Journal of the House

Thursday, September 10, 2020

At two o'clock in the afternoon the Speaker called the House to order.

Devotional Exercises

Devotional exercises were conducted by Rep. Peter Anthony of Barre City.

Senate Bill Referred

S. 352

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to making certain amendments to the Front-Line Employees Hazard Pay Grant Program

Was read and referred to the committee on Commerce and Economic Development.

Senate Bill Referred

S. 353

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to expanding the Front-Line Employees Hazard Pay Grant Program

Was read and referred to the committee on Commerce and Economic Development.

Third Reading; Bill Passed in Concurrence with Proposal of Amendment

S. 187

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to transient occupancy for health care treatment and recovery

Was taken up, read the third time and passed in concurrence with proposal of amendment.

Senate Proposal of Amendment Concurred in

H. 578

The Senate proposed to the House to amend House bill, entitled

An act relating to operator's license and privilege to operate suspensions and proof of financial responsibility

The Senate proposes to the House to amend the bill as follows:

First: By striking out all three reader assistance headings in their entireties

Second: By striking out Sec. 1, 4 V.S.A. § 1109(c)(4), in its entirety and inserting a new Sec. 1 to read as follows:

Sec. 1. [Deleted.]

<u>Third</u>: In Sec. 4, waiver of proof of financial responsibility, in subsection (a), by striking out the date "<u>January 1, 2021</u>" and inserting in lieu thereof <u>April 1, 2021</u> and, in subsection (b), by striking out the date "<u>January 1, 2021</u>" and inserting in lieu thereof <u>April 1, 2021</u>

<u>Fourth</u>: In Sec. 5, effective date, by striking out the date "<u>July 1, 2020</u>" and inserting in lieu thereof January 1, 2021

And that after passage the title of the bill be amended to read:

An act relating to proof of financial responsibility.

Which proposal of amendment was considered and concurred in.

Rules Suspended; Committee Bill; Second Reading; Third Reading Ordered

H. 969

On Motion of **Rep. McCoy of Poultney**, the rules were suspended and House bill, entitled

An act relating to making appropriations for the support of government

Appearing on the Calendar for Notice, was taken up for immediate consideration.

Rep. Toll of Danville spoke for the committee on Appropriations.

Thereupon, the bill was read a second time.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be read a 3rd time? **Rep. Toll of Danville** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be read a 3rd time? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 140. Nays, 4.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais Grad of Moretown Notte of Rutland City
Anthony of Barre City Graham of Williamstown Noyes of Wolcott

Austin of Colchester Bancroft of Westford Bartholomew of Hartland Batchelor of Derby Bates of Bennington Beck of St. Johnsbury Birong of Vergennes Bock of Chester Briglin of Thetford Brownell of Pownal Browning of Arlington Brumsted of Shelburne Burditt of West Rutland Burke of Brattleboro Campbell of St. Johnsbury Canfield of Fair Haven Carroll of Bennington Chase of Colchester Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs Christensen of Weathersfield Christie of Hartford Coffey of Guilford Colston of Winooski Conlon of Cornwall Conquest of Newbury Copeland Hanzas of Bradford Corcoran of Bennington Cordes of Lincoln Cupoli of Rutland City Demrow of Corinth Dickinson of St. Albans Town Dolan of Waitsfield Donahue of Northfield Donovan of Burlington Durfee of Shaftsbury Elder of Starksboro **Emmons of Springfield** Fagan of Rutland City Fegard of Berkshire Feltus of Lyndon Gamache of Swanton Gannon of Wilmington Giambatista of Essex Goslant of Northfield

Gregoire of Fairfield Haas of Rochester Hango of Berkshire Harrison of Chittenden Hashim of Dummerston Helm of Fair Haven Higley of Lowell Hill of Wolcott Hooper of Montpelier Hooper of Randolph Hooper of Burlington Houghton of Essex Howard of Rutland City James of Manchester Jerome of Brandon Jessup of Middlesex Killacky of South Burlington Kimbell of Woodstock Kitzmiller of Montpelier Kornheiser of Brattleboro Krowinski of Burlington LaClair of Barre Town LaLonde of South Burlington Lanpher of Vergennes Lefebvre of Newark Leffler of Enosburgh Lippert of Hinesburg Long of Newfane Macaig of Williston Marcotte of Coventry Martel of Waterford Masland of Thetford Mattos of Milton McCarthy of St. Albans City McCormack of Burlington McCoy of Poultney * McCullough of Williston McFaun of Barre Town Morgan of Milton Morris of Springfield Morrissey of Bennington Mrowicki of Putney * Murphy of Fairfax Myers of Essex

