auction Proceeds (2024 \$M)

Scenario	Transportation	Transportation + Thermal	Transportation + Thermal + Process	
Low Price	\$ 32	\$ 54	\$ 59	
Medium Price	\$ 94	\$ 157	\$ 175	
High Price	\$ 177	\$ 299	\$ 336	



## Household Expenditure Change in 2030 (Medium price, trans and thermal sectors, 50% reinvestment)





#### Household Expenditure Change

(Medium price, trans and thermal sectors, 50% reinvestment)





#### Illustrative Household Cashflow – Heat Pump Replaces End-of-Life Oil Furnace





## **Summary Evaluation**

Price Scenario	Gap vs. 2030 Limit (mmt)	2030 Limit Reached by…	2030 Auction Proceeds (\$M)	HH Cost Net Impact <sup>a</sup>	New Jobs	Social Cost of Carbon (\$M)	Value of Health Benefits (\$M)
Low	0.74	2035	\$30-60	\$0 - (\$230)	80-140	(\$40-50)	\$50
Medium	0.48	2032- 2034	\$90-180	(\$10) – (\$600)	230-430	(\$90-130)	\$120
High	0.20	2031- 2032	\$180-340	(\$60) – (\$1100)	430-810	(\$140-210)	\$190

a High value is average change *in fossil fuel costs* for households *not reducing consumption or fuel switching, but including dividends. Low value is* average change in *all costs*, inclusive of dividends and rebates, and inclusive of *reduced consumption and fuel switching*. All metrics are only for lower 60% of households by income.



## Low-Carbon Fuel Standard

- Requires fuel suppliers to reduce *intensity* of carbon emissions per unit of fuel – not total emissions
- Covers life-cycle emissions, including out-of-state upstream
- Generates credits specifically for *producers of low carbon fuels* including biofuels and electricity
- Is complementary to a cap-and-invest
- Could be implemented independently



## Low-Carbon Fuel Standard – Evaluation Criteria

Criterion	Considerations
Emissions reduction	Additional benefit, some might be out-of-state
Revenue generation	No net public sector impact
Allowance prices	Could reduce cap-and-invest prices
Change in fuel / energy cost	<ul> <li>Modest short-term increase in conventional fuels (~\$0.10/gal), electricity prices decrease</li> </ul>
Macroeconomic effects	Small net gain in jobs
Household impacts	Related to fuel cost changes
Health benefits	Benefits from emission reductions, especially diesel
Implementation costs	Additional administrative costs



#### **Summary of Stakeholder Input** Potentially Obligated Entities and Other Businesses

- Potential support from renewable fuel industry
- Concerns over potential cost impacts and business growth
- Concerns over administrative requirements for obligated entities
- Preference for one emissions regulation program over multiple sector-specific programs
- Questions about small fuel distributors and distributors who cross state borders on a delivery route
- Need better understanding about what program would mean for specific parties



#### Summary of Stakeholder Input Environmental and Community Groups

- Strong support for program as a cost-effective way of achieving emission reductions
- Program provides a pool of resources for reinvestment in emissions reduction
   no other new funding opportunities on the horizon
- Focus on supporting equity
  - Make sure rural and low-income Vermonters are not left behind or overburdened in the energy transition
- Involve people in conversation about use of funds
- Communicate the "how" and "why" of the program



## **Summary Findings on Effectiveness**

- Cap-and-invest would support additional progress towards GWSA emissions requirements
- 2030 GWSA levels for covered sectors are likely to be reached by the earlyto mid-2030s
- Cap-and-invest would **move the state closer to its 2050 levels**, with the following program choices affecting how much the gap is closed:
  - Joining a program with a higher expected allowance price (WCI)
  - Covering multiple sectors
  - Reinvesting a substantial share of proceeds in emissions-reducing activities
  - Also implementing a low-carbon fuel standard



#### **Summary of Benefits and Impacts to Vermonters**

- Fossil fuel prices are likely to increase by 10 to 30 cents per gallon initially
- Auction proceeds will be returned to consumers and businesses in the form of dividends and/or rebates
- How the proceeds are spent will affect who benefits
- Low- and moderate-income households can be insulated from fuel price increases through income-targeted dividends
- Vermonters will also see benefits in other forms:
  - Net new job creation
  - Cleaner air and improved public health



#### Summary of Feasibility and Timing Considerations

- WCI (California and Quebec) is operating; NYCI still under development
- Earliest practical start date for either is likely to be **2028**
- Could start with a **reporting-only year** in 2027
- Current program members (WCI = CA/QC or NY) would need to approve terms of VT's participation
- Vermont will require time to **ramp-up a program**, both implementation and management/investment of proceeds
- Proceeds could start to be spent and generate emissions benefits in 2029-2030 and beyond





## **Questions and Comments**

#### Visit the Study Website at:

climatechange.vermont.gov/cap-and-invest-study

#### Share your thoughts with the study team: Email: <u>anr.cao@vermont.gov</u> Phone: (802) 522-9555

