AHS SFY24 Gov Rec

| DeptId | Major Operating | Description | GF | GC | Total All Funds | Narrative |
|-------------|-----------------------|--|-----------|---------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate |
| Admin | Personal Services | Salary & Fringe | 967,240 | - | 1,732,148 | adjustments for state employee salaries. |
| | | | | | | The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state |
| Admin | Personal Services | Retirement Rate Increase | 381,377 | - | 722,364 | employees per budget instructions. |
| | | | | | | Technical adjustment to align with the special fund cash balance |
| Admin | Personal Services | SF Revenue Correction | | - | (1,786) | (21235) |
| | | | | | | Expenses associated with 2 class action RFRs in Admin (ADPC |
| | | | | | | Supervisors in ESD and Grants and Contracts Managers in the Business |
| Admin | Personal Services | Class Action RFRs | 47,790 | - | 61,606 | , |
| | | | | | | We are aligning our Target Case Management GC revenue with where it |
| | | | | | | was earned. In SFY22, more TCM was earned in the Admin Dept ID |
| | | | | | | than the budget allowed, so we are swapping GF for GC with the FSD |
| Admin | Personal Services | TCM Technical Adjustment (DCF Net Neutral) | (250,443) | 250,443 | - | Dept ID. This is a net neutral move across DCF. |
| | | | | | | As part of the \$55M Child Care Initiative, we are requesting funding to |
| | | | | | | support one operational position for the DCF Business. This will be |
| | | | | | | needed to support the additional work load in grants and contracts, |
| Admin | Personal Services | Business Office Operational Support Position | 83,079 | - | | payments and monitoring and compliance. |
| | | | | | | Savings taken from Travel budget based on our unspent balance from |
| Admin | Operating | Travel Savings | (128,117) | (603) | (199,674) | SFY22 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the ADS Internal Services fund budget |
| Admin | Operating | ISF ADS | 1,023 | - | 1,372 | for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services |
| | | ICE DUD | 0.770 | | 20.000 | fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 |
| Admin | Operating | ISF DHR | 8,779 | - | 20,008 | spending totals. |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund |
| A alora ira | 0 | ISF VISION | 40.724 | | | budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| Admin | Operating | ISF VISION | 40,724 | - | 54,111 | DCF's portion of the change to the Insurance Internal Services fund |
| | | | | | | budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| Admin | Operating | ISF Property/Commercial Insurance | 1,336 | | | totals. |
| Aulilli | Operating | | 1,330 | - | 1,/59 | Funding to support operational costs of an additional position (part of |
| Admin | Operating | Child Care Initiatives - Operating | 4,976 | _ | 6 500 | the Child Care Initiative) |
| Autiliii | Operating | Crima Care miniatives - Operating | 4,376 | - | 0,580 | Our Refugee Assistance program has seen an increase in costs in the |
| Admin | Grants | Refugee Assistance | _ | _ | 100 000 | past year. This is IDT funded through AHS |
| 7.0111111 | 0.0110 | | | | 100,000 | Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate |
| FSD | Personal Services | | 882,881 | | 1,424,497 | adjustments for state employee salaries. |
| 135 | i ci soliai sci vices | | 552,561 | | 1,727,437 | The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state |
| FSD | Personal Services | Retirement Rate Increase | 317,315 | | 472 631 | employees per budget instructions. |
| 130 | i ci soriai sei vices | near ement have moreage | 1 317,313 | | 4/2,031 | employees per sauget instructions. |

| FSD | Personal Services | Contract for Crisis Staffing (BAA item) | 688,288 | | 688,288 | Social workers are being asked to support crisis staffing over nights and on weekends as a result of high levels of need and contractor staffing shortages. This is untenable in terms of burnout for FSD staff and is using OT that FSD does not have the budget to support. This is also out of scope of our social worker class. This crisis staffing contract will allow FSD to ensure coverage for crisis situations as they arise while also respecting the health and well being of our DCF employees. This is the annualization of a DCF BAA budget item. |
