## **DCF BAA Budget Narrative**

| Dept ID | Major Operating    | Description  | GF         | GC   | Other Funds | Total All Funds | Narrative  | 1           |        |
|---------|--------------------|--|------------|--|-------------|-----------------|--|-------------|--------|
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | Realigning TANF earnings and GF between FSD (where we are earning it) and Admin/RUP where we are not.                    | 1           |        |
| Admin   | Personal Services  | TANF Revenue Realignment (DCF Net Neutral)                         | 1,100,000  | -  | (1,100,000  | -               | This aligns with our TANF State plan.  | _           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 |  |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | Carry forward funding to support the new Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System is being moved to                |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | the 1x dept ID that also contains 1x funding for this IT project to allow all funding for the project to sit in one      |             |        |
| Admin   | Personal Services  | CCWIS Carryforward move to 1x appropriation 3440892506             | (1,800,000 | -  | -           | (1,800,000)     | place. This will make monitoring and reporting on the expenses more accurate and efficient.                              | _           |        |
| Admits  | On and the s       | ADC CLA E1CCCO /from ALIC CO\                                      | 405.005    |  | 455.047     | 054.450         | Budget Adjustment to meet DCF's SFY25 portion of the ADS Service Level Agreement that was allocated to AHS               |             |        |
| Admin   | Operating          | ADS SLA 516660 (from AHS CO) Additional ADS SLA 516660 needed      | 495,835    |  | 155,317     |                 | and is now being transferred to various departments.   | Admin Tabal | 44.050 |
| Admin   | Operating          | Additional ADS SEA 516660 fieeded                                  | 595,399    |  | 595,399     | 1,190,798       |  | Admin Total | 41,950 |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | Funding was transferred to DCF from the Defender General by the legislature as part of the SFY25 base budget.            |             |        |
| FCD     | Dorganal Cantings  | Tachnical Carrest Defender Canaral Agreement (DCF Not Neutral)     | 150,000    |  |             | 150,000         | However, it was put in the wrong major operating code. This is to move the budget from grants to personal                |             |        |
| FSD     | Personal Services  | Technical Correct - Defender General Agreement (DCF Net-Neutral)   | 150,000    | <del>-</del>                                     | -           | 150,000         | services, where the expenses will hit.   | 4           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | Funding was provided to increase the BARJ agreements in SFY25 by the legislature. However, it was provided in            | ,           |        |
| FSD     | Personal Services  | BARJ CC Technical Correction (DCF Net-Neutral)                     | _          | (61,141)   |             | (61 141)        | the wrong major operating. This is to move it to grants where the expenses will occur.                                   |             |        |
| 100     | 1 CISONAL OCIVICES | Bit of reclinical confection (Bot Net Neutral)                     | +          | (01,141)   |             | (01,141)        | The wong major operating. This is to move it to grants where the expenses with occur.                                    | 1           |        |
| FSD     | Personal Services  | TANF Revenue Realignment (DCF Net Neutral)                         | (1,338,188 | ) -  | 1,338,188   | _               | Realigning TANF earnings and GF between FSD (where we are earning it) and Admin/RUP where we are not                     |             |        |
|         |                    | (2000)   | (=,===,=== | ,  |             |                 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | DCF recently transitioned FSD to a new RMTS system to allocate social worker time across funding sources,                |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | which also uses a new matrix reviewed by the Public Consulting Group to ensure alignment with federal fund               |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | allocation policies. This is resulting in a projected loss of Targeted Case Management (TCM) and other federal           |             |        |
| FSD     | Personal Services  | Random Moment Time Study (RMTS) Matrix Revenue adjustments         | 2,732,488  | (2,732,488)                                      |             | -               | revenue, which will need to be backfilled with general funds.  |             |        |
| FSD     | Personal Services  | Revenue Realignment with AHS (AHS net-neutral)                     | (594,646   | , , ,  | 594,646     | -               | Realigning federal earnings and GF between AHS central office and FSD.   | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 |  | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | DCF is not able to draw down IV-E funding for any costs when a child is in a residential facility placement              |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | because we are not in compliance with of the Families First Prevention Service Act requirement related to                |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | fingerprint supported background checks for all staff of residential programs. During the prep for the audit, it         |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | was discovered that none of our residential programs are in compliance, nor can be in compliance because the             | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | standard is that programs have completed fingerprint supported background checks prior to a staff person                 |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | starting work. Because finger prints take so long to get processed, residential programs have staff start (they          |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | shadow existing staff) so they can get staff on the floor and keep beds open. If it were not for this requirement,       |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | DCF would be able to draw down IV-E funds for the first 14 days that a child is in a residential placement. GF is        |             |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | IV-E Revenue Replacement   | 525,000    |  | (525,000    | _               | needed to backfill the federal funding that had been budgeted for the costs of the first 14 days of eligible placements. |             |        |
