At ten o'clock in the forenoon, the Speaker called the House to order.

Devotional Exercises
A moment of silence was held in lieu of a devotional.

Pledge of Allegiance
The Speaker led the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Green Mountain Care Board Nominating Committee Appointment
Pursuant to 18 V.S.A. § 9390, the Speaker appointed the following member to the Green Mountain Care Board Nominating Committee:

Rep. Black of Essex

Working Group on Policies Pertaining to Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities Who Are Criminal-Justice Involved Appointments
Pursuant to 2023, Act 27, the Speaker appointed the following members to the Working Group on Policies Pertaining to Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities Who Are Criminal-Justice Involved:

Rep. Garofano of Essex
Rep. Chapin of East Montpelier

Rules Suspended, Immediate Consideration; Governor's Veto Overridden
H. 217

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and House bill, entitled An act relating to child care, early education, workers' compensation, and unemployment insurance

Appearing on the Calendar for Notice, was taken up for immediate consideration.
Pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11 of the Vermont Constitution, the Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass, notwithstanding the Governor’s refusal to approve the bill?, was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 116. Nays, 31.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Andrews of Westford  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Morrissey of Bennington
Anthony of Barre City  Elder of Starksboro  Mrowicki of Putney *
Aarrison of Weathersfield  Emmons of Springfield  Mulvaney-Stanak of Burlington
Arsenault of Williston  Farlice-Rubio of Barnet
Austin of Colchester  Galfetti of Barre Town *
Bartholomew of Hartland  Garofano of Essex  Nicoll of Ludlow
Bartley of Fairfax  Goldman of Rockingham  Notte of Rutland City
Berbeco of Winooski  Graning of Jericho  Noyes of Wolcott
Biron of Vergennes  Gregoire of Fairfield  Nugent of South Burlington
Black of Essex  Headrick of Burlington  O’Brien of Tunbridge
Bluemle of Burlington  Holcombe of Norwich  Ode of Burlington
Bongartz of Manchester  Hooper of Randolph  Pajala of Londonderry
Bos-Lun of Westminster  Hooper of Burlington  Patt of Worcester
Boyden of Cambridge  Houghton of Essex Junction  Pearl of Danville
Brady of Williston  Howard of Rutland City  Pouche of Hinesburg
Brown of Richmond  Hyman of South Burlington  Priestley of Bradford
Brownell of Pownal  James of Manchester  Rachelson of Burlington
Brumsted of Shelburne  Jerome of Brandon  Rice of Dorset
Burke of Brattleboro  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Roberts of Halifax
Burrows of West Windsor  Krasnow of South  Sammis of Castleton
Buss of Woodstock  Burlington  Satcowitz of Randolph
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Krowinski of Burlington  Scheu of Middlebury
Carpenter of Hyde Park  LaBounty of Lyndon  Sheldon of Middlebury
Carroll of Bennington  LaLalley of Shelburne  Sims of Crafsbury
Casey of Montpelier  LaLonde of South  Small of Winooski
Chase of Chester  Burlington  Squirrel of Underhill
Chase of Colchester  LaMont of Morristown  Stebbins of Burlington
Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs  Lanpher of Vergennes  Stevens of Waterbury
Christie of Hartford  Leavitt of Grand Isle  Stone of Burlington
Cina of Burlington  Lipsky of Stowe  Surprenant of Barnard
Coffey of Guilford  Logan of Burlington  Taylor of Colchester
Cole of Hartford  Long of Newfane  Templeman of Brownington
Conlon of Cornwall  Marcotte of Coventry  Toleno of Brattleboro
Corcoran of Bennington  Masland of Thetford  Torre of Moretown
Cordes of Lincoln  McCann of Montpelier  Waters Evans of Charlotte
Demrow of Corinth  McCarthy of St. Albans City  White of Bethel
Dodge of Essex  McFaun of Barre Town *  Whitman of Bennington
Dolan of Essex Junction  McGill of Bridport  Williams of Barre City
Dolan of Waitsfield  Mihaly of Calais  Wood of Waterbury *
Those who voted in the negative are:

Beck of St. Johnsbury  Graham of Williamstown  Parsons of Newbury
Branagan of Georgia    Hango of Berkshire *  Peterson of Clarendon
Brennan of Colchester  Harrison of Chittenden *  Shaw of Pittsford
Burditt of West Rutland Higley of Lowell        Sibilia of Dover
Canfield of Fair Haven  Labor of Morgan        Smith of Derby
Clifford of Rutland City Larocche of Franklin *  Taylor of Milton
Demar of Enosburgh     Maguire of Rutland City  Toof of St. Albans Town *
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  Mattos of Milton  Walker of Swanton
Donahue of Northfield * Morgan of Milton *    Wilson of Lyndon
Goslant of Northfield  Page of Newport City

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Andriano of Orwell      Chapin of East Montpelier   Oliver of Sheldon

**Rep. Donahue of Northfield** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This critical issue could have been addressed in a fiscally responsible manner by taking reasonable steps forward rather than biting off a massive chunk all at once. There was a rational path for significant progress without a new payroll tax. I cannot support this veto override.”

**Rep. Galfetti of Barre Town** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I rise in support of H.217 with a heavy heart. But with the composition of the Legislature of this State, we have no choice but to support this option that was given us. Alternative bills were never taken off the wall for us to consider and now we have levied a needless regressive payroll tax as we were given no other options.”

**Rep. Hango of Berkshire** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted no with regret today to uphold the Governor’s veto of this important legislation. I am disappointed that there was no due consideration for the Governor’s proposal to alleviate this crisis affecting our work force and our families without raising new payroll taxes on every working Vermonter and their employers.”
Rep. Harrison of Chittenden explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

While I support increased funding for childcare, H.217 goes too far. This bill gives new meaning to tax and spend.”

Rep. Laroche of Franklin explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes before as I support childcare. But this bill is too expensive and imposes an onerous tax. I doubt that money will solve the problem if the labor force is lacking. So, I am a No.”

Rep. McCoy of Poultney explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

A payroll tax for childcare is a regressive tax. The notion that low-income families, who qualify for subsidized childcare, will now be paying a payroll tax, is untenable for me. I vote to support the Governor’s veto.”

Rep. McFaun of Barre Town explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes on H.217 because I ran on the notion that this childcare industry needed to be stabilized and be more affordable. Affordable childcare is positively an effective economic development tool as well. Even though I don’t agree with the payroll tax as a funding mechanism, it is the only option before me; therefore, I vote yes for families in need of childcare.”

Rep. Morgan of Milton explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This is a difficult vote for me as I’ve always supported childcare bills in the past. However, this legislation creates a tax that is regressive in nature as it is paid by all working Vermonters, rich or poor. The Governor had given us a path that would have brought us into the top spot in the nation for childcare without raising taxes. Therefore, I vote no.”

Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

If there were ever a cause worthy of investing State dollars, it is our children, families, and staff – mostly women – who provide early care and education. And by investment, I mean long term, not onetime. Our children deserve no less.”
Rep. Toof of St. Albans Town explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Although I support making important investments in childcare, I cannot support adding more burdens on working Vermonters. This vote isn’t about childcare, it is about creating a new payroll tax. I vote no.”

Rep. Wood of Waterbury explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This is transformational change for Vermont; a family with $50,000 in income will pay $55 per year, but their benefit will be in excess of $22,000. A good bargain under any measurement. Workers benefit, families benefit, all Vermonters benefit.”

Rules Suspended, Immediate Consideration;
Governor's Veto Overridden
H. 305

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poulney, the rules were suspended and House bill, entitled
An act relating to professions and occupations regulated by the Office of Professional Regulation
Appearing on the Calendar for Notice, was taken up for immediate consideration.

Pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11 of the Vermont Constitution, the Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass, notwithstanding the Governor’s refusal to approve the bill?, was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 109. Nays, 38.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Andrews of Westford * Anthony of Barre City
Aarrison of Weathersfield Arsenault of Williston
Austin of Colchester Bartholomew of Hartland
Berbeco of Winookski Birong of Vergennes
Black of Essex Bluemle of Burlington
Bongartz of Manchester Bos-Lun of Westminster
Boyd of Cambridge Brady of Williston
Brennan of Colchester  
Brown of Richmond  
Brownell of Pownal  
Brumsted of Shelburne  
Burke of Brattleboro  
Burrows of West Windsor  
Buss of Woodstock  
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  
Carpenter of Hyde Park  
Carroll of Bennington  
Casey of Montpelier  
Chase of Chester  
Chase of Colchester  
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs  
Christie of Hartford  
Cina of Burlington  
Coffey of Guilford  
Cole of Hartford  
Conlon of Cornwall  
Corcoran of Bennington  
Cordes of Lincoln  
Demrow of Corinth  
Dodge of Essex  

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bartley of Fairfax  
Beck of St. Johnsbury  
Branagan of Georgia  
Burditt of West Rutland  
Canfield of Fair Haven  
Clifford of Rutland City  
Demar of Enosburgh  
Dickinson of St. Albans Town  
Donahue of Northfield  
Galfetti of Barre Town  
Goslant of Northfield  
Graham of Williamstown  

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Andriano of Orwell  
Chapin of East Montpelier  
Oliver of Sheldon  

Rep. Andrews of Westford explained her vote as follows:

"Madam Speaker:

Today I voted yes on H.305 in support of our colleagues that work in the Office of Professional Regulation every day ensuring our business
professionals across many sectors of the economy are serving their customers, partners, and patients with professionalism. When we choose to not adequately fund functions of government, there are ripples of effect. The most obvious is that we need to take money from the General Fund that is intended for other purposes. We can pretend that not addressing fees keeps costs lower, but when we abdicate responsibility for keeping fees aligned with costs, we are inappropriately asking all Vermonters to bear the cost.

**Rep. Demrow of Corinth** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

State government is not immune to the inflationary pressure we all face. We as a state, rely on professional boards to uphold professional licensing, and ethics standards. I voted yes because adequately funding professional boards protects all Vermonters.”

**Rep. Galfetti of Barre Town** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

With the passage of H.305 we have started a dangerous slide in the standard of dental care especially for low-income Vermonters, by allowing hygienists to put a band-aid on tooth decay that has not been evaluated by a licensed dentist. As a result, we will put people’s dental health at risk.”

**Rep. McCarthy of St. Albans City** explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This bill makes important updates to Office of Professional Regulation policies, notable is expanding the scope of practice for dental hygienists to save the teeth of many Vermonters. The increases in fees for licenses are in line with inflation and will make OPR more sustainable and self-sufficient. I vote yes.”

**Rep. McCoy of Poultney** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

A seven million dollar hit to businesses and employees for their professional licensure, especially during these uncertain economic times, is something I cannot support. I vote to support the Governor’s veto.”

**Rules Suspended, Bills Messaged to Senate Forthwith**

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and the following bills were ordered messaged to the Senate forthwith:
H. 217

House bill, entitled

An act relating to child care, early education, workers' compensation, and unemployment insurance

H. 305

House bill, entitled

An act relating to professions and occupations regulated by the Office of Professional Regulation

Message from the Senate No. 65

A message was received from the Senate by Ms. Kucserik, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has on its part adopted joint resolution of the following title:


In the adoption of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Recess

At eleven o'clock and twenty-two minutes in the forenoon, the Speaker declared a recess until the fall of the gavel.

At one o'clock and thirty-five minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker called the House to order.

Rules Suspended, Immediate Consideration; Governor's Veto Overridden

H. 494

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and House bill, entitled

An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government

Appearing on the Calendar for Notice, was taken up for immediate consideration.

Pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11 of the Vermont Constitution, the Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass, notwithstanding the Governor’s refusal to approve the bill?, was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 105. Nays, 42.
Those who voted in the affirmative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town/Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrews of Westford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony of Barre City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrison of Weathersfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenault of Williston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin of Colchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartholomew of Hartland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berbeco of Winooski</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biron of Vergennes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black of Essex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blumle of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bongartz of Manchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bos-Lun of Westminster*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyden of Cambridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady of Williston*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown of Richmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownell of Pownal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brumsted of Shelburne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke of Brattleboro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burrows of West Windsor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buss of Woodstock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter of Hyde Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll of Bennington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey of Montpelier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Chester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole of Hartford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodge of Essex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan of Essex Junction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan of Waitsfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durfee of Shaftsbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder of Starksboro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmons of Springfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farlice-Rubio of Barnet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garofano of Essex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldman of Rockingham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graning of Jericho</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holcombe of Norwich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper of Randolph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houghton of Essex Junction*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyman of South Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James of Manchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome of Brandon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kornheiser of Brattleboro*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krasnow of South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krowsinski of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaBounty of Lyndon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lalley of Shelburne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaLonde of South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanpher of Vergennes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavitt of Grand Isle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipsky of Stowe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long of Newfane*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCann of Montpelier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGill of Bridport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mihaly of Calais</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minier of South Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrowicki of Putney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicoll of Ludlow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notte of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noyes of Wolcott</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nugent of South Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Brien of Tunbridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ode of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pajala of Londonderry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patt of Worcester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl of Danville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pouch of Hinesburg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priestley of Bradford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachelson of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice of Dorset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts of Halifax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satcowitz of Randolph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheu of Middlebury*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheldon of Middlebury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibilia of Dover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sims of Craftsbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squirrell of Underhill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stubbins of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens of Waterbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprenant of Barnard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor of Colchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeman of Brownington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toleno of Brattleboro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torre of Moretown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troiano of Stannard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waters Evans of Charlotte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White of Bethel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitman of Bennington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams of Barre City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town/Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bartley of Fairfax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck of St. Johnsbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branagan of Georgia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demar of Enosburgh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregoire of Fairfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hango of Berkshire*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison of Chittenden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headrick of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higley of Lowell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor of Morgan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laroche of Franklin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan of Burlington*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maguire of Rutland City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulvaney-Stanak of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons of Newbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson of Clarendon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sammis of Castleton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw of Pittsfld</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small of Winooski</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dickinson of St. Albans Town
Donahue of Northfield
Galfetti of Barre Town
Goslant of Northfield
Graham of Williamstown
Marcotte of Coventry
Mattos of Milton
McGowan of Barre Town
McFaun of Barre Town
Morgan of Milton
Morgan of Poultony
Toof of St. Albans Town
McCoy of Poultony
McGowan of Barre Town
Williams of Granby
Wilson of Lyndon

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:
Andriano of Orwell
Chapin of East Montpelier
Oliver of Sheldon

**Rep. Bos-Lun of Westminster** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I supported the veto override because the budget desperately needed programs for Vermonters. Today I expect we will support another bill which will provide appropriate and compassionate plans for a just transition out of the motels/hotels to other housing options that were not available when we voted on the budget in May. To support the budget override, I needed better plans to support those still living in hotels and motels. I am thankful this is coming today.”

**Rep. Brady of Williston** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes on this budget because it contains so many important investments. Most of us only closely know our little corner of the budget and my corner is education. I am especially proud that we are creating some modest teacher workforce incentives as the workforce challenges in our schools are increasingly dire and we will need sustained attention to this work. I am incredibly optimistic about the school construction and transformation work that will begin in earnest with the passage of this budget. But I am profoundly disappointed that despite the hard work of our House conferees, we will continue to use precious public education dollars on an expensive first in the nation PCB testing program that is already creating disruption and stress in schools across the State at a time when we should be doing everything in our power to increase learning and support schools, not disrupt them. Using taxpayer dollars intended to fulfill our obligation to educate all students to test and remediate in private schools is especially irresponsible.”

**Rep. Galfetti of Barre Town** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

This budget is fiscally irresponsible and sets Vermont on a track to financial ruin. By spending the surplus we had on ongoing programs we will leave federal match money on the table for needed infrastructure and development
projects and Vermonters will lose. Vermonters will be forced to pay up at a
time they can little afford to carry this expanded spending model.”

