

Project	Current Jurisd		Proposed Jurisd		Project Length (miles)	Comments
	Calc (acres)	Permit Req (y/n)	Calc (acres)	Permit Req (y/n)		
<b>Charlotte Route 7</b>	42.2	Y	5.9	N	2.9	The existing roadway was wide and new disturbance was related mostly to temporary impacts associated with lane shifts to allow for two-way traffic during construction.
<b>Colchester Exit 16 DDI</b>	17.7	Y	2	N	1.1	Project has been delayed due to hearings related to appeals by interested party. The proceedings have added exorbitant time and money to the project.
<b>Colchester Exit 17</b>	21.6	Y	3.5	N	Route 2, I-89, I-89 r	Miles including US Route 2, I-89, I-89 ramps, and US Route 7. It is the opinion of VTrans that this project does not represent a substantial change; however the Commission did not agree.
<b>Cabot Danville</b>	20.3	Y	5	Y	1.4	This is part of a corridor project constructed in Contracts. The analysis was for one of four contracts so the total for all 4 would surely be > 10 acres; thus fall under jurisdiction of the newly proposed language.
<b>Pittsford Brandon</b>	21.8	Y	4.75	Y	1.4	Similar to Cabot Danville; this project was evaluated as only one of several segments of a corridor. The total project would surely be > 10 acres; thus fall under jurisdiction of the newly proposed language.
<b>Brattleboro Interstate Bridges</b>	>10	N	N/A for this exercise	Unknown	Non-Linear	
<b>Swanton</b>	42	Y	13	Y	5.7	It is the opinion of VTrans that this project does not represent a substantial change and will seek a JO from the commission.