| 130     | Oranics            | 10-L Nevenue neptacement   | 323,000    | <del>                                     </del> | (323,000    | -               | FSD's transportation contracts have come in substantially over budget the past few years, creating a budget              | 4           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | deficit problem for FSD. The relatively limited number of potential providers and the necessity for DCF to               |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | procure these services has resulted in increased cost. This is to right size the transportation budget to align          |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | with the contract values. This includes transportation to schools, between placements, to medical                        |             |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | Transportation Overage   | 1,682,124  | _  | 659,592     | 2.341.716       | appointments, etc  |             |        |
| . 55    | - Crainto          | Transportation or orași  | 2,002,121  |  | 333,332     | 2,0 (2,7 20     | Funding was transferred to DCF from the Defender General by the legislature as part of the SFY25 base budget.            | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | However, it was put in the wrong major operating code. This is to move the budget to Personal Services where             |             |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | Technical Correct - Defender General Agreement (DCF Net-Neutral)   | (150,000   | ) -  | _           | (150,000)       | the expenses will hit.   |             |        |
|         |                    |  | , ,        |  |             | ,               | Funding was provided to DCF by the legislature to support Youth Homeless Services. However, this is best                 | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | administered by OEO through the HOP agreements (not FSD). The funding is being moved to OEO to support                   |             |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | Transfer of Youth Homelessness Funding to OEO (DCF Net Neutral)    | (446,253   | ) -  | _           | (446,253)       | this work.   |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | UVM requires additional funding to continue to provide the child abuse physician contracted services to DCF.             | 1           |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | UVM Child Safe Contract Increase                                   | 50,000     | -  | -           | 50,000          | This is a critical service for our child abuse and neglect investigations.   |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 |  | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | Funding was provided to DCF to support Post Permanency Initiatives by the legislature. However, it was                   |             |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | Post Permanency CC Technical Correction (DCF Net-Neutral)          | (145,926   | ) -  | (124,308    | (270,234)       | provided in the wrong fund codes. This is to move the GF and Federal funds into GC (next two lines).                     | _           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | Funding was provided to DCF to support Post Permanency Initiatives by the legislature. However, it was                   |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | provided in the wrong fund codes. This converts the funding from GF & FF to GC for the total need for the rate           |             |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | Post Permanency GC Conversion (DCF net-neutral; Rate Increase)     | -          | 302,125  | -           | 302,125         | increase at the current caseloads.   | _           |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | Post Permanency GC Conversion (DCF net-neutral; Caseload Increase) | -          | 43,917   | -           | 43,917          | This provides an increase of 13 beneficiaries served per month.  | _           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 |  |             |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 | Funding was provided to increase the BARJ agreements in SFY25 by the legislature. However, it was provided in            | 1           |        |
| FSD     | Grants             | BARJ CC Technical Correction (DCF Net-Neutral)                     | -          | 61,141   | -           | 61,141          | the wrong major operating sections. This is to move it to grants where the expenses will occur.                          | 1           |        |
|         |                    |  |            |  |             |                 |  |             |        |

|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Projected savings based on historical caseload trends across all types of Sub-Care vs. SFY25 Budget Build          |                |              |
|------------|-------------------|---|--------------|-----------|------------|--------------|--|----------------|--------------|
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Duning stieres   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Projections.   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Youth Aging Out: Caseload decreases by (4) cases/month; CPC increase by \$15/month                                 |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Independent Living: Caseload stable 5/month; CPC -(\$128)/month  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Standard Foster Care: Caseload (101)/month; CPC +28/month  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Instate Residential: Caseload (10)/month; CPC +2,119/month   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Out of State Residential: Caseload (3)/month; CPC +\$257/month   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Specialized FC: Caseload +2/month; CPC (142)/month   |                |              |
| FSD (      | Grants            | Sub-Care Caseload Pressures (Exclusive of PNMI Inflation)           | (999,034)    | (702,585) | (106,789)  | (1,808,408)  | Emergency Short Term Care: Caseload +4/month; CPC +\$2,297/month   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Projected savings based on historical caseload trends across all types of Sub-Adopt vs. SFY25 Budget Build         |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Projections.   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Sub Adopt: +33 cases/month @ (\$32) CPC/month  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Perm Guardianship: +11 cases/month @ (\$39) CPC/month  |                |              |