**Rep. Hango of Berkshire** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Once again, the hundreds of millions of dollars in this budget neglects to
honor those worthy individuals who gave their lives in military service by not
exempting military pensions and survivors benefits from taxation, a mere $3.5
million.”

**Rep. Houghton of Essex Junction** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes for the largest investment in years for our health care providers.
Our investment of over $99 million will directly and positively impact our
primary care providers, our emergency medical personnel who are always
available when we call 911, our dentists, our home health and adult day
providers who help Vermonters heal and live in their homes, and many others.
These providers take care of Vermonters every day. It’s past time we take care
of them.”

**Rep. Kornheiser of Brattleboro** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

In times of growing uncertainty and economic disparity, it’s our job to
to ensure equity and stability, now and for our future. It’s our job to fund
infrastructure and services that support all Vermont families, businesses, and
communities. Good governance means investing now, so Vermonters can
make it work. Good tax governance requires regularly and predictably
adjusting fees to track with inflation, developing a progressive, equitable tax
system, and ensuring we have a solid mix of revenue sources so as times
change funds can supplement each other. This budget is good governance.”

**Rep. Logan of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Given that we have not addressed the missing component in this budget,
which has resulted in several hundred Vermonters – with over one hundred of
those being medically vulnerable – being forced into unsheltered homelessness
by the State of Vermont. I have chosen to vote no on this budget once again.”
Rep. Long of Newfane explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes to support this budget as an essential and strategic investment in our key priorities: childcare, housing, workforce development, health care, climate action, and so much more. This budget meets the immediate challenges and needs facing Vermonters, and it supports our long-term priorities. This is a responsible, balanced budget that addresses the needs we’ve heard from Vermonters across the State, invests in transformational change, and moves us all forward.”

Rep. McCoy of Poultney explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

We must take a broader look at our financial picture. We passed many bills this year requiring funding that simply were not funded in this budget due to lack of money. Given the economic forecast, I am gravely concerned for our State. I vote to sustain the Governor’s veto.”

Rep. Scheu of Middlebury explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I voted yes to support the FY24 State budget for many reasons. We are making investments in people and services that have been woefully underfunded for years, resulting in Vermonters not getting the help they need, agencies that can’t do the work they are required to do, and businesses and organizations not getting the workforce they need. This budget is fiscally responsible as we use one-time money for one-time investments, we are meeting our pension, transportation, and clean water obligations, and we are making essential investments in housing, workforce, economic development, human services, and the environment. The cost of not passing this budget would do much greater harm than good. I am proud of the good work we have accomplished.”

Rep. Toof of St. Albans Town explained his vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

A 13% increase in base funding and over $500 million in new taxes, $30 million in new property taxes, a 20% increase in DMV fees, numerous OPR fees, all while giving ourselves a 50% increase in pay. For those reasons I must vote no. Vermonters just cannot afford this.”
Senate Proposal of Amendment Concurred in
With a Further Amendment Thereto

H. 171

The Senate proposed to the House to amend House bill, entitled
An act relating to adult protective services

The Senate proposed to the House to amend the bill as follows:

**First:** In Sec. 1, 33 V.S.A. chapter 69, subchapter 1, in section 6902, in subdivision (1)(A), by inserting the word or before the word “recklessly” and by striking out “or negligently”

**Second:** In Sec. 1, 33 V.S.A. chapter 69, subchapter 1, in section 6902, in subdivision (21)(A), by inserting the word or before “reckless” and by striking out “or negligent”

**Third:** In Sec. 1, 33 V.S.A. chapter 69, subchapter 1, in section 6902, by striking out subdivision (9) in its entirety and by inserting a new subdivision (9) to read as follows:

(9) “Caregiver” means a person, agency, facility, or other organization with responsibility for providing subsistence or medical or other care to an adult who is an elder or has a disability, who has assumed the responsibility voluntarily, by contract, or by an order of the court; or a person providing care, including medical care, custodial care, personal care, mental health services, rehabilitative services, or any other kind of care provided that is required because of another’s age or disability:

(A) a worker or employee in a facility or program that provides care to an adult who is an elder or has a disability and who has assumed the responsibility voluntarily, by contract, or by an order of the court; or

(B) a person with a designated responsibility for providing care to a person that is required because of the person’s age or disability.

**Fourth:** In Sec. 1, 33 V.S.A. chapter 69, subchapter 1, in section 6902, in subdivision (34)(B), by inserting before the semicolon the phrase or is determined to be clinically eligible to receive Long-Term Medicaid waiver services

**Fifth:** In Sec. 1, 33 V.S.A. chapter 69, subchapter 1, in section 6902, in subdivision (34)(C), by inserting or before “infirmities of aging” and by striking out “; or is determined to be clinically eligible to receive Long-Term Medicaid waiver services”
Sixth: In Sec. 1, 33 V.S.A. chapter 69, subchapter 1, in section 6911, in subsection (a), in subdivision (1), in first sentence, after “protections,” by inserting the following phrase except those provided by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, its corresponding regulations, and 18 V.S.A. § 1881.

Pending the question, Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment?, Reps. Wood of Waterbury, Lanpher of Vergennes, and Kornheiser of Brattleboro moved to concur in the Senate proposal of amendment with a further amendment thereto as follows:

By striking out Sec. 5, effective date, in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 5. EMERGENCY HOUSING TRANSITION; LEGISLATIVE INTENT; PURPOSE

(a) Vermont’s pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program is ending on June 30, 2023, and approximately 1,200 households are transitioning out of the Program.

(b) It is the intent of the General Assembly:

(1) that vulnerable Vermonters should continue to be housed while sufficient time is allocated for developing alternative housing placements, including emergency housing beds, and furthering community collaboration;

(2) to establish legislative oversight for the transition efforts;

(3) that the exits from hotel and motel accommodations occur through an intentional transition process that provides dignity, oversight, collaborative efforts, and coordinated service delivery;

(4) that all households find or are offered alternative housing options; and

(5) that the Agency of Human Services negotiate rate reductions with the participating hotels and motels, with a goal of achieving rates that are at least 50 percent lower than those in effect in June 2023.

(c) The purposes of Secs. 5–10 of this act are:

(1) to direct the Joint Fiscal Committee to monitor the efforts of the Agency of Human Services in assisting households with transitioning out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program and into post-pandemic housing; and

(2) to allow the Agency financial flexibility and resources, if needed, to provide transition and supportive services for the vulnerable Vermonters
described in 2022 Acts and Resolves No. 185, Sec. B.1100(a)(33)(A), which was added by 2023 Acts and Resolves No. 3, Sec. 45.

Sec. 6. EMERGENCY HOUSING TRANSITION; AGENCY OF HUMAN SERVICES; JOINT FISCAL COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT; REPORTS

(a) Not later than April 1, 2024, the Agency of Human Services, directly or through its community partners, shall assist in finding or offer to each household housed as of June 30, 2023 in a hotel or motel through the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program an alternative housing placement, unless a household secures its own housing placement. Except as provided in subdivision (2) of this subsection, the Agency shall continue to provide temporary hotel or motel housing to a household that was housed in a hotel or motel through the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program as of June 30, 2023 until such time as the Agency offers the household an alternative housing placement or the household secures its own housing placement, but in no event later than April 1, 2024.

(1) Beginning on July 1, 2023, in order to maintain eligibility for temporary, continued hotel or motel housing while awaiting a housing placement, households housed in a hotel or motel through this act shall:

(A) participate in the coordinated entry and case management processes, including cooperating with the Agency and services providers on screening and care planning for transitioning out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program and engaging in monthly eligibility reassessments;

(B) engage in their own search for alternative housing options and notify their case manager, reentry team, or Agency staff if they are successful in securing a housing placement; and

(C) contribute 30 percent of their gross household income toward the cost of their hotel or motel housing.

(2) Between July 1, 2023 and April 1, 2024, the Agency of Human Services shall no longer be required to pay for a household’s hotel or motel housing if any one or more of the following occurs:

(A) the household is offered an alternative housing placement but does not accept the offer within 48 hours;

(B) the household secures its own housing placement;
(C) the household fails to comply with one or more of the responsibilities set forth in subdivision (1) of this subsection (a); or

(D) the household is asked to leave the hotel or motel housing due to misconduct.

