



About Burlington Electric Department (BED)

- Burlington's municipal electric utility
 - Public power since 1905
 - 118 employees, including the McNeil Generating Station
 - Third-largest electric utility in Vermont
- 21,000+ customers
 - **17,282 residential, 3,983 commercial and industrial**
 - 5,500-6,000 residential accounts turn over each year
- Electricity facts:
 - Summer peak: ~65 MW; annual energy use: ~330,000 MWH
 - McNeil is the largest energy producer in Vermont with Vermont Yankee retirement
 - 100% of power from renewable generation as of 2014
 - No rate increase from 2009-2021; first rate change in FY22 due to pandemic 7.5%; FY23 rate change - 3.95%





2022 BED ENERGY SUPPLY

Prior to REC Sales & Purchases



BED's 2022 energy supply by source - The above chart represents energy purchased by BED prior to REC purchases and sales and amounts to 349,402 MWh of electricity. The chart does not represent an ability to claim those fuel types for renewability purposes – for more detailed information, see BED's website. BED has no contracts for resources fueled by natural gas, nuclear or coal. 0.1 percent of generated energy comes from oil used at the BED Gas Turbine (in excess of BED's energy needs). The sourced energy from renewables exceeded sales by 10 percent. Hydro is divided into large (>= 100 MW), medium (>= 30MW and < 100 MW), and small (< 30 MW).



2021 BED RENEWABILITY

After REC Transactions



BED's renewability profile for calendar year 2021. Compliance for Vermont's Renewable Energy Standard is determined on an eight (8) month lag relative to the calendar year due in part to the REC trading period for New England that trades on a six (6) month lag. Due to this, Renewability for 2022 will not be finalized until the REC trading period closes in June and the Renewable Portfolio Standard Compliance Filing is submitted in August. BED expects its renewability profile to resemble what has been reported for Calendar Year 2021. BED retired renewable energy certificates (RECs) in excess of its sales by 2.6% during this period, which accounts for line losses on the distribution and transmission systems along with company use. The number of RECs retired amounts to 326,677 MWh. Hydro is broken out into Large (>= 100 MW), Medium (>= 30 MW and < 100 MW), and Small (< 30 MW).





2020 BED Renewability

Including REC Sales & Purchases









Burlington Most Per Capita Solar in East



Top cities for solar per capita

Top cities for total solar

<u>1. Honolulu, HI</u>	<u>6. San Antonio, TX</u>	1. Los Angeles, CA	6. New York, NY
<u>2. Las Vegas, NV</u>	7. Burlington, VT	2. San Diego, CA	7. Phoenix, AZ
<u>3. San Diego, CA</u>	8. New Orleans, LA	3. Las Vegas, NV	<u>8. San Jose, CA</u>
4. Albuquerque, NM	<u>9. Phoenix, AZ</u>	<u>4. Honolulu, HI</u>	9. Albuquerque, NM
<u>5. San Jose, CA</u>	<u>10. Washington, DC</u>	5. San Antonio, TX	10. Washington, DC

https://environmentamerica.org/resources/shining-cities-2022-2/



2030 Vision: Make Burlington a Net Zero Energy city by eliminating fossil fuel usage across electric, thermal, and ground transportation sectors. <u>www.burlingtonelectric.com/nze</u>. The Net Zero Energy Roadmap was adopted by the City Council in September 2019.Burlington's Net Zero Energy Roadmap is the most ambitious local climate change plan in the nation that BED is aware of, *recognized by the Smart Electric Power Alliance as the "first US Net-Zero 2030 plan."*

Synapse Energy Economics Net Zero Energy Roadmap Update for 2022 – Commissioned by BED Fossil Fuel Energy Consumption: Thermal and Ground Transportation 2019-2021





ENERGY ACTION NETWORK

Context Vermont RES

Vermont's 2015 RES reduced Vermont's Greenhouse Gas Emissions – EAN graphic

■ Wood (CH,, N,O) ■ Oil ■ Fossil gas ■ Residual system mix (ISO-NE) Million metric tons CO₂ equivalent (MMTCO₂e) 2015: Passage 2014: Final closure of the RES of VT Yankee 2012: Closure of VT Yankee announced 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2019

Historical VT electricity GHG emissions by source

Source: Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Vermont Greenhouse Gas Inventory: 1990 - 2017, 2021. Note: Since hydroelectricity does not produce GHG emissions at the point of generation, it has historically been counted as 0 emitting by VT Agency of Natural Resources. However, a supplemental lifecycle emissions inventory for all of Vermont's energy use is underway.



