#### Highlights from

#### **An Information Economy Without Data**

By J. Howard Beales and Andrew Stivers



#### Data-driven ads provide \$30,000 in value to consumers.

A 2017 estimate found that consumers access \$30,000 worth of services for free thanks to advertising. That number has only grown with time, and disrupting that subsidy would amount to a \$30,000 tax on each American.

### Majority of consumers prefer more personalized ads.

Consumers understand that advertising pays for their access to content and services, and prefer that their experience be relevant to their interests.

### Efficient data-driven advertising increases competition.

Advertising lowers prices and promotes innovation and competition. A study in the UK found that blocking access to data will harm small platforms and publishers the most.

#### Restrictions lock-in dominant incumbents.

Restrictions on responsible data practices, such as advertising, erects "content fortresses." This occurred in Europe where the GDPR harmed small firms significantly more than large ones.

# Advertising benefits equity and narrows the gaps between demographic groups.

Data-driven advertising can help companies and non-profits effectively find new customers and supporters in specific demographic groups efficiently. Without data, broadcast advertising would seek out the general marketplace, drowning out messages meant for other demographics.

## Contextual advertising alone cannot support the digital economy.

Context is a complement, not a substitute for data-driven advertising. The UK government found that personalized advertising increases revenue when both context and data-driven tools are available. Context alone was only 1/3 as effective without other data.

#### An opt-out choice default for datadriven advertising is the best course.

Most consumers accepts the exchange of data about them for free services, but the minority that want to opt-out of the system can choose to do so.