(3) As used in this act, “alternative housing placements” may include shelter beds and pods; placements with family or friends; permanent housing solutions, including tiny homes, manufactured homes, and apartments; residential treatment beds for physical health, long-term care, substance use, or mental health; nursing homes beds; and recovery homes.

(4) The temporary, continued hotel or motel housing benefit offered pursuant to this subsection (a) while awaiting a housing placement shall not be considered an entitlement, is not available to new applicants, and is limited to households in the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program as of June 30, 2023.

(b) On or before the last day of each month from July 2023 through March 2024, the Agency of Human Services, or other relevant agency or department, shall report to the House Committee on Human Services, the Senate Committee on Health and Welfare, and the Joint Fiscal Committee on its progress in assisting households housed in hotels and motels with transitioning from the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program to alternative housing placements and on the creation of new, alternative housing solutions. Each update shall include:

(1) the number of households remaining in hotels and motels that have not yet been transitioned to an alternative housing placement by household size, by eligibility category, and by each Agency of Human Services district;

(2) the number of actual alternative housing placements made during the previous reporting period compared with the targeted number of placements for that period;

(3) of the households successfully transitioned to an alternative housing placement during the previous month, the number of households whose screening indicated a potential need for services from each department within the Agency;

(4) the number of beds available for emergency housing in each Agency of Human Services district in the State, with separate reporting on the number of beds available in nursing homes and residential care homes for individuals whose screening indicates they could meet the clinical criteria for those settings and the number of emergency beds available for individuals whose screening indicates they do not meet the clinical criteria, including low-barrier
shelters, beds for youth, and beds for individuals who have experienced domestic violence;

(5) of the households that were housed in a hotel or motel for four months or longer and transitioned out during the previous month, the number that have had all or a portion of their security deposits returned to them since leaving the hotel or motel or are awaiting the return of these funds;

(6) of the households that were housed in a hotel or motel for less than four months and transitioned out during the previous month, the amount of security deposit funds refunded to the State by the hotels and motels during that month;

(7) the number of households that have been successfully transitioned to an alternative housing placement since the previous report, the types of housing settings in which they have been placed, and the supportive services they are receiving in conjunction with their housing;

(8) the outlook for transitioning additional households to alternative housing placements in the coming months, including an estimate of the number of households likely to be placed per month;

(9) a projected timeline for transitioning the remaining households to alternative housing placements;

(10) the average negotiated rate for rooms that the Agency paid to the hotels and motels providing the temporary, continued hotel or motel housing during the previous month;

(11) the status of responding to and implementing the letters of interest from community partners and municipalities for housing and supportive services;

(12) the status of contracts for housing and supportive services resulting from the Agency’s requests for proposals (RFPs), including the Agency’s May 24, 2023 RFP for emergency shelter staffing and services;

(13) the status of grants awarded through the Housing Opportunity Program and how those grants relate to the Agency’s efforts to assist households with transitioning out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program;

(14) once the Adverse Weather Conditions Policy takes effect again in the fall of 2023, how the Agency plans to distinguish the households that become eligible for the General Assistance Emergency Housing Program under that Policy from the households that the Agency is assisting with transitioning out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program;
the total amount of funds expended to date on housing placements and supportive services for households transitioning out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program; and

beginning with the September 2023 reporting period, any State rules and local regulations and ordinances that are impeding the timely development of safe, decent, affordable housing in Vermont communities in order to:

(A) identify areas in which flexibility or discretion are available; and
(B) advise whether the temporary suspension of relevant State rules and local regulations and ordinances, or the adoption or amendment of State rules, would facilitate faster and less costly revitalization of existing housing and construction of new housing units.

c) On or before the last day of each month from July 2023 through March 2024, the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board shall report to the House Committees on Human Services and on General and Housing; the Senate Committees on Health and Welfare and on Economic Development, Housing and General Affairs; and the Joint Fiscal Committee on:

(1) the status of the Board’s initiatives to make additional housing units available and how those initiatives support the Agency of Human Services’ efforts to assist households with transitioning out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program; and
(2) the status of the Board’s efforts to expand emergency shelter capacity, including the number of new beds available since the previous report, the number of additional beds planned, and when the additional planned beds are likely to become available.

d) The Agency may hire temporary employees or contract with community-based organizations, or both, as needed to support the Agency in assisting households housed in hotels and motels with transitioning from the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program to alternative housing placements; to support the creation of new, alternative housing solutions; and to collect and report on the information required by subsection (b) of this section.

e) On April 1, 2024, the Agency shall report to the House Committees on Appropriations, on Human Services, and on Housing and General Affairs; the Senate Committees on Appropriations, on Health and Welfare, and on Economic Development, Housing and General Affairs; and the Joint Fiscal Committee the number households, if any, that were not successfully transitioned out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing
Program into alternative housing placements and the reason why each such household was not successfully placed.

Sec. 7. CASH FUND FOR CAPITAL AND ESSENTIAL INVESTMENTS; APPROPRIATION

(a) In fiscal year 2024, the balance of the Other Infrastructure, Essential Investments, and Reserves subaccount in the Cash Fund for Capital and Essential Investments established pursuant to 32 V.S.A. § 1001b, after all other transactions authorized from that subaccount by the fiscal year 2024 budget act have been satisfied, is appropriated to the Agency of Human Services to be used as needed to implement Secs. 5–10 of this act.

(b) The Commissioner of Finance and Management shall report to the Joint Fiscal Committee at the Committee’s July meeting the amount of the balance that was made available to the Agency of Human Services pursuant to subsection (a) of this section.

(c) The Agency of Human Services shall report on the amount of unobligated funds remaining, if any, from the appropriation in subsection (a) of this section as part of the Agency’s fiscal year 2024 budget adjustment presentation.

Sec. 8. EMERGENCY HOUSING TRANSITION; FUNDING; FISCAL YEAR 2024 BUDGET ADJUSTMENT

(a) The Agency of Human Services shall hold in reserve as much funding as possible from the Agency’s fiscal year 2023 closeout process as carryforward for potential investment in assisting households with transitioning out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program. The reserved funds shall not be used unless the amounts appropriated pursuant to Sec. 7 of this act are not sufficient to fully implement the phase-out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program as set forth in this act.

(b) The Agency of Administration is authorized to use available resources as necessary to assist in the implementation of the phase-out of the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program as set forth in Secs. 5–10 of this act.

(c) The Agency of Human Services shall include relevant language and amounts in its fiscal year 2024 budget adjustment recommendations, if needed, to complete the process of phasing out the pandemic-era General Assistance Emergency Housing Program.
Sec. 9. AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; FISCAL YEAR 2024 FUNDING

(a) Of the $40,000,000.00 appropriated to the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board (VHCB) in the fiscal year 2024 budget act to provide support and enhance capacity for the production and preservation of affordable mixed-income rental housing and homeownership units:

(1) $10,000,000.00 shall be used to provide support and enhance the capacity, availability, and utilization of manufactured homes in cooperatively owned, nonprofit, and privately owned manufactured home parks with vacant and available lots. VHCB shall consult with the Department of Housing and Community Development to ensure that new investments prioritize individuals and families exiting from hotels and motels in accordance with this act.

(2) VHCB shall grant $4,000,000.00 to the Vermont State Housing Authority for the Manufactured Home Improvement and Repair Program to prevent vulnerable mobile home park residents from becoming homeless.

(3) Notwithstanding 32 V.S.A. § 5(b), VHCB shall grant $5,000,000.00 to the Department of Housing and Community Development to support the Vermont Housing Improvement Program.

(b) For fiscal year 2024, the VHCB shall increase its “Homeless Unit” set aside for housing projects seeking VHCB funding from 15 percent to 30 percent, with priority given to households exiting hotels and motels in accordance with this act.

