## **AHS SFY24 Gov Rec**

DeptId	Major Operating	Description	GF	GC	Total All Funds	Narrative
						Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate
Admin	Personal Services	Salary & Fringe	967,240	-	1,732,148	adjustments for state employee salaries.
						The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state
Admin	Personal Services	Retirement Rate Increase	381,377	-	722,364	employees per budget instructions.
						Technical adjustment to align with the special fund cash balance
Admin	Personal Services	SF Revenue Correction		-	(1,786)	(21235)
						Expenses associated with 2 class action RFRs in Admin (ADPC
						Supervisors in ESD and Grants and Contracts Managers in the
Admin	Personal Services	Class Action RFRs	47,790	-	61,606	Business Office)
						We are aligning our Target Case Management GC revenue with where
						it was earned. In SFY22, more TCM was earned in the Admin Dept ID
						than the budget allowed, so we are swapping GF for GC with the FSD
Admin	Personal Services	TCM Technical Adjustment (DCF Net Neutral)	(250,443)	250,443	-	Dept ID. This is a net neutral move across DCF.
						As part of the \$55M Child Care Initiative, we are requesting funding to
						support one operational position for the DCF Business. This will be
						needed to support the additional work load in grants and contracts,
Admin	Personal Services	Business Office Operational Support Position	83,079	-	•	payments and monitoring and compliance.
						Savings taken from Travel budget based on our unspent balance from
Admin	Operating	Travel Savings	(128,117)	(603)	(199,674)	SFY22
						DCF's portion of the change to the ADS Internal Services fund budget
Admin	Operating	ISF ADS	1,023	-	1,372	for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.
						DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
Admin	Operating	ISF DHR	8,779	-	20,008	spending totals.
						DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
Admin	Operating	ISF VISION	40,724	-	54,111	spending totals.
						DCF's portion of the change to the Insurance Internal Services fund
						budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending
Admin	Operating	ISF Property/Commercial Insurance	1,336	-	1,759	
						Funding to support operational costs of an additional position (part of
Admin	Operating	Child Care Initiatives - Operating	4,976	-	•	the Child Care Initiative)
						Our Refugee Assistance program has seen an increase in costs in the
Admin	Grants	Refugee Assistance	-	-	,	past year. This is IDT funded through AHS
						Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate
FSD	Personal Services	Salary & Fringe	882,881		1,424,497	adjustments for state employee salaries.
						The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state
FSD	Personal Services	Retirement Rate Increase	317,315		472,631	employees per budget instructions.

and on weekends as a re staffing shortages. This is and is using OT that FSD	asked to support crisis staffing over nights sult of high levels of need and contractor
and on weekends as a re staffing shortages. This is and is using OT that FSD	
staffing shortages. This is and is using OT that FSD	sult at high levels at need and contractor
and is using OT that FSD	_
	is untenable in terms of burnout for FSD staff
lalso out of scope of our s	does not have the budget to support. This is
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	social worker class. This crisis staffing
	o ensure coverage for crisis situations as they
	ng the health and well being of our DCF
	nnualization of a DCF BAA budget item.
	action RFR for resource coordinators (18
FSD Personal Services FY24 Impact of Position Class Action Reclassification Requests 134,782 177,532 positions)	
	nagement GC funding was earned in Admin
	ing a technical adjustment to swap GF in
	is is net neutral across the department.
FSD Personal Services Vacancy Savings (134,893) (64,413) (286,154) Increasing our vacancy sa	
	to DMH for NFI in the past. We now pay
	, so they are returning the funds that we had
Personal Services Institute (BAA item, AHS net-neutral) 73,666 - 73,666 transferred to them.	
DCF's portion of the char	nge to the Workers Compensation internal
Services fund budget for	SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on
FSD Personal Services Internal Services Workers Compensation (5,787) - (6,910) SFY23 spending totals.	
FSD uses temp employee	es to cover our Centralized Intake and
Emergency Services (CIES	S) workload. FSD is proposing eliminating 15
of these temporary posit	tions to repurpose the funding to cover 5
Elimination 15 Centralized Intake and Emergency Services permanent positions. Th	nis is actually a slight cost savings, and will
FSD Personal Services (CIES) Temps - (501,691) allow more continuity in	out services.
FSD uses temp employee	es to cover our Centralized Intake and
Emergency Services (CIES	S) workload. FSD is proposing eliminating 15
· · · · ·	tions to repurpose the funding to cover 5
	nis is actually a slight cost savings, and will
FSD Personal Services Conversion of CIES Temps to 5 Permanent Positions 116,925 - 490,540 allow more continuity in	out services.
