# Journal of the House

#### Wednesday, March 27, 2019

At one o'clock and fifty-eight minutes in the afternoon the Speaker called the House to order.

#### **Devotional Exercises**

Devotional exercises were conducted by Pastor Rosaire Bisson, Christ Community Alliance Church, Barre, VT.

#### **House Bill Introduced**

H. 543

By the committee on Corrections and Institutions

House bill, entitled

An act relating to capital construction and State bonding

Pursuant to House rule 48, the bill was placed on the Calendar for notice. Pending appearance on the Calendar for notice, carrying an appropriation, under rule 35(a), was referred to the committee on Appropriations.

#### **Senate Bill Referred**

S. 53

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to determining the proportion of health care spending allocated to primary care

Was read and referred to the committee on Health Care.

#### **Senate Bill Referred**

S. 55

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to the regulation of toxic substances and hazardous materials Was read and referred to the committee on Human Services.

#### **Senate Bill Referred**

S. 73

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to licensure of ambulatory surgical centers

Was read and referred to the committee on Health Care.

#### **Senate Bill Referred**

S. 112

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to earned good time

Was read and referred to the committee on Corrections and Institutions.

#### **Senate Bill Referred**

S. 134

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to background investigations for State employees with access to federal tax information

Was read and referred to the committee on Government Operations.

#### **Senate Bill Referred**

S. 141

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to nutritional requirements for children's meals

Was read and referred to the committee on Human Services.

#### **Senate Bill Referred**

S. 169

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to firearms procedures

Was read and referred to the committee on Judiciary.

#### **Joint Resolution Adopted in Concurrence**

J.R.S. 20

By Senator Ashe,

**J.R.S. 20.** Joint resolution relating to weekend adjournment.

#### Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, March 29, 2019, it be to meet again no later than Tuesday, April 2, 2019.

Was taken up, read and adopted in concurrence.

# Third Reading; Bill Passed H. 205

House bill, entitled

An act relating to the regulation of neonicotinoid pesticides

Was taken up, read the third time and passed.

Third Reading; Bill Passed

H. 342

House bill, entitled

An act relating to qualification for a public defender

Was taken up, read the third time and passed.

# Bill Taken Up; Consideration Interrupted

H. 439

House bill, entitled

An act relating to the Home Weatherization Assistance Program

Was taken up and pending third reading of the bill, **Reps. Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs and Yacovone of Morristown** moved to amend the bill as follows:

By striking out all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

#### Sec. 1. INCOME TAX RATES; APPROPRIATION

- (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for taxable year 2019 and after, income tax rates under 32 V.S.A. § 5822(a)(1)–(5), after taking into consideration any inflation adjustments to taxable income as required by 32 V.S.A. § 5822(b)(2), shall be changed so that taxable income that was subject to a rate of 8.75 percent in taxable year 2018 shall be taxed at the rate of 9.15 percent instead, in order to fund weatherization program under chapter 25 of Title 33. When preparing the Vermont Statutes Annotated for publication, the Office of Legislative Council shall revise the tables in 32 V.S.A. § 5822(a)(1)–(5) to reflect the changes to the tax rates and tax brackets made in this section.
- (b) For fiscal year 2020 and after, it is the intent of the General Assembly to allocate \$5 million in income tax revenue raised in this bill to the existing recipients of the Home Weatherization Assistance Program, and to allocate an additional \$5 million in income tax revenue to expanding the Home

Weatherization Assistance Program to benefit individuals with up to 120 percent of the area median income, or 120 percent of the State median income, whichever is higher, pursuant to 33 V.S.A. § 2502.