Sec. 10. 2023 Acts and Resolves No. 47, Sec. 47 is amended to read:

Sec. 47. EFFECTIVE DATES

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2023, except that:

(1) Secs. 1 (24 V.S.A. § 4414) and 2 (24 V.S.A. § 4412) shall take effect on December 1, 2024, except for subdivision (1)(D) of Sec. 2, which shall take effect on July 1, 2023.

Sec. 11. EFFECTIVE DATES

(a) Secs. 1–4 shall take effect on July 1, 2023.

(b) The remaining sections shall take effect on passage.

and that after passage the title of the bill be amended to read: “An act relating to adult protective services and emergency housing transition”

Which was agreed to.
Rules Suspended, Bills Messaged to Senate Forthwith

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and the following bills were ordered messaged to the Senate forthwith:

H. 494

House bill, entitled
An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government

H. 171

House bill, entitled
An act relating to adult protective services

Orders of the Day Interrupted; Joint Resolution Adopted

J.R.H. 6

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended to interrupt the Orders of the Day to take up joint House resolution, entitled

Joint resolution authorizing limited remote joint committee voting through the first Friday of the 2024 Adjourned Session

Offered by: Representatives Long of Newfane, McCoy of Poultney, and Mulvaney-Stanak of Burlington

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That through Friday, January 5, 2024, each member of a joint committee is authorized to vote remotely in that committee:

(1) if the member has tested positive for COVID-19 and is within a required period of isolation as provided by Vermont Department of Health guidelines; and

(2) for not more than three days, for any other reason, and be it further

Resolved: Such a member shall notify the committee chair or co-chairs, as applicable, and the committee clerk that the member is exercising this remote voting authority, and shall count toward a committee quorum, and be it further

Resolved: The committee clerk shall record any vote cast by the member as a remote vote, and shall track the number of days the member exercises the member’s non-COVID-19 remote voting authority.

Which was read and adopted on the part of the House.
Orders of the Day Interrupted;  
Joint Resolution Adopted in Concurrence  

J.R.S. 28

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended to interrupt the Orders of the Day to take up joint Senate resolution, entitled

Joint resolution relating to compensation of Members of the General Assembly during the remainder of the 2023 Biennial Session.

By Senate Baruth,

Whereas, in order that the 2023 Biennial Session of the General Assembly may achieve an orderly adjournment, provide reasonable compensation to Members of the General Assembly for their services, and to preserve the funds of the State, now therefore be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That notwithstanding the provisions of 32 V.S.A. §§ 1051(a)(1) and 1052(a)(1) providing for a weekly rate of compensation, commencing June 20, 2023, Members of the General Assembly shall be entitled to compensation for services equal to a daily rate of one-fourth of the annually adjusted weekly compensation set forth in sections 1051(a)(1) and 1052(a)(1) and reimbursement for expenses at the daily rate established in sections 1051(a)(3) and 1052(b) of Title 32 for each day on which their respective houses shall sit and the member attends for the remainder of the 2023 Biennial Session, except that no member shall receive compensation for more than four days in any week.

Which was read and adopted in concurrence.

Rules Suspended, Resolutions Messaged to Senate Forthwith

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and the following resolutions were ordered messaged to the Senate forthwith:

J.R.H. 6

Joint House resolution, entitled

Joint resolution authorizing limited remote joint committee voting through the first Friday of the 2024 Adjourned Session

J.R.S. 28

Joint Senate resolution, entitled

Joint resolution relating to compensation of Members of the General Assembly during the remainder of the 2023 Biennial Session
Rules Suspended, Immediate Consideration; Governor’s Veto Overridden

H. 386

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poulney, the rules were suspended and House bill, entitled

An act relating to approval of amendments to the charter of the Town of Brattleboro

Appearing on the Calendar for Notice, was taken up for immediate consideration.

Pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11 of the Vermont Constitution, the Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass, notwithstanding the Governor’s refusal to approve the bill?, was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 110. Nays, 37.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Andrews of Westford
Anthony of Barre City
Arrison of Weathersfield
Arsenault of Williston
Austin of Colchester
Bartholomew of Hartland
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Berbeco of Winooski
Birong of Vergennes
Black of Essex
Bluemle of Burlington
Bongartz of Manchester
Bos-Lun of Westminster
Boyden of Cambridge
Brady of Williston
Brown of Richmond
Brownell of Pownal
Brumsted of Shelburne
Burke of Brattleboro
Burrows of West Windsor
Buss of Woodstock
Campbell of St. Johnsbury
Carpenter of Hyde Park
Carroll of Bennington
Casey of Montpelier
Chase of Chester
Chase of Colchester
Chesnut-Tangeman of Middletown Springs
Christie of Hartford

Dolan of Essex Junction
Dolan of Waitsfield
Durfee of Shaftsbury
Elder of Starksboro
Emmons of Springfield
Farlice-Rubio of Barnet
Garofano of Essex
Goldman of Rockingham
Graning of Jericho
Headrick of Burlington
Holcombe of Norwich
Hooper of Randolph
Hooper of Burlington
Houghton of Essex Junction
Howard of Rutland City
Hyman of South Burlington
James of Manchester
Jerome of Brandon
Kornheiser of Brattleboro *
Krasnow of South
Krowinski of Burlington
LaBounty of Lyndon
Lalley of Shelburne
LaLonde of South
LaMont of Morristown
Leavitt of Grand Isle
Logan of Burlington

Mulvaney-Stanak of Burlington
Nicoll of Ludlow
Notte of Rutland City
Noyes of Wolcott
Nugent of South Burlington
O’Brien of Tunbridge
Pajala of Londonderry
Patt of Worcester
Pearl of Danville
Pouech of Hinesburg
Priestley of Bradford
Rachelson of Burlington
Rice of Dorset
Roberts of Halifax
Satcowitz of Randolph
Scheu of Middlebury
Sheldon of Middlebury
Sibilia of Dover
Sims of Craftsbury
Small of Winooski
Squirrell of Underhill
Stebbins of Burlington
Stevens of Waterbury
Stone of Burlington
Surprenant of Barnard
Taylor of Colchester
Templeman of Brownington
Toleno of Brattleboro
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
<td>Torre of Moretown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
<td>Troiano of Stannard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole of Hartford</td>
<td>McCann of Montpelier</td>
<td>Waters Evans of Charlotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans City</td>
<td>White of Bethel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td>McGill of Bridport</td>
<td>Whitman of Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
<td>Mihaly of Calais</td>
<td>Williams of Barre City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
<td>Minier of South Burlington</td>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodge of Essex</td>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
<td>Mrowicki of Putney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bartley of Fairfax</td>
<td>Gregoire of Fairfield</td>
<td>Morrissey of Bennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branagan of Georgia</td>
<td>Hango of Berkshire</td>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td>Harrison of Chittenden</td>
<td>Parsons of Newbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
<td>Higley of Lowell</td>
<td>Peterson of Clarendon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Labor of Morgan</td>
<td>Sammis of Castleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford of Rutland City</td>
<td>Laroche of Franklin</td>
<td>Shaw of Pittsfld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demar of Enosburgh</td>
<td>Lipsky of Stowe</td>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans Town</td>
<td>Maguire of Rutland City</td>
<td>Taylor of Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donahue of Northfield</td>
<td>Mattos of Milton</td>
<td>Toof of St. Albans Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galfetti of Barre Town</td>
<td>McCoy of Poultney *</td>
<td>Walker of Swanton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goslant of Northfield</td>
<td>McFawn of Barre Town</td>
<td>Williams of Granby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham of Williamstown</td>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
<td>Wilson of Lyndon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andriano of Orwell</td>
<td>Chapin of East Montpelier</td>
<td>Oliver of Sheldon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rep. Kornheiser of Brattleboro** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Brattleboro residents approved Article 2 on March 5 of 2019 in a 908-408 vote. I vote yes to stand behind the will of Brattleboro voters, and on behalf of young people who deserve an opportunity to engage deeply in the democratic process as they come of age.”

