

To begin with, why is a dangerous technology being installed anywhere? Then an opt out is allowed. Then a cost will be incurred to protect yourself from a dangerous technology that shouldn't be installed. The insanity of this process is absurd.

As a member of WEC, my bill reflects the following:

- (1) a Customer Charge
- (2) an Energy Charge
- (3) an Energy Efficiency Charge

The cost of my electricity without these charges is the smallest amount on my bill.

Now, if I want to protect myself from radiation and dirty electricity, I'll need to pay an Opt Out Charge.

I am on a fixed income and prices have risen on food, gasoline, fuel to heat my home (wood), car repairs, tire change overs as well as license, registration and inspection fees, insurance, medicine, clothing and any other incidentals required to live.

My town (Calais) just reassessed all properties and have nearly doubled, doubled, or nearly tripled the value on everyones property. This will cause many delinquent tax bills in this town and people will be facing losing their homes which will add to the homeless situation in this state. As the Governor tries to figure out how to cover Act 60 this year and next, he will need to dig deeper.

While I am grateful that there is at least an opt out of this dangerous technology I don't feel it is a good idea to charge people more money. If the management feels they want this technology (how unfortunate) then they should be prepared to cover all costs associated with it.

As someone that was born in Montpelier, I am saddened to see how things have deteriorated to an unbearable degree.

Tammy L. Leno