• Vermont's 2015 RES reduced Vermont's Greenhouse Gas Emissions – ANR updated inventory



Figure 12: Vermont GHG emissions from the electricity sector. Years from 1990-2020 are included with the 1990 and 2005 baseline years highlighted and the updated 2018-2020 values shown in bright blue.

https://outside.vermont.gov/agency/anr/climatecouncil/Shared%20Documents/_Vermont_Greenhouse_Gas_Emissions_Inventory_Update_1990-2020_Final.pdf









Renewable & Clean Energy Standards www.dsireusa.org / November 2022 ME: 100% x 2050 WA: 15% x 2020 NH: 25.2% x 2025 (100% x 2045) ND: 10% x 2015 MN: 26.5% VT: 75% x 2032 OR: 50%x 2040* x 2025 (IOUs) MA: 40% x 2030 + 1% each (large utilities) (100% x 2040) 1.5% x 2020 (ear thereafter (new resources) (Xcel) WI: 10% 2015 NY:70% x 2030 3.56% x 2021 (existing resources) SD: 10% x 2015 (100% x 2040) (100% x (80% x 2050) **/II:** 15% 2050 2021 RI: 100% x 2033 NV: 50% x IA: 105 MW IN: OH: 8.5% 2030 CT: 40% x 2030; (100% x (100% x 2050) UT: 20% x IL: 50% 10% x x 2026 2040) CO: 30% by 2020 2025† x 2040 2025*† VA: 100% NJ: 50% x 2030; (100% x (IOUs)*t KS: 20% x 2020 CA: 60% MO:15% x 2045/2050 2050) 100% x 2050) x 2030 2021 PA: 18% x 2021+ NC: 12.5% x 2021 (IOUs) 100% x 2045) DE: 25% x 2026* OK: 15% x NM: 80%x 2040 AZ: 15% x MD: 50% x 2030 2015 SC: 2% 2021 (IOUs) 2025* DC: 100% x 2032 (100% by 2045 (IOUs)) 29 States + DC have a TX: 5.880 MW x 2015* Renewable Portfolio Standard, 6 states have a **U.S.** Territories **Clean Energy Standard** HI: 100% x 2045 (7 states have renewable NMI: 20% x 2016 Guam: 25% x 2035 portfolio goals, 6 states have PR: 100% x 2050 **USVI: 30% x 2025** clean energy goals) Renewable portfolio standard Clean energy standard * Extra credit for solar or customer-sited renewables Renewable portfolio goal Clean energy goal Includes non-renewable alternative resources

+

NET ZERO ENERGY BURLINGTON VERMONT







Context Vermont RES

Vermont's is Leading –

Democracy Dies in Darkness					Subscribe			
The states with the largest share of electricity from solar California, Nevada, Vermont, Massachusetts, Utah and North Carolina								
California		Nevada		Vermont				
Carbon intensity in 2020	Change since 2000	Carbon intensity in 2020	Change since 2000	Carbon intensity in 2020	Change since 2000			
49 kg/million Btu	-7%	51 kg/million Btu	-25%	32 kg/million Btu	-16%			
	U.S. average							
State carbon intensity								
	16%							
	10%		14%		8%			
Massachusetts		Utah		North Carolina				
Carbon intensity in 2020	Change since 2000	Carbon intensity in 2020	Change since 2000	Carbon intensity in 2020	Change since 2000			
55 kg/million Btu	-10%	67 kg/million Btu	-12%	46 kg/million Btu	-24%			
	8%		7%		7%			

https://www.washingtonpost.com/climate-environment/interactive/2023/clean-energy-electricity-sources/

NET ZERO ENERGY BURLINGTON VERMONT







Subscrib

Context Vermont RES

Vermont's is Leading -

The states that make the cleanest power

The Washington Post Democracy Dies in Darkness

Vermont, Washington, Oregon, New Hampshire, South Dakota and South Carolina

Vermont Carbon intensity in 2020 32 kg/million Btu	Change since 2000 -16%	Washington Carbon intensity in 2020 34 kg/million Btu	Change since 2000 - 11%	Oregon Carbon intensity in 2020 35 kg/million Btu	Change since 2000 -8%
State carbon intensity	U.S. average				
NUCLEAR	HYDRO OTHER WIND	HYDRO	Mithlies	HYDRO	NATURAL GAS
New Hampshire		South Dakota		South Carolina	
Carbon intensity in 2020 36 kg/million Btu	Change since 2000 -25%	Carbon intensity in 2020 37 kg/million Btu	Change since 2000 - 30%	Carbon intensity in 2020 37 kg/million Btu	Change since 2000 -23%
NATURALGAS	NUCLEAR	COAL	HYDRO	COAL	NUCLEAR

https://www.washingtonpost.com/climate-environment/interactive/2023/clean-energy-electricity-sources/



