Journal of the Senate

WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 2020

The Senate was called to order by the President.

Devotional Exercises

A moment of silence was observed in lieu of devotions.

Roll Call

The roll of the Senate was thereupon called by the Secretary, John H. Bloomer, Jr., and it appeared that the following Senators were present.

Addison District
- Senator Christopher A. Bray
- Senator Ruth Ellen Hardy

Bennington District
- Senator Brian A. Campion
- Senator Richard W. Sears, Jr.

Caledonia District
- Senator Joseph C. Benning
- Senator M. Jane Kitchel

Chittenden District
- Senator Timothy R. Ashe
- Senator Philip E. Baruth
- Senator Deborah J. Ingram
- Senator Virginia V. Lyons
- Senator Christopher A. Pearson
- Senator Michael D. Sirotkin

Essex-Orleans District
- Senator Robert A. Starr

Franklin District
- Senator Randoph D. Brock

Grand Isle District
- Senator Richard T. Mazza

Lamoille District
- Senator Richard A. Westman

Orange District
- Senator Mark A. MacDonald

Rutland District
- Senator Brian P. Collamore
- Senator Cheryl Mazzariello Hooker
- Senator James L. McNeil

Washington District
- Senator Ann E. Cummings
- Senator Andrew J. Perchlik
- Senator Anthony Pollina
Bills Passed

Senate bills of the following titles were severally read the third time and passed:

S. 243. An act relating to establishing the Emergency Service Provider Wellness Commission.

S. 301. An act relating to repealing the sunset on 30 V.S.A. § 248a.

Bill Passed in Concurrence with Proposals of Amendment

H. 947.

House bill of the following title was read the third time and passed in concurrence with proposals of amendment:

An act relating to temporary municipal tax rate provisions in response to COVID-19.

Consideration Resumed; Bill Amended; Third Reading Ordered

S. 295.

Consideration was resumed on Senate bill entitled:

An act relating to restrictions on perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances and other chemicals of concern in consumer products.

Thereupon, pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Health and Welfare?, Senators McCormack and Lyons moved to amend the recommendation of amendment of the Committee on Health and Welfare as follows:

First: In Sec. 2, 18 V.S.A. chapter 33A, by striking out § 1672 in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new § 1672 to read as follows:

§ 1672. FOOD PACKAGING

(a) A manufacturer, supplier, or distributor shall not manufacture, sell, offer for sale, distribute for sale, or distribute for use in this State a food package to which PFAS have been intentionally added in any amount.

(b) Pursuant to 3 V.S.A. chapter 25, the Department may adopt rules prohibiting a manufacturer, supplier, or distributor from selling or offering for
sale or for promotional distribution a food package or the packaging component of a food package to which bisphenols have been intentionally added in any amount greater than an incidental presence.

(1) The Department may only prohibit a manufacturer, supplier, or distributor from selling or offering for sale or for promotional distribution a food package or the packaging component of a food package in accordance with subsection (a) of this section if the Department has determined that a safer alternative is readily available in sufficient quantity and at a comparable cost and that the safer alternative performs as well as or better than bisphenols in a specific application of bisphenols to a food package or the packaging component of a food package.

(2) If the Department prohibits a manufacturer, supplier, or distributor from selling or offering for sale or for promotional distribution a food package or the packaging component of a food package in accordance with subsection (a) of this section, the prohibition shall not take effect until two years after the Department determines that a safer alternative to bisphenols is available.

(c) A manufacturer, supplier, or distributor shall not manufacture, sell, offer for sale, distribute for sale, or distribute for use in this State a food package that includes inks, dyes, pigments, adhesives, stabilizers, coatings, plasticizers, or any other additives to which phthalates have been intentionally added in any amount greater than an incidental presence.

(d) This section shall not apply to the sale or resale of used products.

Second: In Sec. 3, 18 V.S.A. chapter 33B, by striking out § 1682 in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new § 1682 to read as follows:

§ 1682. RUGS AND CARPETS

(a) A manufacturer, supplier, or distributor shall not manufacture, sell, offer for sale, distribute for sale, or distribute for use in this State a residential rug or carpet to which PFAS have been intentionally added in any amount.

(b) This section shall not apply to the sale or resale of used products.

