Retirement Rate Calculations - Judiciary

Judiciary Methodology

Judiciary is asking for \$232,398 based on the following methodology

- ((Total Salary DC plan participants) * (new retirement rate)) previous DB only retirement budget total
 - o ((\$25,251,466 \$4,503,372) * 21.4%) \$4,207,694 = **\$232,398**

But . . .

This methodology does not control for non-General Fund positions or the phased implementation of increased retirement rates.¹

- (DB only Salary total DB only Non General Fund salaries) * controlled retirement rate
 - o (\$20,748,094 \$2,077,436) * 20.93% = **122,238**

FY19 Actuals

- Judiciary projected a \$242,226 increase in retirement costs for FY19, but their actual increase was \$36K – only 14.7% of their projection.²
- They are currently running a vacancy savings rate of approximately 10% while budgeting 2.4% in vacancy savings (\$912,549 budgeted in vacancy savings for \$37,586,778 in total salary and benefit budgeted costs).
- Their FY19 actuals for salaries was \$23,334,644 (including temp costs), while their FY19 budget for salaries was \$24,692,379 (not including temps), for a positive balance in their salaries account code of \$1,357,735.

FY20 Projections

- In reviewing FY20 YTD actuals, Finance & Management projects Judiciary will have a positive balance in their retirement account of at least \$53K³.
- In the last two years Judiciary has had carryforward amounts of \$1.1M (FY18) and \$1.98M (FY19).
- Judiciary has their own Pay Act appropriation of \$1,090,441 for FY20 which is 2.1% of their total FY20 budget.

¹ There were 2 pay periods at 18.71%, 6 at 20.28%, and 18 at 21.4% - which is an effective retirement rate of 20.93%.

² Worth noting is that they had a positive balance in their FICA account code of \$42,121, which itself can more than cover that FY19 retirement impact.

³ This analysis takes YTD costs for the retirement account code (up until 1/2 pay date), projects based on remaining pay periods (including the 1.35% COLA increase in January) and controlling for DB portion of retirement population (82%)