Savings taken from Trave	el budget based on our unspent balance from
FSD Operating Travel Savings (167,788) (3) (231,795) SFY22	
DCF's portion of the char	nge to the Human Resources Internal Services
fund budget for SFY24. P	roportioned across DCF based on SFY23
FSD Operating ISF DHR 3,893 4,333 spending totals.	
DCF's portion of the char	nge to the Fee for Space Internal Services
fund budget for SFY24. P	roportioned across DCF based on SFY23
FSD Operating ISF Fee for Space (21,669) - (29,075) spending totals.	
	nge to the VISION system Internal Services
fund budget for SFY24. P	roportioned across DCF based on SFY23
FSD Operating ISF VISION 26,060 - 43,372 spending totals.	
DCF's portion of the char	nge to the Insurance Internal Services fund
budget for SFY24. Propor	rtioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending
FSD Operating ISF General Liability 868 - 966 totals.	
Caseload analysis resulting	ng in increased cost for sub-adopt caseload.
This follows trends we ha	ave seen over the past two years of actual
costs. We are projecting	;:
-Subsidized Adoptions: 2	,605 cases/month @ \$656 per case
-Permanent Guardianshi	p: 51 cases/month @ \$748 per case
FSD Grants Subsidized Adoption Caseload (125,449) - (256,473) -Non-Recurring: 19 cases	s/month @ \$2,000 per case

						Caseload analysis resulting in increased cost for substitute care
						caseload. This follows trends we have seen over the past two years of
						actual costs. We are projecting:
						-Youth Aging Out: 30 cases/month @ \$607 per case
						-Independent Living: 5 cases/month @ \$728 per case
						-Standard Foster Care: 719 cases/month @ \$707 per case
						-In State Group Care: 61 cases/month @ \$16,928 per case
						-Out of State Group Care: 57 cases/month @ \$13,053 per case
						-Specialized Foster Care: 115 cases/month @ \$3,717 per case
FSD	Grants	Substitute Care Caseload	(170,754)	412,511	187,528	-Emergency Care: 25 cases/month @ \$9,374 per case
		Transfer of Counseling Service of Addison County (CSAC)				
		Intensive Family Based Services (IFBS) to DMH (BAA item,				Intensive Family Based Services - Transfer of funding to DMH as DMH
FSD	Grants	AHS net-neutral)	(29,723)		(29,723)	holds the contract for this work.
		Case Rate Transfer to DMH for Washington County Mental				Transfer of funding to DMH to cover DCF portion of WCMH rate
FSD	Grants	Health (WCMH) Rate Increase (BAA item, AHS net-neutral)	-	(97,070)	(97,070)	increase
						Funding for the Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ) program is
		Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ) Funding Adjustment				now Investment GC eligible as a result of the waiver negotiations.
FSD	Grants	(BAA item)	(1,271,998)	1,271,998	-	This is a technical adjustment to reflect this change.
						As a result of being able to draw in federal funding for investment GC
						eligible expenses, DCF would like to use some of the GF savings to
FSD	Grants	BARJ Global Commitment Investment Expansion (BAA item)	-	297,053	297,053	increase the agreements.
						Funding for the Lund Project Family program is now Investment GC
						eligible. This is a technical adjustment to reflect this change. This
						generates some GF savings by leveraging Medicaid revenue for a
FSD	Grants	Lund Substance Abuse Medicaid Transition	(736,595)	736,595	-	portion of the eligible expenses.
						Currently, the PNMI rates are set based on the actual expenditures
						from the most recent audited program financials. This represents a
						delay of about 18-24 months between the expenditures and the rate
						adjustment. The inflation factor would be applied each year to
						programs to minimize the discrepancy between the 2 year old costs
FSD	Grants	PNMI Inflation Factor	216,640	1,683,360	1,900,000	and the current rate.
						Some Private Non-Medical Institutions (PNMIs) have communicated
						that they will not be willing to partner with Vermont within the PNMI
						rate setting process after 6/30. This GF funding will allow DCF to
						continue to contract for a portion of these beds outside of the PNMI
						process. In order to stabilize the current capacity of our system of
						care, we're proposing an interim solution to maintain VSG's
						operations. We know this is not a long term solution, so we will
						continue to work with them to bring them back into the Medicaid
						program by FY25 given the PNMI rate methodology change also
FSD	Grants	PNMI System of Care Stabilization	2,850,046	(2,850,046)	-	proposed in Gov. Rec.
