

Comparing Fiscal Year 2027 Education Spending and LTWADM counts with Education Spending and LTWADM counts under the Beck Proposal, Draft 1.1
All analysis conducted using preliminary fiscal year 2027 data, as of 5/5/2026

| a. Districts Under Beck Proposal | | | d. Current Law | | | | h. Beck Proposal | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Merged District Name | b. County | c. District | Est. Current Law Education Spending | Est. Current Law LTWADM | Est. Current Law Education Spending per LTWADM | f. Est. Percentage of Ed Spend Raised on HS GL, Based on H.949 As Pass the Senate | g. Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending (removes Small and Sparse support grants) | i. Est. Beck Proposal LTWADM (removes Small and Sparse weights) | j. Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending per LTWADM | k. Education Spending per LTWADM relative to new excess spending base (\$14,292 in FY27) |
| Grand Totals | | | \$2,066,559,361 | 141,362 | N/A | N/A | \$2,032,893,402 | 135,533 | N/A | N/A |
| Addison Area District | Addison | Mt. Abraham USD | \$100,153,938 | 6,495 | \$15,420 | 46.2% | \$98,117,842 | 6,087 | \$16,121 | 113% |
| | Addison | Addison Central USD #055 | | | | | | | | |
| | Addison | Addison NW USD #054 | | | | | | | | |
| | Addison | Lincoln | | | | | | | | |
| Caledonia Area District | Orange | Oxbow Unified Union School District | \$140,624,792 | 9,386 | \$14,983 | 25.1% | \$136,304,811 | 8,716 | \$15,638 | 109% |
| | Caledonia | Caledonia Central Coop | | | | | | | | |
| | Caledonia | Kingdom East USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Orange | Waits River Valley USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Orange | Blue Mountain USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Caledonia | St. Johnsbury | | | | | | | | |
| | Caledonia | Peacham | | | | | | | | |
| Caledonia | Danville | | | | | | | | | |
| Central Area District | Washington | Barre USD | \$198,581,572 | 13,415 | \$14,803 | 41.2% | \$194,624,469 | 12,920 | \$15,063 | 105% |
| | Washington | Washington Central USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Washington | Montpelier-Roxbury USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Washington | Central Vermont USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Orange | Orange Washington USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Washington | Harwood USD #060 | | | | | | | | |
| | Washington | Twinfield USD | | | | | | | | |
| Washington | Cabot | | | | | | | | | |
| Chittenden District* | Chittenden | Mt. Mansfield USD | \$544,551,460 | 35,351 | \$15,404 | 47.0% | \$543,789,874 | 35,028 | \$15,524 | 109% |
| | Grand Isle | Champlain Islands | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | Champlain Valley USD #056 | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | Essex-Westford EC USD #051 | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | Buel's Gore | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | Winooski ID | | | | | | | | |
| | Grand Isle | So.Hero | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | So. Burlington | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | Milton | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | Colchester | | | | | | | | |
| | Chittenden | Burlington | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Isle | Alburgh | | | | | | | | | |

Comparing Fiscal Year 2027 Education Spending and LTWADM counts with Education Spending and LTWADM counts under the Beck Proposal, Draft 1.1
All analysis conducted using preliminary fiscal year 2027 data, as of 5/5/2026

