TO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

The Committee on Judiciary to which was referred Senate Bill No. 37 entitled “An act relating to medical monitoring” respectfully reports that it has considered the same and recommends that the House propose to the Senate that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

* * * Medical Monitoring * * *

Sec. 1. 12 V.S.A. chapter 219 is added to read:

CHAPTER 219. MEDICAL MONITORING

§ 7201. DEFINITIONS

As used in this chapter:

(1) “Disease” means any disease, illness, ailment, or adverse physiological or chemical change linked to exposure to a toxic substance.

(2) “Establishment” means any premises used for the purpose of carrying on or exercising any trade, business, profession, vocation, commercial or charitable activity, or governmental function.

(3) “Exposure” means ingestion, inhalation, or absorption through any body surface.

(4) “Facility” means all contiguous land, structures, other appurtenances, and improvements on the land where toxic substances are manufactured, processed, used, or stored. A facility may consist of several
treatment, storage, or disposal operational units. A facility shall not include
land, structures, other appurtenances, and improvements on the land owned by
a municipality.

(5) “Large facility” means a facility:

(A) where an activity within a Standard Industrial Classification code
of 10 through 14, 20 through 39, 40 through 42, 44 through 46, or 49 is
conducted or was conducted; and

(B)(i) where 10 or more full-time employees have been employed at
any one time; or

(ii) that is owned or operated by a person who, when all facilities
or establishments that the person owns or controls are aggregated, has
employed 500 employees at any one time.

(6) “Medical monitoring” means a program of medical tests or
procedures for the purpose of early detection of signs or symptoms of a latent
disease resulting from exposure.

(7) “Operator” means a person who manages, conducts, or directs the
operations of a facility.

(8) “Owner” means a person who owns or controls a facility. “Owner”
shall not mean a person who without participating in the management of the
facility holds indicia of ownership primarily to protect a security interest.
(9) “Person” means any individual; partnership; company; corporation; association; unincorporated association; joint venture; trust; municipality; the State of Vermont or any agency, department, or subdivision of the State; federal agency; or any other legal or commercial entity.

(10) “Release” means any act or omission that allows a toxic substance to enter the air, land, surface water, or groundwater.

(11) “Tortious conduct” means negligence, trespass, nuisance, product liability, or common law liability for ultra-hazardous or abnormally dangerous activity.

(12)(A) “Toxic substance” means any substance, mixture, or compound that may cause personal injury or disease to humans through ingestion, inhalation, or absorption through any body surface and that satisfies one or more of the following:

(i) the substance, mixture, or compound is listed on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Consolidated List of Chemicals Subject to the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, and Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act;

(ii) the substance, mixture, or compound is defined as a “hazardous material” under 10 V.S.A. § 6602 or under rules adopted under 10 V.S.A. chapter 159;
(iii) testing has produced evidence, recognized by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, that the substance, mixture, or compound poses acute or chronic health hazards;

(iv) the Department of Health has issued a public health advisory for the substance, mixture, or compound;

(v) the Secretary of Natural Resources has designated the substance, mixture, or compound as a hazardous waste under 10 V.S.A. chapter 159; or

(vi) exposure to the substance is shown by expert testimony to increase the risk of developing a latent disease.

(B) “Toxic substance” shall not mean:

(i) a pesticide when applied consistent with good practice; in conformity with federal, State, and local laws, rules, and regulations; and according to the manufacturer’s instructions; or

(ii) ammunition or components thereof, firearms, air rifles, discharge of firearms or air rifles, or hunting or fishing equipment or components thereof.

§ 7202. MEDICAL MONITORING FOR EXPOSURE TO TOXIC SUBSTANCES
(a) A person without a present injury or disease shall have a cause of action for the remedy of medical monitoring against a person who is the owner or operator of a large facility from which a toxic substance was released if all of the following are demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence:

1. The person was exposed to the toxic substance as a result of tortious conduct by the owner or operator, or persons under the control of the owner or operator, who released the toxic substance.
2. As a proximate result of the exposure, the person has a greater risk of contracting a latent disease.
3. Diagnostic testing is reasonably necessary. Testing is reasonably necessary if, shown by expert testimony, a physician would prescribe diagnostic testing because the person’s increased risk of contracting the disease due to the exposure makes it reasonably necessary to undergo diagnostic testing different from what would normally be prescribed in the absence of the exposure.
4. Medical tests or procedures exist to detect the latent disease.

(b) If the cost of medical monitoring is awarded, a court shall order the defendant found liable to pay the award to a court-supervised medical monitoring program administered by one or more appropriate health professionals, including professionals with expertise in exposure to toxic
substances or expertise with treating or monitoring the relevant latent disease

or diseases.

(c) Upon an award of medical monitoring under subsection (b) of this section, the court shall award to the plaintiff reasonable attorney’s fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred.

(d)(1) This chapter shall be the exclusive remedy for a person without a present injury to bring a cause of action to seek medical monitoring due to exposure to toxic substance.

(2) Except as provided under subdivision (1) of this subsection, nothing in this chapter shall be deemed to preclude the pursuit of any other civil or injunctive remedy or defense available under statute or common law, including the right of any person to seek to recover for damages related to the manifestation of a latent disease. The remedies and defenses in this chapter are in addition to those provided by existing statutory or common law.

