

Energy Efficiency Modernization Act

Alek Antczak – Testimony
January 23, 2026

Key Point

January 23, 2026 | 2

The Department supports a mechanism for BED to spend TEPF funds w/ VGS customers, but does NOT support extension of EEMA

- Unique barriers for BED
- Attribution and Addtionality concerns
- Thermal efficiency vs. fuel switching

Unique Barriers

TEPF program \$ is for unregulated fuels
Very few BED customers use unregulated fuels, vast majority are VGS customers

The Department would support a permanent adoption of the language in Act 142 to allow entities appointed under 30 V.S.A. § 209 (d)(2)(A) to use monies collected under 30 V.S.A. § 209 (e) “to deliver thermal measures or programs that reduce fossil fuel use regardless of preexisting fuel source of the customer”

EEMA funds are layered onto existing programs

- No consideration for impacts to program econometrics
- Minimal measured impact to uplift

Non-fuel Switching vs. Fuel Switching Measures

BED proposes significant expenditure on EVs and other Tier III measures, and very little on weatherization
~20% of total TEPF funds go to Weatherization in next triennial plan, the rest go to fuel switching and fuel switching enablement (panel upgrades, EVSE, etc.)

Questions

Alek Antczak

alek.antczak@vermont.gov

(802) 828-4020