

Unit Sec	Dept ID	Major Operating	Description	GF	SC	Other Funds	Total All Funds	Narrative
8.3.16								Realizing TANF earnings and GF between PSD (where we are earning it) and Admin/CCD where we are not. We use TANF across our department in various divisions and programs, and we use our general fund in these same appropriations as state match or "maintenance of effort" (MOE), which is required for the TANF program. However, some expenses are only eligible if funded with federal dollars while other expenses are only eligible if funded with state dollars. These expenses are then reallocated from the general fund to PSD, where we have a large amount of expenses that are only eligible for federal funding (and ineligible to be used as state match), and swapping the general fund to places where we have expenses that can be used as state match. This is a very complex process, and we are trying to make sure we are doing this in the most efficient manner and meet and surpass our maintenance of effort requirements.
ADMIN		Personal Services	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Revenue Realignment (DCF Net-Neutral)	1,089,954	-	(1,089,954)		
ADMIN		Personal Services	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Revenue Realignment (DCF Net-Neutral)	1,089,954	-	(1,089,954)		
ADMIN		Operating	ADS Supportive Services Transfer From ARHCO (ADS Net-Neutral)	1,209,573	-	1,209,573	1,209,573	DCF is responsible for the projected costs of the ADS Service Level Agreement costs for SFY26.
ADMIN		Operating	ADS Supportive Services Transfer From ARHCO (ADS Net-Neutral)	1,209,573	-	1,209,573	1,209,573	DCF is responsible for the projected costs of the ADS Service Level Agreement costs for SFY26.
ADMIN		Personal Services	TANF Revenue Realignment (DCF Net Neutral)	(1,089,954)	1,089,954	-		
PSD		Grants	NCSS DS Transfer from CDD (DCF Net Neutral)	-	415,988	-	415,988	Funding was transferred from DMH in the SFY25 base budget, but it was mistakenly placed in the CDD appropriation last year. It is being moved to PSD where it belongs.
PSD		Grants	ADS320: Transportation contract pressures	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000	PSD transportation contracts have come in substantially over budget the past few years, creating a budget deficit for PSD. We attempted to right this in the SFY25 base budget, but the pressure continued to rise throughout SFY25's legislative session (including increase to agreements with sheriff departments and other contractors). We are righting the transportation budget to align with the contract values at the start of SFY26.
PSD		Grants	Sub-Care Caseload and Utilization	(804,201)	204,840	(174,051)	(1,037,051)	Projected savings based on historical caseload trends across all types of Sub-Adopt in SFY25 Budget
PSD		Grants	Sub-Adopt Caseload and Utilization	(804,201)	204,840	(174,051)	(1,037,051)	Projected savings based on historical caseload trends across all types of Sub-Adopt in SFY25 Budget
PSD		Grants	Sub-Adopt Caseload and Utilization	(804,201)	204,840	(174,051)	(1,037,051)	Projected savings based on historical caseload trends across all types of Sub-Adopt in SFY25 Budget
8.3.18								
CDD		Grants	603180: Northeastern Counseling & Support Services (NCSS) DS Technical Correction (DCF Net-Neutral)	-	(410,829)	(410,829)	(410,829)	Following the transfer of CDD to DCF for NCSS in two lines in last year's budget: for C5 bundled service and for D5 waiver funding. These transfers were never reversed mistakenly. This corrects the amounts for the two transfers in the base budget.
CDD		Grants	603180: NCSS Children's Integrated Services Technical Correction (DCF Net Neutral)	-	410,829	-	410,829	Funding was transferred from DMH to DCF for NCSS in two lines in last year's budget: for C5 bundled service and for D5 waiver funding. These transfers were never reversed mistakenly. This corrects the amounts for the two transfers in the base budget.
CDD		Grants	603180: NCSS Transfer to PSD (DCF Net Neutral)	-	(415,988)	(415,988)	(415,988)	Funding was transferred from DMH in SFY25 base budget to PSD last year, but it was mistakenly placed in the CDD appropriation. It is being moved to PSD where it belongs.
8.3.19								The state has an agreement with the Feds to process our AABD payments on our behalf - the cost per year is \$100,000.
AABD		Personal Services	STRIP Payment Admin Caseload Adjustment	(43,490)	-	(43,490)	(43,490)	The total projected cost of beneficiary payments for the AABD program is estimated to be lower than projected. We are projecting 12,497 payments per month at an average of \$58 per payment. We had budgeted based on a projection of 15,000 cases/month at \$58 per payment. This is due to the SFY25 actuals and prior year trends.
AABD		Grants	604200: Benefit Caseload Adjustment	(74,034)	(83,652)	(37,686)	(184,372)	The total projected cost of beneficiary payments for the AABD program is estimated to be higher than projected. For SFY26, we are projecting 14,500 payments per month at an average of \$58 per payment. We had budgeted based on a projection of 15,000 cases/month at \$58 per payment. Projections are based on prior year trends.
AABD		Grants	604200: Essential Persons Caseload Pressures	-	(55,778)	(55,778)	(55,778)	Based on prior year caseloads - projected need is lower than the budget in SFY25. This provides funding for programs, Room & Board and home/one time needs.
8.3.21								Based on prior year caseloads - projected need is lower than the budget in SFY25. This provides funding for programs, Room & Board and home/one time needs.