|------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|
| FSD | Personal Services | FY24 Impact of Position Class Action Reclassification Requests | 134,782 | | 177,532 | Financial impact of class action RFR for resource coordinators (18 positions) |
| FSD FSD | Personal Services Personal Services | TCM Technical Adjustment (DCF Net Neutral) Vacancy Savings | 250,443 (134,893) | (250,443) (64,413) | - | More Targeted Case Management GC funding was earned in Admin this year, so we are making a technical adjustment to swap GF in Admin for GC in FSD. This is net neutral across the department. Increasing our vacancy savings to 3.5% |
| FSD | Personal Services | Transfer of funding from DMH for Northeastern Family Institute (BAA item, AHS net-neutral) | 73,666 | - | 73,666 | We transferred funding to DMH for NFI in the past. We now pay room and board directly, so they are returning the funds that we had transferred to them. |
| FSD | Personal Services | Internal Services Workers Compensation | (5,787) | - | (6,910) | DCF's portion of the change to the Workers Compensation internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| FSD | Personal Services | Elimination 15 Centralized Intake and Emergency Services (CIES) Temps | (119,583) | _ | (501 691) | FSD uses temp employees to cover our Centralized Intake and Emergency Services (CIES) workload. FSD is proposing eliminating 15 of these temporary positions to repurpose the funding to cover 5 permanent positions. This is actually a slight cost savings, and will allow more continuity in out services. |
| | | | , , , | | , | FSD uses temp employees to cover our Centralized Intake and Emergency Services (CIES) workload. FSD is proposing eliminating 15 of these temporary positions to repurpose the funding to cover 5 permanent positions. This is actually a slight cost savings, and will allow |
| FSD | Personal Services | Conversion of CIES Temps to 5 Permanent Positions | 116,925 | - | 490,540 | more continuity in out services. Savings taken from Travel budget based on our unspent balance from |
| FSD | Operating | Travel Savings | (167,788) | (3) | (231,795) | SFY22 |
| FSD | Operating | ISF DHR | 3,893 | | 4,333 | DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| FSD | Operating | ISF Fee for Space | (21,669) | - | (29,075) | DCF's portion of the change to the Fee for Space Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| FSD | Operating | ISF VISION | 26,060 | - | 43,372 | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| FSD | Operating | ISF General Liability | 868 | - | 966 | DCF's portion of the change to the Insurance Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |

| Caseload analysis resulting in increased contribution of the Costs. We are projecting: -Subsidized Adoptions: 2,605 cases/monts FSD Grants Subsidized Adoption Caseload (125,449) - (256,473) -Non-Recurring: 19 cases/month @ \$2,00 | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| costs. We are projecting: -Subsidized Adoptions: 2,605 cases/montl -Permanent Guardianship: 51 cases/montl -Permanent Guardianship: 51 cases/montl -Post Grants Subsidized Adoption Caseload (125,449) - (256,473) -Non-Recurring: 19 cases/month @ \$2,00 | past two years of actual |
| -Subsidized Adoptions: 2,605 cases/montl -Permanent Guardianship: 51 cases/montl -Permanent Guardianship: 51 cases/montl -Non-Recurring: 19 cases/montl @ \$2,00 | |
| -Permanent Guardianship: 51 cases/mont Grants Subsidized Adoption Caseload (125,449) - (256,473) -Non-Recurring: 19 cases/month @ \$2,00 | O ASES |
| FSD Grants Subsidized Adoption Caseload (125,449) - (256,473) -Non-Recurring: 19 cases/month @ \$2,00 | |
| | |
| | - |
| Caseload analysis resulting in increased co | |
| caseload. This follows trends we have see | n over the past two years of |
| actual costs. We are projecting: | |
| -Youth Aging Out: 30 cases/month @ \$60 | • |
| -Independent Living: 5 cases/month @ \$7 | • |
| -Standard Foster Care: 719 cases/month (| @ \$707 per case |
| -In State Group Care: 61 cases/month @ \$ | |
| -Out of State Group Care: 57 cases/month | |
| -Specialized Foster Care: 115 cases/month | n @ \$3,717 per case |
