

## Lindsey Schreier

---

**From:** Danielle Woodard Budlong <vermont1973@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 12, 2026 7:10 PM  
**To:** Lindsey Schreier  
**Subject:** [External] 25-P045 vermont use of public water rule

[External]

Please distribute to the entire LCAR committee

Dear Committee Members,

I am writing to express serious concerns regarding the proposed ruling, as it is not supported by fact-based research or real-world evidence from the lakes in Vermont that are being used as the basis for this decision.

Any ruling that impacts the public should be grounded in credible scientific studies, verified trials, and transparent data collected directly from these Vermont lakes. Without clear evidence demonstrating that the conclusions are supported by actual research and testing, the ruling becomes invalid, biased, unfair, and discriminatory.

It is the committee's responsibility to ensure that all decisions are based on objective facts rather than assumptions, emotions, or unsupported opinions. Decisions made without legitimate evidence are not credible, do not promote public safety, and fail to serve the people fairly.

I respectfully request that the committee provide the specific studies, trials, and documented research conducted on these Vermont lakes that support this ruling. If such evidence does not exist, then the ruling should be reconsidered until a fair and evidence-based review can be completed.

A fair process requires transparency, accountability, and scientifically supported findings. The public deserves decisions that are based on real evidence and equal consideration for all parties affected.

Sincerely,

Danielle

Sent from my iPhone

This message has originated from an **External Source**. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.