Vermont Agriculture & Soil Carbon Sequestration

Ryan Patch Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets House Committee on Agriculture January 25, 2022



AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Vermont Context



Natural & Working Lands (NWL) cover 94% of Vermont



*Other perennial vegetation includes grasslands, shrub/scrublands, and turf

Data source: 2016 National Land Cover Database; Images courtesy FPR





Land managed by farms in Vermont, 2017



IPCC: AR5 Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate change



Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU)

Executive Summary

Agriculture, Forestry, and Other Land Use (AFOLU) is unique among the sectors considered in this volume, since the mitigation potential is derived from both an enhancement of removals of greenhouse gases (GHG), as well as reduction of emissions through management of land and livestock (robust evidence; *high agreement*). The land provides food that feeds the Earth's human population of ca. 7 billion, fibre for a variety of purposes, livelihoods for billions of people worldwide, and is a critical resource for sustainable development in many regions. Agriculture is frequently central to the livelihoods of many social groups, especially in developing countries where it often accounts for a significant share of production. In addition to food and fibre, the land provides a multitude of ecosystem services; climate change mitigation is just one of many that are vital to human well-being (robust evidence; high agreement). Mitigation options in the AFOLU sector, therefore, need to be assessed, as far as possible, for their potential impact on all other services provided by land. [Section 11.1]

Source: <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc_wg3_ar5_chapter11.pdf</u> <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/01_figure_11.1.png</u>



AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS

Vermont is Getting Warmer and Wetter: Climate Change Study

The Green Mountain State has warmed nearly 2°F, with a 21% jump in precipitation





Current vs. Revised: Avg. Precipitation





Figure 1-8: Decadal averages of observed annual precipitation in Vermont

Source: Joshua Faulkner: Agriculture, Climate Change & Water Quality Presentation. Gund Institute for Environment at UVM. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9ulzxc57n4w</u> Source: The Vermont Climate Assessment 2021. Burlington, Vermont: Gund Institute for Environment at the University of Vermont. On the web: <u>https://vtclimate.org</u>

AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE. FOOD & MARKETS



Source: Joshua Faulkner: Agriculture, Climate Change & Water Quality Presentation. Gund Institute for Environment at UVM. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9ulzxc57n4w</u> Source: USDA RMA, Cause of Loss Historical Data Files. Avaliable at: <u>https://www.rma.usda.gov/Information-Tools/Summary-of-Business/Cause-of-Loss</u>





Figure 5-5: Projected daily mean precipitation in 2050 as percent deviation relative to 1980s-1990s shows lower summer growing season precipitation (USGS, 2021a)

Source: Claro, J., Faulkner, J., Isbell, C., Ruggiero, R., White, A., 2021. Agriculture and Food Systems. In Galford, G.L., Faulkner, J. et al. (Eds), The Vermont Climate Assessment 2021. Burlington, Vermont: Gund Institute for Environment at the University of Vermont. On the web: <u>https://vtclimate.org</u>

Vermont 2021 Clean Water Investment Report



Figure 23. Annual estimated total phosphorus load reductions (metric tons per year) associated with statefunded clean water projects in the Lake Champlain and Lake Memphremagog basins by land use sector, SFY 2016-2021.²⁴

Source: https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/wsm/erp/docs/Reports/2021CleanWaterInitiativePerformanceReport FINAL updated%201-20-2022.pdf (page 33)

Six year average: 96.6% Ag







Figure 24. State investment per estimated kilogram of total phosphorus load reduced over the lifespan of each project type, based on clean water projects funded through State of Vermont agencies completed SFY 2016-2021 (excludes local and federal leveraged funds).²⁶

FIGURE GUIDE

The violin plots, pictured at left, combine a box plot (see black rectangles/lines) and a density plot (see colored shapes).

A box plot shows the minimum, maximum, median, and average cost effectiveness values.