O'Brien of Tunbridge Ode of Burlington O'Sullivan of Burlington Page of Newport City Pajala of Londonderry Palasik of Milton Partridge of Windham Patt of Worcester Potter of Clarendon Pugh of South Burlington Ouimby of Concord Rachelson of Burlington Redmond of Essex Reed of Braintree Rogers of Waterville Rosenquist of Georgia Savage of Swanton Scheu of Middlebury Scheuermann of Stowe Seymour of Sutton Shaw of Pittsford Sheldon of Middlebury Sibilia of Dover Smith of New Haven Squirrell of Underhill Stevens of Waterbury Strong of Albany Sullivan of Dorset Sullivan of Burlington Taylor of Colchester Terenzini of Rutland Town Toleno of Brattleboro * Toll of Danville Toof of St. Albans Town Townsend of South Burlington Troiano of Stannard Tully of Rockingham Walz of Barre City Webb of Shelburne White of Hartford Wood of Waterbury Yacovone of Morristown Yantachka of Charlotte Young of Greensboro

Those who voted in the negative are:

Cina of Burlington

Ralph Watson of Hartland *

Nicoll of Ludlow

Norris of Shoreham

Colburn of Burlington *

Szott of Barnard *

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Brennan of Colchester

Gonzalez of Winooski

Till of Jericho

Gardner of Richmond Smith of Derby

Rep. Colburn of Burlington explained his vote as follows:

"Madam Speaker:

While I appreciate the excellent work of our Appropriations committee, I'm unable to support the budget at this time. We've missed an opportunity in our overall process to ask the wealthiest Vermonters to give back the significant tax breaks they received under the Trump administration, so we can better support low-wage, frontline workers and address the serious inequities amplified by the COVID-19 crisis."

Rep. McCoy of Poultney explained her vote as follows:

"Madam Speaker:

While I voted yes, I must express my concern about our need to research and provide funding for new software and hardware for our unemployment insurance trust fund system. Our current system's painful inequities became quite evident during this COVID pandemic."

Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

"Madam Speaker:

When you left the Chair of House Appropriations, I admit a bit of trepidation that you were leaving big shoes to fill because of what stellar work you did and how you organized that committee.

As your successor finishes work on her last budget before retiring, she deserves praise for having filled those expectation, and likewise has done an amazing job. I applaud the Chair and the entire committee for the work they did to balance the budget and do so in a caring, compassionate way. In tough times, they did tough work and my vote is an easy one to support this good work."

Rep. Szott of Barnard explained his vote as follows:

"Madam Speaker:

Despite an infusion of over one billion federal dollars, we missed a chance to create a truly transformative "people's budget." In a time of crisis, services ought to be expanded, not merely maintained. Instead we produced a "steady state" budget. Thus, once again, we have fallen short of creating a Vermont that works for all of us and I must vote no."

Rep. Toleno of Brattleboro explained his vote as follows:

"Madam Speaker:

When we left in June knowing we would have to come back to do new budget work, I confess that I worried about the overwhelming nature of what would have to be done. I shouldn't have worried: so much incredible collaboration from the House's committees and our extraordinary Appropriations Committee have made it possible. We should be proud of this work. It is responsive to the moment and to what is happening to Vermonters in the midst of deep crisis. We address needs of everyday Vermonters across the sectors and have managed to fund the rest of the year in a fiscally responsible way. We have worked to make child care more affordable, medicine more integrated in how it approaches mental health, invested in public transportation and made a major investment in Vermont's public higher education system. This balanced and thoughtful budget, in the most trying of times, is truly in the spirit of a Vermont that works for all of us."

Rep. Ralph Watson of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

"Madam Speaker:

I am grateful for the remarkable work done by the appropriations committee in creating a steady and balanced budget despite such extraordinary circumstances. However, I voted no on the budget because it does not generate any new revenues through taxes on the wealthy which would help the State proactively work to address the many social and economic inequities which were exposed during the COV19 Public Health Emergency."

Adjournment

At four o'clock and fifty-seven minutes in the afternoon, on motion of **Rep. McCoy of Poultney**, the House adjourned until tomorrow at two o'clock in the afternoon.