**Rep. McCoy of Poultney** explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

Reducing the age to vote to 16, in light of the fact that this body raised the age to 21 for a person to be charged as a juvenile, flies in the face of all logic. If the brain is not fully developed to understand and make the correct decisions relative to a crime, then the brain is not fully developed at 16 to be allowed to vote on decisions affecting their community. I vote to support the Governor’s veto.”
Rules Suspended, Immediate Consideration; Governor’s Veto Overridden

H. 509

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and House bill, entitled

An act relating to approval of amendments to the voter qualification provisions of the charter of the City of Burlington

Appearing on the Calendar for Notice, was taken up for immediate consideration.

Pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11, of the Vermont Constitution, the Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass, notwithstanding the Governor’s refusal to approve the bill?, was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 111. Nays, 36.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Andrews of Westford  Dolan of Essex Junction  Mrowicki of Putney
Anthony of Barre City  Dolan of Waitsfield  Mulvaney-Stanak of Burlington
Arrison of Weathersfield  Durfee of Shaftsbury  Nicoll of Ludlow
Arsenault of Williston  Elder of Starksboro  Notte of Rutland City
Austin of Colchester  Emmons of Springfield  Noyes of Wolcott
Bartholomew of Hartland  Farlice-Rubio of Barnet  Nugent of South Burlington
Beck of St. Johnsbury  Garofano of Essex  O’Brien of Tunbridge
Berbeco of Winooski  Goldman of Rockingham  Ode of Burlington
Biron of Vergennes  Graning of Jericho  Patt of Worcester
Black of Essex  Headrick of Burlington  Pajala of Londonderry
Bluemele of Burlington  Holcombe of Norwich  Patt of Worceester
Bongartz of Manchester  Hooper of Randolph  Pearl of Danville
Bos-Lun of Westminster  Hooper of Burlington  Pouech of Hinesburg
Boyden of Cambridge  Houghton of Essex Junction  Priestley of Bradford
Brady of Williston  Howard of Rutland City  Rachelson of Burlington
Brown of Richmond  Hyman of South Burlington  Rice of Dorset
Brownell of Pownal  James of Manchester  Roberts of Haliifax
Brumsted of Shelburne  Jerome of Brandon  Satcowitz of Randolph
Burke of Brattleboro  Kornheiser of Brattleboro  Scheu of Middlebury
Burrows of West Windsor  Krasnow of South  Sheldon of Middlebury
Buss of Woodstock  Burlington  Sibilia of Dover
Campbell of St. Johnsbury  Krowski of Burlington  Sims of Craftsbury
Carpenter of Hyde Park  LaBounty of Lyndon  Small of Winooski
Carroll of Bennington  Lalley of Shelburne  Squirrel of Underhill
Casey of Montpelier  LaLonde of South  Stebbins of Burlington
Chase of Chester
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chase of Colchester</td>
<td>LaMont of Morristown</td>
<td>Stevens of Waterbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesnut-Tangerman of</td>
<td>Lanpher of Vergennes</td>
<td>Stone of Burlington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middletown Springs</td>
<td>Leavitt of Grand Isle</td>
<td>Surprent of Barnard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie of Hartford</td>
<td>Lipsky of Stowe</td>
<td>Taylor of Colchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cina of Burlington</td>
<td>Logan of Burlington</td>
<td>Templeman of Brownnington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffey of Guilford</td>
<td>Long of Newfane</td>
<td>Toleno of Brattleboro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole of Hartford</td>
<td>Masland of Thetford</td>
<td>Torre of Moretown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conlon of Cornwall</td>
<td>McCann of Montpelier</td>
<td>Troiano of Stannard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corcoran of Bennington</td>
<td>McCarthy of St. Albans City</td>
<td>Waters Evans of Charlotte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordes of Lincoln</td>
<td>McGill of Bridport</td>
<td>White of Bethel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demrow of Corinth</td>
<td>Mihaly of Calais</td>
<td>Whitman of Bennington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodge of Essex</td>
<td>Minier of South Burlington</td>
<td>Williams of Barre City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morris of Springfield</td>
<td>Wood of Waterbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those who voted in the negative are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bartley of Fairfax</td>
<td>Gregoire of Fairfield</td>
<td>Page of Newport City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branagan of Georgia</td>
<td>Hango of Berkshire</td>
<td>Parsons of Newbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan of Colchester</td>
<td>Harrison of Chittenden</td>
<td>Peterson of Clarendon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burditt of West Rutland</td>
<td>Higley of Lowell</td>
<td>Sammis of Castleton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield of Fair Haven</td>
<td>Labor of Morgan</td>
<td>Shaw of Pittsford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford of Rutland City</td>
<td>Laroche of Franklin</td>
<td>Smith of Derby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demar of Enosburgh</td>
<td>Maguire of Rutland City</td>
<td>Taylor of Milton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson of St. Albans Town</td>
<td>Marcotte of Coventry</td>
<td>Toof of St. Albans Town</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mattos of Milton</td>
<td>Walker of Swanton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donahue of Northfield</td>
<td>McCoy of Poultney</td>
<td>Williams of Granby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galfetti of Barre Town</td>
<td>McFaun of Barre Town</td>
<td>Wilson of Lyndon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gosland of Northfield</td>
<td>Morgan of Milton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham of Williamstown</td>
<td>Morrissey of Bennington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andriano of Orwell</td>
<td>Chapin of East Montpelier</td>
<td>Oliver of Sheldon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rules Suspended, Bills Messaged to Senate Forthwith**

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and the following bills were ordered messaged to the Senate forthwith.

**H. 386**

House bill, entitled

An act relating to approval of amendments to the charter of the Town of Brattleboro
House bill, entitled

An act relating to approval of amendments to the voter qualification provisions of the charter of the City of Burlington

Rules Suspended, Immediate Consideration; Senate Proposal of Amendment Concurred in; Bill Ordered Delivered to Governor Forthwith

House bill, entitled

An act relating to the beverage container redemption system

Was taken up for immediate consideration.

The Senate proposed to the House to amend the bill as follows:

First: In Sec. 1, 10 V.S.A. chapter 53, in section 1523, by striking out subsection (b) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new subsection (b) to read as follows:

(b)(1) A retailer, with the prior approval of the Secretary, may refuse to redeem beverage containers if a redemption center or centers are established that serve the public need stewardship plan that meets the requirements of section 1532 of this title has been implemented by the producer responsibility organization in the State and the retailer’s building is less than 5,000 square feet.

(2) A manufacturer or distributor that sells directly to a consumer from a retail location may refuse to redeem beverage containers if the retail location where the manufacturer or distributor sells beverage containers is less than 5,000 square feet.

Second: In Sec. 1, 10 V.S.A. chapter 53, in section 1532, by inserting a subsection (d) to read as follows:

(d) Revision of stewardship goals. If the producer responsibility organization fails to meet the beverage container redemption rate in section 1534 of this title for vinous beverage containers or for all other beverage containers, the Secretary may require the producer responsibility organization to implement activities to enhance the rate of redemption, including additional public education and outreach, additional redemption sites, or additional redemption opportunities.
Third: In Sec. 1, 10 V.S.A. chapter 53, in section 1534, by striking out subsections (b) and (c) in their entireties and inserting in lieu thereof a new subsection (b) to read as follows:

(b)(1) Beginning on July 1, 2025 and annually thereafter, the Secretary of Natural Resources shall submit to the Senate Committees on Natural Resources and Energy and on Finance and the House Committees on Environment and Energy and on Ways and Means a written report containing the current beverage container redemption rate in the State for the following three categories of beverage containers:

(A) liquor bottles;
(B) vinous beverage containers; and
(C) all other beverage containers.

(2) Each annual report submitted under subdivision (1) of this subsection shall include a recommendation of whether the beverage container deposit for any of the three beverage categories should be increased to improve redemption of that category of beverage container.

