Homestead Exemption in H.454 as Passed by the House

Julia Richter, Senior Fiscal Analyst, Joint Fiscal Office
Senate Committee on Finance
May 8, 2025



The Joint Fiscal Office (JFO) is a nonpartisan legislative office dedicated to producing unbiased fiscal analysis – this presentation is meant to provide information for legislative consideration, not to provide policy recommendations



Outline

- Review of income sensitivity, homestead exemption, and modeling assumptions
- Administration's Proposal for a homestead exemption
- The homestead exemption included in H.454 as passed by the House



Review of Income Sensitivity, Homestead Exemption, and Modeling Assumptions



Review of the Property Tax Credit Under Current Law

- The homestead property tax has a credit based on income
 - If a household were to pay less on income tax than it would on property tax,
 the difference is credited in the following year
- About two-thirds of Vermont households receive a property tax credit

| Household income | Property tax credit parameters |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Less than or equal to \$47,000 | Property tax credit may be used on the first \$400,000 of the housesite value Additional tax relief based on household income is available |
| \$47,001 - \$90,000 | Property tax credit may be used on the first \$400,000 of the housesite value |
| \$90,001 - \$115,000* | Property tax credit may be used on the first \$225,000 of the housesite value |
| Greater than \$115,000* | Household will not qualify for a property tax credit |

*Note: the figure of \$115,000 is not included in statute and is calculated annually

Homestead Exemption

- Income-sensitized exemption of a certain portion of a household's property value from the homestead property tax
 - Decreases overall tax liability by decreasing the property value that the tax rate is applied to



Modeling Assumptions

- Modeling is complex and necessitates multiple assumptions regarding mechanics and levers at play, including:
 - Including only those filers who qualified for a property tax credit (PTC) in fiscal year 2025;
 - Disregarding the PTC lag and assuming the PTC earned in fiscal year 2025 also applies to fiscal year 2025 bills;
 - Projecting 2024 income based on consensus growth equations; and
 - Assuming all else is held equal
- Modeling presented here follows the same assumptions
 - Estimates are preliminary



Modeling Filer Groups

- This analysis examines households with an estimated income under \$115,000 for the purposes of calculating the fiscal year 2025 property tax credit
- Households that do not qualify for a property tax credit may not submit their household income
- This means the data for household income groups greater than \$115,000 is incomplete and has not been included in this analysis.

Estimated number of housesites with a household income under \$115,000 in fiscal year 2025 under current law

| Household Income | | E | Equalized House | site Value Grou | ıp | |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Group | \$0 to \$100,000 | \$100,000 to \$225,000 | \$225,000 to \$300,000 | \$300,000 to \$400,000 | Over \$400,000 | Grand Total |
| \$0 to \$25,000 | 2,100 | 5,300 | 1,900 | 1,200 | 700 | 11,200 |
| \$25,000 to \$47,000 | 2,700 | 9,900 | 4,500 | 3,000 | 1,700 | 21,800 |
| \$47,000 to \$50,000 | 300 | 1,500 | 800 | 600 | 300 | 3,500 |
| \$50,000 to \$60,000 | 900 | 5,000 | 2,900 | 2,000 | 1,100 | 11,900 |
| \$60,000 to \$70,000 | 700 | 4,700 | 2,900 | 2,300 | 1,300 | 11,800 |
| \$70,000 to \$80,000 | 500 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2,300 | 1,500 | 11,300 |
| \$80,000 to \$90,000 | 400 | 3,400 | 2,700 | 2,400 | 1,700 | 10,500 |
| \$90,000 to \$100,000 | 300 | 3,000 | 2,500 | 2,300 | 1,700 | 9,800 |
| \$100,000 to \$110,000 | 300 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 1,700 | 9,000 |
| \$110,000 to 115,000 | 100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 900 | 4,200 |
| Grand Total | 8,200 | 40,400 | 24,300 | 19,400 | 12,700 | 105,000 |

Notes: 1) groupings of equalized housesite value are chosen to be consistent with modeling from the Department of Taxes. Please note that the baskets are not the same size. 2) numbers of households have been rounded to the nearest 100.