GA		Grants	Support Services Caseload Adj	476,550	(64,040)	(411,910)	(172,040)	Based on prior year trends - projected need is lower than the budget in SFY25. This provides funding for programs, Room & Board and home/one time needs.
GA		Grants	Personnel Needs & Incitements (PMI) Caseload Adj	(172,040)	-	-	(172,040)	Based on prior year trends - projected need is lower than the budget in SFY25. This provides funding for programs, Room & Board and home/one time needs.
8.3.22								
RUP		Grants	Caseload Pressures (Consultant Low End)	(2,230,278)	-	(2,230,278)	(2,230,278)	Caseload adjustment based on the projection of our consultant with expertise in these types of programs. We are projecting 138 cases/month at \$58 per month. We had budgeted based on a projected case that was being handled over the past few years, it plateaued in SFY25. Moreover, the number of cases per month, as forecasted by our consultant, is decreasing, resulting in net caseload savings. Currently, with the Reach Ahead pilot ending, we are projecting a reduction in cases and for Reach Ahead.
RUP		Grants	TANF Revenue Realignment (DCF Net-Neutral)	-	-	-	-	DCF baseline budget of 1,269 cases/month @ \$586 CFC -> Projected SFY26 1,023 cases/month @ \$586 CFC
RUP		Grants	Caseload Pressures (Consultant Low End)	(2,230,278)	-	(2,230,278)	(2,230,278)	Reach ahead budget at 138 cases/month @ \$586 CFC -> projected at 149 cases per month @ \$586 CFC
RUP		Grants	TANF Revenue Realignment (DCF Net-Neutral)	-	-	-	-	This adjustment just increases the ETIC Spend in RUP and reduces the federal TANF funds to align with the amount of ETIC we were able to claim as TANF last year.
8.3.23								
DEO		Personal Services	Housing L5 Position Transfer from ESD with funding from HOP (PS1411 & 751541X)	269,812	269,812	269,812	269,812	These positions were previously funded with 2x GF housing appropriations, but are needed to support Permanent Supportive Housing.
DEO		Grants	Permanent Supportive Housing - Funding for Community Transition Services after ARPA	-	327,600	327,600	327,600	Permanent Supportive Housing was partially funded in the SFY25 base with additional 1x ARPA funds covering the Community Transition Services (CTS). With the ARPA funding ended, additional GF is needed to support the CTS.
DEO		Grants	Funding Adjustment for HOP L5 Positions (DEO Net Neutral)	(269,812)	(269,812)	(269,812)	(269,812)	Funding to support the L5 positions needs to be moved from grants to Personal Services.
DEO		Grants	Shelter Investment Funding (baseline impacts for SFY25 1x investments)	2,000,000	-	-	2,000,000	These positions were previously funded with 2x GF housing appropriations, but are needed to support Permanent Supportive Housing.
DETF		Grants	Crisis Stabilization - Windham County Sheriff's Office	1,900,000	-	1,900,000	1,900,000	After SFY25, DCF will have fully utilized all 1x funding and carry forward for service improvements. We are currently utilizing the Windham County Sheriff's Office Crisis Stabilization unit to use Red Clover in SFY26. We are also opening a short-term crisis stabilization facility in Windham in SFY26. This will be a 1x investment. The projected cost for the new facility is \$1.9M. The projected budget for SFY26 is only \$1.7M. Even with carry forward and 1x funds, considering other base ongoing pressures, additional HOP investments are needed to maintain and continue this expansion.
DETF		Grants	Crisis Stabilization - Windham County Sheriff's Office	1,902,783	67,370	1,887,300	5,306,103	After SFY25, DCF will have fully utilized all 1x funding and carry forward for service improvements. We are currently utilizing the Windham County Sheriff's Office Crisis Stabilization unit to use Red Clover in SFY26. We are also opening a short-term crisis stabilization facility in Windham in SFY26. This will be a 1x investment. The projected cost for the new facility is \$1.9M. The projected budget for SFY26 is only \$1.7M. Even with carry forward and 1x funds, considering other base ongoing pressures, additional HOP investments are needed to maintain and continue this expansion.
DETF		Grants	Crisis Stabilization - Windham County Sheriff's Office	1,902,783	67,370	1,887,300	5,458,103	After SFY25, DCF will have fully utilized all 1x funding and carry forward for service improvements. We are currently utilizing the Windham County Sheriff's Office Crisis Stabilization unit to use Red Clover in SFY26. We are also opening a short-term crisis stabilization facility in Windham in SFY26. This will be a 1x investment. The projected cost for the new facility is \$1.9M. The projected budget for SFY26 is only \$1.7M. Even with carry forward and 1x funds, considering other base ongoing pressures, additional HOP investments are needed to maintain and continue this expansion.
Total (ex 1x)				1,752,783	67,370	1,887,300	5,306,103	
2x		Operating	ADS Child Abuse Hotline Expenses	250,000	-	250,000	250,000	Necessary IT work required to make the hotline compatible with updated server requirements
2x		Operating	ADS Child Abuse Hotline Expenses	250,000	-	250,000	250,000	Necessary IT work required to make the hotline compatible with updated server requirements
2x		Operating	ADS Child Abuse Hotline Expenses	250,000	-	250,000	250,000	Necessary IT work required to make the hotline compatible with updated server requirements
Total (with 1x)				1,902,783	67,370	1,887,300	5,458,103	