Fourth: By striking out Sec. 7, systems analysis of beverage container system, in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new Sec. 7 to read as follows:

Sec. 7. SYSTEMS ANALYSIS OF BEVERAGE CONTAINER SYSTEM

(a) The Agency of Natural Resources shall contract with an independent third-party consultant to conduct a systems analysis of the efficacy and cost of Vermont’s beverage container redemption system. The analysis shall estimate:

(1) the total system costs and savings associated with the implementation of the expanded beverage container redemption system under 10 V.S.A. chapter 53, including climate impacts;

(2) the cost to consumers of complying with an expanded beverage container redemption system, including transportation costs, compliance costs, carbon impact, and externalities, such as lost time;

(3) the impacts of an expanded beverage container redemption system on the recycling system, including how much additional beverage container material will be collected by the expansion of the beverage container redemption system; the cost to solid waste entities of an expanded beverage container redemption system, including lost revenues from the sale of recyclable materials; the operational savings, if any, on material recovery facilities; the loss to material recovery facilities from the removal of material collected under the beverage container redemption system material from the
recycling system; and an estimate of the impacts on tipping fees or solid waste fees at each material recovery facility or solid waste transfer station:

(4) the costs of operating a redemption center and other alternate points of redemption under a stewardship plan and a recommendation on whether the handling fee for redeemed containers should be altered or replaced with an alternative means of compensating points of redemption;

(5) the impact on overall recycling in the State and the redemption rates of beverage containers under 10 V.S.A. chapter 53 if the producer responsibility organization (PRO) implementing the stewardship plan under that chapter were authorized to retain 100 percent, 50 percent, or none of the abandoned beverage container deposits, including:

(A) the estimated number of beverage container redemption sites in the State under the PRO’s stewardship plan under each option for the PRO’s retention of the abandoned beverage container deposits; and

(B) the geographic distribution of beverage container redemption sites across the State under the PRO’s stewardship plan under each option for the PRO’s retention of the abandoned beverage container deposits; and

(6) the impact on the Clean Water Fund and State implementation of the State’s water quality programs and regulatory requirements if the abandoned beverage container deposits were not deposited into the Clean Water Fund under 10 V.S.A. § 1388.

(b) On or before January 15, 2025, the Agency of Natural Resources shall submit to the House Committee on Environment and Energy and the Senate Committee on Natural Resources and Energy a written report containing the results of the systems analysis required under subsection (a) of this section.

Fifth: By adding a Sec. 7a to read as follows:

Sec. 7a. ANR REPORT ON STATUS REPORT OF RECYCLING SYSTEM

On or before January 15, 2026, the Secretary of Natural Resources shall submit to the Senate Committees on Natural Resources and Energy and on Finance and the House Committees on Environment and Energy and on Ways and Means a report on the status of the State’s recycling system prior to the expansion of the beverage container redemption system required under this act. The report shall include:

(1) a summary of the operation of the Agency of Natural Resources’ approved stewardship plan since March 1, 2025 by the producer responsibility organization registered with the Agency;
(2) identification of the points of redemption under the existing stewardship plan, including:

(A) an assessment of whether the existing points of redemption allow for convenient and reasonable access of all Vermonter to redemption opportunities;

(B) an assessment of whether the existing points of redemption are suitable for redemption by all Vermonter under the planned expansion of the beverage container system; and

(C) any recommendations to improve the convenience of redemption prior to the expansion of the beverage container redemption system;

(3) a summary of the infrastructure in the State, other than points of redemption, available for the management and processing of beverage containers and an assessment of whether additional infrastructure is needed prior to the expansion of the beverage container redemption system.

Which proposal of amendment was considered and concurred in.

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the bill was ordered delivered to the Governor forthwith, pursuant to Joint Rule 15.

Message from the Senate No. 66

A message was received from the Senate by Ms. Kucserik, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has on its part adopted joint resolutions of the following titles:


In the adoption of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Recess

At four o'clock in the afternoon, the Speaker declared a recess until the fall of the gavel.

At four o'clock and fifty minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker called the House to order.
Joint Resolution Adopted in Concurrence

J.R.S. 29

By Senator Baruth,


Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives adjourn their respective Houses on June 20, 2023, June 21, 2023, or June 22, 2023 until, in the case of the Senate, the President Pro Tempore of the Senate calls the Senate to Order and until, in the case of the House of Representatives, the Speaker of the House of Representatives calls the House of Representatives to order; so that the two Houses may consider only the following orders of business:

1. Adjournment to a day certain; or,

2. Matters relating to impeachment proceedings of Franklin County State’s Attorney John Lavoie or Franklin County Sheriff John Grismore, or both;

And, and if not so called then to reconvene on the third day of January 2024, at ten o’clock in the forenoon; and be it further

Resolved: As required by Section 6 of Chapter II of the Vermont Constitution, the Senate grants consent to the House of Representatives to be in Session or adjournment during the time that the Senate is in Session, and the House grants consent to the Senate to be in Session or adjournment during the time that the House is in Session.

Was taken up, read, and adopted in concurrence.

Joint Resolution Adopted in Concurrence

J.R.S. 30

By Senator Baruth,


Whereas, H.R. 11 established a Special Committee on Impeachment Inquiry, granting the Special Committee investigatory powers to establish whether sufficient grounds exist for the House of Representatives to exercise its constitutional power to impeach Franklin County State’s Attorney John Lavoie or Franklin County Sheriff John Grismore, or both, and
Whereas, as of this date, the Special Committee on Impeachment Inquiry has not yet concluded its investigation, and

Whereas, pursuant to J.R.S. 28, Members of the General Assembly are authorized to receive compensation and expenses during times in which their House is in session for the remainder of the 2023 Biennial Session, and

Whereas, should the House of Representatives impeach either, or both, individual(s) aforementioned, House managers would then be appointed by the Speaker of the House to prepare, present, and prosecute the article(s) of Impeachment to the Vermont Senate, now be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That the managers on the part of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House shall, while House of Representatives is in adjournment, act on behalf of the House of Representatives and the people of the State of Vermont to do all things necessary to prepare, present, and to prosecute any resolution(s) and article(s) of impeachment adopted by the House of Representatives to the Senate, relating to John Lavoie, Franklin County State's Attorney, or John Grismore, Franklin County Sheriff, or both. The managers on the part of the House of Representatives may utilize the services and assistance of the Vermont State Police and the Legislative Counsel for the purposes of preparing, presenting and prosecuting the impeachment resolution, and be it further

Resolved: That the House managers appointed by the Speaker be entitled to compensation for services at a daily rate of one-fourth of the annually adjusted weekly compensation set forth in 32 V.S.A. § 1052(a)(1) and reimbursement for expenses at the daily rate established in 32 V.S.A. § 1052(b), except that no manager shall receive compensation for more than four days in any week for any date in which the managers shall be preparing, presenting, and prosecuting the impeachment(s) when the House of Representatives is not seated in session.

Was taken up, read, and adopted in concurrence.

Rules Suspended, Resolutions Messaged to Senate Forthwith

On motion of Rep. McCoy of Poultney, the rules were suspended and the following resolutions were ordered messaged to the Senate forthwith:

J.R.S. 29

Joint Senate resolution, entitled

Joint resolution relating to adjournment of the 2023 Biennial Session of the General Assembly
Joint Senate resolution, entitled

Joint resolution relating to compensation of House Managers of Articles of Impeachment

Senate Notified of Completion of House Business

Rep. McCoy of Poultney moved that the House direct the Clerk to inform the Senate that the House has completed the business of the first half of the Biennial Session and is ready to adjourn pursuant to the provisions of J.R.S. 29, which was agreed to.

Remarks Journalized

On motion of Rep. McGill of Bridport, the following remarks by Rep. Christie of Hartford were ordered printed in the Journal:

“Madam Speaker:

As we support the commemorating of the 158th anniversary of Juneteenth and recognizing our State’s and Nation’s continuing struggle for racial equality it is with mixed emotions, celebration, and sadness. One can not ignore where we are as a Country and State today where some of our neighbors can no longer tolerate what they are watching on the news. Sadly, the reasons vary from support for Racial Justice to support for White Supremacy. Seeing the division of thought and understanding of Systemic Racism and its effect on us all, positively and or negatively.

