_	$\Gamma \cap$	THE	HON	JOR	ARI 1	E CEN	JATE:
	,	ппп	. 8 1	NUK	ADL	C (2 C)	NAID

- The Committee on Judiciary to which was referred Senate Bill No. 127

 entitled "An act relating to a pilot project for a Department of Corrections

 report to assist the court setting conditions of probation" respectfully reports

 that it has considered the same and recommends that the bill be amended by

 striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the

 following:
- 8 Sec. 1. 28 V.S.A. § 724 is amended to read:
- 9 § 724. TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF COMMUNITY SUPERVISION
- 10 FURLOUGH

11 ***

12 (c) Appeal.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

(1) An offender whose community supervision furlough status is revoked or interrupted for 90 180 days or longer for a technical violation shall have the right to appeal the Department's determination to the Civil Division of the Superior Court in accordance with Rule 74 of the Vermont Rules of Civil Procedure. The appeal shall be based on a de novo review of the record. The appellant may offer testimony, and, in its discretion for good cause shown, the court may accept additional evidence to supplement the record. If additional evidence is accepted by the court, the Department, through the Office of the Vermont Attorney General, shall have the opportunity to present

notice of appeal filed pursuant to Rule 74 shall include a certification that the					
court has subject matter jurisdiction. The Department shall file an objection to					
subject matter jurisdiction within 14 days, which shall stay the filing of the					
record on appeal until the court issues an order on the Department's objection.					
The appellant shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the					
evidence that the Department abused its discretion in imposing a furlough					
revocation or interruption for 90 180 days or longer pursuant to subsection (d)					
of this section.					
(2) An appeal filed pursuant to this subsection shall be limited to					
determine whether the decision to interrupt or revoke an offender's community					
supervision furlough status was an abuse of discretion by the Department					
based on the criteria set forth in subdivision (d)(2) of this section. The length					
of interruption or revocation may be a consideration in the abuse of discretion					
determination.					
(3) An appeal filed pursuant to this subsection shall be brought in the					

unit of the Superior Court in which the offender resided at the time that the

offender is detained after the offender's furlough status was revoked or

offender's furlough status was revoked or interrupted or the unit in which the

interrupted. If an appeal is filed pursuant to this subsection in a unit lacking

rebuttal evidence, including testimony, for the court's consideration. The

1	proper venue, the court, on its own motion or on timely motion of a party to
2	the appeal, may transfer the appeal to a unit having proper venue.
3	(d) Technical violations.
4	(1) As used in this section, "technical violation" means a violation of
5	conditions of furlough that does not constitute a new crime.
6	(2) It shall be abuse of the Department's discretion to revoke furlough or
7	interrupt furlough status for 90 ± 180 days or longer for a technical violation,
8	unless:
9	(A) the offender's risk to reoffend can no longer be adequately
10	controlled in the community, and no other method to control noncompliance is
11	suitable; or
12	(B) the violation or pattern of violations indicate the offender poses a
13	danger to others or to the community or poses a threat to abscond or escape
14	from furlough; or
15	(C) the Commissioner has issued a warrant for the arrest of an
16	offender because the offender absconded from furlough.
17	Sec. 2. EFFECTIVE DATE
18	This act shall take effect on passage.

(Draft No. 5.1 – S.127)

1

2

3

4

5

3/11/2022 - BEN - 09:26 AM

(Committee vote: _____)

6

7 Representative _____

8 FOR THE COMMITTEE

Page 4 of 4