(e) This section shall not increase the rights and remedies available under 21 V.S.A. chapter 9 to an employee who suffers a personal injury by accident arising out of and in the course of employment, provided that 21 V.S.A. chapter 9 shall not limit the right of a person who has not suffered a personal injury by accident arising out of and in the course of employment to bring a cause of action for medical monitoring.

Sec. 2. APPLICATION TO EXPOSURES PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE
Notwithstanding 1 V.S.A. § 214, the right of a person to bring a cause of action for medical monitoring under 12 V.S.A. chapter 219 shall apply retroactively to an exposure to a toxic substance that was discovered by the person in the six years prior to July 1, 2019, irrespective of any statute of limitations in effect at the time of the discovery of the exposure.

* * * Hazardous Material Releases * * *

Sec. 3. 10 V.S.A. § 6615 is amended to read:

§ 6615. LIABILITY

(a) Subject only to the defenses set forth in subsections (d) and (e) of this section, the following persons shall be liable for abating a release or threatened release of hazardous material and the costs of investigation, removal, and remedial actions incurred by the State that are necessary to protect the public health or the environment:

(1) the owner or operator of a facility, or both;

(2) any person who at the time of release or threatened release of any hazardous material owned or operated any facility at which such hazardous materials were disposed of;

(3) any person who by contract, agreement, or otherwise arranged for disposal or treatment, or arranged with a transporter for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous materials owned or possessed by such person, by
any other person or entity, at any facility owned or operated by another person or entity and containing such hazardous materials; and

(4) any person who accepts or accepted any hazardous materials for transport to disposal or treatment facilities selected by such persons, from which there is a release, or a threatened release of hazardous materials shall be liable for: and

(A) abating such release or threatened release; and

(B) costs of investigation, removal, and remedial actions incurred by the State which are necessary to protect the public health or the environment.

(5) any person who manufactured for commercial sale a hazardous material and who knew or should have known that the material presented a threat of harm to human health or the natural environment.

* * *

(d)(1) There shall be no liability under this section for a person otherwise liable who can establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the release or threat of release of hazardous material and the resulting damages were caused solely by any of the following:

(A) An act of God.

(B) An act of war.

(C) An act or omission of a third party other than an employee or agent of the defendant, or other than one whose act or omission occurs in
connection with a contractual relationship, existing directly or indirectly, with
the defendant. If the sole contractual arrangement arises from a published
tariff and acceptance for carriage by a common carrier by rail, for purposes of
this section, there shall be considered to be no contractual relationship at all.
This subdivision (d)(1)(C) shall only serve as a defense if the defendant
establishes by a preponderance of the evidence:
   (i) that the defendant exercised due care with respect to the
hazardous material concerned, taking into consideration the characteristics of
that hazardous material, in light of all relevant facts and circumstances; and
   (ii) that the defendant took precautions against foreseeable acts or
omissions of any such third party and the consequences that could foreseeably
result from those acts or omissions.
(D) Any combination of subdivisions (A)-(C) of this subdivision (1).
   * * *
(5) A person shall not be liable under subdivision (a)(5) of this section
provided that the person demonstrates that he or she provided an adequate
warning of the harm posed by the hazardous material known or which should
have been known at the time the hazardous material was manufactured.
   * * *
   (i) In an action brought by the Secretary under this section, a responsible
person may implead, or in a separate action a responsible person may sue,
another responsible person or persons and may obtain contribution or 
indemnification, except that a person who is solely liable pursuant to 
subdivision (a)(5) of this section shall not be able to implead or to sue a person 
pursuant to this subsection. A responsible person who has resolved its liability 
to the State under this section through a judicially approved settlement and a 
secured lender or fiduciary with whom the Secretary has entered into an 
agreement under subsection (h) of this section shall not be liable for claims for 
contribution or indemnification regarding matters addressed in the judicially 
approved settlement or in the agreement. Likewise, a person who has obtained 
a certificate of completion pursuant to subchapter 3 of this chapter shall not be 
liable for claims for contribution or indemnification regarding releases or 
threatened releases described in the approved corrective action plan, as 
amended. Such a settlement or agreement or certificate of completion does not 
discharge any other potentially responsible person unless its terms so provide, 
but it reduces the potential liability of other potentially responsible persons by 
the relief agreed upon. A secured lender or fiduciary with whom the Secretary 
has entered into an agreement under subsection (h) of this section may not seek 
contribution or indemnification on the basis of such agreement from any other 
potentially responsible person. In any action for contribution or 
indemnification, the rights of any person who has resolved its liability to the 
State shall be subordinate to the rights of the State.
Sec. 4. APPLICATION OF LIABILITY

Notwithstanding any contrary provision of 1 V.S.A. § 214, the amendment contained in 10 V.S.A. § 6615(a)(5) shall apply to any relevant release of a hazardous material regardless of the date of the relevant release, including releases that occurred prior to the effective date of 10 V.S.A. § 6615(a)(5).

*** Effective Date ***

Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2019.

(Committee vote: ____________)

____________________________
Representative ____________

FOR THE COMMITTEE