



**Report of the Agricultural Worker Labor and Employment Law Study Committee
December 2024**

Representative David Durfee, Chair

Representative Ashley Bartley

Representative Robin Chesnut-Tangerman

Representative Heather Surprenant

Senator Irene Wrenner, Vice Chair

Senator Randy Brock

Senator Brian Collamore

Senator Kesha Ram Hinsdale

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction1

II. Background1

 A. Vermont’s Agricultural Sector1

 B. Vermont’s Agricultural Workers.....2

III. Legislative Charge4

IV. Summary of Study Committee Activities.....5

V. Agricultural Workers and Collective Bargaining Laws.....5

 A. Collective Bargaining Rights Applicable to Agricultural Workers5

 B. Collective Bargaining Rights for Agricultural Workers in States with Agricultural Economies Similar to Vermont.....7

 C. Structure of Vermont’s Existing Labor Relations Statutes and Administrative Capacity of the Vermont Labor Relations Board.....7

 D. Proposed Amendment to Vermont’s Constitution8

VI. Employment Laws Applicable to Agricultural Workers8

 A. Overview of Employment Laws Applicable to Vermont’s Agricultural Workers8

 B. Minimum Wages for Agricultural Workers in Other States10

 C. Overtime Provisions for Agricultural Workers in Other States11

VII. Recommendations of the Study Committee11

 A. **HOLD** - Collective Bargaining Framework.....XX

 B. **HOLD** – Minimum Wage/OvertimeXX

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: 2024 Acts and Resolves No. 117, Sec. 3

Appendix 2: Witness List

Appendix 3: Overview of Agricultural Collective Bargaining Statutes in Other States

Appendix 4: Matrix of State-by-State Comparison of Employment Laws Applicable to Agricultural Workers

Appendix 5: Statutory Examples of Definitions of “Agriculture” and “Farming”

Appendix 6: Statutory Examples of Covered Agricultural Workers

Appendix 7: Statutory Examples of Language Relating to Strikes by Agricultural Workers

DRAFT

I. Introduction

Historically, agricultural workers have not been granted the same employment protections and collective bargaining rights as other groups of employees. In 2024 Acts and Resolves No. 117, Sec. 3, the General Assembly created the Agricultural Worker Labor and Employment Study Committee to examine the application of Vermont's labor relations and employment laws to agricultural workers in Vermont and to identify potential legislative action to provide additional coverage to agricultural workers under those laws.

The Study Committee is composed of eight members, four current members from the House and four current members from the Senate. Two members are from the House Committee on Agriculture, Food Resiliency, and Forestry and two are from the Committee on General and Housing. Two members are from the Senate Committee on Agriculture and two are from the Senate Committee on Economic Development, Housing and General Affairs. The Committee was provided with funding for six meetings.

In pertinent part, Senate Bill 102 (2023), as introduced, proposed to permit agricultural workers to collectively bargain.¹ This would have been accomplished by deleting the exclusion for individuals employed as agricultural laborers from coverage under the State Labor Relations Act.² The bill passed the Senate on March 31, 2023.³ It was taken up by the House on April 5, 2023 and referred to the Committee on General and Housing.⁴ A year later, following input from the House Committee on Agriculture, Food Resiliency, and Forestry, the Committee on General Housing recommended retaining the exclusion of agricultural workers from coverage under the State Labor Relations Act and creating an Agricultural Worker Labor and Employment Laws Study Committee.⁵ The bill, as amended passed the House on May 7, 2024.⁶ The Senate concurred in the House's amendments to the bill.⁷ The Governor allowed the bill to become law without his signature.⁸

II. Background

A. Vermont's Agricultural Sector

The most recent U.S. Department of Agriculture Census, taken in 2022, provides a snapshot of Vermont's agricultural sector.⁹ The University of Vermont's Extension Service summarized the 2022 Census data as follows:¹⁰

- Vermont has 6,537 farms, covering 1,173,890 acres of farmland.

¹ See [S.102, as introduced](#).

² See [21 V.S.A. §§ 1501-1624](#).

³ See [Journal of the Senate, March 31, 2023](#).

⁴ See [Journal of the House, April 5, 2023](#).

⁵ See [House Calendar, May 2, 2024](#).

⁶ See [Journal of the House, May 7, 2024](#).

⁷ See [Journal of the Senate, May 9, 2024](#).

⁸ See [Journal of the House, May 10, 2024, with Governor's explanation for his action](#).

⁹ See <https://www.nass.usda.gov/AgCensus/>.

¹⁰ See Committee document, [UVM Extension, Census Captures Changes in Vermont Agriculture](#).

- Vermont has 105,514 milk cows and the fluid milk produced by its 528 cow dairies accounts for 58% of all agricultural sales in Vermont.
- There were approximately the same number of farms (6,571) in 2020 as in 2002 but nearly a thousand farms have shifted from dairy to other products, making agriculture more diverse.
- There are 744 farms selling vegetables, 471 farms selling berries, 507 farms in the greenhouse and nursery business, 441 orchards, and 266 farms selling Christmas trees.
- There are 1,345 farms with laying hens, 222 farms selling broilers and 123 grow turkeys. There are 1,526 farms with beef cows, 1,012 farms with horses, 419 farms with goats, and 300 farms with pigs.
- Vermont leads the nation in maple production. Vermont's 1,433 sugar makers produced 3.1 million gallons of syrup worth \$112 million from 8.5 million taps.

Most farms in Vermont are small. “The Census requires only \$1,000 in annual agricultural sales to qualify as a farm. Over half of Vermont’s farms sell less than \$10,000 of products a year, and only 19% of farms report sales over \$100,000. The average sales per farm is \$159,373, but only 43% of farms report net gains.”¹¹ While agriculture in Vermont may be diverse, it is also consolidated, with 3% of farms accounting for two-thirds of all agricultural sales.

B. Vermont’s Agricultural Workers

Data provided by the 2022 U.S.D.A. Census also shows that out of the 6,537 farms in Vermont, less than one-third employ agricultural workers.¹² Of those farms that do employ agricultural workers:

Size of Workforce	# of Farms	Total # of Workers
1-4 workers	1,274 farms	2,600 workers
5-9 workers	369 farms	2,316 workers
10+ workers	170 farms	3,415 workers
TOTAL	1,813 farms	8,331 workers

Approximately 69% of agricultural workers work on farms with five or more employees and approximately 30% of farms with hired labor have five or more employees.

Of the 1,813 farms employing agricultural workers, 714 farms (covering 2,026 workers) employed their workers for less than 150 days, while 541 farms (covering 4,499 workers)

¹¹ See Committee document, [UVM Extension, Census Captures Changes in Vermont Agriculture](#).

¹² See Committee document, [Statistical Overview of Vermont Farm Labor](#).

employed workers for a mixture of both more and less than 150 days. The remaining 558 farms (covering 1,806 workers) employed workers for more than 150 days.¹³

According to the 2022 U.S.D.A. Census, 852 migrant workers were employed on Vermont farms, with 10 farms using exclusively migrant labor and 187 farms using a mixture of migrant and non-migrant labor.¹⁴ Witnesses from Migrant Justice testified that Vermont's dairy farms rely heavily on undocumented workers. The witnesses described challenging working conditions with many workers working long hours (12 or more hours/day), six or seven days a week, regardless of the weather, for low pay. Based on a survey conducted by Migrant Justice, the median wage for undocumented dairy workers is \$11.67/hour (\$2/hour less than Vermont's 2024 minimum wage), with some earning below \$10/hour.¹⁵ The Committee also received testimony from the Vermont Dairy Producers Alliance on a survey it conducted, in which 68% of respondents reported that workers are paid at least the state minimum wage and, those who do not pay the state minimum, provide other employee benefits, such as housing, transportation, and time and a half pay for holidays, bringing the total compensation value above \$23/hour.¹⁶

According to the 2022 U.S.D.A. census, 75 farms in Vermont applied to use H-2A workers and a total of 425 H-2A visa-holders were employed in Vermont.¹⁷ The federal H-2A visa program allows non-immigrant workers to provide temporary or seasonal agricultural labor in the United States for positions where there are not enough qualified and available U.S. workers.¹⁸ Representatives of the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets testified that the H-2A visa program currently serves apiaries, fruit orchards, diversified fruit and vegetable farms, maple farms, poultry farms, hop farms, and logging operations in Vermont. The H-2A visa program is heavily regulated at the federal level and requires that visa-holders receive a detailed employment contract and be paid at least the Adverse Effect Wage Rate, which is set at \$17.80/hour in Vermont for 2024. H-2A visa-holders are guaranteed to receive a certain minimum number of work hours and receive housing and transportation at no cost to the worker.¹⁹ The Study Committee heard testimony that dairy farms typically do not use the H-2A program because their business is not seasonal. Witnesses also testified that H-2A workers prefer to work as many hours as possible when in the United States to maximize their earnings before returning to their home countries.