A More Granular Look at Modeling Filer Groups

Estimated number of housesites with a household income under \$115,000 in fiscal year 2025 under current law

| | | Equalized Housesite Value Group | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|--------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Household Income | \$0 to | \$50,000 to | \$100 000 to | \$150,000 to | • | | | • | \$400,000 to | \$450,000 to | Ahove | | | | |
| | | | | | \$250,000 | \$300,000 | \$350,000 | | | \$ 190,000 to \$500,000 | \$500,000 | Grand Total | | | |
| 0 to \$5,000 | 40 | | | | | · | | | | *** | | | | | |
| 5,000 to \$10,000 | 70 | 120 | 200 | 200 | 150 | 100 | 60 | 40 | *** | *** | 20 | 970 | | | |
| 10,000 to \$15,000 | 200 | 350 | 480 | 490 | 310 | 220 | 130 | 90 | 50 | 20 | 60 | 2,390 | | | |
| \$15,000 to \$20,000 | 260 | 410 | 620 | 700 | 520 | 330 | 210 | 100 | 70 | 50 | 70 | 3,320 | | | |
| \$20,000 to \$25,000 | 230 | 360 | 670 | 830 | 600 | 430 | 280 | 160 | 90 | 50 | 80 | 3,780 | | | |
| \$25,000 to \$30,000 | 220 | 410 | 680 | 900 | 770 | 490 | 350 | 200 | 150 | 60 | 110 | 4,350 | | | |
| \$30,000 to \$35,000 | 240 | 430 | 730 | 920 | 830 | 570 | 380 | 230 | 140 | 80 | 130 | 4,670 | | | |
| \$35,000 to \$40,000 | 250 | 380 | 780 | 1,110 | 920 | 600 | 410 | 280 | 160 | 90 | 130 | 5,100 | | | |
| \$40,000 to \$45,000 | 220 | 380 | 760 | 1,160 | 1,020 | 690 | 480 | 290 | 180 | 90 | 180 | 5,440 | | | |
| \$45,000 to \$50,000 | 190 | 330 | 740 | 1,210 | 1,130 | 770 | 570 | 340 | 180 | 110 | 190 | 5,760 | | | |
| \$50,000 to \$55,000 | 190 | 350 | 760 | 1,180 | 1,140 | 890 | 580 | 420 | 210 | 130 | 190 | 6,030 | | | |
| \$55,000 to \$60,000 | 140 | 240 | 720 | 1,180 | 1,150 | 830 | 650 | 390 | 220 | 130 | 210 | 5,860 | | | |
| \$60,000 to \$65,000 | 130 | 240 | 670 | 1,110 | 1,180 | 930 | 710 | 410 | 220 | 160 | | | | | |
| \$65,000 to \$70,000 | 100 | 190 | 580 | 1,100 | 1,140 | 880 | 700 | 460 | 260 | 150 | 270 | 5,810 | | | |
| \$70,000 to \$75,000 | 100 | 170 | 500 | 1,000 | 1,150 | 860 | 700 | 500 | 290 | 190 | 330 | 5,770 | | | |
| \$75,000 to \$80,000 | 70 | 170 | 460 | 970 | 1,070 | 890 | 680 | 450 | 270 | 180 | 300 | 5,510 | | | |
| \$80,000 to \$85,000 | 60 | | | 860 | 940 | 870 | 670 | 490 | 320 | 200 | | | | | |
| \$85,000 to \$90,000 | 50 | | 400 | 770 | 990 | 870 | 750 | 490 | 310 | 190 | 360 | 5,290 | | | |
| \$90,000 to \$95,000 | 40 | | 340 | 790 | 890 | 790 | 650 | 490 | 310 | 210 | | | | | |
| \$95,000 to \$100,000 | 50 | | | | | | | | | 170 | | | | | |
| \$100,000 to \$105,000 | 40 | | | | | | | | | 200 | | , | | | |
| \$105,000 to \$110,000 | 30 | | | 580 | | | | | | 200 | | | | | |
| \$110,000 to \$115,000 | 20 | 60 | 180 | 500 | 750 | 710 | 590 | 490 | 350 | 200 | 360 | 4,210 | | | |

Note: 1) numbers of households have been rounded to the nearest 10. 2) cells with *** represent the data that have been suppressed.