| FSD Grants Substitute Care Caseload (170,754) 412,511 187,528 -Emergency Care: 25 cases/month @ \$9,3 | 74 per case |
| Transfer of Counseling Service of Addison County (CSAC) | |
| Intensive Family Based Services (IFBS) to DMH (BAA item, AHS Intensive Family Based Services - Transfer | of funding to DMH as DMH |
| FSD Grants net-neutral) (29,723) holds the contract for this work. | |
| Case Rate Transfer to DMH for Washington County Mental Transfer of funding to DMH to cover DCF | portion of WCMH rate |
| FSD Grants Health (WCMH) Rate Increase (BAA item, AHS net-neutral) - (97,070) (97,070) increase | |
| Funding for the Balanced and Restorative | , ,, , |
| Balanced and Restorative Justice (BARJ) Funding Adjustment Investment GC eligible as a result of the w | ~ |
| FSD Grants (BAA item) (1,271,998) 1,271,998 - technical adjustment to reflect this change | |
| As a result of being able to draw in federa | |
| eligible expenses, DCF would like to use so | ome of the GF savings to |
| FSD Grants BARJ Global Commitment Investment Expansion (BAA item) - 297,053 297,053 increase the agreements. | |
| Funding for the Lund Project Family progr | |
| eligible. This is a technical adjustment to | · · |
| generates some GF savings by leveraging | Medicaid revenue for a |
| FSD Grants Lund Substance Abuse Medicaid Transition (736,595) 736,595 - portion of the eligible expenses. | |
| Currently, the PNMI rates are set based or | n the actual expenditures |
| from the most recent audited program fin | ancials. This represents a |
| delay of about 18-24 months between the | • |
| adjustment. The inflation factor would be | applied each year to |
| programs to minimize the discrepancy bet | tween the 2 year old costs and |
| FSD Grants PNMI Inflation Factor 216,640 1,683,360 1,900,000 the current rate. | |
| This GF funding will allow DCF to continue | to support it's system of care |
| outside of the PNMI process. In order to s | , , |
| of our system of care, we're proposing an | interim solution to maintain |
| operations. We know this is not a long ter | m solution, so we will |
| continue to work with vendors to revise tl | ne PNMI rate setting |
| methodology in such a manner that meats | s the needs of our partners |
| FSD Grants PNMI System of Care Stabilization 2,850,046 (2,850,046) - and the state. | |

| | | | | 1 | | |
|-----|-------------------|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | | FCD contracts with LIV/M to provide support and training to their social |
| | | | | | | FSD contracts with UVM to provide support and training to their social |
| | | | | | | workers. This contract has been level funded for 3 years (year prior |
| | | | | | | was decrease), but there has been an increase in facilities & |
| | | | | | | administration expense, and the new collective bargaining agreement |
| | | | | | | for the staff has led to increased expenses for UVM as well. These |
| FSD | Grants | UVM Child Welfare Training Partnership Rate Increase | 100,000 | - | 100,000 | funds are used to match Title IVE training funds at 75% FFP. |
| | | | | | | Technical adjustment of program administering grant. Both FSD and |
| | | | | | | OEO have agreements with the vendor so we are consolidating in one |
| | | | | | | office. This will not have impact on services provided or the providers' |
| | | | | | | contracts. It only impacts where the budget sits within DCF's |
| FSD | Grants | Spectrum Grant Transfer to OEO (DCF net Neutral) | (150,000) | | (150,000) | appropriations. |
| FSD | Grants | FMAP IVE Savings | (418,870) | | - | Savings from Increase to FMAP for IVE |
| | | | | | | DCF is working with PNMIs to help them become FFPSA compliant. This |
| | | | | | | will allow us to earn IV-E revenue for placements after the first 14 days. |
| | | | | | | Right now all costs of placements after the first 15 days are paid by |
| | | | | | | general funds. This represents savings of GF as more PNMIs are |
| | | | | | | certified and we are able to draw in the federal revenue for substitute |
| FSD | Grants | FFPSA IVE Earnings Adjustment | (500,000) | | - | group care. |
| | | | | | | Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate |
| CDD | Personal Services | Salary & Fringe | 90,367 | | 202,884 | adjustments for state employee salaries. |