¹³ See Committee document, [Statistical Overview of Vermont Farm Labor](#).

¹⁴ See Committee document, [Statistical Overview of Vermont Farm Labor](#).

¹⁵ See Committee document, [Labor and Housing Conditions on Vermont Dairy Farms, 2024 Survey Results, Migrant Justice](#).

¹⁶ See Committee document, [VDPA Survey Results](#).

¹⁷ See also Committee document, [Vermont Agency of Agriculture, H-2A Information](#) (noting that approximately 70 Vermont agricultural business participate in the H-2A program, and approximately 600 H-2A workers petitioned to work in Vermont in 2024).

¹⁸ See Committee document, [Federal Fact Sheet on H-2A visa program](#).

¹⁹ See Committee document, [Vermont Agency of Agriculture, H-2A Information](#).

III. Legislative Charge

The General Assembly established the Agricultural Worker Study Committee in 2024 to study how Vermont's employment and labor relations laws apply to Vermont's agricultural workers and to identify potential legislative action to provide additional coverage to agricultural workers under those laws. Specifically, the Study Committee was charged with studying the following issues:

- A. the existing employment rights for agricultural workers under Vermont and federal law;
- B. the Vermont and federal employment and collective bargaining laws that do not apply to some or all Vermont agricultural workers;
- C. the laws in other states that provide employment protections or collective bargaining rights to agricultural workers that Vermont agricultural workers do not have;
- D. the structure of collective bargaining rights for agricultural workers in other states that provide such rights, with particular attention given to states with agricultural economies similar to that of Vermont;
- E. the structure of Vermont's existing labor relations laws;
- F. the capacity of the Vermont Labor Relations Board to administer collective bargaining in Vermont's agricultural sector;
- G. a possible framework for collective bargaining for Vermont's agricultural workers; and
- H. other potential changes to Vermont's employment laws to provide additional rights and protections to agricultural workers.

Following its examination of these issues, the General Assembly directed the Study Committee to prepare a written report for submission to the General Assembly with the Committee's findings and recommendations for legislative action. In addition to including recommendations for legislative action to amend Vermont's employment laws with respect to agricultural workers, the General Assembly directed that the Study Committee provide a proposal for permitting agricultural workers to collectively bargain, and that the proposal address the following:

- A. whether to provide for collective bargaining by agricultural workers under the State Labor Relations Act or in a separate agricultural workers' labor relations act;
- B. the minimum size of agricultural employer to be covered;
- C. whether, and if so how, to differentiate between covered employers based on their size;
- D. the minimum number of employees who may form a bargaining unit;
- E. how to address seasonal, migratory, and temporary workers;
- F. procedures for selecting and certifying an exclusive representative for a bargaining unit;
- G. mandatory subjects for bargaining;
- H. procedures for resolving bargaining impasses, including whether to permit strikes or contract imposition;
- I. unfair labor practices;
- J. the role, if any, of the Vermont Labor Relations Board in administering the proposed law;
- K. whether to provide State resources to assist parties during the process of determining a bargaining unit, certifying an exclusive representative for a bargaining unit, negotiating a contract, and resolving a bargaining impasse; and
- L. any other issues the Study Committee deems to be appropriate.

IV. Summary of Study Committee Activities

The Study Committee met four times to hear testimony from stakeholders and experts on the issues within its jurisdiction.²⁰ It met two additional times to discuss and finalize its report.

The Committee took testimony on and discussed the following subjects:

- employment laws and collective bargaining rights applicable to agricultural workers in Vermont under State and federal law;
- collective bargaining rights generally in Vermont and the administrative capacity of the Vermont Labor Relations Board;
- state-by-state overview of employment laws and collective bargaining rights for agricultural workers nationally;
- federal H-2A visa program use in Vermont;
- farm and labor statistics in Vermont; and
- the perspectives of agricultural workers and farm employers on potential legislative action.

V. Agricultural Workers and Collective Bargaining Laws

A. Collective Bargaining Rights Applicable to Agricultural Workers

Agricultural workers in Vermont are currently excluded from coverage under both the federal National Labor Relations Act (1935)²¹ and Vermont’s State Labor Relations Act (1967).²² Because the National Labor Relations Act has ceded jurisdiction over agricultural workers, if it so chooses, the General Assembly can extend the right to collectively bargain under the State Labor Relations Act or create a separate labor relations statute specifically for agricultural workers.²³

Fourteen states allow agricultural workers to bargain collectively. There is significant variety in the coverage provided, such as the types and number of employees covered, the process for unit certification, the dispute resolution procedures, and the oversight entity.²⁴ Some states, such as Arizona, California, Kansas, Louisiana, and New York, have separate labor relations statutes for agricultural workers. Others, such as Colorado, Hawaii, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Nebraska, Oregon, Washington, and Wisconsin, provide coverage for agricultural workers (or do not explicitly exclude agricultural workers) under other broader labor relations statutes. Meanwhile, the Supreme Court of New Jersey has recognized that its state constitution gives agricultural workers the right to organize and bargain collectively.²⁵

²⁰ See Appendix 2: Witness List.

²¹ See 29 U.S.C. § 152(3) (excluding any individual employed as an agricultural laborer from the definition of “employee” under the Act).

²² See 21 V.S.A. § 1502(6)(A) (excluding agricultural laborers from the definition of “employee” under the Act.)

²³ See 21 V.S.A. § 1505 (explaining Act’s jurisdictional reach).

²⁴ See Appendix 3, [Overview of Agricultural Collective Bargaining Statutes in Other States](#), and Appendix 4, [Matrix of State-by-State Comparison of Employment Laws Applicable to Agricultural Workers](#).

²⁵ See *Comite Organizador de Trabajadores Agricolas v. Molinelli*, 114 N.J. 87, 552 A.2d 1003 (1989).

The nature and scope of the agricultural sectors in those states that do allow agricultural workers to organize and bargain collectively vary widely. This is reflected in the contrasting definitions of agriculture. *See* Appendix 5 for examples of different definitions of agriculture and farming. The statutes also vary in their definitions of a covered agricultural worker and the minimum number of workers required to form a bargaining unit.²⁶ Among the states that allow agricultural workers to organize and collectively bargain, the minimum number of eligible employees is two in Hawaii, four in Massachusetts, six in Arizona and Kansas, and eight in Colorado.²⁷ For comparison purposes, Vermont’s State Labor Relations Act covers employers with five or more eligible employees. Arizona, California, Kansas, and Oregon also require that workers be employed for a certain period to qualify as covered employees.²⁸

Of the states that permit agricultural workers to organize and bargain collectively, the procedures for selecting and certifying an exclusive representative include by secret ballot (Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Kansas, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New York, and Wisconsin), as well as by majority signup (California, Hawaii, Massachusetts, and New York).²⁹ As of July 1, 2024, many of Vermont’s labor relations statutes provide for secret ballot elections and majority signup (also known as card check).³⁰

Of the five states with separate labor relations statutes for agricultural workers, three have created agricultural labor relations boards (Arizona, California, and Kansas).³¹ The oversight entity in other states is the same entity that oversees labor relations between other employers and employees. The Committee received testimony about New York’s Public Employment Relations Board (PERB) and Massachusetts’ Department of Labor Relations, both of which oversee labor relations involving public employers and their represented employees, as well as agricultural employers and employees.