Modeling Filer Groups' Average Net Education **Property Tax**

 Average net education property tax changes across household income group and housesite value group under current law

Estimated average net education property tax of housesites with a household income under \$115,000 in fiscal year 2025 under current law

| Household Income | | Equalize | d Housesite Val | ue Group | |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Group | \$0 to \$100,000 | \$100,000 to \$225,000 | \$225,000 to \$300,000 | \$300,000 to \$400,000 | Over \$400,000 |
| \$0 to \$25,000 | \$170 | \$240 | \$240 | \$250 | \$2,150 |
| \$25,000 to \$47,000 | \$470 | \$730 | \$740 | \$740 | \$2,290 |
| \$47,000 to \$50,000 | \$750 | \$1,200 | \$1,230 | \$1,260 | \$2,780 |
| \$50,000 to \$60,000 | \$770 | \$1,360 | \$1,410 | \$1,430 | \$2,890 |
| \$60,000 to \$70,000 | \$800 | \$1,580 | \$1,650 | \$1,680 | \$3,280 |
| \$70,000 to \$80,000 | \$800 | \$1,790 | \$1,900 | \$1,930 | \$3,540 |
| \$80,000 to \$90,000 | \$830 | \$1,970 | \$2,150 | \$2,190 | \$3,920 |
| \$90,000 to \$100,000 | \$840 | \$2,100 | \$2,880 | \$4,020 | \$6,490 |
| \$100,000 to \$110,000 | \$840 | \$2,190 | \$3,130 | \$4,270 | \$6,620 |
| \$110,000 to 115,000 | \$910 | \$2,280 | \$3,320 | \$4,450 | \$6,790 |

Notes: 1) groupings of equalized housesite value are chosen to be consistent with modeling from the Department of Taxes. Please note that the baskets are not the same size. 2) average liabilities have been rounded to the nearest 10. 3.) this analysis only examines the households with an estimated household income under \$115,000 for the purposes of calculating the fiscal year 2025 property tax credit.



A More Granular Look at Modeling Filer Groups' Average Net Education Property Tax

Estimated average net education property tax of housesites with a household income under \$115,000 in fiscal year 2025 under current law