Sec. 2. 32 V.S.A. § 435 is amended to read:

# § 435. GENERAL FUND

- (a) There is established the General Fund which that shall be the basic operating fund of the State. The General Fund shall be used to finance all expenditures for which no special revenues have otherwise been provided by law.
- (b) The General Fund shall be composed of revenues from the following sources:

\* \* \*

(5) <u>Individual 98.9 percent of the</u> income taxes levied pursuant to chapter 151 of this title;

\* \* \*

Sec. 3. 33 V.S.A. § 2501 is amended to read:

§ 2501. HOME WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE FUND

\* \* \*

(b) The Fund shall be composed of the receipts from the gross receipts tax on retail sales of fuel imposed by section 2503 of this title, 1.1 percent of the income taxes levied pursuant to 32 V.S.A. chapter 151, such funds as may be allocated from the Oil Overcharge Fund, such funds as may be allocated from the federal Low Income Energy Assistance Program, and such other funds as may be appropriated by the General Assembly.

\* \* \*

# Sec. 4. 33 V.S.A. § 2502(b)(3) is amended to read:

(3) The Director, in collaboration with the weatherization service providers and other stakeholders, shall develoop the State program so that it will include:

\* \* \*

- (B) With regard to multi-family buildings, requiring either of the following requirements to be met:
- (i) At least 25 percent or more of the tenants in the building are eligible for the Program.

- (ii) At least 50 percent of the units are weatherization affordable, and at least one tenant of the building has applied for the Program and has been determined to be eligible. For purposes of this subdivision, "weatherization affordable" means a unit having a rent that is established at less than 30 percent of the income level established by computing 80 120 percent of the area median income level or 80 120 percent of the State median income level, whichever is higher, for the relevant household size. Relevant household size means the number of bedrooms in the unit, plus one.
- (C) Establishing Program eligibility levels at 80 120 percent of the area median income, or 80 120 percent of the State median income, whichever is higher. Subject to the priority under section 2608 of this title given to participants in the Home Heating Fuel Assistance Program, the State program shall, when weighing factors to assign priority to buildings or units eligible for weatherization assistance, assign the greatest weight to those buildings and units that require the highest energy usage.

\* \* \*

#### Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATES

- (a) This section shall take effect on passage.
- (b) Notwithstanding 1 V.S.A. § 214, Sec. 1 (income tax rates; appropriation) shall take effect retroactively on January 1, 2019 and the income tax rate change shall apply to taxable year 2019 and after.
- (c) Secs. 2–3 (income tax allocation) and 4 (eligibility levels) shall take effect July 1, 2019 and apply to fiscal year 2020 and after.

#### **Recess**

At two o'clock and fourteen minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker declared a recess until the fall of the gavel.

At three o'clock and eight minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker called the House to order.

# Consideration Resumed; Bill Amended; Third Reading; Bill Passed H. 439

Consideration resumed on House bill, entitled

An act relating to the Home Weatherization Assistance Program

Thereupon, the amendment as offered by **Reps. Chestnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs and Yacovone of Morristown** was disagreed to on a division of Yeas 15, and Nays 110.

Pending the third reading of the bill, **Rep. Mattos of Milton** moved to amend the bill as follows:

<u>First</u>: In Sec. 1 (fuel tax), in the section heading, after the word "FUEL" but before the word "TAX" by inserting the word "<u>CARBON</u>"

Second: By inserting a Sec. 1a to read as follows:

#### Sec. 1a. CONFORMING REVISIONS

When preparing the Vermont Statutes Annotated for publication, the Office of Legislative Council shall make the following revisions throughout the statutes as needed for consistency with Sec. 1 of this act, as long as the revisions have no other effect on the meaning of the affected statutes:

- (1) replace references to the "fuel tax" in 33 V.S.A. § 2503 with "fuel carbon tax" in Title 33; and
- (2) make revisions that are substantially similar to those described in subdivision (1) of this subsection in other titles of the Vermont Statutes Annotated.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Mattos of Milton? **Rep. McCoy of Poultney** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Mattos of Milton? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 38. Nays, 102.

#### Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford Batchelor of Derby Beck of St. Johnsbury * Brennan of Colchester Burditt of West Rutland Canfield of Fair Haven Cupoli of Rutland City Dickinson of St. Albans	Graham of Williamstown Gregoire of Fairfield Hango of Berkshire Harrison of Chittenden Helm of Fair Haven Higley of Lowell LaClair of Barre Town Leffler of Enosburgh	Morrissey of Bennington Page of Newport City Palasik of Milton Quimby of Concord Rosenquist of Georgia Scheuermann of Stowe Seymour of Sutton Shaw of Pittsford
Burditt of West Rutland	Helm of Fair Haven	
Canfield of Fair Haven	Higley of Lowell	1
Cupoli of Rutland City	LaClair of Barre Town	Seymour of Sutton
Dickinson of St. Albans	Leffler of Enosburgh	Shaw of Pittsford
Town	Martel of Waterford	Smith of Derby
Donahue of Northfield	Mattos of Milton	Smith of New Haven
Fagan of Rutland City	McCoy of Poultney	Strong of Albany
Gamache of Swanton	McFaun of Barre Town	Terenzini of Rutland Town
Goslant of Northfield	Morgan of Milton	Toof of St. Albans Town

# Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais Anthony of Barre City	Feltus of Lyndon Forguites of Springfield	Notte of Rutland City Noyes of Wolcott
Austin of Colchester	Gannon of Wilmington	Ode of Burlington
Bartholomew of Hartland	Gardner of Richmond	O'Sullivan of Burlington
Bates of Bennington	Giambatista of Essex	Pajala of Londonderry

Birong of Vergennes **Bock of Chester** Briglin of Thetford Brownell of Pownal Browning of Arlington Brumsted of Shelburne Burke of Brattleboro Campbell of St. Johnsbury Carroll of Bennington Chase of Colchester Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs Christensen of Weathersfield Christie of Hartford Cina of Burlington Coffey of Guilford Colburn of Burlington Colston of Winooski Conlon of Cornwall Conquest of Newbury Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford Corcoran of Bennington Cordes of Lincoln Demrow of Corinth Dolan of Waitsfield Durfee of Shaftsbury Elder of Starksboro **Emmons of Springfield** Fegard of Berkshire

Grad of Moretown Haas of Rochester Hashim of Dummerston Hill of Wolcott Hooper of Montpelier Hooper of Randolph Hooper of Burlington Houghton of Essex James of Manchester Jerome of Brandon Jessup of Middlesex Jickling of Randolph Killacky of South Burlington Kimbell of Woodstock Kitzmiller of Montpelier Kornheiser of Brattleboro Krowinski of Burlington LaLonde of South Burlington Lanpher of Vergennes Long of Newfane Macaig of Williston Marcotte of Coventry Masland of Thetford McCarthy of St. Albans City McCormack of Burlington McCullough of Williston Mrowicki of Putney Murphy of Fairfax Myers of Essex Nicoll of Ludlow

Partridge of Windham Patt of Worcester Potter of Clarendon Pugh of South Burlington Rachelson of Burlington Ralph of Hartland Redmond of Essex Rogers of Waterville Scheu of Middlebury Sheldon of Middlebury Sibilia of Dover Squirrell of Underhill Stevens of Waterbury Sullivan of Dorset Sullivan of Burlington Szott of Barnard Taylor of Colchester Till of Jericho \* Toleno of Brattleboro Toll of Danville Townsend of South Burlington Trieber of Rockingham Troiano of Stannard Walz of Barre City Webb of Shelburne Wood of Waterbury Yacovone of Morristown Yantachka of Charlotte Young of Greensboro \*

# Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Donovan of Burlington	Lefebvre of Newark	O'Brien of Tunbridge
Gonzalez of Winooski	Lippert of Hinesburg	Savage of Swanton
Howard of Rutland City	Norris of Shoreham	White of Hartford

#### **Rep. Beck of St. Johnsbury** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

Vermonters deserve transparency. The fuel tax is a tax on carbon heating fuels, with the revenue used to reduce carbon usage. It is a carbon tax whether it is convenient to say it or not."