The dispute resolution procedures in states that allow agricultural workers to organize and bargain collectively vary, with many requiring mediation and arbitration before strikes may be permitted.³² Several states have statutory language expressing concern over the risks to food security and animal welfare in the event of strikes.³³ New York and Louisiana prohibit agricultural workers from striking or other concerted stoppage or slowdown of work, or for an agricultural employer to lockout employees.³⁴ Of the states that do permit agricultural workers to strike, some require a lengthy notice period. For example, Arizona requires a majority vote by secret ballot before calling for a strike and the continuation of the contract in full force without a strike or lockout for a period of sixty days after notice is given or expiration of the contract, whichever is later.³⁵ California also requires written notice of not less than sixty days before the

²⁶ *See* Appendix 6, Statutory Examples of Covered Agricultural Workers.

²⁷ *See* Appendix 3 and Appendix 6.

²⁸ *See* Appendix 3 and Appendix 6.

²⁹ *See* Appendix 3.

³⁰ *See* Act 117 (2024), § 4 (State Employees Labor Relations Act), § 5 (Labor Relations Act for Teachers and Administrators), §§6-7 (State Labor Relations Act), and § 8 (Municipal Labor Relations Act).

³¹ *See* Appendix 3.

³² *See* Appendix 3.

³³ *See* Appendix 7, Statutory Examples of Language Relating to Strikes by Agricultural Workers.

³⁴ *See* NY Labor Law § 703 and § 704-b; La. R.S. § 23:884.

³⁵ *See* Arizona’s Agricultural Employment Relations Act, 23 A.R.S. § 23-1385(B)(13) and (E).

existing contract expires or, if there is no contract expiration date, before seeking termination or modification of the contract, as well as notification to the state's Conciliation Service.³⁶

B. Collective Bargaining Rights for Agricultural Workers in States with Agricultural Economies Similar to Vermont

In studying Vermont's agricultural sector, the Committee also considered the number of farms, farm acreage, market value of agricultural products, number of farm workers, size of farms' workforce, and use of H-2A workers in the states that allow agricultural workers to organize and collectively bargain.³⁷ Based on the U.S.D.A.'s 2022 Census of Agriculture, the states with agricultural economies closest in size to Vermont were Hawaii, Massachusetts, and New Jersey, as well as Maine. Maine was included because an Agricultural Employees Labor Relations Act passed the Maine House and Senate in June 2021.³⁸ The bill was vetoed by the Governor in January 2022 and the veto was subsequently sustained.³⁹ Given the similarities in the nature and size of the agricultural economies of Maine and Vermont, the Committee considered a side-by-side comparison of Vermont's State Labor Relations Act and State Employees Labor Relations Act with Maine's proposed act, focusing specifically on those elements of a potential labor relations statute that the Committee has been asked to consider in formulating a proposed framework for Vermont's agricultural employees.⁴⁰

The Committee also received testimony from Phillip Roberts, the Director of Massachusetts' Department of Labor Relations. Mr. Roberts testified that Massachusetts' labor relations law was amended in 1970 to allow agricultural workers to unionize. However, he is not aware of any units for farm workers being certified until 2020 when the Department began receiving petitions to unionize cannabis growers' employees. A total of nine bargaining units were certified but three have since been decertified, leaving only six units.⁴¹ The units were certified on an individual employer basis and the number of covered employees ranged from 10-20, up to 50. With respect to the cannabis grower bargaining units, there have been 23 unfair labor practices charges over the past 4 years, all but one of which was settled pre-investigation or was withdrawn prior to a hearing. The remaining case was dismissed following an investigation. Mr. Roberts advised that public employees are prohibited from striking in Massachusetts, but private employees are allowed to do so, although there is an exception for situations where a strike would have disastrous consequences.

C. Structure of Vermont's Existing Labor Relations Laws and the Administrative Capacity of the Vermont Labor Relations Board

The Committee received an overview of Vermont's seven labor relations acts, which provide bargaining rights to most public sector workers and some private workers who are not covered by

³⁶ See California's Agricultural Labor Relations Act, Cal. Lab. Code § 1155.3.

³⁷ See Committee document, [Statistical Overview of Vermont Farm Labor](#).

³⁸ See Committee document, [Maine's Proposed Agricultural Employees Labor Relations Act](#).

³⁹ See Committee document, [Governor Mills' Veto Letter, dated January 7, 2022](#).

⁴⁰ See Committee document, [Side-by-Side Comparison of Vermont's and Maine's labor relations acts](#).

⁴¹ Massachusetts allows employers to voluntarily recognize a union and so, unless labor relations issues arise, the Department may not be aware of their existence.

the federal National Labor Relations Act of 1935 or the federal Railway Labor Act of 1926.⁴² Judith Dillon, Executive Director of the Vermont Labor Relations Board (VLRB) testified that the Board currently has 1.5 employees (herself and a part-time assistant). She advised that the Board’s workload is increasing, and it would benefit from having an additional lawyer on staff, as well as making the stipend for Board members more attractive. The Board has six lay members, five of whom are retirees.

Ms. Dillon did not express an opinion on whether the VLRB or another entity should oversee an agricultural labor relations act but noted that, under the Municipal Employee Relations Act, either party may petition the Commissioner of Labor to appoint a mediator and factfinder if the parties reach impasse. When asked whether a separate agricultural labor relations board would make sense, Mr. Roberts of Massachusetts’ Department of Public Relations opined that he did not think so given that the same labor relations principles apply regardless of the employer. He also noted that, given the likely small number of unionized agricultural workplaces, a separate board would be impractical.

D. Proposed Amendment to Vermont’s Constitution

The Committee discussed the proposed amendment to add Article 23 to the Vermont Constitution and its anticipated impact were it to be passed by each chamber in the upcoming biennium and approved by a majority of voters in November 2026. Proposal 3 would amend the Constitution of the State of Vermont to provide, in pertinent part, that “employees have a right to organize or join a labor organization for the purpose of collectively bargaining with their employer through an exclusive representative of their choosing for the purpose of negotiating wages, hours, and working conditions and to protect their economic welfare and safety in the workplace.”⁴³ The proposal does not exclude agricultural workers, who would have the same constitutional right as other employees to bargain with their employers if the proposed amendment becomes part of Vermont’s Constitution.

VI. Employment Laws Applicable to Agricultural Workers

A. Overview of Employment Laws Applicable to Vermont’s Agricultural Workers⁴⁴

Minimum Wage

Agricultural workers are explicitly excluded from coverage under Vermont’s minimum wages and maximum hours laws.⁴⁵ This means that agricultural workers in Vermont must be paid not less than the federal minimum wage, which is currently set at \$7.25/hour and has not been updated since 2009. However, The federal Fair Labor Standards Act minimum wage does not apply to certain small farms (*i.e.* those using no more than 500 man-days of labor in any calendar

⁴² See Committee document, [Overview of Vermont's Collective Bargaining Laws](#).

⁴³ See [Proposal 3 to add Article 23 to Chapter I of the Vermont Constitution](#), as adopted by the Senate in 2024.

⁴⁴ See generally Committee document, [Overview of Employment Laws for Agricultural Workers](#). See also [Vermont Farm Employee Fact Sheet](#) (issued by the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets in 2023) and [U.S. Department of Labor Guide to Federal Labor Law for Agriculture](#).

⁴⁵ See 21 V.S.A. § 383 (excluding “any individual employed in agriculture” from the definition of employee).

quarter in the past year),⁴⁶ immediate family members, certain seasonal hand-harvest workers paid on a piece-rate basis; children under 16 who work on the same farm as their parents and are employed as hand harvesters paid on a piece-rate basis, and employees engaged in “range production of livestock.” The Fair Labor Standards Act defines agriculture to include primary farming activities.⁴⁷ Workers who are involved in manufacturing or processing agricultural products may not be considered agricultural employees under the Fair Labor Standards Act and, thus, would likely be covered by Vermont’s higher minimum wage and be eligible for overtime.