| | | | | | | The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state |
| CDD | Personal Services | Retirement Rate Increase | 31,095 | | 66.731 | employees per budget instructions. |
| CDD | Personal Services | Vacancy Savings | (44,891) | | | Vacancy savings being increased to 3.5% |
| _ | | , , | , ,,,, | | (==,==, | CIS Rate increase approved by legislature last year was put into the |
| | | | | | | incorrect account codes. This corrects that (net \$0 transfer from |
| CDD | Personal Services | CIS Technical Correction | 319,168 | (710,880) | 288 | Grants to Personal services) |
| | | | 020,200 | (1 = 0,000) | | This budget proposes over \$56M in child care investments (outlined |
| | | | | | | below), an increase that is equivalent to almost 1/2 of the current CDD |
| | | | | | | budget. Consequently additional staff are required to support |
| | | | | | | administration of these initiatives. This budget proposes adding an |
| | | | | | | additional 5 positions to CDD to ensure that the division has the |
| CDD | Personal Services | Staffing for Child Care Initiatives (5 positions) | 456,095 | _ | /102 171 | capacity to effectively manage this work. |
| CDD | Operating | ISF Technical Correction | 430,093 | - | | Correct split between Medicaid and Investment GC from prior year. |
| CDD | Operating | Fund Technical Correction | - | _ | | Remove remaining \$41 of IDT. CDD no longer has any IDT earnings. |
| CDD | Орегания | Tuna realiment correction | | | (+1) | Savings taken from operating budget based on our unspent balance |
| CDD | Operating | Operating Savings | (27,799) | | (50.755) | from SFY22 |
| CDD | Орегасинд | Operating Savings | (27,733) | | (33,733) | Per H.378, the children's tax check off special funds will now be |
| | | | | | | transferred directly to the Children's Trust Fund instead of passing |
| | | Vermont Children's Tax Check Off SF Transfer to Children's | | | | through DCF. This removes the spending authority for this special fund |
| CDD | Operating | Trust Fund | _ | | (10.011) | |
| CDD | Operating | Trust Fullu | - | | (10,011) | from the DCF operating budget. DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services |
| | | | | | | , |
| CDD | | ICE DUD | 1 046 | | 2.026 | fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 |
| CDD | Operating | ISF DHR | 1,816 | | 2,026 | spending totals. |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund |
| | | | , | | | budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| CDD | Operating | ISF VISION | 15,824 | | 17,656 | totals. |
| | | | | | | Operating (Equipment, space, supplies, travel, etc) for new staff that |
| CDD | Operating | Child Care Initiatives - Operating | 30,427 | | 32,901 | are supporting the child care initiative |

| | | | | | | Per H.378, the children's tax check off special funds will now be |
|-----|--------|--|-----------|---------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | | transferred directly to the Children's Trust Fund instead of passing |
| | | Vermont Children's Tax Check Off SF Transfer to Children's | | | | through DCF. This removes the spending authority for this special fund |
| CDD | Grants | Trust Fund | | | | from the DCF grants budget. |
| CDD | Grants | FMAP IVE Savings | (114,501) | | | Adjustment to account for higher FMAP rate in SFY24 |
| CDD | Grants | FIVIAP IVE Saviligs | (114,501) | | - | CIS Rate increase approved by legislature last year was put into the |
| | | | | | | |
| CDD | Consta | Children le lete enete d'Ormisse (CIC) Technical Competition | (240.460) | 740,000 | (200) | incorrect account codes. This corrects that (net \$0 transfer from |
| CDD | Grants | Children's Integrated Services (CIS) Technical Correction | (319,168) | 710,880 | (288) | Grants to Personal services) |
| | | | | | | CIS contracts run on a calendar year (January-December). Historically, |
| | | | | | | as we got to November/December, we would evaluate which regions |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | had underspending due to low caseload utilization and which districts |
| | | | | | | were overspending due to higher than projected caseloads. However, |
| | | | | | | in 2022 we found that the amount of underspend in low caseload |