#### **Rep. Till of Jericho** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

I vote no. This is not a carbon tax. A carbon tax is based on the amount of carbon released when a fuel is burned. Here we have tax based on gallons

purchased. Propane, diesel, and kerosene each release different amounts of carbon but are taxed the same in this bill.

The purpose of a carbon tax is to alter behavior. The purpose of this bill is to alter buildings to reduce money spent on heating and cooling and to improve people's health."

#### **Rep. Young of Greensboro** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

Not in my wildest dreams did I ever imagine so many of my Republican colleagues voting to create a carbon tax."

Pending the third reading of the bill, **Rep. Graham of Williamstown** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 1 (33 V.S.A. § 2503), in subdivision (a)(1), after the words "at the rate of \$0.02 \$0.04 per gallon", by inserting the following:

; provided, however, that dyed diesel fuel delivered to a farm business regulated under 6 V.S.A. chapter 215 or to a forestry operation, as that term is defined under 10 V.S.A. § 2602, shall be exempt from the tax under this subdivision

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Graham of Williamstown? **Rep. McCoy of Poultney** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Graham of Williamstown? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 74. Nays, 66.

# Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Gannon of Wilmington Goslant of Northfield Graham of Williamstown Gregoire of Fairfield Hango of Berkshire Harrison of Chittenden Hashim of Dummerston Helm of Fair Haven Higley of Lowell Hill of Wolcott Hooper of Randolph Jerome of Brandon Jessup of Middlesex Jickling of Randolph Kimbell of Woodstock LaClair of Barre Town Leffler of Enosburgh

Nicoll of Ludlow Norris of Shoreham Notte of Rutland City Noves of Wolcott O'Brien of Tunbridge Page of Newport City Paiala of Londonderry Palasik of Milton Potter of Clarendon Quimby of Concord Rogers of Waterville Rosenquist of Georgia Scheuermann of Stowe Seymour of Sutton Shaw of Pittsford Sibilia of Dover Smith of Derby

Demrow of Corinth Marcotte of Coventry Smith of New Haven Dickinson of St. Albans Martel of Waterford Strong of Albany Sullivan of Dorset Town Mattos of Milton Donahue of Northfield McCov of Poultney Szott of Barnard Fagan of Rutland City McFaun of Barre Town Terenzini of Rutland Town Fegard of Berkshire Morgan of Milton Toof of St. Albans Town Feltus of Lyndon Morrissey of Bennington Yacovone of Morristown Forguites of Springfield Murphy of Fairfax Gamache of Swanton Myers of Essex

# Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais Gardner of Richmond Partridge of Windham Anthony of Barre City Giambatista of Essex Patt of Worcester Austin of Colchester Grad of Moretown Pugh of South Burlington Bartholomew of Hartland \* Rachelson of Burlington Haas of Rochester Bates of Bennington Hooper of Montpelier Ralph of Hartland Briglin of Thetford Hooper of Burlington Redmond of Essex Browning of Arlington Houghton of Essex Scheu of Middlebury Burke of Brattleboro James of Manchester Sheldon of Middlebury Carroll of Bennington Killacky of South Burlington Squirrell of Underhill Christensen of Weathersfield Kitzmiller of Montpelier Stevens of Waterbury Christie of Hartford Kornheiser of Brattleboro Sullivan of Burlington Cina of Burlington Krowinski of Burlington Taylor of Colchester Coffey of Guilford LaLonde of South Till of Jericho Colburn of Burlington Burlington Toleno of Brattleboro Colston of Winooski Lanpher of Vergennes Townsend of South Conlon of Cornwall Long of Newfane Burlington Copeland-Hanzas of Macaig of Williston Trieber of Rockingham Masland of Thetford Bradford Troiano of Stannard Corcoran of Bennington McCarthy of St. Albans City Walz of Barre City Dolan of Waitsfield McCormack of Burlington Webb of Shelburne Durfee of Shaftsbury McCullough of Williston Wood of Waterbury Elder of Starksboro Mrowicki of Putney Yantachka of Charlotte **Emmons of Springfield** Ode of Burlington O'Sullivan of Burlington

#### Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Donovan of Burlington	Lefebvre of Newark	Toll of Danville
Gonzalez of Winooski	Lippert of Hinesburg	White of Hartford
Howard of Rutland City	Savage of Swanton	Young of Greensboro

#### **Rep. Bartholomew of Hartford** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

I've served on the Agriculture and Forestry Committee for quite a few years. Therefore, I clearly understand that our farmers are struggling and was seriously tempted to vote for this amendment. However, this is an important bill that begins to address the challenges presented by climate change. It's a

small step to address the easiest way to reduce the amount of fossil fuel we use. This is fuel that is needlessly wasted due to our housing inventory that is in desperate need of weatherization. Our greatest fuel efficiency comes from fuel we don't use. The time to find easy and inexpensive solutions to climate change passed long ago. The path forward in finding a solution will not be easy and will touch everyone."

#### Rep. Beck of St. Johnsbury explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

Vermont's farmers and foresters are on the ropes. They will be heartened to know we've reduced their tax burden."

#### **Rep. Burditt of West Rutland** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

I find it interesting 7-8 years ago we passed a Working Landscapes bill that in part gave relief to the forestry industry. Today we are potentially revoking part of that relief."

#### **Rep. Campbell of St. Johnsbury** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

I am sympathetic to the needs of farmers, foresters, and the construction industry. As this is not a heating use, and the intent of the tax is to reduce heating use, and also in the spirit of compromise, I vote to support this amendment."

Pending the third reading of the bill, **Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 1 (33 V.S.A. § 2503), by inserting a subsection (e) to read as follows:

(e) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the rates of taxation in subsections (a)(1)-(3) of this section shall not be increased any more than once every five years.

and that after passage the title of the bill be amended to read: "An act relating to weatherization funded through carbon taxation"

**Rep. Bartholomew of Hartland** raised a Point of Order in that the amendment would bind a future legislature which the Speaker ruled well taken pursuant to Sec. 13(5) of Masons Manual of Legislative Procedure.

Pending the question the third reading of the bill, **Rep. Browning of Arlington** moved to amend the bill as follows:

By striking out Sec. 1 (33 V.S.A. § 2503) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. 33 V.S.A. § 2503 is amended to read:

#### § 2503. FUEL TAX

- (a)(1) There is imposed a tax on the retail sale of heating oil, propane, kerosene, and other dyed diesel fuel delivered to a residence or business, at the rate of \$0.02 per gallon.
- (2) There is imposed a gross receipts tax of  $0.75 \ 0.875$  percent on the retail sale of natural gas and 1.125 percent on the retail sale of coal.

\* \* \*

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington? **Rep. Browning of Arlington** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 16. Nays, 122.

#### Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford	Fegard of Berkshire	McFaun of Barre Town
Brownell of Pownal	Feltus of Lyndon	Rosenquist of Georgia
Browning of Arlington *	Higley of Lowell	Sullivan of Dorset
Brumsted of Shelburne	LaClair of Barre Town	Young of Greensboro
Demrow of Corinth	Marcotte of Coventry	
Donahue of Northfield	Martel of Waterford	

#### Those who voted in the negative are:

Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs Christensen of Weathersfield Christie of Hartford Cina of Burlington Coffey of Guilford Colburn of Burlington Colston of Winooski Conlon of Cornwall Conquest of Newbury Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford Corcoran of Bennington Cordes of Lincoln Cupoli of Rutland City Dickinson of St. Albans Town Dolan of Waitsfield Durfee of Shaftsbury Elder of Starksboro **Emmons of Springfield** Fagan of Rutland City Forguites of Springfield Gamache of Swanton Gannon of Wilmington

