| Options for Statehouse Committees  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Draft June 1, 2020   | <u>Pros</u>   | <u>Cons</u>  |
| 1: Only use statehouse, Senate committees share<br>- follow HVAC room limitations                  | all together  | limited/less public access senate committees share                       |
| 2: Use Statehouse and 109 - Senate committees share<br>- follow HVAC room limitations              | more large committee<br>rooms                                       | transportation between<br>buildings                                      |
| 2a: use 109 for a few committees,  | 109 provides more<br>public access space<br>bigger public meeting   | senate committees share some committees out of the                       |
| leaving lounge & 11 avail for public meetings<br>2b: keep more committees in statehouse,           | rooms<br>keeps all/ almost all<br>committees in                     | statehouse<br>public meeting rooms not as<br>big as lounge and 11, joint |
| use 109 for public meetings  | statehouse  | committees likely on floor   |
| 2c: tear down house committee walls, keep all committee in statehouse, use 109 for public meetings | S   |  |
|  |   | 109 Requires more security esp after 4:30                                |
| Option 3: use statehouse, 109 and 133  |   | security and transportation  |
| - follow HVAC room limitations   | even more large<br>committee rooms<br>no senate committees<br>share | and coordination harder in 3 buildings                                   |
| Option 4: ignore HVAC airflow analysis and come back as before                                     |   |  |
|  | simple  | ignores industry standards for<br>HVAC                                   |
|  | more public allowed in  | some people will feel<br>uncomfortable                                   |