| | | | | | | districts did not cover the increased needs in high caseload districts. |
| | | | | | | We were able to use one-time carry forward surplus to fill the gap in |
| | | | | | | needs in December 2022, but we anticipate that this will not be |
| | | | | | | possible in future years. For this reason, we are requesting funding to |
| CDD | Grants | CIS Utilization Increase | 174,000 | 776,830 | 950,830 | increase the CIS contracts to account for caseload utilization. |
| | | | | | | CCDF ARPA funding was baselined in previous budgets, but the total |
| | | | | | | available ARPA Stabilization funding will be exhausted in SFY23. We are |
| CDD | Grants | Remove American Rescue Plan (ARPA) funds from Baseline | - | | (13,230,000) | removing it from the SFY24 budget to reflect this. |
| | | | | | | NOTE TI II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I |
| | | | | | | NOTE: There was an error that attributes the majority of the STARS |
| | | | | | | increase cost to the incorrect line on the Ups/Downs. It is included in |
| | | | | | | the \$46M CCFAP FPL increase line on the Ups/Downs. This is the |
| | | | | | | correct breakout by component, but the total for the initiative remains |
| | | | | | | unchanged. This funding will support establishing geographical equity |
| | | | | | | in Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP). Currently the |
| | | | | | | subsidy reimbursement rate is lowest for 1 Step Ahead Recognition |
| | | | | | | System (STARS) programs and increases incrementally with each STAR |
| | | | | | | the program earns. Currently, a household's contribution towards child |
| | | | | | | care varies depending on availability of care in their region of Vermont. |
| | | | | | | If a family lives or works in a well-resourced region, they tend to have a |
| | | | | | | variety of child care programs to choose from. If a family lives or works |
| | | | | | | in a less resourced region of the state, they may only have access to |
| | | | | | | one child care program which could only be rated as a 1, 2, or 3 on the |
| | | | | | | STARS scale. To ensure that the amount the state pays in subsidy is not |
| | | | | | | dependent on the region a household lives in, the Governor proposes |
| | | | | | | no longer be tying the subsidy payment to the STARS rating system. |
| | | Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP) Equity | | | | \$414,045 would allow the state to pay every program the maximum |
| CDD | Grants | Payments | 4,968,544 | | 4,968,544 | amount for all types of child care slots. |
| | | • | . ' | ' | | · ' |

| | | 1 | 1 | | |
|-----|-------------------|---|------------|------------|--|
| CDD | Grants | School Age Capacity | 6,962,587 | 6,962,587 | This funding will expand the number of school age children served. DCF currently has 1,965 of school-aged children enrolled in CCFAP, but DCF believes that upwards of 7,500 students would be eligible for afterschool and summer enrichment activities and meet CCFAP eligibility. CDD, in partnerships with Vermont Afterschool, is working with existing afterschool programs to accept CCFAP, as well as encouraging new programs to open with the expectation that CCFAP will be accepted as a form of payment. This funding is critical to support the subsidies for eligible children enrolled in these slots once they are available. |
| CDD | Grants | CCFAP 400% Expansion | 41,494,292 | 41,494,292 | Last year the Child Care Financial Assistance Program (CCFAP) was increased to 350% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). This year we propose increasing to 400% of the FPL at the 75th percentile to remain in compliance. Please note that this has been adjusted to remove the portion of the cost that is actually attributable to the STARS increase. |
| CDD | Grants | CCFAP 400% Community Child Care Support Agencies Eligibility & Referral contracts | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | CDD contracts with the Community Child Care Support Agencies (CCCSA Organizations) to conduct eligibility reviews and referrals. We will need to increase these contracts to account for the significant increase in the number of households that they will now need to screen for eligibility and referral as part of the CCFAP FPL and school age expansion. |
| | | | | | Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate |
| ocs | Personal Services | Salary & Fringe | 119,521 | 315,932 | adjustments for state employee salaries. |
| ocs | Personal Services | Retirement Rate Increase | 71,422 | 188,700 | The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state employees per budget instructions. |
| ocs | Operating | Travel Savings | (12,278) | (40,126) | Savings taken from Travel budget based on our unspent balance from |
| ocs | Operating | ISF DHR | 70 | 234 | DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| ocs | Operating | ISF VISION | 2,837 | 9,457 | totals. |
| | | | | | We have traditionally used a consultant who projects caseload based on the economic trends. This aligns with her low-end projections from October 2022 of an average of 3,350 cases per month @ \$678.06 CPC. We are seeing a larger projected caseload than last year combined with an increased cost per case. The Reach Up benefit increased last summer due to an increase in the basic needs standard used in the benefit calculation. This increase was the most substantial increase the program has seen in nearly twenty years, and resulted in an increase of |
| RUP | Grants | Caseload Needs | 8,395,739 | 8,395,739 | approximately \$111 dollars per month for a household of three. |

| | | T | 1 1 | | | |
|-----|-------------------|--|-----------|---------|-----------|--|
| RUP | Grants | Lund Transfer GC Adj | - | | | Lund Treatment is now regular Medicaid GC (rather than Investment GC) eligible as a result of the latest waiver negotiations. This is a technical adjustment but will allow us to earn Medicaid for all eligible treatment expenses at Lund. When it was funded with investment, there was a cap to the amount of Medicaid that could be earned. |
| RUP | Grants | Reach Up Bill Financial Impact (Act 133 - 6 months of implementation in SFY24) | 127,890 | | | Implementation costs of Act 133 that was passed in the prior year's legislative session. This accounts for an increase in the age of eligible children to "under 22", an increase in the Earned Income Disregard from \$250 to \$350, Increase in the amount of the child support disregard from \$50 to \$100 per month and an increase in the child support pass through from \$50 to \$100 per month. This is the cost to support 1/2 a year, as the changes go into effect on 1/1/24 |
| | | | | | | We have multiple agreements with the same vendor so consolidating in |
| | | Committee on Temporary Shelter (COTS) Transfer to OEO | ,, | | | one dept ID. No impact to services provided or vendors- just where the |
| RUP | Grants | Homeless Assistance Grants (DCF net neutral) | (237,217) | | (237,217) | funding sits. |
| RUP | Grants | Lund GC Adjustment | (150,000) | 150,000 | | DCF has had eligible Medicaid expenses in excess of the investment cap for Lund the last few years. Now that the treatment portion of the contract will be regular Medicaid and thus not capped, we are building the increased earnings into our budget. |
| | | | | | | Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate |
| OEO | Personal Services | Salary & Fringe | 12,219 | | 23,950 | adjustments for state employee salaries. |
| OEO | Personal Services | Retirement Rate Increase | 3,841 | | 8.051 | The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state employees per budget instructions. |
| OEO | Personal Services | Class Action RFR | 18,427 | | , | Class Action RFR financial impact for class action RFR of Community Services Program Officers. |
| OEO | Personal Services | Revenue Adjustment (DCF Net Neutral) | - | | • | Federal Fund and Special Fund adjustment between OEO and Wx to align with where special funds were earned. |
| OEO | Operating | ISF DHR | 750 | | | DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund |
| 050 | Ou sustin s | ISF VISION | 12.126 | | | budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| OEO | Operating | ISF VISION | 12,126 | | 13,796 | totals. |
| OEO | Grants | Spectrum Grant Transfer from FSD (DCF Net Neutral) | 150,000 | | | Technical adjustment of program administering grant. Both FSD and OEO have agreements with the vendor so we are consolidating in one office. This will not have impact on services provided or the providers. It only impacts where the budget sits within DCF's appropriations. |