| | | Equalized Housesite Value Group | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Household Income | \$0 to | \$50,000 to | \$100,000 to | \$150,000 to | \$200,000 to | \$250,000 to | \$300,000 to | \$350,000 to | \$400,000 to | \$450,000 to | Above | | | | | |
| | \$50,000 | \$100,000 | \$150,000 | \$200,000 | \$250,000 | \$300,000 | \$350,000 | \$400,000 | \$450,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | Average | | | | |
| 0 to \$5,000 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$10 | \$40 | \$380 | \$1,210 | \$4,810 | \$570 | | | | |
| 5,000 to \$10,000 | \$0 | \$30 | \$40 | \$40 | \$40 | \$40 | \$40 | \$80 | \$410 | \$960 | \$6,980 | \$180 | | | | |
| 10,000 to \$15,000 | \$80 | \$180 | \$190 | \$190 | \$190 | \$190 | \$190 | \$250 | \$610 | \$1,280 | \$3,150 | \$270 | | | | |
| \$15,000 to \$20,000 | \$100 | \$250 | \$260 | \$260 | \$260 | \$260 | \$270 | \$300 | \$650 | \$1,270 | \$3,640 | \$340 | | | | |
| \$20,000 to \$25,000 | \$130 | \$310 | \$340 | \$340 | \$340 | \$340 | \$340 | \$370 | \$700 | \$1,390 | \$3,200 | \$410 | | | | |
| \$25,000 to \$30,000 | \$180 | \$520 | \$550 | \$550 | \$550 | \$550 | \$550 | \$560 | \$850 | \$1,540 | \$4,330 | \$650 | | | | |
| \$30,000 to \$35,000 | \$180 | \$590 | \$650 | \$650 | \$650 | \$650 | \$650 | \$650 | \$990 | \$1,640 | \$3,830 | \$740 | | | | |
| \$35,000 to \$40,000 | \$190 | \$660 | \$740 | \$750 | \$750 | \$750 | \$750 | \$760 | \$1,070 | \$1,710 | \$3,900 | \$820 | | | | |
| \$40,000 to \$45,000 | \$180 | \$730 | \$840 | \$850 | \$850 | \$850 | \$850 | \$850 | \$1,150 | \$1,810 | \$3,990 | \$940 | | | | |
| \$45,000 to \$50,000 | \$300 | \$870 | \$1,060 | \$1,090 | \$1,100 | \$1,110 | \$1,130 | \$1,130 | \$1,460 | \$2,100 | \$4,250 | \$1,190 | | | | |
| \$50,000 to \$55,000 | \$370 | \$990 | \$1,280 | \$1,290 | \$1,320 | \$1,360 | \$1,360 | \$1,360 | \$1,670 | \$2,340 | \$4,650 | \$1,410 | | | | |
| \$55,000 to \$60,000 | \$410 | \$980 | \$1,390 | \$1,420 | \$1,450 | \$1,470 | \$1,490 | \$1,480 | \$1,820 | \$2,440 | \$4,280 | \$1,540 | | | | |
| \$60,000 to \$65,000 | \$390 | \$1,020 | \$1,470 | \$1,530 | \$1,580 | \$1,600 | \$1,610 | \$1,630 | \$1,920 | \$2,600 | \$4,730 | \$1,690 | | | | |
| \$65,000 to \$70,000 | \$400 | \$1,000 | \$1,540 | \$1,660 | \$1,700 | \$1,720 | \$1,740 | \$1,750 | \$2,100 | \$2,760 | \$4,900 | \$1,830 | | | | |
| \$70,000 to \$75,000 | \$400 | \$1,000 | \$1,570 | \$1,780 | \$1,820 | \$1,830 | \$1,860 | \$1,870 | \$2,190 | \$2,860 | \$4,930 | \$1,980 | | | | |
| \$75,000 to \$80,000 | \$410 | \$1,020 | \$1,610 | \$1,900 | \$1,950 | \$1,970 | \$1,980 | \$2,010 | \$2,320 | \$3,030 | \$5,140 | \$2,110 | | | | |
| \$80,000 to \$85,000 | \$380 | \$1,020 | \$1,610 | \$2,000 | \$2,060 | \$2,100 | \$2,120 | \$2,130 | \$2,460 | \$3,140 | \$5,700 | \$2,290 | | | | |
| \$85,000 to \$90,000 | \$360 | \$1,010 | \$1,600 | \$2,120 | \$2,180 | \$2,230 | \$2,260 | \$2,250 | \$2,570 | \$3,250 | \$5,390 | \$2,390 | | | | |
| \$90,000 to \$95,000 | \$400 | \$1,010 | \$1,590 | \$2,150 | \$2,360 | \$3,010 | \$3,680 | \$4,300 | \$5,040 | \$5,670 | \$8,020 | \$3,390 | | | | |
| \$95,000 to \$100,000 | \$400 | \$1,040 | \$1,620 | \$2,210 | \$2,530 | \$3,130 | \$3,810 | \$4,460 | \$5,110 | \$5,820 | \$8,180 | \$3,550 | | | | |
| \$100,000 to \$105,000 | \$410 | \$1,020 | \$1,640 | \$2,220 | \$2,620 | \$3,250 | \$3,940 | \$4,570 | \$5,280 | \$5,990 | \$7,950 | \$3,690 | | | | |
| \$105,000 to \$110,000 | \$450 | \$1,040 | \$1,620 | \$2,200 | \$2,760 | \$3,360 | \$4,030 | \$4,720 | \$5,350 | \$6,090 | \$8,180 | \$3,900 | | | | |
| \$110,000 to \$115,000 | \$390 | \$1,040 | \$1,580 | \$2,210 | \$2,830 | \$3,490 | \$4,150 | \$4,810 | \$5,540 | \$6,170 | \$8,340 | \$4,050 | | | | |

Note: 1) average liabilities have been rounded to the nearest 10.