- Vermont's 2015 RES is projected to continue to reduce Vermont's Greenhouse Gas Emissions —
 - "Overall, across all energy using sectors, the Department estimates that by 2031, on an annual basis, Vermont will consume around 16% less fossil-based energy than it does today in the baseline load forecast scenario, or approximately 20% less in the high forecast scenario, as a direct result of RES, with an additional 1.9% reduction resulting from the increased share of nuclear. Similarly, annual carbon dioxide emissions could be reduced by nearly 1,003,000 tons (baseline load forecast) or 1,224,000 tons (high load forecast scenario) in 2031 as a direct result of RES, a reduction on the order of 12% and 14%, respectively, relative to recent levels across all sectors (estimated to be around 8,600,000 tons)"
- Public Service Department 2023 RES report
- https://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/dps/files/documents/2023%20Vermont%20Annual%20E nergy%20Report_0.pdf



 Vermont's 2015 RES is projected to continue to reduce Vermont's Greenhouse Gas Emissions –



Figure 9. Annual CO2 savings due to the RES from all Tiers, 2022-2031.







- **Tier 3 was most important component of bill and remains a leading policy in the United States supporting electrification work –** First of its kind strategic electrification policy, since adopted in other states like Washington. Strong and adaptable regulatory foundation.
- **Relative percentages for Tiers was result of careful modeling and evaluation to balance costs and benefits** – During consideration of the RES legislation, DPS produced initial rate impact estimates for each of the three Tiers, and a sensitivity cost analysis at the request of legislators.

https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2016/WorkGroups/House%20Natural%20Resources/Bills/H.40/Witness%20Testimony/H.40~Darren%20Springer~Energy%20Innovation%20Program~1-23-2015.pdf and

https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2016/WorkGroups/House%20Natural%20Resources/Bills/H.40/Witness%20Testimony/H.40~Darren%20Springer~SPEED%20Program-H.40%20briefing%20paper~2-10-2015.pdf and

https://legislature.vermont.gov/Documents/2016/WorkGroups/Senate%20Natural%20Resources/Bills/H.40/Testimony/H.40~Department%200f%20Pub lic%20Service~Memo%20Updating%20the%20PSD's%20model%200f%20H.40%20to%20incorporate%20the%202015%20Annual%20Energy%20Outl ook%20forecast%200f%200il%20prices~4-22-2015.pdf







Key Policy Considerations

- Electric rates matter for the climate Despite some progress on strategic electrification, BED is not seeing load growth relative to pre-COVID levels.
 - In o5-o8 timeframe BED sales to customers were ~360,000 megawatt hours.
 - 2016-2018 timeframe BED sales to customers were ~ 340,000 megawatt hours.
 - 2019-2022 timeframe BED sales to customers ~314,000- 320,00 megawatt hours.
 - BED has seen upward rate pressure coming out of pandemic (7.5% in FY22, 3.95% in FY23, projected 5.5% in FY24). Critical for policy not to add more rate pressure if we want to keep electrification measures (heat pumps, EVs) economically competitive for customers.
 - **Vermont is unique in having multiple 100% renewable electric utilities –** Most states do not have 1, much less 3, utilities that are already 100% renewable. There is no precedent for requiring utilities already at 100% to make costly changes to their portfolios.
 - **REV's proposal would penalize utilities for early action** REV proposal would add potentially tens of millions in power supply costs to BED over life of proposal, penalize early adopter utilities by not accommodating existing portfolios.





Suggestions for Workgroup

- **DPS already studying RES changes** Additional analysis from JFO is not necessary, DPS has appropriate expertise to conduct analysis.
- Workgroup needs broad representation Should include all utilities, and groups representing low-income Vermonters, businesses, affordable housing providers, and others.
- Workgroup should focus on-
- consideration of ratepayer impacts of various approaches;
- consideration on most cost-effective way to get to 100% renewable or clean;
- consideration of impacts on strategic electrification programs of various approaches;
- consideration of *Vermont's* GHG emissions reduction benefits and costs of various approaches;
- **RES changes should be incremental give significant potential economic impacts –** Utilities need policy stability to make long-term power supply decisions. Align potential changes with utility strategies of reaching 100% as outlined in IRPs.