A density plot shows the relative number of projects falling into each range of cost effectiveness. Wider sections of the colored shapes represent more projects than thinner sections.



							(Sorted by	Acreage)
Practice Code	Practice Name			TOTAL				
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	Average
340	Cover Crop	28,381	23,408	29,615	24,114	36,885	142,404	28,481
590	Nutrient Management	12,992	10,012	9,792	8,051	14,545	55,393	11,079
345	Conservation Tillage	8,940	9,506	10,703	12,143	8,142	49,434	9,887
328	Conservation Crop Rotation	10,516	11,709	13,156	4,632	2,181	42,194	8,439
329	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	2,963	2,900	3,098	6,322	3,275	18,559	3,712
512	Pasture and Hay Planting	2,080	1,713	2,450	1,455	1,917	9,613	1,923
913VTAg	Precision Agriculture	0	0	0	4,041	4,297	8,338	1,668
528	Prescribed Grazing	1,808	1,224	1,472	1,826	1,074	7,404	1,481
901VTAg	Manure Injection	0	0	0	2,247	3,787	6,034	1,207
911VTAg	Rotational Grazing	0	0	0	2,889	2,563	5,452	1,090
902VTAg	Aeration	433	475	2,023	572	1,797	5,300	1,060
314	Brush Management	708	782	1,058	1,219	1,450	5,217	1,043
633	Waste Recycling	2,220	1,181	548	0	92	4,041	808
PAC	Production Area Compliance	0	792	540	1,185	1,385	3,902	780

Source: <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/wsm/erp/docs/2021-01-15</u> CleanWaterPerformanceReport SFY2020-FINA-PDF-A.pdf

IPCC Definition of Co-benefits

<u>Co-benefits</u>: The positive effects that a policy or measure aimed at one objective might have on other objectives, irrespective of the net effect on overall social welfare. Co-benefits are often subject to uncertainty and depend on local circumstances and implementation practices, among other factors. Co-benefits are also referred to as ancillary benefits.





GENCY OF AGRICULTURE. FOOD & MARKETS

WATER QUALITY DIVISION

En Seq

AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS

WATER QUALITY DIVISION

2. Task 5C Agriculture Strategy Matrix Document (excel spreadsheets)

		· · · · · ·				NRCS Prac	ctice Physic	al Effects	
nission uestra Storag	s + tion ge of GHGs	Organic Matter Depletion	Soil Organism Habitat Loss or Degradation	Aggregate Instability	Nutrie Transpor Surface	ents Pondir ted to and Water Floodir	^{ng} Terrest Habit	rial Aqu at Hab	atic itat
		Pra	ctice		NRCS Practice Code	Emissions + Sequestration + Storage	Emissions of GHGs	Organic Matter Depletion	
							34	9	
	Conconstion	Cover			227	0	4	-	
	Conservation C	Lover			327	9	4	5	
	Tree/Shrub Est	ablishment			012	8	4	4	ł.
	Windbreak-Shi	eiterbeit Esi	ablishment (ft)		380	8	4	4	ł.
	Riparian Fores	t Butter			391	/	3	4	
	Alley Cropping	} 			311	/	2	5	ł.
	Multi-Story Cro	opping			379	/	2	5	
	Cover Crop				340	6	4	2	
	No-Till				329	6	4	2	
	Nutrient Mana	gement			590	6	4	2	
	Nutrient Mana	gement			590	6	4	2	
	Prescribed/Rot	tational Gra	zing		528	6	2	4	