Administration's Proposal for a Homestead Exemption



Administration's Homestead Exemption Proposal

 The Department of Taxes recommended the education part of the income-based credit system be reconfigured as a homestead exemption tiered based on income, effective July 1, 2027

Administration's Proposed Framework*

| Household Income | Exemption | Maximum Exemption |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------|
| Up to \$47,000 | 60% with an additional 10% for seniors | \$200,000 |
| \$47,000 to \$90,000 | 50% | \$200,000 |
| \$90,000 to \$125,000 | 10% | \$50,000 |
| Over \$125,000 | Not eligible | Not eligible |

*Note: this table is copied from the Department of Taxes' slides titled "Property Tax Credit Proposal" from January 29, 2025:


https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2026/Workgroups/House%20Ways%20and%20Means/Tax%20Department/Property%20Tax%20Credit/W~Jake%20Feldman~Property%20Tax%20Credit%20Proposal~1-29-2025.pdf

Structure of Administration's Homestead **Exemption Proposal**

Administration's Proposed Framework*

| Household Income | Exemption | Maximum Exemption |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------|
| Up to \$47,000 | 60% with an additional 10% for seniors | \$200,000 |
| \$47,000 to \$90,000 | 50% | \$200,000 |
| \$90,000 to \$125,000 | 10% | \$50,000 |
| Over \$125,000 | Not eligible | Not eligible |

Structure of Administration's Proposed Homestead Exemption



*Note: this table is copied from the Department of Taxes' slides titled "Property Tax Credit Proposal" from January 29, 2025:

https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2026/Workgroups/House%20Ways%20and%20Means/Tax%20Department/Property%20Tax%20Credit/W~Jake%20Feldman~Property %20Tax%20Credit%20Proposal~1-29-2025.pdf

Average Impact of the Administration's Proposal by Income and Property Value

- Under the Administration's homestead exemption proposal:
 - Lower income households are estimated to see an average increase to property tax bills,
 - Households between \$90,000 and \$115,000 are estimated to see an average decrease to property tax bills, and
 - Households with incomes greater than \$115,000 are estimated to see no change in property tax bills.

Average Impact of the Administration's Proposal by Income and Property Value*

| Inc∤ Prop→ | • | 0 to 100K | 100K to 225K | | 22 | 25K to 300K | 300K to 400K | | | Over 400K | Total |
|-----------------|----|-----------|--------------|-------|----|-------------|--------------|-------|----|-----------|-------------|
| \$0 to \$10K | \$ | 100 | \$ | 700 | \$ | 1,200 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 1,900 | \$ 800 |
| \$10K to \$25K | \$ | - | \$ | 400 | \$ | 900 | \$ | 1,400 | \$ | 1,600 | \$ 600 |
| \$25K to \$47K | \$ | (300) | \$ | - | \$ | 400 | \$ | 900 | \$ | 1,200 | \$ 200 |
| \$47K to \$90K | \$ | (400) | \$ | (500) | \$ | (100) | \$ | 400 | \$ | 400 | \$ (100) |
| \$90K to \$115K | \$ | (100) | \$ | (200) | \$ | - | \$ | (100) | \$ | (300) | \$ (100) |
| Total | \$ | (200) | \$ | (200) | \$ | 100 | \$ | 400 | \$ | 300 | \$ - |



*Note: This table is copied from the Tax Department's slides titled "Property Tax Credit Proposal: Additional Details and Preliminary Tax Impacts" from February 4, 2025: https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2026/Workgroups/House%20Ways%20and%20Means/Tax%20Department/Property%20Tax%20Credit/W~Jake%20Feldman~Property%20Tax%20Credit%20Proposal~1-29-2025.pdf

The Homestead Exemption Included in H.454 as Passed by the House



Homestead Exemption Included in H.454 as Passed by the House

- Changes parameters of the homestead exemption from the Administration's proposal
 - Maintains the general structure of the Administration's proposal, and changes the bounds of household income groups and the housesite exemption percentages
 - Unlike the Administration's proposal it neither includes a maximum exemption nor a senior specific exemption
- Estimated to cost approximately \$45 million more than current law
 - Because of the iterative nature of modeling changes to the PTC, this modeling assumes the \$45 million is estimated to be raised by an income stream other than the homestead property tax



