

MEMORANDUM

TO: Emily Boedecker, Commissioner

FROM: Mark A. Levine MD, Commissioner

DATE: July 10, 2018

SUBJECT: Drinking Water Health Advisory for Five PFAS (per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances)

Summary

The Department of Health (Department) has derived a Drinking Water Health Advisory (Health Advisory) of 20 parts per trillion (ppt) applicable to the sum of PFOA (perfluorooctanoic acid), PFOS (perfluorooctane sulfonic acid), PFHxS (perfluorohexane sulfonic acid), PFHpA (perfluoroheptanoic acid) and PFNA (perfluorononanoic acid). These chemicals belong to the PFAS family (per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances). According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "some studies in people show that certain PFAS may affect growth, learning and behavior of infants and older children; lower a woman's chance of getting pregnant; interfere with the body's natural hormones, increase cholesterol levels, affect the immune system, and increase the risk of cancer¹." Until 2018, the PFAS species predominantly found in drinking water in Vermont was PFOA. Recently, other PFAS including PFHxS and PFHpA were found in drinking water in Vermont at concentrations that warrant review. This Health Advisory updates the Drinking Water Health Advisory for the sum of PFOA and PFOS that was issued on June 22, 2016.

During the evaluation of PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA, the Department looked to federal and other state partners. The Department consulted with colleagues at U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) and the Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). The Department reviewed work conducted by the Connecticut Department of Health², as Connecticut issued a Drinking Water Action Level of 70 ppt for the sum of the five PFAS. The Department reviewed Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's Drinking Water Guidance Values³ for the sum of five PFAS, equal to 70 ppt. Colorado issued a Health Advisory of 70 ppt applicable to the sum of PFOA, PFOS and PFHpA⁴. Minnesota Department of Health recommends the drinking water level for PFOS be used as a surrogate for PFHxS⁵.

There is no federal maximum contaminant level (MCL) for PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA, PFNA or any other PFAS. No finalized toxicity values exist for PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA from authoritative sources (such as US EPA and ATSDR). The Department has not derived a noncancer toxicity value for PFHxS, PFHpA or PFNA. These five PFAS meet the criteria for grouping chemicals under one Health Advisory level according to the Department's chemical grouping process⁶ for the following reasons:

1. PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA are currently being investigated in Vermont and have been found in drinking water.
2. PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA are members of the PFAS family along with PFOA and PFOS and are considered sufficiently similar to PFOA and PFOS.



3. PFHxS, PFHpA, PFNA, PFOA and PFOS are often found together.
4. PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA elicit similar health effects as PFOA and PFOS.

PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA are all long-chain members of the PFAS family⁷. PFOA and PFOS are man-made fluorinated chemicals that contain eight carbons⁷. PFHxS, PFHpA, and PFNA contain six, seven and nine carbons and are structurally similar to PFOA and PFOS⁸. These PFAS have similar and long biological half-lives⁷. PFAS chemicals are often found together in the environment, and some PFAS chemicals degrade to other PFAS chemicals⁷.

ATSDR published a draft Toxicological Profile for PFAS on June 20, 2018⁹. This draft Toxicological Profile provides intermediate oral minimal risk levels for PFOA and PFOS that are roughly an order of magnitude more stringent than the chronic oral reference dose provided by US EPA Office of Water in 2016¹⁰. The draft Toxicological Profile also provides intermediate oral minimal risk levels for PFHxS and PFNA, which are equal to or lower than the 2016 US EPA Office of Water chronic oral reference dose for PFOA and PFOS¹⁰. The intermediate oral minimal risk levels are provided as draft, and the ATSDR draft Toxicological Profile for PFAS is subject to revision in response to public comments and when additional data become available. The Department has not used the intermediate oral minimal risk levels to derive Health Advisories for individual PFAS. The draft Toxicological Profile supplements the Department's understanding of PFAS, and supports Vermont's approach of summing the five PFAS.