						FSD contracts with UVM to provide support and training to their social
						workers. This contract has been level funded for 3 years (year prior
						was decrease), but there has been an increase in facilities &
						administration expense, and the new collective bargaining agreement
						for the staff has led to increased expenses for UVM as well. These
FSD	Grants	UVM Child Welfare Training Partnership Rate Increase	100,000	-	100,000	funds are used to match Title IVE training funds at 75% FFP.

	Grants	Children's Integrated Services (CIS) Technical Correction	(319,168)	710,880		Grants to Personal services)
						incorrect account codes. This corrects that (net \$0 transfer from
CDD	Grants	FMAP IVE Savings	(114,501)		-	Adjustment to account for higher FMAP rate in SFY24 CIS Rate increase approved by legislature last year was put into the
CDD	Grants	Trust Fund	- (444.504)		, , ,	fund from the DCF grants budget.
00.0		Vermont Children's Tax Check Off SF Transfer to Children's				through DCF. This removes the spending authority for this special
						transferred directly to the Children's Trust Fund instead of passing
			T			Per H.378, the children's tax check off special funds will now be
CDD	Operating	Child Care Initiatives - Operating	30,427		•	that are supporting the child care initiative
	Operating		13,024			Operating (Equipment, space, supplies, travel, etc) for new staff
CDD	Operating	ISF VISION	15,824			spending totals.
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
CDD	Oheraring	ISI DIIII	1,010			DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services
CDD	Operating	ISF DHR	1,816			fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.
						DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services
CDD	Operating	Trust Fund	-			fund from the DCF operating budget.
		Vermont Children's Tax Check Off SF Transfer to Children's				through DCF. This removes the spending authority for this special
						transferred directly to the Children's Trust Fund instead of passing
			T			Per H.378, the children's tax check off special funds will now be
CDD	Operating	Operating Savings	(27,799)			from SFY22
						Savings taken from operating budget based on our unspent balance
CDD	Operating	Fund Technical Correction			(41)	Remove remaining \$41 of IDT. CDD no longer has any IDT earnings.
CDD	Operating	ISF Technical Correction	-	-	-	Correct split between Medicaid and Investment GC from prior year.
CDD	Personal Services	Staffing for Child Care Initiatives (5 positions)	456,095	-	493,171	capacity to effectively manage this work.
						additional 5 positions to CDD to ensure that the division has the
						administration of these initiatives. This budget proposes adding an
						CDD budget. Consequently additional staff are required to support
						below), an increase that is equivalent to almost 1/2 of the current
CDD	Personal Services	CIS Technical Correction	319,100	(710,880)	200	This budget proposes over \$56M in child care investments (outlined
CDD	Personal Services	CIS Technical Correction	319,168	(710,880)	200	incorrect account codes. This corrects that (net \$0 transfer from Grants to Personal services)
						CIS Rate increase approved by legislature last year was put into the
CDD	Personal Services	Vacancy Savings	(44,891)			Vacancy savings being increased to 3.5%
CDD		Retirement Rate Increase	31,095		•	employees per budget instructions.
						The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state
CDD	Personal Services	Salary & Fringe	90,367		202,884	adjustments for state employee salaries.
						Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate
FSD	Grants	FFPSA IVE Earnings Adjustment	(500,000)		<u> </u>	group care.
						certified and we are able to draw in the federal revenue for substitute
						by general funds. This represents savings of GF as more PNMIs are
						days. Right now all costs of placements after the first 15 days are paic
						This will allow us to earn IV-E revenue for placements after the first 14
		- Company of the Comp				DCF is working with PNMIs to help them become FFPSA compliant.
FSD	Grants	FMAP IVE Savings	(418,870)			Savings from Increase to FMAP for IVE
FSD	Grants	Spectrum Grant Transfer to OEO (DCF net Neutral)	(150,000)			DCF's appropriations.