| FSD (      | Grants            | Sub-Adopt Caseload Pressures  | (320,247)    | -         | (322,319)  | (642,566)    | Non-Recurring: +1 case/month @ (\$5) CPC/month   | FSD Total      | (429,703)    |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Funding to current the Addison County Depart Child Center (ADDCC) is being transferred from DML to DCC on          |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Funding to support the Addison County Parent Child Center (ADPCC) is being transferred from DMH to DCF so          |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | it can be included in the CIS bundle. DMH is ending its Integrated Family Services program which had bundled       |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | CIS services into a multi-age program in two AHS regions; DMH is making this change partially in response to       |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | federal changes in expectations for mental health partners (Designated Agencies in Vermont). CDD will now          |                |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | ACPCC Agreement Transfer from DMH (AHS net-neutral)                 | -            | 739,896 . |            |              | support CIS services in same way for all AHS regions.  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | DMH only reimbursed services to Medicaid eligible youth, while DCF provides CIS services to Medicaid and nor       | 1-             |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Medicaid eligible youth per best practices for alternative payment models under CMS. Additional GF is              |                |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | ACPCC Caseload Adjustment   | 184,974      | -         | -          | 184,974      | required to be able to incorporate ADPCC into the CIS bundle rates.  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              |  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Funding to support Northwestern Counseling & Support Services (NCSS) is being transferred from DMH to DCF          |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | so it can be included in the CIS bundle. DMH is ending its Integrated Family Services program which had            |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | bundled CIS services into a multi-age program in two AHS regions; DMH is making this change partially in           |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | response to federal changes in expectations for mental health partners (DAs in Vermont). CDD will now              |                |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | NCSS Transfer from DMH - Reversion of DCF Funding (AHS net-neutral) | -            | 435,988   | -          |              | support CIS services in same way in all AHS regions.   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | DMH only reimbursed services to Medicaid eligible youth, while DCF provides CIS services to Medicaid and nor       | า-             |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Medicaid eligible youth per best practices for alternative payment models under CMS. Additional GF is              |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | required to be able to incorporate NCSS into the CIS bundle rates. (Northwest Counseling and Support Service:      | s              |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | NCSS Caseload Adjustment  | 208,234      | -         | -          | 208,234      | - Franklin and Grande Isle Counties)   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Realigning TANF earnings and GF between FSD (where we are earning it) and CDD where we are not but are             |                |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | TANF Revenue Realignment (DCF Net Neutral)                          | 238,188      | -         | (238,188)  | -            | able to spend GF for Maintenance of Effort requirements (TANF MOE).  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Due to misalignment between SFY and FFY, we have a shortfall in SFY25 of TANF funding for transportation           |                |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | Transportation Contract Underearn                                   | 370,843      | -         | (370,843)  | -            | contracts in CDD   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              |  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | After analysis of our caseload trends, including the populations and number of certificates in each income         |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | bracket, accounting for projected growth in the newly added income brackets through the rest of the SFY, we        |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | are projecting a large surplus in the Child Care Financial Assistance Program. This is due largely to the the time | е              |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | it takes for families in the higher income brackets to learn about and enroll in the program. We used a            |                |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | CCFAP Caseload Savings  | (13,082,592) | -         | -          | (13,082,592) | conservative approach to estimating caseload, so as not to cut too much from the budget.                           |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | In July. the Vermont E-Board adopted the economist projections that the Child Care payroll tax special fund wil    | ι              |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | be \$13M higher than the base appropriation for this fund in SFY25. DCF proposes an increase to the Special        |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Fund and a decrease to the General fund to allow agency GF to be fully utilized to meet other budget               |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | adjustment needs. This special fund is specifically appropriated only for child care needs, so it is important     |                |              |
| CDD        | Grants            | CCFAP July'24 e-Board Revenue shift                                 | (13,200,000) | -         | 13,200,000 |              | that it be spent in this appropriation.  | CDD Total      | (11,513,500) |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | A vacant position and the associated funding is being transferred to OEO to support the new Permanent              |                |              |
| OCS        | Personal Services | Position Transfer to OEO for Permanent Supportive Housing           | (36,635)     | -         | (59,231)   | (95,866)     | Supportive Housing program.  | OCS Total      | (95,866)     |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | The state has an agreement with the Feds to process our AABD payments on our behalf - the cost per payment         |                |              |
| AABD F     | Personal Services | Payment Admin Caseload Adjustment                                   | 229,535      | -         | -          | 229,535      | has increased, causing a financial pressure for DCF.   |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | The total projected cost of beneficiary payments for the AABD program is estimated to be lower than projected.     |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | We are projecting 13,699 payments per month at an average of \$58 per payment. We had budgeted based on a          |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | projection of 14,323 cases/month @ \$58 per payment. Projections are based on SFY24 actuals and prior year         |                |              |