Supporting Information

PFOA and PFOS are the most well-studied of the PFAS. The US EPA Office of Water has provided toxicity values for PFOA and PFOS, and advice to apply a single guidance value to the sum of them¹⁰. PFOA and PFOS target many organ systems, including but not limited to the liver^{11,12}, endocrine^{11,12} and the immune system. The National Toxicology Program, a Division of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, concludes that PFOA and PFOS are presumed to be immune hazards to humans, based on a high level of evidence in animals that PFOA and PFOS suppress the antibody response¹³. Exposure to PFOA and PFOS is also associated with developmental toxicity. The offspring of mice exposed to PFOA showed neurodevelopmental effects¹⁴, skeletal alterations¹⁵, and reduced ossification and accelerated puberty in males¹⁰. The offspring of rats exposed to PFOS showed delayed eye opening and decreased pup weight^{16,10}. Toxicity information for PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA is summarized below.

PFHxS- A single dose of PFHxS in mice at postnatal day 10 resulted in altered spontaneous behavior and habituation at two and four months¹⁷. Increased thyroid follicular cell damage was observed in male rats given PFHxS for 42 days¹⁸. In vitro studies show PFHxS has the potential to bind thyroid transporter protein¹⁹.

PFHpA- Data from two in vitro studies suggest PFHpA has the potential to exhibit developmental toxicity²⁰ and bind PPARa²¹. Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment issued a Health Advisory applicable to the sum of PFOA, PFOS and PFHpA, as they concluded PFHpA may have similar effects as PFOA and PFOS⁴.

PFNA- Toxicity studies indicate that exposure to PFNA may have similar impacts as exposure to PFOA and PFOS including but not limited to; immunotoxicity, developmental toxicity, and liver toxicity. Decreased thymus and/or spleen weight, and changes in immune cell ratios were observed in rats and mice after PFNA exposure²²⁻²⁵. Decreased pup weight and delayed development was observed in mice exposed



gestationally to PFNA²⁶. The New Jersey Drinking Water Quality Institute developed an MCL for PFNA of 13 ppt, based on increased liver weight in mice²⁷.

Derivation of the Health Advisory

The Health Advisory of 20 ppt for the combination of PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA is based on a non-cancer endpoint and derived⁶ using the oral reference dose of 0.00002 mg/kgBW-d provided in US EPA’s 2016 Health Effects Support Documents for PFOA¹¹ and PFOS¹². The Department applied the oral reference dose for PFOA and PFOS to the sum of PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA, and PFNA. The Department also calculated a candidate drinking water advisory for consideration based on the cancer endpoint using the information provided in EPA’s 2016 Health Effects Support Documents for PFOA¹¹ and PFOS¹² and determined that derivation of the Health Advisory based on the noncancer endpoint is more protective.

The Health Advisory for the combination of PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA is based on direct exposure via ingestion of drinking water only. As is standard practice^{28,29}, a relative source contribution is incorporated in the development of the advisory value to account for potential exposure to these chemicals from other sources.

Details of the derivation of the Drinking Water Health Advisory of 20 ppt are found below.

Drinking Water Health Advisory - Noncancer

1. The general equation used to derive a noncancer-based Drinking Water Health Advisory:

$$DWHA = (HQ)(RfD_o)(1/BW_{AIR})(CF)(RSC)$$

DWHA = Drinking Water Health Advisory
 HQ= Hazard Quotient
 RfD_o= chronic oral reference dose
 BW_{AIR}= Body Weight adjusted Water Intake Rate
 CF= Units Conversion Factor
 RSC= Relative Source Contribution

2. Derivation of the Health Advisory for the combination of PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA

$$\begin{aligned} DWHA &= (HQ)(RfD_o)(1/BW_{AIR})(CF)(RSC) \\ &= (1)(2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/kg BW-day})(1/0.175 \text{ L/kg BW-day})(1000 \text{ } \mu\text{g/mg})(0.2) \\ &= 0.02285 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L (ppb)} \\ &= 0.02285 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L (ppb)} \times 1000 \text{ ng/ } \mu\text{g} = 22.9 \text{ ng/L (ppt)} \approx 20 \text{ ppt} \end{aligned}$$