Homestead Exemption Included in H.454 as Passed by the House

 The homestead exemption included in the bill steps down the amount eligible for exemption as household income increases Homestead Exemption Included In H.454 As Passed by the House


| Household Income Group | Housesite exemption |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| \$0 to \$25,000 | 95% |
| \$25,000 to \$47,000 | 90% |
| \$47,000 to \$50,000 | 80% |
| \$50,000 to \$60,000 | 70% |
| \$60,000 to \$70,000 | 60% |
| \$70,000 to \$80,000 | 50% |
| \$80,000 to \$90,000 | 40% |
| \$90,000 to \$100,000 | 30% |
| \$100,000 to \$110,000 | 20% |
| \$110,000 to 115,000 | 10% |
| Over \$115,000 | 0% |
| | |



Structure of Homestead Exemption Included in H.454 as Passed by the House

Homestead Exemption Included H.454 As passed by House

| Household Income Group | Housesite exemption |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| \$0 to \$25,000 | 95% |
| \$25,000 to \$47,000 | 90% |
| \$47,000 to \$50,000 | 80% |
| \$50,000 to \$60,000 | 70% |
| \$60,000 to \$70,000 | 60% |
| \$70,000 to \$80,000 | 50% |
| \$80,000 to \$90,000 | 40% |
| \$90,000 to \$100,000 | 30% |
| \$100,000 to \$110,000 | 20% |
| \$110,000 to 115,000 | 10% |
| Over \$115,000 | 0% |



Average Impact of the Bill's Proposal by Income and **Property Value**

- Under H.454 as passed by the House:
 - Lower income households are estimated to see an average decrease to property tax bills
 - Households between \$50,000 and \$90,000 with a housesite value between \$300,000 and \$400,000 are estimated to see an average decrease to property tax bills
- For these estimated impacts, the additional \$45 million cost of the exemption would need to be raised somewhere other than the homestead property tax

Average Impact of House Passed H.454's Homestead Exemption Proposal by Income and Property Value

| Household Income | Equalized Housesite Value Group | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Group | \$0 to \$100,000 | \$100,000 to \$225,000 | \$225,000 to \$300,000 | \$300,000 to \$400,000 | Over \$400,000 | Grand Total | | | | | | | | |
| \$0 to \$25,000 | \$ (130) | \$ (140) | \$ (70) | \$ (20) | \$ (1,780) | \$ (220) | | | | | | | | |
| \$25,000 to \$47,000 | \$ (390) | \$ (520) | \$ (400) | \$ (290) | \$ (1,590) | \$ (530) | | | | | | | | |
| \$47,000 to \$50,000 | \$ (600) | \$ (760) | \$ (560) | \$ (350) | \$ (1,400) | \$ (690) | | | | | | | | |
| \$50,000 to \$60,000 | \$ (540) | \$ (710) | \$ (390) | \$ (70) | \$ (840) | \$ (520) | | | | | | | | |
| \$60,000 to \$70,000 | \$ (480) | \$ (700) | \$ (300) | \$ 130 | \$ (500) | \$ (410) | | | | | | | | |
| \$70,000 to \$80,000 | \$ (400) | \$ (680) | \$ (210) | \$340 | \$ (60) | \$ (260) | | | | | | | | |
| \$80,000 to \$90,000 | \$ (330) | \$ (630) | \$ (120) | \$ 530 | \$320 | \$ (70) | | | | | | | | |
| \$90,000 to \$100,000 | \$ (250) | \$ (540) | \$ (500) | \$ (830) | \$ (1,560) | \$ (770) | | | | | | | | |
| \$100,000 to \$110,000 | \$ (160) | \$ (400) | \$ (410) | \$ (640) | \$ (1,080) | \$ (590) | | | | | | | | |
| \$110,000 to 115,000 | \$ (80) | \$ (210) | \$ (260) | \$ (370) | \$ (600) | \$ (340) | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total | \$ (330) | \$ (480) | \$ (260) | \$ (100) | \$ (530) | \$ (350) | | | | | | | | |

Note: average liability changes have been rounded to the nearest 10.