Which was agreed to.

Thereupon, the recurring question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Health and Welfare?, was decided in the affirmative.

Thereupon, third reading of the bill was ordered.
Bill Amended; Third Reading Ordered

S. 99.

Senator White, for the Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred Senate bill entitled:

An act relating to spousal support and maintenance reform.

Reported recommending that the bill be amended by striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. 15 V.S.A. § 752 is amended to read:

§ 752. MAINTENANCE

(a) In an action under this chapter, the court may order either spouse to make maintenance payments, either rehabilitative or long term in nature, to the other spouse if it finds that the spouse seeking maintenance:

(1) lacks sufficient income or property, or both, including property apportioned in accordance with section 751 of this title, to provide for his or her reasonable needs; and

(2) is unable to support himself or herself through appropriate employment at the standard of living established during the civil marriage or is the custodian of a child of the parties.

(b) The maintenance order shall be in such amounts and for such periods of time as the court deems just, after considering all relevant factors, including:

(1) the financial resources of the party seeking maintenance, the property apportioned to the party, the party’s ability to meet his or her needs independently, and the extent to which a provision for support of a child living with the party contains a sum for that party as custodian;

(2) the time and expense necessary to acquire sufficient education or training to enable the party seeking maintenance to find appropriate employment;

(3) the standard of living established during the civil marriage;

(4) the duration of the civil marriage;

(5) the age and the physical and emotional condition of each spouse;

(6) the ability of the spouse from whom maintenance is sought to meet both his or her own reasonable needs while meeting those of the spouse seeking maintenance and those of the spouse seeking maintenance, taking into account the standard of living established during the civil marriage and the
extent to which the parties must both fairly adjust their standards of living based on the creation and maintenance of separate households;

(7) inflation with relation to the cost of living;

(8) the impact of both parties reaching the age of eligibility to receive full retirement benefits under Title II of the federal Social Security Act or the parties’ actual retirement, including any expected discrepancies in federal Social Security Retirement benefits; and

(9) the following guidelines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of marriage</th>
<th>% of the difference between parties’ gross incomes</th>
<th>Duration of alimony award as % of length of marriage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to &lt;5 years</td>
<td>0–16%</td>
<td>No alimony or short-term alimony up to one year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to &lt;10 years</td>
<td>12–29%</td>
<td>20–50% (1–5 yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to &lt;15 years</td>
<td>16–33%</td>
<td>40–60% (4–9 yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to &lt;20 years</td>
<td>20–37%</td>
<td>40–70% (6–14 yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+ years</td>
<td>24–41%</td>
<td>45% (9–20+ yrs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. 2. 15 V.S.A. § 758 is amended to read:

§ 758. REVISION OF JUDGMENT RELATING TO MAINTENANCE

On motion of either party and due notice, and upon a showing of a real, substantial, and unanticipated change of circumstances, the court may from time to time annul, vary, or modify a judgment relative to rehabilitative or long-term maintenance, whether or not such judgment relative to maintenance is based upon a stipulation or an agreement. The court may consider the remarriage of either party as a factor in whether there has been a showing of a real, substantial, and unanticipated change in circumstances. The party seeking a revision shall have the burden of proving the change in circumstances.

Sec. 3. REPEAL

2017 Acts and Resolves No. 60, Sec. 3, as amended by 2018 Acts and Resolves No. 203, Sec. 1, is repealed.

Sec. 4. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2020.

And that when so amended the bill ought to pass.
Thereupon, the bill was read the second time by title only pursuant to Rule 43, the recommendation of amendment was agreed to, and third reading of the bill was ordered.

Consideration Resumed; Bill Amended; Third Reading Ordered
S. 197.

Consideration was resumed on Senate bill entitled:
An act relating to prohibiting discrimination based on genetic information.

Thereupon, pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as recommended by the Committee on Health and Welfare?, was decided in the affirmative.

Thereupon, third reading of the bill was ordered.

Rules Suspended; Bills Messaged
On motion of Senator Ashe, the rules were suspended, and the following bills were severally ordered messaged to the House forthwith:

S. 243, S. 301, H. 947.

Adjournment

On motion of Senator Ashe, the Senate adjourned until one o’clock in the afternoon on Thursday, May 21, 2020.