						providers' contracts. It only impacts where the budget sits within
						office. This will not have impact on services provided or the
						OEO have agreements with the vendor so we are consolidating in one

CCDF ARPA funding was baselined in previous budgets, but the total available ARPA Stabilization funding will be exhausted in SFY23. We display the company will be exhausted in SFY23. We (13,230,000) are removing it from the SFY24 budget to reflect this.  This funding will support establishing geographical equity in Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP). Currently the subsidy reimbursement rate is lowest for 1.5 tesp Ahead Recognition System (STARS) programs and increases incrementally with each STAR the program series depending on availability of care in their region of Vermont. If a family lives or works in a well-resourced region, they tend to have a variety of child care programs to choose from. If a family lives or works in a less resourced region of the state, they may only have acress to one child care program winch could only be rated as a 1, 2, or 3 on the STARS scale. To ensure that the amount the state pays in subsidy is not dependent on the region a household live in the STARS scale. To ensure that the amount the state pays in subsidy is not dependent on the region a household live in the STARS states gooks; in St44,045 would allow the state to pay every the STARS states gostem. S414,045 would allow the state to pay every the STARS states gostem. S414,045 would allow the state to pay every the STARS states gostem. S414,045 would allow the state to pay every the STARS states gostem. S414,045 would allow the state to pay every the STARS states gostem. S414,045 would allow the state to pay every the state of the state of the state of pay every the state of the			T				
COD Grants Remove American Rescue Plan (ARPA) funds from Baseline  - (13,230,000) are removing it from the SFY24 budget to reflect this.  This funding will support establishing geographical equity in child care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP) Equity  Payments  COD Grants School Age Capacity  COD Grants School Ag	CDD	Grants	CIS Utilization Increase	174,000	776,830	950,830	as we got to November/December, we would evaluate which regions had underspending due to low caseload utilization and which districts were overspending due to higher than projected caseloads. However, in 2022 we found that the amount of underspend in low caseload districts did not cover the increased needs in high caseload districts. We were able to use one-time carry forward surplus to fill the gap in needs in December 2022, but we anticipate that this will not be possible in future years. For this reason, we are requesting funding to increase the CIS contracts to account for caseload utilization.  CCDF ARPA funding was baselined in previous budgets, but the total
This funding will support establishing geographical equity in Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP). Currently the subsidy reimbursement rate is lowest for 1 Sep Ahead Recognition System (STARS) programs and increases incrementally with each STAR the program earns. Currently, a household's contribution towards child care varies depending on availability of care in their region of Vermont. If a family lives or works in a well-resourced region, they tend to have a variety of findlic care program to choose from. If a family lives or works in a less resourced region of the state, they may only have access to one child care program which could only be rated as a 1 2, or 3 on the STARS scale. To ensure that the amount the state pays in subsidy is not dependent on the region a household lives in, the Governor proposes no longer be trying the subsidy payment to the STARS rating system. \$414,045 would allow the state to pay every program the maximum amount for all types of child care slots.  This funding will expand the number of school age children served. DCF currently has 1,965 of school-aged children enrolled in CCFAP, but DCF believes that upwards of 7,500 students would be eligible for afterschool and summer enrichment activities and meet CCFAP eligibility. CDD, in partnerships with Vermont Afterschool, is working with existing afferschool programs to open with the expectation that CCFAP will be accepted as a form of payment. This funding is critical to support the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once they are available.  CDD Grants School Age Capacity 6,962,587 6,962,587 they are available.  Last year the Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP) was increased to 350% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). This year we propose increasing to 400% of the FPL at the 75th percentile to	CDD	Grants	Remove American Rescue Plan (ARPA) funds from Baseline	_		(13 230 000)	
DCF currently has 1,965 of school-aged children enrolled in CCFAP, but DCF believes that upwards of 7,500 students would be eligible for afterschool and summer enrichment activities and meet CCFAP eligibility. CDD, in partnerships with Vermont Afterschool, is working with existing afterschool programs to accept CCFAP, as well as encouraging new programs to open with the expectation that CCFAP will be accepted as a form of payment. This funding is critical to support the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in the concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in the concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in the concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in the concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in the concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in the concept of the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in the conce			Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP) Equity	414,045			This funding will support establishing geographical equity in Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP). Currently the subsidy reimbursement rate is lowest for 1 Step Ahead Recognition System (STARS) programs and increases incrementally with each STAR the program earns. Currently, a household's contribution towards child care varies depending on availability of care in their region of Vermont. If a family lives or works in a well-resourced region, they tend to have a variety of child care programs to choose from. If a family lives or works in a less resourced region of the state, they may only have access to one child care program which could only be rated as a 1, 2, or 3 on the STARS scale. To ensure that the amount the state pays in subsidy is not dependent on the region a household lives in, the Governor proposes no longer be tying the subsidy payment to the STARS rating system. \$414,045 would allow the state to pay every
CDD   Grants   CCFAP 400% Expansion   46,048,791   46,048,791   remain in compliance.	CDD	Grants	School Age Capacity	6,962,587		6,962,587	This funding will expand the number of school age children served. DCF currently has 1,965 of school-aged children enrolled in CCFAP, but DCF believes that upwards of 7,500 students would be eligible for afterschool and summer enrichment activities and meet CCFAP eligibility. CDD, in partnerships with Vermont Afterschool, is working with existing afterschool programs to accept CCFAP, as well as encouraging new programs to open with the expectation that CCFAP will be accepted as a form of payment. This funding is critical to support the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once they are available.  Last year the Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP) was increased to 350% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). This year we propose increasing to 400% of the FPL at the 75th percentile to
	CDD	Grants	CCFAP 400% Expansion	46,048,791		46,048,791	remain in compliance.