Maximum Hours

Agricultural workers in Vermont are excluded from the federal Fair Labor Standards Act’s overtime requirements, as well as those in Vermont.⁴⁸

Child Labor Laws

Children can work on farms subject to the requirements of Vermont’s child labor laws⁴⁹ and the federal Fair Labor Standards Act’s provisions governing child labor in agriculture.⁵⁰

Occupational Safety and Health

Employers have a general duty to provide a workplace free from hazards likely to cause death or serious physical harm to employees. Vermont follows the federal requirements.⁵¹ For example, under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act,⁵² farms that employ eleven or more “hand labor” workers on any day in the past twelve months must provide field sanitation facilities.

Discrimination Protection

Vermont’s Fair Employment Practices Act applies to farms and agricultural workers without exception.⁵³ Agricultural workers are also covered by federal discrimination laws, including the Equal Pay Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, and the Americans with Disabilities Act.⁵⁴

Workers’ Compensation

Farms with an aggregate payroll of more than \$10,000 per year must provide workers’ compensation insurance for all employees under State law.⁵⁵

⁴⁶ A man-day is defined as any day in which an employee performs agricultural labor for not less than an hour. 500 man-days are approximately the equivalent of seven employees employed full-time in a calendar quarter. A farmer who hires temporary or part-time employees during the harvesting season may exceed the man-day test even though the farmer has only a couple of full-time employees. 29 C.F.R. § 780.305.

⁴⁷ See Appendix 5, FLSA definition of farming, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

⁴⁸ 29 U.S.C. § 213(b)(12) (exempting “any employee engaged in agriculture” from the FLSA’s maximum hours requirement). The list of exemptions also includes employees engaged in the processing of maple sap and those engaged in the preparation and transportation of fruits and vegetables.

⁴⁹ 21 V.S.A. §§ 430–453.

⁵⁰ See [Federal Fact Sheet on Youth Employment in Agricultural Occupations](#).

⁵¹ 21 V.S.A. § 201(c).

⁵² 29 U.S.C. §§ 651–678. See also 29 C.F.R. Part 1910.

⁵³ 21 V.S.A. §§ 495–496.

⁵⁴ Each act has its own definition of a covered employer based upon the size of its workforce.

⁵⁵ 21 V.S.A. Ch. 9, Employer’s Liability and Workers’ Compensation.

Unemployment Insurance

Vermont's unemployment program is subject to federal requirements, and it applies to farms that pay \$20,000 or more to agricultural workers in any calendar quarter during the current or preceding calendar year or that employ ten or more individuals in agricultural labor on at least one day in 20 different calendar weeks during the current or preceding calendar year.⁵⁶

Other Vermont Workplace Protections

Agricultural workers in Vermont are also covered by other State workplace protections, including earned sick leave,⁵⁷ parental and family leave,⁵⁸ nursing mothers in the workplace,⁵⁹ and Vermont's Residential Rental Housing and Safety Code.⁶⁰

Other Federal Workplace Protections

The federal Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act requires farm labor contractors to register with the U.S. Department of Labor and protects migrant and seasonal agricultural workers by establishing employment standards related to wages, housing, transportation, disclosures, and record-keeping.⁶¹ The Act excludes H-2A farm workers from coverage, and it does not provide minimum wage or maximum hour protection. As previously discussed, the federal H-2A program permits farmers to employ temporary, non-immigrant workers if they do not displace U.S. workers.⁶² The H-2A program does have minimum wage requirements, which are currently above Vermont's minimum wage, and a guaranteed minimum number of work hours.

B. Minimum Wages for Agricultural Workers in Other States⁶³

Individual states can enact their own minimum wage requirements that exceed the federal \$7.25/hour rate. Many of the states that have enacted higher minimum wages, like Vermont, specifically exclude agricultural workers from receiving the state minimum wage. Others, like Massachusetts and New Jersey, have set an agricultural wage that is below the state's minimum

⁵⁶ 21 V.S.A. § 1301, Definitions.

⁵⁷ Vermont's Earned Sick Leave law applies to all employers and covers all employees, except those who work an average of less than 18 hours a week, who work in a job that is scheduled to last fewer than 20 weeks, or who are under the age of 18. 21 V.S.A. §§ 481–487.

⁵⁸ Vermont's Parental and Family Leave Act applies to employers with 10 or more individuals who are employed for an average of at least 30 hours per week during a year for the purposes of parental leave and employers with 15 or more individuals for an average of at least 30 hours per week during a year for the purposes of family leave. Covered employees are those who have been continuously employed by the same employer for a period of one year for an average of at least 30 hours per week. 21 V.S.A. §§ 470–474. The federal Family and Medical Leave Act applies to employers with 50 or more employees over 20 calendar workweeks and covers employees who have worked for the employer for at least one year and worked at least 1,250 hours in the past year. *See* 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601–2654.

⁵⁹ 21 V.S.A. § 305 (applies to all employers and requires the provision of a reasonable time and a private space in which to express breast milk for three years after the birth of a child). Federal law provides for breastfeeding accommodations in the workplace for one year after the birth of a child. 29 U.S.C. § 218d.

⁶⁰ *See* [Residential Rental Housing Health and Safety Code](#) at § 4.2 (including “housing provided as a benefit of farm employment” in the definition of “dwelling”).

⁶¹ *See* 29 U.S.C. §§ 1801–1872 and [Federal Fact Sheet on the Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act](#).

⁶² *See* Committee document, [Federal Fact Sheet on H-2A visa program](#).

⁶³ *See generally* Appendix 4.

wage for other employees but above the federal minimum wage. Others, like Maine and Pennsylvania, apply the state minimum wage to only a subset of agricultural workers. Several states, such as Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Hawaii, Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oregon, South Dakota, and Washington, do not exclude agricultural workers from receiving the state's higher state minimum wage. The minimum wage in these states vary significantly with the highest rates in California (\$16.50/hour as of January 1, 2025)⁶⁴ and Washington (\$16.66 as of January 1, 2025).⁶⁵

C. Overtime for Agricultural Workers in Other States⁶⁶

The federal Fair Labor Standards Act does not require that employees who are employed in agriculture receive the federal overtime payment of time and one-half their regular rate of pay for hours worked more than 40 hours/week. Individual states can enact their own overtime (or maximum hour) protections and choose whether to mandate overtime pay for agricultural workers. Some of the states that have chosen to do so, like California, Colorado, New York, Oregon, and Washington have taken a phasing in approach by, for example, starting at 60 hours/week and gradually working towards providing overtime at 40 hours/week. In addition, California has set a different schedule for smaller employers, with 25 or fewer employees, giving them more time to implement the changes. Hawaii and Minnesota provide that agricultural workers are eligible for overtime at 48 hours/week.

The Committee received testimony on the challenges of providing overtime pay to agricultural workers given the long work hours required and the financial fragility of many farming operations.⁶⁷ One witness testified that the State of New York has a farm employer overtime credit program that provides tax credits to eligible farmers for the increased cost of providing overtime to farmers. The program is intended to reduce the financial burden on farmers as New York phases in overtime for agricultural workers over an eight-year period.⁶⁸

VII. Recommendations of the Study Committee

A. Framework for Agricultural Collective Bargaining in Vermont

The legislative charge to the Committee directed it to provide a proposal for permitting agricultural workers to collectively bargain in its report. **[TO BE COMPLETED]**

B. Legislative Action on Vermont's Employment Laws

[TO BE COMPLETED]

⁶⁴ See [Update on Minimum Wage in California](#) (noting that the minimum wage may be higher in certain municipalities).

⁶⁵ See [Washington Minimum Wage Announcement](#).

⁶⁶ See generally Appendix 4.

⁶⁷ See generally Committee document, [Effects of NY Overtime Laws on Agricultural Production Costs and Competitiveness](#) (2021).

⁶⁸ See <https://www.tax.ny.gov/pit/credits/farm-employer-overtime-credit.htm>.