Agricultural conservation practice tracking

AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS WATER QUALITY DIVISION

COMET-Planner Emission Reduction Coefficients (ERC)	Units: tons CO2e/ac/yr			CO2 Equivalents		Addison County		Vermont	/ermont		Negative = Emissions			http://comet-planner.com/	
NRCS Conservation Practices	Soil Carbon	Biomass Carbon	Fossil CO2	Biomass Burning CO2	Biomass Burning N2O	Biomass Burning CH4	Liming	Total CO2	Direct Soil N2O	Indirect Soil N2O	Total N2O	Soil CH4	Total Emission Reductions	Minimum Total Emission Reductions*	Maximum Total Emission Reductions*
Crop Land															
Cover Crop (CPS 340) - Add Non-Legume Seasonal Cover Crop (with 25% Fertilizer N Reduction) to Non-Irrigated Cropland	0.16							0.16	-0.01		-0.01		0.15	-0.09	0.54
Cover Crop (CPS 340) - Add Legume Seasonal Cover Crop (with 50% Fertilizer N Reduction) to Non-Irrigated Cropland	0.39							0.39	-0.19	-0.04	-0.23		0.16	-0.15	0.84
Conservation Crop Rotation (CPS 328) - Decrease Fallow Frequency or Add Perennial Crops to Rotations	0.21							0.21	0.01		0.01		0.22	N.E.**	N.E.**
Residue and Tillage Management - Reduced Till (CPS 345) - Intensive Till to Reduced Till on Non-Irrigated Cropland	0.17					0		0.17	0.01	0	0.01		0.19	0.05	0.34
Residue and Tillage Management - No-Till (CPS 329) - Intensive Till to No Till or Strip Till on Non-Irrigated Cropland	0.5					0		0.5	0.04	0.01	0.05		0.56	0.29	0.77
Residue and Tillage Management - No-Till (CPS 329) - Reduced Till to No Till or Strip Till on Non-Irrigated Cropland	0.4					0		0.4	0.03	0.01	0.04		0.44	0.22	0.61
Nutrient Management (CPS 590) - Improved N Fertilizer Management on Non-Irrigated Croplands - Reduce Fertilizer Application Rate by 15%	-0.02							-0.02					-0.01	-0.17	0.13
Nutrient Management (CPS 590) - Replace Synthetic N Fertilizer with Compost (CN ratio 10) on Non-Irrigated Croplands	0.2							0.2	-0.15	-0.04	-0.19		0.01	-0.27	0.23
Nutrient Management (CPS 590) - Replace Synthetic N Fertilizer with Compost (CN ratio 25) on Non-Irrigated Croplands	0.49							0.49	-0.1	-0.02	-0.12		0.37	0.11	0.6
Nutrient Management (CPS 590) - Replace Synthetic N Fertilizer with Dairy Manure on Non-Irrigated Croplands	0.22					0		0.22	-0.13	-0.03	-0.16	0	0.06	-0.18	0.27

*Minimum and maximum emission reductions represent the minimum and maximum total emissions over a range of soil, climate and management conditions within multi-county regions. Min/Max emissions are not estimated for all practices, due to limitations in quantification methods

**Values were not estimated due to limited data on reductions of greenhouse gas emissions from this practice

Subtask Group 5C Work & Resources

2. Task 5C Agriculture Strategy Matrix Document (excel spreadsheets)



Emission Reductions (CO2e/yr)





AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS

WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Cost Per Unit (\$/unit)





AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS WATER QUALITY DIVISION



Source: <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc_wg3_ar5_annex-i.pdf</u> Source: <u>https://aoa.vermont.gov/sites/aoa/files/Boards/VCC/ACT153%20As%20Enacted.pdf</u> Natural Climate Solutions are actions to **protect, better manage and restore nature** to

 Natural Climate Solutions are actions to protect, better manage and restore nature to
 Natural climate solution

reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Combined with cutting fossil-fuel use, boosting energy efficiency and accelerating clean-energy innovation, Natural Climate Solutions offer powerful and cost-effective ways to tackle the climate crisis.

New science led by Nature United with 16 other research institutions shows that Natural Climate Solutions can reduce Canada's emissions by up to **78 megatonnes of CO₂e annually in 2030.** The study was peer-reviewed and published in *Science Advances*.