| AABD       | Grants            | Benefit Caseload Adjustment (no EP)                                 | (236,825)    | (232,043) | -          | (468,868)    |  |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | The total projected cost of Essential Persons payments for the AABD program is estimated to be higher than         |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | budgeted. For SFY25, we are projecting 149 payments per month at an average of \$506 per payment. We had           |                |              |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | budgeted based on a projection of 144 cases/month @ \$454 per payment. Projections are based on SFY24              |                |              |
| AABD       | Grants            | Essential Persons Caseload Pressures                                | -            | 120,579   | -          |              | actuals and prior year trends  | AABD Total     | (118,754)    |
|            |                   |   |              |           |            |              | Based on prior year caseloads - projected need is lower than the budget in SFY25. Support Services provides        |                | _            |
|            |                   | Support Services Caseload Adj                                       | (106,093)    | (27,019)  |            |              | funding for Burials, Physicians, Dental and Abortions.   |                |              |
| GA         |                   | Personal Needs Issuance (PNI) Caseload Adj                          | (218,265)    |           | (250)      |              | Based on prior year caseloads - projected need is lower than the budget in SFY25.                                  | GA Total       | (351,627)    |
| 3Squares ( | Grants            | 3 Squares Regular Benefit caseload pressures                        | _            | - 1       | 1,300,000  | 1.300.000    | Additional federal spending authority needed based on prior year caseload trends.                                  | 3Squares Total | 1,300,000    |

|                  |                          |  | (21,963,021)      | (2,051,630) | 15.719.019 | (8,295,632)  |  |           |           |
|------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| 1x               |                          |  |                   |             |            | -            |  | 1x Total  | 2,800,000 |
| 1x               | Operating                | Comprehensive Child Welfare Info System (CCWIS)  | 1,800,000         |             |            | 1,800,000    | This will make monitoring and reporting on the funds more streamlined and accurate.  |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | a 1x dept ID that also contains funding for this IT project to allow all funding for the project to sit in one place.  |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | Carry forward funding to support the new Comprehensive Child Welfare Information System is being moved t   |           |           |
| <u> </u>         | Орогиина                 | Training (999) training  | 3-10,000          |             | 555,500    | 1,000,000    | process.   | ┨         |           |
| 1 <sub>Y</sub>   | Operating                | Mainframe (OCS) Transition Planning  | 340.000           |             | 660,000    | 1,000,000    |  | ·         |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | requested to be able to leverage \$660K of Federal funding to conduct a feasibility study as the first step of this  |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | both the cost of a new system, and the feasibility study (which is required by the feds). \$340K of GF is  |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | can also not stay on ACCESS either. OCS put out an RFI and received cost estimates from various bidders fo   |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | migrated through the IE&E VTIES program. OCS was not included in IE&E as it is not a benefit program, but it   |           |           |
| 10tat (110 12/3) |                          |  | (24,100,021)      | (2,001,000) | 10,000,010 | (11,000,002) | OCS will soon be the last division remaining on the ACCESS mainframe as other ESD/DVHA programs are  |           |           |
| Total (no 1xs)   | Orunts                   | Transfer of Tourist Terror of Terror | (24,103,021)      | (2,051,630) | 15,059,019 | (11,095,632) |  | OLO TOTAL | 071,000   |
| OEO              | Grants                   | Transfer of Youth Homeless Shelter Funding (DCF Net Neutral)   | 446,253           | _           | _          | 116 253      | this work.   | OEO Total | 571,863   |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | administered by OEO through the HOP agreements (not FSD). The funding is being moved to OEO to support   |           |           |
| OLO              | Grants                   | Shetter Expansion Funding Shift (DOF Net Neutral)  | (100,000)         | -           | -          | (100,000)    | Funding was provided to DCF by the legislature to support Youth Homeless Services. However, this is best   |           |           |
| OEO              | Personal Services Grants | Position Transfer to OEO for Permanent Supportive Housing (1 FTE)  Shelter Expansion Funding Shift (DCF Net Neutral)   | (100,000)         | -           | 62,805     |              | Funding to support the vacant position that is transferring from OCS to OEO.  Funding to support a LTS position needs to be moved from grants to Personal Services | -         |           |
| OEO<br>OEO       | Personal Services        |  | 100,000<br>62,805 | -           | 62,805     |              | Funding to support a LTS position needs to be moved from grants to Personal Services Funding to support the vacant position that is transferring from OCS to OEO.  | _         |           |
| RUP              | Grants                   | Caseload Pressures (Consultant Low End)  | (499,995)         | -           | -          | (499,995)    |  | RUP Total | (499,995) |
|                  |                          | Overland Brown (Overland Love Fort)  |                   |             |            |              | SFY25 baseline budget of 3,456 cases/month @ \$672 CPC> Projected SFY25 3,293 cases/month @ \$686  |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | decreasing, resulting in net caseload savings.   |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | trending upwards over the past few years, the number of cases per month, as forecasted by our consultant, is   |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | combined with the average cost per case from SFY24. This shows that while the cost per case has been   |           |           |
|                  |                          |  |                   |             |            |              | Casetoad adjustment based on the projections of our consultant with expertise in these types of projections  |           |           |