**Report of the Agricultural Worker Labor and Employment Law Study Committee
December 2024**

Representative David Durfee, Chair

Senator Irene Wrenner, Vice Chair

Representative Ashley Bartley

Senator Randy Brock

Representative Robin Chesnut-Tangerman

Senator Brian Collamore

Representative Heather Surprenant

Senator Kesha Ram Hinsdale



Appendix 1: 2024 Acts and Resolves No. 117, Section 3

Sec. 3. AGRICULTURAL WORKER LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LAWS; STUDY COMMITTEE; REPORT

(a) Creation. There is created the Agricultural Worker Labor and Employment Laws Study Committee to examine the application of Vermont's labor relations and employment laws to agricultural workers in Vermont and to identify potential legislative action to provide additional coverage to agricultural workers under those laws.

(b) Membership. The Committee shall be composed of the following members:

(1) four current members of the House, not all from the same political party, appointed by the Speaker of the House, of whom two shall be members of the Committee on Agriculture, Food Resiliency, and Forestry and two shall be members of the Committee on General and Housing; and

(2) four current members of the Senate, not all from the same political party, appointed by the Committee on Committees, of whom two shall be members of the Committee on Agriculture and two shall be members of the Committee on Economic Development, Housing and General Affairs.

(c) Powers and duties. The Committee shall study how Vermont's employment and labor relations laws apply to Vermont agricultural workers and identify potential legislative action to provide additional coverage to agricultural workers under those laws. In particular, the Committee shall:

(1) identify existing employment rights for agricultural workers under Vermont and federal law;

(2) identify Vermont and federal employment and collective bargaining laws that do not apply to some or all Vermont agricultural workers;

(3) identify laws in other states that provide employment or collective bargaining rights to agricultural workers that Vermont agricultural workers do not have;

(4) paying particular attention to states with agricultural economies similar to Vermont's, examine the structure of collective bargaining rights for agricultural workers in other states that provide such rights, including coverage, certification of exclusive bargaining representatives, subjects for bargaining, procedures for resolving bargaining impasse, unfair labor practices, and costs related to organizing and contract negotiation for both employers and labor organizations;

(5) examine the structure of Vermont's existing labor relations laws, including coverage, certification of exclusive bargaining representatives, subjects for bargaining, procedures for

resolving bargaining impasse, unfair labor practices, and costs related to organizing and contract negotiation for both employers and labor organizations;

(6) examine the capacity of the Vermont Labor Relations Board to administer collective bargaining in Vermont's agricultural sector;

(7) develop a framework for agricultural collective bargaining in Vermont; and

(8) identify other potential changes to Vermont's employment laws to provide additional rights and protections to agricultural workers.

(d) Assistance. The Committee shall have the administrative assistance of the Office of Legislative Operations, the fiscal assistance of the Joint Fiscal Office, and the legal assistance of the Office of Legislative Counsel.

(e) Report.

(1) On or before December 15, 2024, the Committee shall submit a written report to the General Assembly with its findings and recommendations for legislative action.

(2) The report shall include a proposal for permitting agricultural workers to collectively bargain. The proposal shall specifically address:

(A) whether to provide for collective bargaining by agricultural workers under the State Labor Relations Act or in a separate agricultural workers' labor relations act;

(B) the minimum size of agricultural employer to be covered;

(C) whether, and if so how, to differentiate between covered employers based on their size;

(D) the minimum number of employees who may form a bargaining unit;

(E) how to address seasonal, migratory, and temporary workers;

(F) procedures for selecting and certifying an exclusive representative for a bargaining unit;

(G) mandatory subjects for bargaining;

(H) procedures for resolving bargaining impasses, including whether to permit strikes or contract imposition;

(I) unfair labor practices;

(J) the role, if any, of the Vermont Labor Relations Board in administering the proposed law;

(K) whether to provide State resources to assist parties during the process of determining a bargaining unit, certifying an exclusive representative for a bargaining unit, negotiating a contract, and resolving a bargaining impasse; and

(L) any other issues the Committee deems to be appropriate.

(3) The report shall also include a recommendation for any other legislative action to amend Vermont's employment laws in relation to agricultural workers that the Committee deems to be appropriate.

(f) Meetings.

(1) The Chair of the House Committee on Agriculture, Food Resiliency, and Forestry shall call the first meeting of the Committee to occur on or before September 6, 2024.

(2) The Committee shall select a chair from among its members at the first meeting.

(3) A majority of the membership shall constitute a quorum.

(4) The Committee shall cease to exist on December 31, 2024.

(g) Compensation and reimbursement. For attendance at meetings during adjournment of the General Assembly, a legislative member of the Committee shall be entitled to per diem compensation and reimbursement of expenses pursuant to 2 V.S.A. § 23 for not more than six meetings. These payments shall be made from monies appropriated to the General Assembly.

Draft

Appendix 2: Witness List

- Glenn Card, President and Farmer, Vermont Farm Bureau
- Brian Carpenter, Chair, Vermont Dairy Producers Alliance
- Jane Clifford, Dairy Producer, Clifford Farm LLC, Starksboro, VT
- Steve Collier, General Counsel, Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets
- Jose Ignacio De La Cruz, Member, Migrant Justice/Justicia Migrante
- Judith Dillon, Executive Director, Vermont Labor Relations Board
- Alyson Eastman, Deputy Secretary, Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets
- Jackie Folsom, President, Vermont Farm Bureau
- Deanna Fox, Chief Executive Officer, New York Farm Bureau
- Yesenia Hernandez, Member, Migrant Justice/Justicia Migrante
- Eli Hersh, Farmer, Honey Field Farm, Norwich, VT
- Rev. Mark Hughes, Executive Director of the VT Racial Justice Alliance & Co-chair of the Health Equity Advisory Committee
- Maddie Kempner, Policy and Organizing Director, NOFA VT
- Will Lambek, Organizer, Migrant Justice/Justicia Migrante
- Abel Luna, Member, Migrant Justice/Justicia Migrante
- Damien Leonard, Legislative Counsel, Office of Legislative Counsel
- Richard Nelson, Co-owner, Nelson Farms, Derby, VT
- Salatiel Perez, Member, Migrant Justice/Justicia Migrante
- Phillip Roberts, Director, Massachusetts Department of Labor Relations
- Kim Skellie, Board Executive, New York Farm Bureau
- Maureen Torrey, Director, Board of National Council of Agricultural Employees
- Sophie Zdatny, Legislative Counsel, Office of Legislative Counsel

Staff for the Study Committee

- Megan Cannella, Committee Assistant
- Michael Ferrant, Director of Legislative Operations
- Damien Leonard, Legislative Counsel, Office of Legislative Counsel
- Sophie Zdatny, Legislative Counsel, Office of Legislative Counsel

Appendix 3: Overview of Agricultural Collective Bargaining Statutes in Other States

[Insert DM # 378285 & DM # 378314]

Draft

**Appendix 4: Matrix of State-by-State Comparison of Employment Laws
Applicable to Agricultural Workers**

[Insert DM # 378516]

Draft

Appendix 5: Examples of Statutory Definitions of “Agriculture” and “Farming”

VERMONT

State Land Use and Development Plans, 10 V.S.A. § 6001:

(22) “Farming” means:

(A) the cultivation or other use of land for growing food, fiber, Christmas trees, maple sap, or horticultural and orchard crops; or

(B) the raising, feeding, or management of livestock, poultry, fish, or bees; or

(C) the operation of greenhouses; or

(D) the production of maple syrup; or

(E) the on-site storage, preparation, and sale of agricultural products principally produced on the farm; or

(F) the on-site storage, preparation, production, and sale of fuel or power from agricultural products or wastes principally produced on the farm; or

(G) the raising, feeding, or management of four or more equines owned or boarded by the farmer, including training, showing, and providing instruction and lessons in riding, training, and the management of equines; or

(H) the importation of 2,000 cubic yards per year or less of food residuals or food processing residuals onto a farm for the production of compost, provided that:

(i) the compost is principally used on the farm where it is produced; or

(ii) the compost is produced on a small farm that raises or manages poultry.

