MEMORANDUM

To: House Committee on Corrections and Institutions

From: House Committee on Judiciary

Date: May 21, 2020

Subject: S.338 recommendations

In March 2020, the House Committee on Judiciary was asked to review several sections of S.338, an act relating to Justice Reinvestment II, that fell within the jurisdiction of this committee. This memo outlines the recommendations of this committee with respect to Secs. 2–4, 14, 18, 18a, 19, and 21.

The committee recommends the removal of Secs. 2–4 of the bill that amend sections of law governing probation. The committee instead recommends inserting new language into Sec. 21 directing the Justice Reinvestment II Working Group to study the policy behind probationers earning credit towards their underlying sentence and issue recommendations with respect to that policy in January 2021, and make conforming changes to Sec. 20.

The Committee recommends no changes to Sec. 18 (absconding from furlough) and 18a (escape) of the bill as it passed the Senate.

The Committee recommends changes to Secs. 14, 19, and 21 of draft 3.1 of the House Committee on Corrections and Institutions amendment to the bill as follows:
Sec. 14. 28 V.S.A. § 724 is amended to read:

§ 724. TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF CONDITIONAL REENTRY COMMUNITY SUPERVISION FURLOUGH

(a) Authority of the Department. The Department shall identify in the terms and conditions of conditional reentry community supervision furlough those programs necessary to reduce the offender’s risk of reoffense and to promote the offender’s accountability for progress in the reintegration process. The Department shall make all determinations of violations of conditions of community supervision furlough pursuant to this subchapter and any resulting change in status or termination of community supervision furlough status.

(b) 30-day interrupt or revocation. Any interruption of an offender’s community supervision furlough after the Department has found a technical violation of furlough conditions shall trigger a Department Central Office case staffing review and Department notification to the Office of the Defender General if the interruption will exceed 30 days.

(c) Appeal. An offender whose furlough status is revoked or interrupted for 30 days or longer shall have the right to appeal the Department’s determination to the Civil Division of the Superior Court in accordance with Rule 74 of the Vermont Rules of Civil Procedure. The appeal shall be based on a de novo review of the record. The appellant may offer testimony, and, in its discretion for good cause shown, the court may accept additional evidence to supplement the record. The appellant shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the Department abused its discretion in imposing a furlough revocation or interrupt that exceeds 30 days pursuant to subsection (d) of this section.

(d) Technical violations.
(1) As used in this section, “technical violation” means a violation of conditions of furlough that does not constitute a new crime.

(2) It shall be abuse of the Department’s discretion to revoke furlough or interrupt furlough status for 30 days or longer for a technical violation, unless:

(A) the offender’s risk to reoffend can no longer be adequately controlled in the community, and no other method to control noncompliance is suitable; and

(B) the violation or pattern of violations indicate the offender poses a danger to others or to the community or poses a threat to abscond or escape from furlough.

Sec. 19. RACIAL DISPARITIES IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM STUDY AND RECOMMENDATIONS; VERMONT SENTENCING COMMISSION

(a) During the 2020 legislative interim, the Racial Disparities in the Criminal and Juvenile Justice System Advisory Panel, the Executive Director of Racial Equity, the Chief Superior Judge, the Attorney General, the Defender General, the Department of Corrections, and the Executive Director of the Department of State’s Attorneys and Sheriffs shall work with Crime Research Group to identify existing data that explores the relationships between demographic factors and sentencing outcomes and determine whether and where current data systems and collections are insufficient for additional analyses and what staffing or resources are needed to support more robust reporting. Relevant data shall include plea agreements, sentence types and length, criminal history, offense severity, and any other metric that may further identify differences in how people are charged and sentenced by county, race, and gender. The stakeholders identified in this subsection shall also:

(1) perform an initial analysis of sentencing patterns across the State to identify where the use and length of incarceration may result in or exacerbate racial disparities.
and make any related proposals for legislative action, including recommendations for further study; and

(2) jointly report their findings pursuant to this subsection and any associated recommendations pursuant to subdivisions (1) and (2) of this subsection to the Joint Legislative Justice Oversight Committee and the Vermont Sentencing Commission on or before December 1, 2020. The report shall include any dissenting opinions among the stakeholders.

