

My email to Louis Porter, General Manager, Washington Electric Cooperative, Inc. WEC's annual meeting is tonight from 4:30-8:00 at The New School (where they restrain their students instead of changing their diets and there is a tower in front of the building) 65 College Street, Montpelier. It would be great to show up at the end and present this information. I wouldn't be able to take the radiation for the whole meeting, if at all.

Hi Louis,

In light of the Supreme Court Order, wireless technology is no longer legal and has not been since August 2021. With that in mind, it is very clear that further discussion about installing radio frequency meters and the continuing use of smart meters is a moot point unless and until the FCC finds that it can comply with the Supreme Court Order by setting new standards that ensure the health and safety of all living things.

I hereby request that you move forward to reinstall analog meters until smart meters and radio frequency meters are proven safe.

Tammy L, Leno
Member Number 71204

Also, please add my testimony as follows:

When I went to Morrisville last Saturday, May 2, 2026 to the herb shop on Pleasant Street I left feeling like my brain had been completely wiped. There are towers facing the building in close proximity. The food cooperative is next door to the herb shop. What a situation! Two businesses that support health with towers beaming them. On the way back home I stopped at the Hardwick food cooperative which is also under wireless technology with a huge tower visible on the landscape. As I continued toward home I stopped to drop off some things to my son in Woodbury. He has a job he really likes except for the fact that he had been riding with his boss to jobs and he has a cell phone on him. My son stated that he had a pounding headache and was going to have to drive himself to work to avoid the exposure. My son also stated that he cannot be exposed to cell phones any longer.

It is time to end the use of all wireless technology and dismantle all towers and antennas. There will have to be recovery centers created to help

people overcome their addictions to this technology as it acts on the opioid receptors. People that use wireless technology are literally just like heroin addicts. They could become dangerous if they can no longer use their devices.

Please also read the following:

Validity of FCC Regulation on Health and Environmental Arguments

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulation prohibiting local and state governments from arguing against wireless infrastructure based on health and environmental concerns is under scrutiny, particularly after the **August 2021 federal court ruling**.

Legal Background

In the case of **Environmental Health Trust et al. v. FCC**, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit ruled that the FCC's decision to retain its 1996 guidelines for wireless radiation exposure limits was “**arbitrary and capricious.**” The court found that the FCC failed to adequately review significant scientific evidence regarding health and environmental risks associated with radiofrequency (RF) radiation, particularly non-cancer effects and children's vulnerabilities.

FCC's Current Standing

Since the court ruling:

- The FCC **has not appealed** this decision, which means the court's order stands.
- The court mandated the FCC to **provide a reasoned explanation** for why its existing limits remain valid, rather than simply relying on past assessments.

This lack of response from the FCC raises questions about the validity of its previous regulations that limit local and state governments in discussing health and environmental impacts. If the FCC cannot substantiate its claims regarding safety, it potentially loses the authority to regulate these arguments at local and state levels.

Implications of the Ruling

The court's ruling indicates that:

- The FCC can no longer uphold its **1996 guidelines** without addressing the new scientific evidence.
- Local and state governments may be able to criticize or challenge wireless installations based on health and environmental grounds, particularly if the FCC does not substantiate its regulations.

Conclusion

Overall, the FCC's prior restrictions on local and state governments regarding health arguments are increasingly challenged legally. The **August 2021 ruling** has effectively placed the FCC's authority in limbo until it complies with the court's mandate. This scenario creates a significant opening for local and state governments to re-evaluate how they approach the regulation of wireless infrastructures based on health and environmental considerations.

Search Results

- [Landmark Federal Court Decision On Wireless Radiation ...ehsciences.org](#)
- [Telecom DecisionLexology](#)
- [J Environmental Health Trust v. Federal Communications ...Justia](#)
- [Court Of Appeals Orders FTC to Review Guidelineswww.bhpp.com](#)