Jerome of Brandon Jessup of Middlesex Jickling of Randolph Killacky of South Burlington Kimbell of Woodstock Kitzmiller of Montpelier Kornheiser of Brattleboro Krowinski of Burlington LaLonde of South Burlington Lanpher of Vergennes Leffler of Enosburgh Long of Newfane Macaig of Williston Masland of Thetford Mattos of Milton McCarthy of St. Albans City McCormack of Burlington McCoy of Poultney McCullough of Williston Morgan of Milton Morrissey of Bennington Murphy of Fairfax Myers of Essex Nicoll of Ludlow Notte of Rutland City

Scheuermann of Stowe Seymour of Sutton \* Shaw of Pittsford Sheldon of Middlebury Sibilia of Dover Smith of Derby Squirrell of Underhill Stevens of Waterbury Sullivan of Burlington Szott of Barnard Taylor of Colchester Terenzini of Rutland Town Till of Jericho Toleno of Brattleboro Toll of Danville Toof of St. Albans Town Townsend of South Burlington Trieber of Rockingham Troiano of Stannard Walz of Barre City Webb of Shelburne Wood of Waterbury Yacovone of Morristown Yantachka of Charlotte

# Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Donovan of Burlington
Gonzalez of Winooski
Howard of Rutland City
Lefebvre of Newark

Lippert of Hinesburg Mrowicki of Putney Norris of Shoreham Savage of Swanton Smith of New Haven Strong of Albany White of Hartford

#### **Rep. Browning of Arlington** explained her vote as follows:

# "Madam Speaker:

I vote yes for an increase in funding for low income home weatherization using 50% increase in the fuel tax. This is a common sense and balanced compromise between no increase and a 100% increase in that tax."

# **Rep. Seymour of Sutton** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

Be it a 1 cent increase or a 2 cent increase, the people of Vermont have still been taxed too much."

Pending the third reading of the bill, **Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh** moved to amend the bill as follows:

By striking out Sec. 3 (effective dates) in its entirety and by inserting Secs. 3 and 4 to read as follows:

#### Sec. 3. 33 V.S.A. § 2503(a) is amended to read:

- (a)(1) There is imposed a tax on the retail sale of heating oil, propane, kerosene, and other dyed diesel fuel delivered to a residence or business, at the rate of \$0.04 \$0.02 per gallon.
- (2) There is imposed a gross receipts tax of  $1.0 \ 0.75$  percent on the retail sale of natural gas and 1.5 percent on the retail sale of coal.

\* \* \*

#### Sec. 4. EFFECTIVE DATES

This act shall take effect on July 1, 2019, except for Sec. 3 (rates) that shall take effect on July 1, 2022.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh? Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Leffler of Enosburgh? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 19. Nays, 117.

#### Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford	Donahue of Northfield	Leffler of Enosburgh
Beck of St. Johnsbury	Goslant of Northfield	McCoy of Poultney
Brennan of Colchester	Graham of Williamstown	McFaun of Barre Town
Brownell of Pownal	Harrison of Chittenden	Quimby of Concord
Browning of Arlington	Helm of Fair Haven	Seymour of Sutton
Canfield of Fair Haven	Higley of Lowell	
Cupoli of Rutland City	LaClair of Barre Town	

#### Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais Anthony of Barre City Austin of Colchester Bartholomew of Hartland Batchelor of Derby Bates of Bennington Birong of Vergennes Bock of Chester Briglin of Thetford Brumsted of Shelburne Burditt of West Butland	Gannon of Wilmington Gardner of Richmond Giambatista of Essex Grad of Moretown Gregoire of Fairfield Haas of Rochester Hango of Berkshire Hashim of Dummerston Hill of Wolcott Hooper of Montpelier	O'Sullivan of Burlington Page of Newport City Pajala of Londonderry Palasik of Milton Partridge of Windham Patt of Worcester Pugh of South Burlington Rachelson of Burlington Ralph of Hartland Redmond of Essex
Burditt of West Rutland	Hooper of Randolph	Rogers of Waterville