Average Impact of the Bill's Proposal by More Granular Income and Property Value

Average Impact of House Passed H.454's Homestead Exemption Proposal by Income and Property Value

| | | | • | | | | | | | _ | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------|-------|------|-----------|------|--|----|-----------|----|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------|---------|-----|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | Equa | lized | Hous | esit | e Value | Gro | oup | | | | | | | | |
| Household Income | \$0 | to | \$50 |),000 to | \$10 | \$100,000 to \$150,000 to \$200,000 to \$250,000 to \$300,000 to \$350,000 to \$400,000 to \$450,000 to Ab | | | | | | | | | | ove | | | | | | | | |
| | \$50 | 0,000 | \$10 | \$100,000 | | \$150,000 | | \$200,000 | | 50,000 | \$300,000 | | \$350,000 | | \$400,000 | | \$450,000 | | \$500,000 | | \$50 | 00,000 | Gra | and Total |
| 0 to \$5,000 | \$ | 50 | \$ | 40 | \$ | 70 | \$ | 120 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 180 | \$ | 210 | \$ | 200 | \$ | (100) | \$ | (880) | \$ | (4,310) | \$ | (390) |
| 5,000 to \$10,000 | \$ | 40 | \$ | 20 | \$ | 40 | \$ | 70 | \$ | 100 | \$ | 150 | \$ | 180 | \$ | 180 | \$ | (120) | \$ | (650) | \$ | (6,350) | \$ | (50) |
| 10,000 to \$15,000 | \$ | (60) | \$ | (130) | \$ | (110) | \$ | (80) | \$ | (40) | \$ | (10) | \$ | 20 | \$ | - | \$ | (320) | \$ | (950) | \$ | (2,730) | \$ | (140) |
| \$15,000 to \$20,000 | \$ | (80) | \$ | (200) | \$ | (180) | \$ | (150) | \$ | (120) | \$ | (82) | \$ | (50) | \$ | (40) | \$ | (370) | \$ | (950) | \$ | (3,200) | \$ | (210) |
| \$20,000 to \$25,000 | \$ | (110) | \$ | (260) | \$ | (250) | \$ | (230) | \$ | (190) | \$ | (160) | \$ | (120) | \$ | (120) | \$ | (410) | \$ | (1,060) | \$ | (2,780) | \$ | (270) |
| \$25,000 to \$30,000 | \$ | (150) | \$ | (420) | \$ | (390) | \$ | (330) | \$ | (260) | \$ | (190) | \$ | (120) | \$ | (70) | \$ | (299) | \$ | (903) | \$ | (3,400) | \$ | (360) |
| \$30,000 to \$35,000 | \$ | (140) | \$ | (490) | \$ | (490) | \$ | (430) | \$ | (360) | \$ | (290) | \$ | (220) | \$ | (160) | \$ | (422) | \$ | (1,000) | \$ | (2,950) | \$ | (450) |
| \$35,000 to \$40,000 | \$ | (150) | \$ | (560) | \$ | (580) | \$ | (530) | \$ | (460) | \$ | (390) | \$ | (330) | \$ | (260) | \$ | (501) | \$ | (1,080) | \$ | (3,040) | \$ | (540) |
| \$40,000 to \$45,000 | \$ | (140) | \$ | (630) | \$ | (670) | \$ | (620) | \$ | (560) | \$ | (490) | \$ | (420) | \$ | (350) | \$ | (584) | \$ | (1,180) | \$ | (3,130) | \$ | (640) |
| \$45,000 to \$50,000 | \$ | (240) | \$ | (710) | \$ | (810) | \$ | (730) | \$ | (640) | \$ | (530) | \$ | (430) | \$ | (330) | \$ | (535) | \$ | (1,100) | \$ | (2,870) | \$ | (700) |
| \$50,000 to \$55,000 | \$ | (260) | \$ | (690) | \$ | (800) | \$ | (630) | \$ | (450) | \$ | (270) | \$ | (80) | \$ | 120 | \$ | 20 | \$ | (430) | \$ | (2,040) | \$ | (470) |
| \$55,000 to \$60,000 | \$ | (280) | \$ | (680) | \$ | (910) | \$ | (750) | \$ | (580) | \$ | (400) | \$ | (210) | \$ | (10) | \$ | (120) | \$ | (550) | \$ | (1,810) | \$ | (570) |