Cannabis Establishments, environmental and land use standards, 7 V.S.A. § 869:

(a) A cannabis establishment shall not be regulated as “farming” under the Required Agricultural Practices, 6 V.S.A. chapter 215, or other State law, and cannabis produced from cultivation shall not be considered an agricultural product, farm crop, or agricultural crop for the purposes of 32 V.S.A. chapter 124, 32 V.S.A. § 9741, or other relevant State law.

FEDERAL

Fair Labor Standards Act, Definitions, 29 U.S.C. § 203:

(f) “Agriculture” includes farming in all its branches and among other things includes the cultivation and tillage of the soil, dairying, the production, cultivation, growing, and harvesting of any agricultural or horticultural commodities (including commodities defined as agricultural commodities in section 1141j(g) of Title 12), the raising of livestock, bees, fur-bearing animals, or poultry, and any practices (including any forestry or lumbering operations) performed by a farmer or on a farm as an incident to or in conjunction with such farming operations, including preparation for market, delivery to storage or to market or to carriers for transportation to market.

Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA), Definitions, 26 U.S.C. § 3121:

(g) Agricultural labor.--For purposes of this chapter, the term “agricultural labor” includes all service performed--

(1) on a farm, in the employ of any person, in connection with cultivating the soil, or in connection with raising or harvesting any agricultural or horticultural commodity, including the raising, shearing, feeding, caring for, training, and management of livestock, bees, poultry, and fur-bearing animals and wildlife;

(2) in the employ of the owner or tenant or other operator of a farm, in connection with the operation, management, conservation, improvement, or maintenance of such farm and its tools and equipment, or in salvaging timber or clearing land of brush and other debris left by a hurricane, if the major part of such service is performed on a farm;

(3) in connection with the production or harvesting of any commodity defined as an agricultural commodity in section 15(g) of the Agricultural Marketing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1141j), or in connection with the ginning of cotton, or in connection with the operation or maintenance of ditches, canals, reservoirs, or waterways, not owned or operated for profit, used exclusively for supplying and storing water for farming purposes;

(4)(A) in the employ of the operator of a farm in handling, planting, drying, packing, packaging, processing, freezing, grading, storing, or delivering to storage or to market or to a carrier for transportation to market, in its unmanufactured state, any agricultural or horticultural commodity; but only if such operator produced more than one-half of the commodity with respect to which such service is performed;

(B) in the employ of a group of operators of farms (other than a cooperative organization) in the performance of service described in subparagraph (A), but only if such operators produced all of the commodity with respect to which such service is performed. For purposes of this subparagraph, any unincorporated group of operators shall be deemed a cooperative organization if the number of operators comprising such group is more than 20 at any time during the calendar year in which such service is performed;

(C) the provisions of subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall not be deemed to be applicable with respect to service performed in connection with commercial canning or commercial freezing or in connection with any agricultural or horticultural commodity after its delivery to a terminal market for distribution for consumption; or

(5) on a farm operated for profit if such service is not in the course of the employer's trade or business.

As used in this subsection, the term "farm" includes stock, dairy, poultry, fruit, fur-bearing animal, and truck farms, plantations, ranches, nurseries, ranges, greenhouses or other similar structures used primarily for the raising of agricultural or horticultural commodities, and orchards.

ARIZONA

Agricultural Employment Relations, Definitions, Az. Rev. Stat. § 23-1382:

3. "Agriculture" means all services performed on a farm as defined in § 23-603, including but not limited to the recruiting, housing and feeding of persons employed or to be employed as agricultural employees by agricultural employers.

Employment Security, Agricultural Labor; Definitions, Az. Rev. Stat. § 23-603:

B. For the purposes of this section, “farm” includes stock, dairy, poultry, fruit, fur-bearing animal and truck farms and plantations, ranches, nurseries, ranges, greenhouses or other similar structures that are used primarily for raising agricultural or horticultural commodities, including orchards.

CALIFORNIA

Agricultural Labor Relations, Definitions, Cal. Lab. Code § 1140.4:

(a) The term “agriculture” includes farming in all its branches, and, among other things, includes the cultivation and tillage of the soil, dairying, the production, cultivation, growing, and harvesting of any agricultural or horticultural commodities (including commodities defined as agricultural commodities in Section 1141j(g) of Title 12 of the United States Code), the raising of livestock, bees, furbearing animals, or poultry, and any practices (including any forestry or lumbering operations) performed by a farmer or on a farm as an incident to or in conjunction with such farming operations, including preparation for market and delivery to storage or to market or to carriers for transportation to market.

COLORADO

Labor Conditions for Agricultural Workers, Col. Rev. Stat. § 8-13.5-201:

(2) “Agricultural employment” means employment in any service or activity included in section 203 (f) of the federal “Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938”, 29 U.S.C. sec. 201 et seq., as amended, or section 3121 (g) of the federal “Internal Revenue Code of 1986”, as amended.

Employment Security, Agricultural Labor, Col. Rev. Stat. § 8-7-109:

(1) “Agricultural labor” means any remunerated service performed:

(a) On a farm in the employ of any person, in connection with cultivating the soil, or in connection with raising or harvesting any agricultural or horticultural commodity, including the raising, shearing, feeding, caring for, training, and management of livestock, bees, poultry, and fur-bearing animals and wildlife;

(b) In the employ of the owner, tenant, or other operator of a farm, in connection with the operation, management, conservation, improvement, or maintenance of such farm and its tools and equipment, or in salvaging timber or clearing land of brush and other debris left by an act of nature, if the major part of the service is performed on a farm;

(c) In connection with the production or harvesting of any commodity defined as an agricultural commodity in section 15(g) of the “Agricultural Marketing Act”, as amended (46 Stat. 1550, sec. 3; 12 U.S.C. section 1141J), or in connection with the operation or maintenance of ditches, canals, reservoirs, or waterways, not owned or operated for profit, used exclusively for supplying and storing water for farming purposes;

(d) In the employ of the operator of a farm in handling, planting, drying, packing, packaging, processing, freezing, grading, storing, or delivering to storage or to market or to a carrier for

transportation to market, in its unmanufactured state, any agricultural or horticultural commodity, but only if such operator produced more than one-half of the commodity with respect to which the service is performed; except that the provisions of this paragraph (d) are not applicable with respect to service performed in connection with commercial canning or commercial freezing or in connection with any agricultural or horticultural commodity after its delivery to a terminal market for distribution for consumption;

(e) In the employ of a group of operators of farms (or a cooperative organization of which such operators are members) in the performance of service described in paragraph (d) of this subsection (1), but only if such operators produced more than one-half of the commodity with respect to which the service is performed; except that the provisions of this paragraph (e) are not applicable with respect to service performed in connection with commercial canning or commercial freezing or in connection with any agricultural or horticultural commodity after its delivery to a terminal market for distribution for consumption; or

(f) On a farm operated for profit if the service is not in the course of the employer's trade.
(2) As used in this section, the term "farm" includes stock, dairy, poultry, fruit, fur-bearing animal, and truck farms, plantations, ranches, nurseries, ranges, greenhouses, or other similar structures used primarily for the raising of agricultural or horticultural commodities and orchards.

NEW YORK

New York State Labor Relations Act, NY Labor § 701(2)(b):

The term "agricultural employer" shall mean any employer engaged in cultivating the soil or in raising or harvesting any agricultural or horticultural commodity including custom harvesting operators, and employers engaged in the business of crops, livestock and livestock products as defined in section three hundred one of the agriculture and markets law, or other similar agricultural enterprises.

OREGON

Minimum Wage, Employment Conditions, Definitions, O.R.S. §653.271:

(2) "Agriculture" includes:

- (a) Farming in all its branches, including the cultivation and tillage of the soil;
- (b) Dairying;
- (c) The production, cultivation, growing and harvesting of any agricultural or horticultural commodities;
- (d) The raising of livestock, bees, fur-bearing animals or poultry; and
- (e) Any other practices performed by a farmer or on a farm as an incident to or in conjunction with farming operations, including preparation for market, delivery to storage or to market, or delivery to carriers for transportation to market.

