## First Regular Session Seventy-fourth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

## **INTRODUCED**

LLS NO. 23-0509.01 Jane Ritter x4342

**HOUSE BILL 23-1042** 

#### **HOUSE SPONSORSHIP**

Bacon,

### SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Gonzales,

# **House Committees**

#### **Senate Committees**

Judiciary

# A BILL FOR AN ACT WING ADMISSIBILITY STANDARDS F

101 CONCERNING NARROWING ADMISSIBILITY STANDARDS FOR JUVENILE
102 STATEMENTS TO PROTECT THE VOLUNTARINESS OF SUCH
103 STATEMENTS.

## **Bill Summary**

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at <a href="http://leg.colorado.gov">http://leg.colorado.gov</a>.)

The bill makes any statement or admission obtained during a juvenile custodial interrogation in which a law enforcement official knowingly uses deception prior to or during the custodial interrogation presumptively inadmissible against the juvenile in an evidentiary hearing unless the prosecution proves by a preponderance of the evidence and

based on the totality of the circumstances that the statement or admission was made voluntarily. In assessing the totality of the circumstances, the court shall consider all evidence presented concerning the juvenile's vulnerability to any deception used during the custodial interrogation.

The bill requires law enforcement officials to electronically record all juvenile custodial interrogations.

The bill directs the P.O.S.T. board to develop a live, virtual, interactive training program for peace officers on the enforcement of laws related to custodial interrogation of juveniles to ensure uniform interpretation of the law. The state shall cover any local law enforcement agency costs associated with the training.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: 2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 19-2.5-203, add (8) 3 as follows: 4 19-2.5-203. Statements - definitions. (8) (a) A STATEMENT OR 5 ADMISSION BY A JUVENILE MADE AS A RESULT OF THE JUVENILE'S 6 CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION BY A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL OR AGENT 7 IS PRESUMPTIVELY INADMISSIBLE AGAINST THE JUVENILE AT ANY 8 SUBSEQUENT JUDICIAL PROCEEDING IF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL 9 OR AGENT KNOWINGLY USED DECEPTION PRIOR TO OR DURING THE 10 CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION, UNLESS THE PROSECUTION ESTABLISHES AT 11 AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING PRIOR TO TRIAL, BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE 12 EVIDENCE AND BASED ON THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT 13 THE STATEMENT OR ADMISSION WAS MADE VOLUNTARILY DESPITE THE 14 DECEPTION. IN ASSESSING THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES, THE 15 COURT SHALL CONSIDER ALL EVIDENCE PRESENTED CONCERNING THE 16 JUVENILE'S VULNERABILITY TO ANY DECEPTION USED DURING THE 17 CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION. 18 (b) A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL OR AGENT SHALL 19 ELECTRONICALLY RECORD ALL JUVENILE CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS

-2- HB23-1042

1	PURSUANT TO SECTION 10-3-001 WITHOUT REGARD TO THE NATURE OF THE
2	OFFENSE THAT THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL OR AGENT REASONABLY
3	BELIEVES IS BEING INVESTIGATED.
4	(c) As used in this subsection (8):
5	(I) "AGENT" MEANS A PERSON WHO SPEAKS DURING A CUSTODIAL
6	INTERROGATION OF THE JUVENILE.
7	(II) "DECEPTION" MEANS TO KNOWINGLY COMMUNICATE ONE OR
8	MORE FALSE FACTS OR BELIEFS TO A JUVENILE WHO IS THE SUBJECT OF A
9	CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION. A FALSE FACT OR BELIEF MAY INCLUDE BUT
10	IS NOT LIMITED TO STATEMENTS REGARDING EVIDENCE OR UNAUTHORIZED
11	STATEMENTS REGARDING POTENTIAL LENIENCY BY A LAW ENFORCEMENT
12	OFFICIAL, PROSECUTOR, JUDGE, JUROR, OR ANY OTHER JUVENILE OFFICER.
13	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 24-31-303, add
14	(1)(u) as follows:
15	24-31-303. Duties - powers of the P.O.S.T. board - definition.
16	(1) The P.O.S.T. board has the following duties:
17	(u) TO DEVELOP A LIVE VIRTUAL TRAINING PROGRAM FOR PEACE
18	OFFICERS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SECTION 19-2.5-203 (8) TO ENSURE
19	UNIFORM ENFORCEMENT OF THE LAW. THE STATE SHALL PROVIDE THIS
20	TRAINING ON AT LEAST TEN DIFFERENT DATES PRIOR TO FEBRUARY 28,
21	2024. THE STATE SHALL COVER ANY REASONABLE DIRECT COSTS TO LOCAL
22	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRAINING.
23	NOTWITHSTANDING SECTION 24-31-310(3), THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY MAY
24	APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO CARRY OUT THE
25	PURPOSES OF THIS SUBSECTION (1)(u). THE TRAINING MUST INCLUDE, AT
26	A MINIMUM, EDUCATION FOR PEACE OFFICERS ON:
	(I) Understanding juvenile development and culture and

-3- HB23-1042

1	THEIR IMPACT ON INTERVIEWS OF JUVENILES AND CUSTODIAL
2	INTERROGATIONS OF JUVENILES;
3	(II) INTERPRETING JUVENILE BEHAVIOR DURING AN INTERVIEW OR
4	CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION;
5	(III) TECHNIQUES FOR BUILDING AND ESTABLISHING RAPPORT WITH
6	JUVENILES;
7	(IV) CONSTRUCTING AGE-APPROPRIATE STATEMENTS AND
8	QUESTIONS FOR INTERVIEWS OF JUVENILES AND CUSTODIAL
9	INTERROGATIONS OF JUVENILES; AND
10	(V) CAUTIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR INTERVIEWING AND
11	INTERROGATING JUVENILES IN CUSTODY, INCLUDING HOW TO REDUCE THE
12	LIKELIHOOD OF FALSE OR COERCED CONFESSIONS.
13	SECTION 3. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act
14	takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the
15	ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly; except
16	that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V
17	of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this
18	act within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take
19	effect unless approved by the people at the general election to be held in
20	November 2024 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the
21	official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.

-4- HB23-1042