Media Inquiries: (416) 526-7353 | jacqueline.nunes@natureunited.ca

Nutrient management Tree intercropping (trees in agricultural lands pathway) Manure Management Silvopasture (trees in agricultural lands pathway) Legume crops **Reduced tillage** Riparian tree planting (trees in agricultural lands pathway) Legumes in pastures Avoided conversion of shelterbelts (trees in agricultural lands pathway) Avoided wetland conversion Wetland restoration Avoided grassland conversion Riparian grassland restoration Improved forest management Avoided forest conversion Restoration of forest cover Urban canopy cover



GENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS WATER QUALITY DIVISION



AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS WATER QUALITY DIVISION



Source: https://www.natureunited.ca/content/dam/tnc/nature/en/documents/canada/natural-climate-solutions-infographic.pdf

En Seq

AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS

WATER QUALITY DIVISION

2. Task 5C Agriculture Strategy Matrix Document (excel spreadsheets)

		· · · · · ·				NRCS Prac	ctice Physic	al Effects	
nission uestra Storag	s + tion ge of GHGs	Organic Matter Depletion	Soil Organism Habitat Loss or Degradation	Aggregate Instability	Nutrie Transpor Surface	ents Pondir ted to and Water Floodir	^{ng} Terrest Habit	rial Aqu at Hab	atic itat
		Pra	ctice		NRCS Practice Code	Emissions + Sequestration + Storage	Emissions of GHGs	Organic Matter Depletion	
							34	9	
	Conconstion	Cover			227	0	4	-	
	Conservation C	Lover			327	9	4	5	
	Tree/Shrub Est	ablishment			012	8	4	4	
	Windbreak-Shi	eiterbeit Esi	ablishment (ft)		380	8	4	4	ł.
	Riparian Fores	t Butter			391	/	3	4	
	Alley Cropping	} 			311	/	2	5	ł.
	Multi-Story Cro	opping			379	/	2	5	
	Cover Crop				340	6	4	2	
	No-Till				329	6	4	2	
	Nutrient Mana	gement			590	6	4	2	
	Nutrient Mana	gement			590	6	4	2	
	Prescribed/Rot	tational Gra	zing		528	6	2	4	



							(Sorted by	Acreage)
Practice Code	Practice Name			TOTAL				
		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	Average
340	Cover Crop	28,381	23,408	29,615	24,114	36,885	142,404	28,481
590	Nutrient Management	12,992	10,012	9,792	8,051	14,545	55,393	11,079
345	Conservation Tillage	8,940	9,506	10,703	12,143	8,142	49,434	9,887
328	Conservation Crop Rotation	10,516	11,709	13,156	4,632	2,181	42,194	8,439
329	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	2,963	2,900	3,098	6,322	3,275	18,559	3,712
512	Pasture and Hay Planting	2,080	1,713	2,450	1,455	1,917	9,613	1,923
913VTAg	Precision Agriculture	0	0	0	4,041	4,297	8,338	1,668
528	Prescribed Grazing	1,808	1,224	1,472	1,826	1,074	7,404	1,481
901VTAg	Manure Injection	0	0	0	2,247	3,787	6,034	1,207
911VTAg	Rotational Grazing	0	0	0	2,889	2,563	5,452	1,090
902VTAg	Aeration	433	475	2,023	572	1,797	5,300	1,060
314	Brush Management	708	782	1,058	1,219	1,450	5,217	1,043
633	Waste Recycling	2,220	1,181	548	0	92	4,041	808
PAC	Production Area Compliance	0	792	540	1,185	1,385	3,902	780

Source: <u>https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/wsm/erp/docs/2021-01-15</u> CleanWaterPerformanceReport SFY2020-FINA-PDF-A.pdf

Subtask Group 5C Work & Resources

2. Task 5C Agriculture Strategy Matrix Document (excel spreadsheets)



Emission Reductions (CO2e/yr)





AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS

WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Cost Per Unit (\$/unit)



IPCC: Land management and Co-benefits



AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS

WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Interlinkages between desertification, land degradation, food security and greenhouse gas fluxes Chapter 6

Table 6.70 | Impacts on Nature's Contributions to People (NCP) of integrated response options based on land management.