WASHINGTON

Unemployment Compensation, Employment—Agricultural Labor, Wash. Rev. Code § 50.04.150:

Agricultural labor is defined as services performed:

(1) On a farm, in the employ of any person, in connection with the cultivation of the soil, or in connection with raising or harvesting any agricultural or horticultural commodity, including raising, shearing, feeding, caring for, training, and management of livestock, bees, poultry, and furbearing animals and wild life, or in the employ of the owner or tenant or other operator of a farm in connection with the operation, management, conservation, improvement, or maintenance of such farm and its tools and equipment; or

(2) In packing, packaging, grading, storing, or delivering to storage, or to market or to a carrier for transportation to market, any agricultural or horticultural commodity; but only if such service is performed as an incident to ordinary farming operations. The exclusions from the term “employment” provided in this paragraph shall not be deemed to be applicable with respect to commercial packing houses, commercial storage establishments, commercial canning, commercial freezing, or any other commercial processing or with respect to services performed in connection with the cultivation, raising, harvesting and processing of oysters or raising and harvesting of mushrooms or in connection with any agricultural or horticultural commodity after its delivery to a terminal market for distribution for consumption.

DR

Appendix 6: Examples of Types of Agricultural Workers and the Minimum Number of Workers Required for Coverage by a Collective Bargaining Statute in Other States

ARIZONA

Agricultural Employment Relations, Definitions, Az. Rev. Stat. § 23-1382 (emphasis added):

1. “Agricultural employee, permanent” means any employee who is over sixteen years of age, who has been employed by a particular agricultural employer for at least six months during the preceding calendar year and who is engaged in the growing or harvesting of agricultural crops or the packing of agricultural crops if packing is accomplished in the field. “Agricultural employee, temporary” means any employee who is over sixteen years of age, who is employed by a particular agricultural employer, who has been so employed during the preceding calendar year and who is engaged in the growing or harvesting of agricultural crops or the packing of agricultural crops if packing is accomplished in the field. If otherwise qualified, a person shall be considered an agricultural employee if an agricultural employer pays the wages of the employee for work performed for the employer's benefit or on his behalf, even though the supervision of the employee, the bookkeeping and the issuance of payroll checks are by a person other than the employer. In calculating a workday of an agricultural employee, one hour or more of employment in any one day shall be considered a workday. “Agricultural employee” also includes any individual whose work has ceased as a consequence of, or in connection with, any current labor dispute or because of any unfair labor practice and who has not obtained any other regular and substantially equivalent employment. “Agricultural employee” does not include any individual who:

- (a) Is employed by his parent or spouse or by an immediate relative.
- (b) Has the status of an independent contractor.
- (c) Is employed as a supervisor or in a confidential capacity or as a clerical employee or a guard.
- (d) Is employed as an executive, professional or technical employee.
- (e) Has quit or has been discharged for cause.
- (f) Is a tenant or sharecropper and reasonably directs or shares in the management of an enterprise engaged in agriculture.
- (g) Is engaged in hauling or stitching functions.

2. “Agricultural employer” means any employer who is engaged in agriculture and who employed **six or more agricultural employees for a period of thirty days during the preceding six month period** and includes any person who provides labor and services on one or more farms as an independent contractor if such person, for a period of thirty days during the preceding six month period, employed six or more employees in such work. In calculating the number of agricultural employees employed by an agricultural employer or provided by an independent contractor, one hour or more of employment in any one day shall be considered a day of work. Agricultural employer also includes any employer who is engaged in agriculture with less than six agricultural employees and who voluntarily elects to be subject to this article by filing a request in writing with the board.

CALIFORNIA

Agricultural Labor Relations Act (CALRA), Definitions, Cal. Lab. Code § 1140.4

(b) The term “agricultural employee” or “employee” shall mean one engaged in agriculture, as such term is defined in subdivision (a). However, nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to include any person other than those employees excluded from the coverage of the National Labor Relations Act, as amended, as agricultural employees, pursuant to Section 2(3) of the Labor Management Relations Act (Section 152(3), Title 29, United States Code), and Section 3(f) of the Fair Labor Standards Act (Section 203(f), Title 29, United States Code).

Further, nothing in this part shall apply, or be construed to apply, to any employee who performs work to be done at the site of the construction, alteration, painting, or repair of a building, structure, or other work (as these terms have been construed under Section 8(e) of the Labor Management Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. Sec. 158(e)) or logging or timber-clearing operations in initial preparation of land for farming, or who does land leveling or only land surveying for any of the above.

As used in this subdivision, “land leveling” shall include only major land moving operations changing the contour of the land, but shall not include annual or seasonal tillage or preparation of land for cultivation.

Agricultural Labor Relations Act (CALRA), Cal. Lab. Code § 1164 (emphasis added):

(a) . . . “Agricultural employer,” for purposes of this chapter [Contract Dispute Resolution], means an agricultural employer, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 1140.4, who has employed or engaged **25 or more agricultural employees during any calendar week in the year** preceding the filing of a declaration pursuant to this subdivision.

COLORADO

Labor Peace Act, Definitions, Colo. Rev. Stat. § 8-3-104 (emphasis added):

(11)(b) “Employee” does not include:

(I) An independent contractor;

(II) Domestic servants employed in and about private homes;

(III) An individual employed by the individual's parent or spouse;

(IV) An employee who is subject to the federal “Railway Labor Act”, 45 U.S.C. sec. 151 et seq., as amended; or

(V) A parent, spouse, or child of an agricultural employer's immediate family.

(12)(a)(I) “Employer” means a person who regularly engages the services of **eight or more employees**, other than persons within the classes expressly exempted under the terms of subsection (11) of this section.

HAWAII

Hawaii Employment Relations Act, Definitions, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 377-1 (emphasis added):

“Employee” does not include any individual employed in the domestic service of a family or person at the family's or person's home or any individual employed by the individual's parent or spouse, or any person employed in an executive or supervisory capacity, **or any individual employed by any employer employing less than two individuals**, or any individual subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Railway Labor Act or the National Labor Relations Act, as amended from time to time. “Employee” includes any individual subject to the jurisdiction of the National Labor Relations Act, as amended from time to time, but over whom the National Labor Relations Board has declined to exercise jurisdiction or has indicated by its decisions and policies that it will not assume jurisdiction.

KANSAS

Agricultural Labor Relations, Definitions, Kan. Stat. Ann. § 44-819 (emphasis added):

(b) “Agricultural employee” means any individual employed to perform agricultural work, including any individual whose work has ceased as a consequence of, or in connection with, any current labor dispute or because of any prohibited labor practice, who has not obtained any other substantially equivalent employment. A parent, spouse, or an immediate relative may be considered an “agricultural employee.” However, “agricultural employee” does not include any individual:

- (1) Who has not reached the age of 16 years;
- (2) Who has the status of an independent contractor. An independent contract relationship does not exist if the person for whom services are performed reserves the right to control the manner and means by which a job is accomplished, even though this right is not exercised;
- (3) Who is employed as a supervisor, or crew boss, or in a confidential capacity, or as a clerical employee, or as a guard, or as a domestic employee;
- (4) Who is employed as an executive, professional or technical employee;
- (5) Who has quit, been discharged, or who is on strike in violation of any of the provisions of this act; or
- (6) Who is a tenant or sharecropper and directs or shares in the management of an enterprise engaged in agriculture.

(c) “Agricultural employer” shall mean any employer engaged in cultivating the soil or in raising or harvesting any agricultural or horticultural commodity including custom harvesting operators operating wholly within the state of Kansas, and employers engaged in operating stock, dairy, poultry, fruit, furbearing animal, wildlife and truck farms, plantations, ranches, feedlots, ranges, orchards, or other similar agricultural enterprises and **who employed six or more employees for 20 or more days of any calendar month in the six months** preceding the filing for recognition by such employees as an employee organization as provided for in K.S.A. 44-823, and amendments thereto.