| | | , | | | | We have multiple agreements with the same vendor so consolidating in |
| | | Committee on Temporary Shelter (COTS) Transfer to OEO | | | | one dept ID. No impact to services provided or vendors- just where the |
| OEO | Grants | Homeless Assistance Grants (DCF net neutral) | 237,217 | | 237,217 | funding sits. |
| OEO | Grants | Emergency Housing - Expand Shelter Capacity services | 3,750,000 | | 3,750,000 | This provides baseline funding to support emergency shelter needs associated with the emergency shelter expansion in the \$15M plan. |
| wx | Personal Services | Salary & Fringe | - | | 30,374 | Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate adjustments for state employee salaries. |

| | | | | | | The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state |
|-------|-------------------|---|------------|-----------|------------|--|
| WX | Personal Services | Retirement Rate Increase | - | | | employees per budget instructions. |
| | | | | | | Federal Fund and Special Fund adjustment between OEO and Wx to |
| Wx | Personal Services | Revenue Adjustment (DCF Net Neutral) | - | | - | align with where special funds were earned. |
| | | | | | | Hancock Energy Services. Realignment of budget by major operating |
| | | | | | | codes to align with needs of budget (grants> operating). These are |
| | | | | | | the expenses associated with our software to administer the |
| | | | | | | weatherization assistance program. This is not a grant, although it is |
| | | | | | | necessary to administer the weatherization grants program. The |
| | | | | | | budget was in the grants out section so we are aligning it with the |
| | | | | | | correct use. This provides the hosting and help desk for the |
| | | | | | | Weatherization Program. OEO is required to utilize a Department of |
| | | Major Object technical adjustment (BAA item, DCF net- | | | | Energy approved Weatherization Program Tracking Software (which |
| Wx | Operating | neutral) | | | 200,000 | this is). |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services |
| | | | | | | fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 |
| Wx | Operating | ISF DHR | - | | 27 | spending totals. |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund |
| | | | | | | budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| Wx | Operating | ISF VISION | | | 4,353 | totals. |
| | | Major Object technical adjustment (BAA item, DCF net- | | | | Realignment of budget by major operating codes to align with needs of |
| Wx | Grants | neutral) | - | | (200,000) | budget (grants> operating) per description above. |
| | | | | | | DCF does not require significant operating costs at this time, as secure |
| | | | | | | residential treatment facility needs are being met through contracted |
| SRTF | Operating | Operating Savings | (291,556) | | (291,556) | |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund |
| | | | | | | budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| SRTF | Operating | ISF VISION | 3,154 | | 3,154 | totals. |
| | | | | | | Costs associated with the annualization of pay act and benefit rate |
| DDS | Personal Services | Salary & Fringe | 1,969 | | 161,107 | adjustments for state employee salaries. |
| | | | | | | The costs associated with the increased retirement rate for state |
| DDS | Personal Services | Retirement Rate Increase | 715 | | 54,171 | employees per budget instructions. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the ADS Internal Services fund budget |
| DDS | Operating | ISF ADS | 161 | | | for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending totals. |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the Human Resources Internal Services |
| | | | | | | fund budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 |
| DDS | Operating | ISF DHR | 5 | | | spending totals. |
| | | | | | | DCF's portion of the change to the VISION system Internal Services fund |
| | | | | | | budget for SFY24. Proportioned across DCF based on SFY23 spending |
| DDS | Operating | ISF VISION | 61 | | , | totals. |
| Total | | | 71,221,391 | 2,316,212 | 63,580,173 | |