CDD contracts with the Community Child Care Support Agencies (CCCSA Organizations) to conduct eligibility reviews and referrals. We will need to increase these contracts to account for the significant increase in the number of households that they will now need to screen for eligibility and referral as part of the CCFAP PPL and school 5,000,000 age expansion.  CCCCFAP 400% Community Child Care Support Agencies Eligibility & Referral contracts  CCCFAP 400% Eligibility & Referral contracts  CCCFAP 400% Eligibility & Referral as part of the CCFAP PPL and school 5,000,000 age expansion.  CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
OCS Personal Services Salary & Fringe 119,521 315,932 adjustments for state employee salaries.  OCS Personal Services Retirement Rate Increase 71,422 188,700 employees per budget instructions.  OCS Operating Travel Savings (12,278) (40,126) SFY22  OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 3,837 and verage of 3,350 cases per month @ 5678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 of three.
OCS Personal Services Salary & Fringe 119,521 315,932 adjustments for state employee salaries.  The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state employee per budget instructions.  Savings taken from Travel budget based on our unspent balance from (40,126) SFY22  OCS Operating Travel Savings (12,278) DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 233 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  Whe have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ 5678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household RUP.  RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 8,395,739 of three.
OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  OCS Operating OCS Operating OCS Operating OCS Operating ISF VISION 3,837 Spending totals.  OCS Operating OCS O
OCS Personal Services Retirement Rate Increase 71,422 188,700 employees per budget instructions.  Savings taken from Travel budget based on our unspent balance from Savings taken from Travel budget based on our unspent balance from OCS Operating Travel Savings (12,278) (40,126) SFY22  OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ S678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household not received.  RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 8,395,739 of three.
OCS Operating Travel Savings (12,278) (40,126) Sry22  DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234 Spending totals.  DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 Spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 case per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in an increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.  RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 8,395,739 of three.
OCS Operating Travel Savings (12,278) (40,126) SFY22  DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 operating totals.  DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ 5678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234  OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234  DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ S678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234 spending totals.  OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234 spending totals.  DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
OCS Operating ISF DHR 70 234 spending totals.  DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23  9,457 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
OCS Operating ISF VISION 2,837 9,457 spending totals.  We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household  RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 8,395,739 6 three.
CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.
increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three.  RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 8,395,739 of three.
RUP Grants Caseload Needs used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household 8,395,739 of three.
RUP Grants Caseload Needs increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household 8,395,739 of three.
RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 an increase of approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household 8,395,739 of three.
RUP Grants Caseload Needs 8,395,739 8,395,739 of three.
Lund Treatment is now regular Medicaid GC (rather than Investment
GC) eligible as a result of the latest waiver negotiations. This is a
technical adjustment but will allow us to earn Medicaid for all eligible
treatment expenses at Lund. When it was funded with investment,
RUP Grants Lund Transfer GC Adj there was a cap to the amount of Medicaid that could be earned.
tillere was a cap to the amount of Medicald that could be earned.
Implementation costs of Act 133 that was passed in the prior year's
legislative session. This accounts for an increase in the age of eligible
children to "under 22", an increase in the Earned Income Disregard
from \$250 to \$350, Increase in the amount of the child support
I INICROGORATION LEITO L'IIII NOR MONTO ON INCROSO IN THE CALLED
disregard from \$50 to \$100 per month and an increase in the child
Reach Up Bill Financial Impact (Act 133 - 6 months of support pass through from \$50 to \$100 per month. This is the cost to
Reach Up Bill Financial Impact (Act 133 - 6 months of implementation in SFY24)  Reach Up Bill Financial Impact (Act 133 - 6 months of implementation in SFY24)  Support pass through from \$50 to \$100 per month. This is the cost to support 1/2 a year, as the changes go into effect on 1/1/24
Reach Up Bill Financial Impact (Act 133 - 6 months of implementation in SFY24)  127,890  Support pass through from \$50 to \$100 per month. This is the cost to support 1/2 a year, as the changes go into effect on 1/1/24  We have multiple agreements with the same vendor so consolidating
Reach Up Bill Financial Impact (Act 133 - 6 months of implementation in SFY24)  Reach Up Bill Financial Impact (Act 133 - 6 months of implementation in SFY24)  Support pass through from \$50 to \$100 per month. This is the cost to support 1/2 a year, as the changes go into effect on 1/1/24

		T				
RUP	Grants	Lund GC Adjustment	(150,000)	150,000	-	DCF has had eligible Medicaid expenses in excess of the investment cap for Lund the last few years. Now that the treatment portion of the contract will be regular Medicaid and thus not capped, we are building the increased earnings into our budget.