Burke of Brattleboro Campbell of St. Johnsbury Carroll of Bennington Chase of Colchester Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs Christensen of Weathersfield Christie of Hartford Cina of Burlington Coffey of Guilford Colburn of Burlington Colston of Winooski Conlon of Cornwall Conquest of Newbury Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford Corcoran of Bennington Cordes of Lincoln Demrow of Corinth Dickinson of St. Albans Town Dolan of Waitsfield Durfee of Shaftsbury Elder of Starksboro **Emmons of Springfield** Fagan of Rutland City Fegard of Berkshire Feltus of Lyndon Forguites of Springfield Gamache of Swanton

Hooper of Burlington Houghton of Essex James of Manchester Jerome of Brandon Jessup of Middlesex Jickling of Randolph Killacky of South Burlington Kimbell of Woodstock Kornheiser of Brattleboro Krowinski of Burlington LaLonde of South Burlington Lanpher of Vergennes Long of Newfane Macaig of Williston Marcotte of Coventry Masland of Thetford Mattos of Milton McCarthy of St. Albans City McCormack of Burlington McCullough of Williston Morgan of Milton Morrissey of Bennington Murphy of Fairfax Myers of Essex Nicoll of Ludlow Norris of Shoreham Notte of Rutland City Noyes of Wolcott O'Brien of Tunbridge Ode of Burlington

Rosenquist of Georgia Scheu of Middlebury Scheuermann of Stowe Shaw of Pittsford Sheldon of Middlebury Sibilia of Dover Smith of Derby Smith of New Haven Squirrell of Underhill Stevens of Waterbury Sullivan of Dorset Sullivan of Burlington Szott of Barnard Taylor of Colchester Terenzini of Rutland Town Till of Jericho Toleno of Brattleboro Toll of Danville Toof of St. Albans Town Townsend of South Burlington Trieber of Rockingham Troiano of Stannard Walz of Barre City Webb of Shelburne Yacovone of Morristown Yantachka of Charlotte Young of Greensboro

#### Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Donovan of Burlington Gonzalez of Winooski Howard of Rutland City Kitzmiller of Montpelier Lefebvre of Newark Lippert of Hinesburg Martel of Waterford Mrowicki of Putney Potter of Clarendon Savage of Swanton

Strong of Albany White of Hartford Wood of Waterbury

Thereupon, the bill was read the third time and passed.

# Third Reading; Bill Passed H. 531

House bill, entitled

An act relating to Vermont's child care and early learning system Was taken up, read the third time.

Pending the question, Shall the bill pass? **Rep. Pugh of South Burlington** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 133. Nays, 0.

#### Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais Anthony of Barre City Austin of Colchester Bancroft of Westford Bartholomew of Hartland Batchelor of Derby Bates of Bennington Beck of St. Johnsbury Birong of Vergennes **Bock of Chester** Briglin of Thetford Brownell of Pownal Browning of Arlington Brumsted of Shelburne Burditt of West Rutland Burke of Brattleboro Campbell of St. Johnsbury Canfield of Fair Haven Carroll of Bennington Chase of Colchester Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs Christensen of Weathersfield Cina of Burlington Coffey of Guilford Colburn of Burlington Colston of Winooski Conlon of Cornwall Conquest of Newbury Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford Corcoran of Bennington Cordes of Lincoln Cupoli of Rutland City Demrow of Corinth Dickinson of St. Albans Town Dolan of Waitsfield Donahue of Northfield Durfee of Shaftsbury Elder of Starksboro **Emmons of Springfield** Fagan of Rutland City Fegard of Berkshire

Gamache of Swanton Gannon of Wilmington Giambatista of Essex Goslant of Northfield Grad of Moretown Graham of Williamstown Gregoire of Fairfield Haas of Rochester Hango of Berkshire Harrison of Chittenden Hashim of Dummerston Helm of Fair Haven Higley of Lowell Hill of Wolcott Hooper of Montpelier Hooper of Randolph Hooper of Burlington Houghton of Essex James of Manchester Jerome of Brandon Jessup of Middlesex \* Jickling of Randolph Killacky of South Burlington Kimbell of Woodstock Kornheiser of Brattleboro Krowinski of Burlington LaClair of Barre Town LaLonde of South Burlington Lanpher of Vergennes Leffler of Enosburgh Long of Newfane Macaig of Williston Marcotte of Coventry Masland of Thetford Mattos of Milton McCarthy of St. Albans City McCormack of Burlington McCoy of Poultney McCullough of Williston McFaun of Barre Town Morgan of Milton Morrissey of Bennington Murphy of Fairfax