| Districts Under Beck Proposal | | | Current Law | | | | Beck Proposal | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Merged District Name | County | District | Est. Current Law Education Spending | Est. Current Law LTWADM | Est. Current Law Education Spending per LTWADM | Est. Percentage of Ed Spend Raised on HS GL, Based on H.949 As Pass the Senate | Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending (removes Small and Sparse support grants) | Est. Beck Proposal LTWADM (removes Small and Sparse weights) | Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending per LTWADM | Education Spending per LTWADM relative to new excess spending base (\$14,292 in FY27) |
| Grand Totals | | | \$2,066,559,361 | 141,362 | N/A | N/A | \$2,032,893,402 | 135,533 | N/A | N/A |
| Essex-Orleans Area District | Orleans | Orleans Central UESD | \$109,128,720 | 8,054 | \$13,550 | 23.5% | \$104,071,667 | 7,376 | \$14,109 | 99% |
| | Essex | Northeast Kingdom Choice USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Lake Region UHSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | North Country Sr UHSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | North Country Jr UHSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Essex | Ferdinand | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Westfield | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Troy | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Newport Town | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Newport City | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Morgan | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Lowell | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Jay | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Holland | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Derby | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Coventry | | | | | | | | |
| Orleans | Charleston | | | | | | | | | |
| Essex | Canaan | | | | | | | | | |
| Essex | Brighton | | | | | | | | | |
| Lamoille Area District | Orleans | Orleans Southwest UESD | \$108,106,625 | 7,531 | \$14,355 | 40.1% | \$106,558,021 | 6,991 | \$15,243 | 107% |
| | Lamoille | Lamoille South SU | | | | | | | | |
| | Lamoille | Lamoille North MUSD #058B | | | | | | | | |
| | Lamoille | Lamoille North MUSD #058A | | | | | | | | |
| | Caledonia | Hazen UHSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Lamoille | Wolcott | | | | | | | | |
| | Caledonia | Stannard | | | | | | | | |
| | Orleans | Craftsbury | | | | | | | | |
| | Lamoille | Cambridge | | | | | | | | |
| Lamoille | Stowe | | | | | | | | | |

Comparing Fiscal Year 2027 Education Spending and LTWADM counts with Education Spending and LTWADM counts under the Beck Proposal, Draft 1.1
All analysis conducted using preliminary fiscal year 2027 data, as of 5/5/2026

| a. Districts Under Beck Proposal | | | d. Current Law | | | | h. Beck Proposal | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Merged District Name | b. County | c. District | Est. Current Law Education Spending | Est. Current Law LTWADM | Est. Current Law Education Spending per LTWADM | f. Est. Percentage of Ed Spend Raised on HS GL, Based on H.949 As Pass the Senate | g. Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending (removes Small and Sparse support grants) | i. Est. Beck Proposal LTWADM (removes Small and Sparse weights) | j. Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending per LTWADM | k. Education Spending per LTWADM relative to new excess spending base (\$14,292 in FY27) |
| Grand Totals | | | \$2,066,559,361 | 141,362 | N/A | N/A | \$2,032,893,402 | 135,533 | N/A | N/A |
| Northwest Area District | Franklin | Franklin Northwest USD | \$183,144,671 | 13,882 | \$13,193 | 29.1% | \$180,826,786 | 13,446 | \$13,449 | 94% |
| | Franklin | Enosburgh-Richford USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Franklin | Northern Mountain Valley | | | | | | | | |
| | Franklin | Maple Run USD #057 | | | | | | | | |
| | Franklin | Georgia | | | | | | | | |
| | Franklin | Fletcher | | | | | | | | |
| Randolph Area District | Windsor | Chelesa-Tunbridge | \$73,242,057 | 5,016 | \$14,601 | 37.9% | \$70,199,863 | 4,585 | \$15,309 | 107% |
| | Windsor | Rochester-Stockbridge | | | | | | | | |
| | Addison | Granville-Hanock | | | | | | | | |
| | Windsor | Bethel-Royalton | | | | | | | | |
| | Orange | Orange Southwest USD #059 | | | | | | | | |
| | Orange | Thetford | | | | | | | | |
| Rutland Area District | Rutland | Quarry Valley USD | \$154,239,974 | 11,927 | \$12,932 | 29.9% | \$152,032,037 | 11,467 | \$13,258 | 93% |
| | Rutland | Slate Valley MUSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Rutland | Otter Valley USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Rutland | Mill River USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Rutland | Barstow USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Rutland | Rutland Town | | | | | | | | |
| | Rutland | Rutland City | | | | | | | | |
| Southeast Area District | Windham | Windham Southeast USD | \$173,088,249 | 11,505 | \$15,044 | 30.3% | \$169,367,988 | 11,105 | \$15,251 | 107% |
| | Windham | Windham Northeast UESD | | | | | | | | |
| | Windsor | Green Mountain USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Twin Valley USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | West River UED | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | West River MUUSD A | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Bellows Falls UHSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Windham | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Vernon | | | | | | | | |
| | Windsor | Springfield | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Rockingham | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Marlboro | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Halifax | | | | | | | | |
| Windham | Westminster | | | | | | | | | |