So, let’s look back, there's more than one Independence Day in the U.S. On June 19, 1865, General Gordon Granger rode into Galveston, Texas, and announced enslaved people were now free. Since then, June 19 has been celebrated as Juneteenth across the nation. Here's what you should know about the historic event and celebration.

The June 19 announcement came more than two and a half years after Abraham Lincoln issued the Emancipation Proclamation on January 1, 1863. So technically, from the Union's perspective, the 250,000 enslaved people in Texas were already free—but none of them were aware of it, and no one was in a rush to inform them.

Most freed people weren't terribly interested in staying with the people who had enslaved them, even if pay was involved. In fact, some were leaving before Granger had finished making the announcement. What followed became known as "the scatter," when droves of former enslaved people left the state to find family members or more welcoming accommodations in northern regions.
There is so much more and as we study accurate history it is easy to understand the mixed emotion this Juneteenth June 19th, 2023 some 158 years later. So, as we celebrate on the one hand let us remember.

Systemic Racism exists and as we see, now is the time to reaffirm our commitment to the mitigation of Systemic Racism in Vermont.

I know how difficult it is to understand how Black and Brown Vermonters are feeling at this moment.

So, I ask you to reflect as you and I come back to the floor of “The People’s House”.

When was the last time you were told “to go back to the Jungle”?

That was what my daughter was told in a school here in Vermont, not that long ago and even this moment.

We still have a lot of work to do, and we need to work together.

Let us start now by committing to join together with your fellow Black and Brown Vermonters to mitigate Systemic Racism in Vermont.

In the words of Dr Martin Luther King, “We shall overcome, We shall overcome, We shall overcome some day, deep in my heart I do believe We shall overcome some day”

Be well and stay safe!

Thank you!

Coach"

Message from the Senate No. 67

A message was received from the Senate by Ms. Kucserik, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has considered House proposals of amendment to Senate bills of the following titles:

S. 80. An act relating to miscellaneous environmental conservation subjects.

S. 103. An act relating to amending the prohibitions against discrimination.

And has concurred therein.
The Senate has considered House proposal of amendment to Senate proposal of amendment to House bill of the following title:

**H. 171.** An act relating to adult protective services.

And has concurred therein.

The Senate has considered joint resolution originating in the House of the following title:

**J.R.H. 6.** Joint resolution authorizing limited remote joint committee voting through the first Friday of the 2024 Adjourned Session.

And has adopted the same in concurrence.

The Senate has on its part considered the Governor’s vetoes of House bills of the following titles:

**H. 217.** An act relating to child care, early education, workers' compensation, and unemployment insurance.

**H. 305.** An act relating to professions and occupations regulated by the Office of Professional Regulation.

**H. 386.** An act relating to approval of amendments to the charter of the Town of Brattleboro.

**H. 494.** An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government.

**H. 509.** An act relating to approval of amendments to the voter qualification provisions of the charter of the City of Burlington.

And has passed the same, the refusal of the Governor to approve notwithstanding.

**Message from the Senate No. 68**

A message was received from the Senate by Ms. Kucserik, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has on its part completed the business of the session and is ready to adjourn pursuant to the provisions of J.R.S. 29.

**Adjournment**

At five o'clock and thirteen minutes, on motion of Rep. Long of Newfane, the House adjourned pursuant to the provisions of J.R.S. 29.
MEMBERS APPOINTED AFTER ADJOURNMENT

Building Energy Code Study Committee Appointment

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 47 (S.100), Sec. 23, the Speaker appointed the following member to the Building Energy Code Study Committee:

Rep. Campbell of St. Johnsbury

Renewable Energy Standard Working Group Appointments

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 33 (S.112), Sec. 10a, the Speaker appointed the following members to the Renewable Energy Standard Working Group:

Rep. Sheldon of Middlebury
Rep. Sibilia of Dover

Aquatic Nuisance Control Study Committee Appointment

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 57 (H.31), Sec. 1, the Speaker appointed the following member to the Aquatic Nuisance Control Study Committee:

Rep. Sheldon of Middlebury

Coordinated Justice Reform Advisory Council Appointment

Pursuant to 28 V.S.A. § 126, which was added by 2023, Act No. 40 (S.14), Sec. 2, the Speaker appointed the following member to the Coordinated Justice Reform Advisory Council:

Rep. Squirrell of Underhill

School Construction Aid Task Force Appointments

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 78 (H.494), Sec. E.131.1, the Speaker appointed the following members to the School Construction Aid Task Force:

Rep. Conlon of Cornwall
Rep. Taylor of Milton

Rural Recovery Coordination Council Appointment

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 47 (S.100), Sec. 24, the Speaker appointed the following member to the Rural Recovery Coordination Council:

Rep. Jerome of Brandon
Adult Education and Literacy HSCP Student Access Study Committee Appointment

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 78 (H.494), Sec. E.504, the Speaker appointed the following member to the Adult Education and Literacy HSCP Student Access Study Committee:

Rep. Stone of Burlington

Summer Government Accountability Committee Appointments

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 53 (H.125), Sec. 2a, the Speaker appointed the following members to the Summer Government Accountability Committee:

Rep. Brumsted of Shelburne
Rep. Kornheiser of Brattleboro
Rep. Leavitt of Grand Isle
Rep. Walker of Swanton

Mobile Home Task Force Appointment

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 47 (S.100), Sec. 32, the Speaker appointed the following member to the Mobile Home Task Force:

Rep. Taylor of Colchester

Basic Needs Budget Technical Advisory Committee Appointments

Pursuant to 2023, Act No. 54 (H.157), Sec. 1, the Speaker appointed the following members to the Basic Needs Budget Technical Advisory Committee:

Rep. Burrows of West Windsor
Rep. Bartley of Fairfax

FINAL MESSAGES AND COMMUNICATIONS

Message from the Senate No. 69

A message was received from the Senate by Ms. Kucserik, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Governor has informed the Senate that on the 28th day of June, he approved and signed bills originating in the Senate of the following titles:

S. 80. An act relating to miscellaneous environmental conservation subjects.
S. 103. An act relating to amending the prohibitions against discrimination.

Message from the Governor

A message was received from His Excellency, the Governor, by Ms. Brittney L. Wilson, Secretary of Civil and Military Affairs, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed by the Governor to inform the House of Representatives that on the 29th day of June 2023, he signed a bill, originating in the House of the following title:

H. 171 An act relating to adult protective services and emergency housing transition

Message from the Governor

A message was received from His Excellency, the Governor, by Ms. Brittney L. Wilson, Secretary of Civil and Military Affairs, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed by the Governor to inform the House of Representatives that on the 29th day of June 2023, he returned without signature and vetoed a bill originating in the House of Representatives of the following title:

H. 158 An act relating to the beverage container redemption system

Governor’s Veto Letter

“June 29, 2023
The Honorable BetsyAnn Wrask
Clerk of the Vermont House of Representatives
State House
Montpelier, VT 05633

Dear Ms. Wrask:

Pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11 of the Vermont Constitution, I’m returning H.158, An act relating to the beverage container redemption system, without my signature with my objections stated below.

I’m a long-time advocate of recycling and support a strong system to help Vermonters do so. But as I’ve consistently said, I believe expanding the labor intensive 1970s-era bottle deposit system would move us backwards, and we should instead focus on investing in and improving zero-sort (or blue bin) recycling.
I’m concerned this bill will result in higher costs for Vermonters due to deposit fees added to a wide range of beverage products; increased handling fees will be passed onto consumers to fund the redemption system; and increased recycling costs for towns, businesses and residents as high-value cans and bottles are removed.

It simply makes no sense to toss aside the progress we’ve made since the mandatory Universal Recycling Law of 2012, to expand a separate system that diverts the most valuable recyclables away from the blue bin system.

Finally, I’m concerned that even with the bill’s efforts to modernize the redemption system, redemption centers are likely to continue to struggle to find the space needed for more storage and the workforce needed to handle and sort the higher volume.

In light of these objections, I’m returning this legislation without my signature pursuant to Chapter II, Section 11 of the Vermont Constitution.

Sincerely,

Philip B. Scott
Governor
PBS/kp”