Exposure Assumptions, Parameter Values and Descriptions

HQ = 1
 Target Hazard Quotient employed in the development of Department of Health Drinking Water Guidance Values

RfD_o = 2x10⁻⁵ mg/kgBW-d
 Oral reference dose provided in EPA’s 2016 Health Effects Support Documents for PFOA¹¹ and PFOS¹²

BW_{AIR} = 0.175 L/kgBW-d

The 2016 US EPA Drinking Water Health Advisories for PFOA¹⁰ and PFOS³⁰ state that “the developing fetus and newborn are particularly sensitive to PFOA- and PFOS-induced toxicity.” US EPA has recommended that fine age groupings be used in the assessment of potential exposure to children³¹. A series of ten ranges between birth and 21 years of age is recommended for consideration as appropriate. The 95th percentile Body Weight Adjusted Water Intake Rate for the first year of life based on combined direct and indirect water intake from community water supplies for consumers only is 0.175 L/kgBW-d^{32,33}.

CF= 1000 µg/mg

Unit conversion from milligrams to micrograms

RSC = 0.2 (20%)

Consistent with US EPA guidance^{28,29}, an RSC is incorporated in the development of Health Advisories that are based upon a threshold type, primarily noncarcinogenic, health effect. The RSC represents the portion of an individual’s total daily exposure to a specific chemical that is attributed to or allocated to drinking water. An RSC of 20% is incorporated to account for exposure to PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFHpA and PFNA from other sources. This follows EPA’s recommendation to use an RSC of 20% when quantitative data on other sources of exposure are not available. The 2016 US EPA PFOA Health Advisory states “In cases where environmental or exposure data are lacking, the Exposure Decision Tree approach results in a recommended RSC of 20%. This 20% RSC value may be replaced where sufficient data are available to develop a scientifically defensible alternative value.”¹⁰

References

1. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) Frequently Asked Questions, 8/22/17, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Centers for Disease Research and Prevention, https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/pfas/docs/pfas_fact_sheet.pdf. Retrieved July 5, 2018.
2. Drinking Water Action Level for Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFAS); Gary Ginsberg, Brian Toal 12/12/16; Connecticut DPH, Environmental and Occupational Health Assessment: https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/Departments-and-Agencies/DPH/dph/environmental_health/eoha/Toxicology_Risk_Assessment/DrinkingWaterActionLevelPerfluorinatedAlkylSubstances-PFAS.pdf Retrieved July 5, 2018
3. MassDEP Office of Research and Standards; Final Recommendations for Interim Toxicity and Drinking Water Guidance Values for Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances Included in the Unregulated Chemical Monitoring Rule 3; June 8, 2018. https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2018/06/11/pfas-ors-ucmr3-recs_0.pdf. Retrieved July 6, 2018
4. Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. <https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/cdphe/PFCs/health>. Retrieved July 5, 2018.
5. Minnesota Department of Health; PFAS and Health; <http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/hazardous/topics/pfcshealth.pdf>. Retrieved July 5, 2018
6. Vermont Department of Health, Drinking Water Guidance. http://www.healthvermont.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/ENV_ECP_GeneralScreeningValues_Water.pdf