OEO	Personal Services	Salary & Fringe	12,219		23,950	Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate adjustments for state employee salaries.
					•	The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state
OEO	Personal Services	Retirement Rate Increase	3,841		8,051	employees per budget instructions.  Class Action RFR financial impact for class action RFR of Community
OEO	Personal Services	Class Action RFR	18,427		18,427	Services Program Officers.
OEO	Personal Services	Revenue Adjustment (DCF Net Neutral)	-		-	Federal Fund and Special Fund adjustment between OEO and Wx to align with where special funds were earned.
						DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services
OEO	Operating	ISF DHR	750		853	fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.
020	operating.		730			DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
OEO	Operating	ISF VISION	12,126		13,796	spending totals.  Technical adjustment of program administering grant. Both FSD and
						OEO have agreements with the vendor so we are consolidating in one
						office. This will not have impact on services provided or the
						providers. It only impacts where the budget sits within DCF's
OEO	Grants	Spectrum Grant Transfer from FSD (DCF Net Neutral)	150,000		150,000	appropriations.
						We have multiple agreements with the same vendor so consolidating
		Committee on Temporary Shelter (COTS) Transfer to OEO				in one dept ID. No impact to services provided or vendors- just where
OEO	Grants	Homeless Assistance Grants (DCF net neutral)	237,217		237,217	the funding sits.
						This provides baseline funding to support emergency shelter needs
OEO	Grants	Emergency Housing - Expand Shelter Capacity services	3,750,000		3,750,000	associated with the emergency shelter expansion in the \$15M plan.
\A/\/	Damagaal Camiisaa	Salami 9 Friance			20.274	Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate
WX	Personal Services	Salary & Fringe	-		30,374	adjustments for state employee salaries.  The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state
WX	Personal Services	Retirement Rate Increase	_		8.573	employees per budget instructions.
						Federal Fund and Special Fund adjustment between OEO and Wx to
Wx	Personal Services	Revenue Adjustment (DCF Net Neutral)	-		-	align with where special funds were earned.
						Hancock Energy Services. Realignment of budget by major operating
						codes to align with needs of budget (grants> operating). These are
						the expenses associated with our software to administer the
						weatherization assistance program. This is not a grant, although it is
						necessary to administer the weatherization grants program. The
						budget was in the grants out section so we are aligning it with the correct use. This provides the hosting and help desk for the
						Weatherization Program. OEO is required to utilize a Department of
		Major Object technical adjustment (BAA item, DCF net-				Energy approved Weatherization Program Tracking Software (which
Wx	Operating	neutral)			200,000	
	-				·	DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
Wx	Operating	ISF DHR	-		27	spending totals.

						DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
Wx	Operating	ISF VISION			4,353	spending totals.
		Major Object technical adjustment (BAA item, DCF net-				Realignment of budget by major operating codes to align with needs
Wx	Grants	neutral)	-		(200,000)	of budget (grants> operating) per description above.
						DCF does not require significant operating costs at this time, as secure
						residential treatment facility needs are being met through contracted
SRTF	Operating	Operating Savings	(291,556)		(291,556)	
						DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
SRTF	Operating	ISF VISION	3,154		3,154	spending totals.
						Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate
DDS	Personal Services	Salary & Fringe	1,969		161,107	adjustments for state employee salaries.
						The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state
DDS	Personal Services	Retirement Rate Increase	715		54,171	employees per budget instructions.
						DCF's portion of the change to the ADS Internal Services fund budget
DDS	Operating	ISF ADS	161		11,624	for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals.
						DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
DDS	Operating	ISF DHR	5			spending totals.
						DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services
						fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23
DDS	Operating	ISF VISION	61		4,676	spending totals.
Total			71,221,391	2,316,212	63,580,173	