| \$60,000 to \$65,000 | \$ | (230) | \$ | (610) | \$ | (830) | \$ | (640) | \$ | (410) | \$ | (160) | \$ | 100 | \$ | 360 | \$ | 330 | \$ | (70) | \$ | (1,320) | \$ | (360) |
| \$65,000 to \$70,000 | \$ | (240) | \$ | (600) | \$ | (900) | \$ | (770) | \$ | (540) | \$ | (290) | \$ | (30) | \$ | 220 | \$ | 180 | \$ | (230) | \$ | (1,460) | \$ | (460) |
| \$70,000 to \$75,000 | \$ | (200) | \$ | (500) | \$ | (770) | \$ | (670) | \$ | (380) | \$ | (60) | \$ | 270 | \$ | 590 | \$ | 630 | \$ | 310 | \$ | (690) | \$ | (200) |
| \$75,000 to \$80,000 | \$ | (200) | \$ | (510) | \$ | (790) | \$ | (790) | \$ | (500) | \$ | (190) | \$ | 140 | \$ | 470 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 150 | \$ | (880) | \$ | (310) |
| \$80,000 to \$85,000 | \$ | (150) | \$ | (400) | \$ | (640) | \$ | (670) | \$ | (330) | \$ | 40 | \$ | 430 | \$ | 830 | \$ | 930 | \$ | 670 | \$ | (340) | \$ | (30) |
| \$85,000 to \$90,000 | \$ | (140) | \$ | (400) | \$ | (630) | \$ | (770) | \$ | (460) | \$ | (80) | \$ | 310 | \$ | 700 | \$ | 790 | \$ | 520 | \$ | (290) | \$ | (120) |
| \$90,000 to \$95,000 | \$ | (110) | \$ | (300) | \$ | (460) | \$ | (600) | \$ | (360) | \$ | (490) | \$ | (690) | \$ | (870) | \$ | (1,090) | \$ | (1,270) | \$ | (1,960) | \$ | (710) |
| \$95,000 to \$100,000 | \$ | (120) | \$ | (310) | \$ | (480) | \$ | (640) | \$ | (490) | \$ | (620) | \$ | (810) | \$ | (1,000) | \$ | (1,189) | \$ | (1,410) | \$ | (2,110) | \$ | (820) |
| \$100,000 to \$105,000 | \$ | (80) | \$ | (200) | \$ | (320) | \$ | (430) | \$ | (310) | \$ | (380) | \$ | (520) | \$ | (650) | \$ | (770) | \$ | (890) | \$ | (1,260) | \$ | (530) |
| \$105,000 to \$110,000 | \$ | (90) | \$ | (200) | \$ | (320) | \$ | (430) | \$ | (440) | \$ | (510) | \$ | (640) | \$ | (780) | \$ | (886) | \$ | (1,030) | \$ | (1,470) | \$ | (650) |
| \$110,000 to \$115,000 | \$ | (40) | \$ | (100) | \$ | (130) | \$ | (210) | \$ | (230) | \$ | (280) | \$ | (350) | \$ | (390) | \$ | (474) | \$ | (560) | \$ | (730) | \$ | (340) |

Note: average liability changes have been rounded to the nearest 10. 2) Cells highlighted in blue represent household groups that would have an average decrease in estimated liability. Cells in white represent household groups that would have an average increase in estimated liability.

The Exemption Would Impact Households with Incomes Greater than \$115,000 if the Increased Cost Were Raised on Homestead Property Taxes

- The homestead exemption in H.454 as passed by the House is estimated to cost approximately \$45 million more than the current law fiscal year 2025 property tax credit
- This modeling assumes the cost of the exemption is raised somewhere other than homestead property taxes
 - If the increased cost of the exemption is not raised on homestead property taxes, households with incomes greater than \$115,000 would see no change in their tax bill
 - If the increased cost of the exemption were to be raised on homestead property taxes, households with incomes greater than \$115,000 would see an increase their tax bill
 - The statewide impact on bills would depend on the amount the homestead picks up the cost
 - At the individual level, the property value would also determine the impact to bills

Questions?