7. USEPA, Long-chain perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) Action Plan. December 30, 2009. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-01/documents/pfcs_action_plan1230_09.pdf. Retrieved July 6, 2018.
8. Buck, RC., et. al., 2011. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment: Terminology, classification and origins. *Integr Environ Assess Manag* 7, 513-541.
9. Draft Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls, 2018. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, June 2018.
10. USEPA, 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA). 822-R-16-005. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water (4304T) Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, D.C.
11. USEPA, 2016. Health Effects Support Document for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA). 822-R-16-003. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water (4304T) Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, D.C.
12. USEPA, 2016. Health Effects Support Document for Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS). 822-R-16-00. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water (4304T) Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, D.C.
13. Office of Health Assessment and Translation, Division of the National Toxicology Program, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2016. NTP monograph of immunotoxicity associated with exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) or perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS).
14. Onishchenko, N., et. al., 2011. Prenatal Exposure to PFOS or PFOA Alters Motor Function in Mice in a Sex-Related Manner. *Neurotox Res* (2011) 19:452–461.
15. Koskela, A., et. al., 2016. Effects of developmental exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) on long bone morphology and bone cell differentiation. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 301 (2016) 14–21.
16. Luebker, DJ., et al., 2005. Two-generation reproduction and cross-foster studies of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) in rats. *Toxicology* 215 (2005) 126–148.
17. Viberg, H, et. al., 2013. Adult dose-dependent behavioral and cognitive disturbances after a single neonatal PFHxS dose. *Toxicology* 304 (2013) 185– 191.
18. Butenhoff, JL., et. al., 2009. Evaluation of potential reproductive and developmental toxicity of potassium perfluorohexanesulfonate in Sprague Dawley rats. *Reproductive Toxicology*, 27: 331-341.
19. Weiss JM, et. al., 2009. Competitive Binding of Poly- and Perfluorinated Compounds to the Thyroid Hormone Transport Protein Transthyretin. *Toxicol Sci.* Jun;109(2):206-16.
20. Kim M, et. al., 2015. Perfluoroheptanoic acid affects amphibian embryogenesis by inducing the phosphorylation of ERK and JNK. *Int J Mol Med.* Dec;36(6):1693-700.
21. Wolf CJ, et. al., 2012. Activation of mouse and human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha (PPAR α) by perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs): further investigation of C4-C12 compounds. *Reprod Toxicol.* Jul;33(4):546-51.
22. Fang, X., et. al., 2008. Immunotoxic Effects of Perfluorononanoic Acid on BALB/c Mice. *Toxicological Sciences* 105(2), 312–321.

23. Fang, X., et. al., 2009. Alterations of Cytokines and MAPK Signaling Pathways are Related to the Immunotoxic Effect of Perfluorononanoic Acid. *Toxicological Sciences* 108(2), 367–376.
24. Fang, X., et. al., 2010. Perfluorononanoic acid-induced apoptosis in rat spleen involves oxidative stress and the activation of caspase-independent death pathway. *Toxicology* 267, 54–59.
25. Rockwell, CE., et. al., 2017. Persistent alterations in immune cell populations and function from a single dose of perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) in C57Bl/6 mice. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 100, 24e33.
26. Das, KP., et. al., 2015. Developmental toxicity of perfluorononanoic acid in mice. *Reproductive Toxicology* 51 (2015) 133–144.
27. Health-based maximum contaminant level support document: Perfluorononanoic Acid (PFNA). New Jersey Drinking Water Quality Institute, Health Effects Subcommittee, June 22, 2015. Subcommittee Members: Jessie A. Gleason, M.S.P.H., Chair, Keith R. Cooper, Ph.D., Judith B. Klotz, M.S., Dr.P.H., Gloria B. Post, Ph.D., DABT , George Van Orden, Ph.D. <http://www.nj.gov/dep/watersupply/pdf/pfna-recommend-final.pdf>. Retrieved July 5, 2018.
28. USEPA, 1990. Seminar Publication. Risk Assessment, Management and Communication of Drinking Water Contamination. EPA/625/4-89/024. Washington, D.C. U.S. EP, Office of Drinking Water, Office of Water.
29. USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2000. *Methodology for Deriving Ambient Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Human Health*. EPA-822-B-00-004. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC. Retrieved May 2016. http://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/EPA_human-health-criteria2000.pdf.
30. USEPA, 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS). 822-R-16-004. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water (4304T) Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, D.C.
31. USEPA, 2005. Guidance on Selecting Age Groups for Monitoring and Assessing Childhood Exposures to Environmental Contaminants. EPA/630/P-03/003F. Washington, D.C. U.S. EPA, Risk Assessment Forum.
32. USEPA, 2008. Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook. EPA/600/R-06/096F. Washington, D.C. U.S. EPA, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment.
33. USEPA, 2011. Exposure Factors Handbook. EPA/600/R-10/030. Washington, D.C. U.S. EPA, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment.