I am a former psychiatric patient and current social worker, manager at a designated agency, and member of DMH's Adult Standing Committee.

I am writing to let you know that I (and numerous others who I have spoken with) oppose the Middlesex Therapeutic Residential Replacement and would appreciate an opportunity to speak to the Committee about why this project would be a misuse of funds, cause harm to psychiatric patients, and fail to solve the problems that are so significant in our mental health system of care.

In short:

- Having a Therapeutic Community Residence (TCR) that is locked and uses restraint, seclusion and involuntary medication is an unprecedented expansion of coercive practices
- The proposed facility is functionally a hospital in its design, practices, staffing, and cost. Calling this facility a residential program is a misnomer and inappropriate. The proposed facility should be thought of as a second state hospital rather than as a residential. When I asked DMH at a stakeholder meeting how the "secure residential" was different than a hospital their only response was "in its intention."
- DMH, DAs, advocates, and people receiving services all agree that there is

   a desperate and chronic need for increased funding for
   community resources and hospital alternatives. The significant amount of
   money that would be required to build and operate
   this unnecessary facility would be better spent on peer respites, community
   supports, and ending homelessness.

I'm available to speak one-on-one about this issue or to the House Corrections and Institutions Committee as a whole. Please feel free to call, text, or email. Thank you for your consideration!

Malaika Puffer