“Agricultural employers” employing less than six employees may elect to come within the provisions of this act by filing a written statement of election with the board and upon filing such a statement such employer shall become an agricultural employer as defined herein.

LOUISIANA

Agricultural Laborers’ Right to Work Law, Definitions, La. R.S. § 23:881

As used in this Part, the term “agricultural laborers” means only those persons employed in the ginning processing cotton seed and compressing of cotton, the irrigation, harvesting, drying and milling of rice, the sowing, tending, reaping or harvesting of crops, livestock, or other agricultural products on farms and plantations or those persons employed in the processing of raw sugar cane into brown sugar where such persons or their employees are not directly connected or concerned with any operation to further process such cane; except that those persons working for the raisers of such cane may process sugar beyond the brown sugar stage for such raisers and still remain within the definition of agricultural laborers but except as provided above, such term does not include persons employed in mills, plants, factories, wholesale or retail sales outlets, or otherwise in the transportation, storage, preparation, processing or sale of such crops, livestock or produce, except for transportation by the grower of rice from the field to the mill, or initial storage warehouse, for transportation of cotton by the grower from the field to the gin, or for transportation of sugar cane by the grower from the field to the mill at which the cane is to be initially processed, and for the transportation of cotton seed from the gin to the mill.

MASSACHUSETTS

Labor Relations, Agricultural Workers, representatives, Mass. Gen. Stat. 150A § 5A (emphasis added):

In the case of a person engaged in agriculture, as hereinafter defined, and **having a permanent hired work force of more than four agricultural workers who are not members of his family**, the provisions of section five shall apply; provided that only the employer unit shall be deemed appropriate for collective bargaining purposes; and provided further that nothing in this section nor in said section five shall be construed as constituting authority for any action or proceeding to nullify, amend or otherwise modify any contract or agreement, or any provision thereof, which is reached by any such person for the seasonal employment of agricultural workers with the official sanction either of the government of any territorial possession of the United States or of the United States department of labor. As used in this section, the term “agriculture” includes horticulture, floriculture and any other commercial enterprise involving the production of food or fiber.

OREGON

Picketing of Agricultural Production Sites, Definitions, Or. St. § 662.805 (emphasis added):

(3) “Regular employee” means a person who has been employed by the employer **for at least six calendar work days**.

Appendix 7: Examples of Statutory Language Relating to Strikes by Agricultural Workers

ARIZONA

Agricultural Employment Relations, Declaration of Policy, Az. Rev. Stat. § 23-1381:

It is hereby declared to be the policy of this state that the uninterrupted production, packing, processing, transporting and marketing of agricultural products are vital to the public interest. It is also declared to be the policy of this state that agricultural employees are free to organize, to take concerted action and, through representatives of their own choosing, to enter into collective bargaining contracts establishing their wages and terms and conditions of employment or to refrain from engaging in any or all of these activities. It is further declared that there now exists an inequality of bargaining power between agricultural employers and labor unions, arising out of the seasonal character and perishable nature of such agricultural products, the mobility of agricultural labor and the fundamental differences between agriculture and industry. While the right to strike is a basic right of organized labor, such right must take into account the perishable character and the seasonal nature of agricultural products and must be limited and regulated accordingly. It is the intent of the legislature to provide a means to bargain collectively that is fair and equitable to agricultural employers, labor organizations and employees, to provide orderly election procedures to resolve questions concerning representation of agricultural employees and to declare that certain acts are unfair labor practices that are prohibited and that are subject to control by the police power of this state. The overriding special interest of this state with respect to certain secondary boycott activities originating in this state, but extending across state lines and directed at employers in other states, must be recognized, and such acts must be made unlawful and subject to control by the police power of this state.

Agricultural Employment Relations, Court Jurisdiction, Az. Rev. Stat. § 23-1393:

(B) In the case of a strike or boycott, or threat of a strike or boycott, against an agricultural employer, the court may grant, and on proper application shall grant as provided in this section, a ten day restraining order enjoining such a strike or boycott, provided that if an agricultural employer invokes the court's jurisdiction to issue the ten day restraining order to enjoin a strike as provided by this subsection, the employer as a condition must agree to submit the dispute to binding arbitration as the means of settling the unresolved issues. If the parties cannot agree on an arbitrator within two days after the court awards a restraining order, the court shall appoint one to decide the unresolved issues. Any agricultural employer is entitled to injunctive relief accorded by rule 65 of the Arizona rules of civil procedure on the filing of a verified petition showing that his agricultural employees are unlawfully on strike or are unlawfully conducting a boycott, or are unlawfully threatening to strike or boycott, and that the resulting cessation of work or conduct of a boycott will result in the prevention of production or the loss, spoilage, deterioration or reduction in grade, quality or marketability of an agricultural commodity or commodities for human consumption in commercial quantities. For the purpose of this subsection, an agricultural commodity or commodities for human consumption with a market value of five thousand dollars or more constitutes commercial quantities.

HAWAII

Hawaii Employment Relations Act, Strike Notice, Haw. Rev. Stat. § 377-12:

Where the exercise of the right to strike by employees of any employer engaged in the State in the production, harvesting, or initial processing of any farm, agricultural, or dairy product produced in the State would tend to cause the destruction or serious deterioration of the product, such employees shall give to the board at least ten days' notice of their intention to strike, and the board shall immediately advise the employer of the notice.

KANSAS

Agricultural Employment Relations; declaration of public policy, Kan. Stat. Ann. § 44-818:

Experience has demonstrated that the lack of orderly procedures to meet and confer has contributed to certain problems in the agriculture industry, including secondary boycotts and work discrepancies during critical periods, which promote strife and pose a threat to the public interest and the free flow of commerce. Recognizing the unique nature of the agricultural industry, including the public dependence upon its vital products, it is necessary to establish special provisions so that the right to organize and to be represented in meet and confer relationships with agricultural employers may be assured to agricultural employees without undue injury to the public interest.

It is further recognized that agricultural employers should be protected from certain practices engaged in by some labor organizations, their officers and members, which burden or obstruct commerce, thereby preventing the free flow of agricultural products.

It is hereby declared to be the policy of the state of Kansas to eliminate the cause of certain substantial obstructions to the free flow of commerce by encouraging farmers and agricultural employees represented by employee organizations to resolve labor disputes through meeting and conferring in good faith and to protect the exercise by agricultural workers of full freedom of association, self-organization and designation of representatives of their own choosing for the purpose of negotiating the terms and conditions of their employment.

NEBRASKA

Labor, Secondary Boycott, Public Policy, Neb. Rev. St. § 48-901(2):

(2) Industrial peace, regular and adequate income for the employee, and uninterrupted production of goods and services are promotive of all of these interests. They are largely dependent upon the maintenance of fair, friendly and mutually satisfactory employment relations and the availability of suitable machinery for the peaceful adjustment of whatever controversies may arise. It is recognized that certain employers, including farmers and farmer cooperatives, in addition to their general employer problems, face special problems arising from perishable commodities and seasonal production which require adequate consideration. It is also recognized that whatever may be the rights of disputants with respect to each other in any controversy regarding employment relations, they should not be permitted, in the conduct of their controversy, to intrude

directly into the primary rights of third parties to earn a livelihood, transact business and engage in the ordinary affairs of life by any lawful means and free from molestation, interference, restraint or coercion.

OREGON

Picketing of Agricultural Production Sites, Policy, right to organize and bargain collectively, Or. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 662.810:

- (1) The Legislative Assembly recognizes and declares that agriculture is of great importance to the economy of the state and to the well-being of its citizens. Because of the perishable nature of agricultural crops, they must be harvested at the proper stage of maturity, and if this harvest is interfered with the crop may become a total loss with a resulting waste or loss of food, adverse effect upon consumer prices, loss of employment to agricultural laborers and severe dislocation of the entire economy of the state. The picketing of farms, ranches or orchards at such times as would prevent the planting or harvesting of such crops directly affects the public welfare and requires regulation by the state in the exercise of its police power.
- (2) Nothing in ORS 662.805 to 662.825 shall be construed to prohibit any right of employees to organize and bargain collectively with their employers.

Draft