Comparing Fiscal Year 2027 Education Spending and LTWADM counts with Education Spending and LTWADM counts under the Beck Proposal, Draft 1.1
All analysis conducted using preliminary fiscal year 2027 data, as of 5/5/2026

| a. | b. | c. | d. | e. | f. | g. | h. | i. | j. | k. |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Districts Under Beck Proposal | | | Current Law | | | | Beck Proposal | | | |
| Merged District Name | County | District | Est. Current Law Education Spending | Est. Current Law LTWADM | Est. Current Law Education Spending per LTWADM | Est. Percentage of Ed Spend Raised on HS GL, Based on H.949 As Pass the Senate | Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending (removes Small and Sparse support grants) | Est. Beck Proposal LTWADM (removes Small and Sparse weights) | Est. Beck Proposal Education Spending per LTWADM | Education Spending per LTWADM relative to new excess spending base (\$14,292 in FY27) |
| Grand Totals | | | \$2,066,559,361 | 141,362 | N/A | N/A | \$2,032,893,402 | 135,533 | N/A | N/A |
| Southwest Area District | Bennington | Southwest Vermont UESD | 155,565,322 | 11,668 | 13,332 | 35.0% | 152,214,387 | 11,075 | 13,744 | 96% |
| | Rutland | Mettawee USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Windsor | Black River USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | River Valleys USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Rutland | Wells Spring USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | Taconic & Green Regional USD | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | Mt. Anthony UHSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | Winhall | | | | | | | | |
| | Windham | Stratton | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | Stamford | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | Searsburg | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | Sandgate | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | North Bennington ID | | | | | | | | |
| | Bennington | Arlington | | | | | | | | |
| Bennington | Readsboro | | | | | | | | | |
| Upper Valley Area District | Windsor | Mount Ascutney USD | 101,350,398 | 5,760 | 17,595 | 51.9% | 100,524,665 | 5,493 | 18,302 | 128% |
| | Windsor | Windsor Central MUSD | | | | | | | | |
| | Windsor | Weathersfield | | | | | | | | |
| | Rutland | Pittsfield | | | | | | | | |
| | Windsor | Hartland | | | | | | | | |
| | Windsor | Hartford | | | | | | | | |
| Interstate | Orange | Rivendell Interstate USD | 8,846,318 | 537 | 16,464.70 | 35.7% | 8,325,725 | 452.80 | 18,387 | 129% |
| Interstate | Windsor | Norwich | 15,935,268 | 835 | 19,085.98 | 67.7% | 15,935,268 | 791.94 | 20,122 | 141% |
| Grand Totals | | | \$2,066,559,361 | 141,362 | N/A | N/A | \$2,032,893,402 | 135,533 | N/A | N/A |
| Statewide Averages | | | N/A | N/A | \$14,619 | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$14,999 | N/A |
| <i>Notes:</i> | Merged District Education Spending removes offsetting revenues from the Small School and Sparse school support grants (est. FY27). This lowers Education Spending. Merged District LTWADM removes weights from the Small School and Sparse weighting categories. This lowers LTWADM. County assignments are per AOE data. The new excess spending base is estimated using FY25 education spending (adjusted for small and sparse support grants and weights), inflated to FY2027 via NIPA. | | | | | | | | | |