(b)(1) The Vermont Sentencing Commission shall consider relevant findings and recommendations developed by the stakeholder group pursuant to subsection (a) of this section and:

(A) consider whether changes to Vermont’s sentencing structure are necessary to address the findings and implement the recommendations developed by the stakeholder group; and

(B) if it deems appropriate, issue nonbinding guidance for offenses for which there are racial and geographic disparities in sentencing.

(2) On or before February 26, 2021, the Vermont Sentencing Commission shall report to the House and Senate Committees on Judiciary and the House Committee on Corrections and Institutions on its determinations pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection.

Sec. 21. JUSTICE REINVESTMENT II WORKING GROUP; OVERSIGHT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF JUSTICE REINVESTMENT II

(a) Justice Reinvestment II Working Group. The Justice Reinvestment II Working Group, established by the Governor in Executive Order 03-19, shall oversee the implementation of Justice Reinvestment II as provided in this section.
(b) Duties. The Working Group shall provide oversight over the rollout of Justice Reinvestment II, including the implementation of case reviews and releases for individuals newly eligible for presumptive parole, calculations of earned good time for eligible individuals within Department of Corrections facilities, and the Department’s efforts to assess how its graduated sanctions are implemented in local field offices in compliance with Sec. 23 of this act. The Working Group shall also work with the Council on State Governments to:

(1) based on the information provided by the Agency of Human Services pursuant to Sec. 22 of this act, identify current screening, assessment, and case planning gaps for incarcerated individuals and propose system improvements for minimizing gaps in screening and assessment and ensuring case plans reflect both the individual’s identified criminogenic and behavioral health needs;

(2) identify tools to assist in identifying specific offender risk factors that can be targeted with services and treatment programs based on evidence-based practices shown to be effective in reducing recidivism;

(3) determine how to share information about risk assessments and available Department and community-based programming among each other to inform plea agreement, sentencing, and probation revocation decisions;

(4) study the efficacy of using probation as a presumptive sentencing structure for certain types of offenses for which connections to community-based programming leads to better outcomes;

(5) evaluate the policy of probationers earning one day of credit towards their suspended sentence for each day served on probation without violation, including:

(A) how best to implement such a policy without impacting the length of probation terms or suspended sentences imposed;
(B) whether the credit accrued should apply to both the minimum and maximum suspended sentences;

(C) whether accrual of credit equal to the imposed maximum term of imprisonment or statutory maximum term of imprisonment for the offense should result in the termination and discharge of probation; and

(D) whether terms of probation for misdemeanors should be for a specific duration, not to exceed two years, or if the court should have discretion to impose a longer term in the interests of justice;

(6) explore additional options, including an option modeled after probation midpoint reviews provided for in 28 V.S.A. § 252(d), for allowing release from probation prior to the end of the imposed probation term, either in addition to or instead of a policy for providing one day of credit towards a suspended sentence for each day served on probation without violation as detailed in subdivision (5) of this subsection;

(7) develop funding and appropriation recommendations for future justice reinvestments; and

(8) recommend any necessary legislative action based on information gathered during the implementation of this act.

(c) Reports.

(1) On or before January 15, 2021, the Working Group shall report to the House and Senate Committees on Judiciary and the House Committee on Corrections and Institutions on the results of its work pursuant to subdivisions (2)–(6) of subsection (b) of this section and suggested legislative action regarding probation and earned credit on probation, and how to ensure sentencing, revocation, and plea agreement decisions are informed by available programming, including community treatment programs and individual risk assessment information.
(2) On or before January 15, 2022, the Working Group shall report to the House and Senate Committees on Judiciary and the House Committee on Corrections and Institutions with its findings pursuant to subsection (b) of this section and any recommendations for legislative action.