Integrated response options based on land management	Habitat creation and maintenance	Pollination and dispersal of seeds and other propagules	Regulation of air quality	Regulation of climate	Regulation of ocean acidification	Regulation of freshwater quantity, flow and timing	Regulation of freshwater and coastal water quality	Formation, protection and decontamination of soils and sediments	Regulation of hazards and extreme events	Regulation of organisms detrimental to humans	Energy	Food and feed	Materials and assistance	Medicinal, biochemical and genetic resources	Learning and inspiration	Physical and psychological experiences	Supporting identities	Maintenance of options
Increased food productivity																		
Improved cropland management																		
Improved grazing land management																		
Improved livestock management																		
Agroforestry																		
Agricultural diversification																		
Avoidance of conversion of grassland to cropland																		
Integrated water management													+ or –					



Large positive impacts, strong evidence



Medium negative impacts, medium evidence



Low negative impacts or low evidence



Large negative impacts, high evidence

https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/4/2019/11/09 Chapter-6.pdf

Ecosystem Services

AGENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS WATER QUALITY DIVISION

THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF CLEAN WATER Lake Champlain generates **\$300 MILLION** in VT tourism each year improves ecchi disk depth measures the clarity of water, which is an indicator of water quality **Tourism** -\$16.8 MILLION* July/August 51(0)% higher seasonal _ost lobs* room rates for towns with lake-dependent tourism * Projected impacts with a 3 ft (1 m) decrease in water clarity **Home** Values if water quality standards are met

DATA SOURCE: An Assessment of the Economic Value of Clean Water in Lake Champlain. Brian Voigt, Julia Lees, Jon Erickson, University of Vermont, Gund Institute for Ecological Economics. September 2015.

Middlebury - economic damages



•During Tropical Storm Irene in 2011, floodplains and wetlands diminished damages in Middlebury, VT, by 84 to 95 percent – saving potentially as much as \$1.8 million in flood damages.

•Middlebury saves an annual average of \$126,000 to \$450,000 in damages due to the Otter Creek floodplain, which reduced damages by 54 to 78 percent, on average, across 10 flooding events.

Source: Keri Bryan Watson , Gund Institute, UVM



USDA NRCS Vermont: 68 wetland easements on 5,063 acres

VT-DEC River Corridor Easement Programs: 75 unique river corridor easements

USDA/VAAFM Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP): 26 CREP Projects in Vermont are enrolled in the DEC River Corridor Easement Program

USDA/VAAFM CREP: Over 2,000 acres of agricultural land enrolled in the program



GENCY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD & MARKETS WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Section 11.4: Agricultural Pathways for Mitigation (19 pages)

Section 13: Pathways for Adaptation and Building Resilience in Natural and Working Lands (38 pages)

Section 14: Pathways for Sequestration and Storing Carbon (13 pages)

INITIAL VERMONT CLIMATE ACTION PLAN



Co-Chair: Abbie Corse*, The Corse Dairy Farm Co-Chair: Billy Coster, ANR Member #1: Lauren Oates*, The Nature Conservancy Member #2: Anson Tebbetts* (Ryan Patch), VAAFM Member #3: Iris Hsiang* Member #4: John Roberts, Champlain Valley Farmers Coalition Member #5: Judy Dow, Gedakina Member #6: Alissa White, University of Vermont Member #7: Jake Claro, VT Sustainable Jobs Fund Member #8: David Mears, Audubon Vermont Member #9: Charlie Hancock, Cold Hollow to Canada

Staff: Ali Kosiba, FPR; Marli Rupe, DEC; Bob Popp, VFW; Alex DePillis, VAAFM; Judson Peck, VAAFM

*Vermont Climate Councilor