Notte of Rutland City Noves of Wolcott O'Brien of Tunbridge Ode of Burlington \* O'Sullivan of Burlington Page of Newport City Pajala of Londonderry Palasik of Milton Partridge of Windham Patt of Worcester Pugh of South Burlington \* Quimby of Concord Rachelson of Burlington Ralph of Hartland Redmond of Essex Rogers of Waterville Rosenquist of Georgia Scheu of Middlebury Scheuermann of Stowe Sevmour of Sutton Shaw of Pittsford Sheldon of Middlebury Sibilia of Dover Smith of Derby Smith of New Haven Squirrell of Underhill Stevens of Waterbury Sullivan of Dorset Sullivan of Burlington Szott of Barnard Taylor of Colchester Terenzini of Rutland Town Till of Jericho Toleno of Brattleboro Toll of Danville Toof of St. Albans Town Townsend of South Burlington Trieber of Rockingham Troiano of Stannard Walz of Barre City Webb of Shelburne Yacovone of Morristown Yantachka of Charlotte

Feltus of Lyndon Myers of Essex Young of Greensboro Forguites of Springfield Nicoll of Ludlow \*

Norris of Shoreham

Those who voted in the negative are: none

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Brennan of Colchester Kitzmiller of Montpelier Savage of Swanton Christie of Hartford Lefebvre of Newark Strong of Albany Donovan of Burlington Lippert of Hinesburg White of Hartford Gardner of Richmond Martel of Waterford Wood of Waterbury Gonzalez of Winooski Mrowicki of Putney Howard of Rutland City Potter of Clarendon

Rep. Jessup of Middlesex explained her vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

H.531 addresses three important areas. First, it expands high quality and affordable childcare slots. Second, it incentivizes those who care for our youngest Vermonters to stay in the field. And third, it allows parents and guardians to return to the workforce. In sum, H.531 exemplifies 'doing well by doing good.'"

### **Rep. Nicoll of Ludlow** explained his vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

I vote yes today for childcare providers and small businesses throughout our state."

#### **Rep. Ode of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

Passage of this childcare bill is a 'win' for Vermont. It is essential for continued economic development. It helps businesses, small and large. It supports parents and children. It is important to our workforce. And it sends a signal to young families that Vermont strives to continue to be a healthy place to live and to raise a family."

#### **Rep. Pugh of South Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

#### "Madam Speaker:

There would be one more enthusiastic yes if the representative from Waterbury, one of the bill reporters, had been able to be here this evening."

#### Third Reading; Bill Passed

H. 513

House bill, entitled

An act relating to broadband deployment throughout Vermont

Was taken up, read the third time and passed.

Third Reading; Bill Passed

H. 526

House bill, entitled

An act relating to town clerk recording fees and town restoration and preservation reserve funds

Was taken up, read the third time and passed.

Third Reading; Bill Passed

H. 536

House bill, entitled

An act relating to education finance

Was taken up, read the third time and passed.

**Action on Bill Postponed** 

H. 524

House bill, entitled

An act relating to health insurance and the individual mandate

Was taken up and pending the reading of the report of the committee on Health Care, on motion of **Rep. Houghton of Essex**, action on the bill was postponed until March 28, 2019.

#### **Action on Bill Postponed**

S. 14

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to extending the moratorium on home health agency certificates of need

Was taken up and pending the reading of the report of the committee on Human Services, on motion of **Rep. Nicoll of Ludlow**, action on the bill was postponed until March 28, 2019.

# Bill Amended; Read Third Time; Bill Passed H. 533

House bill, entitled

An act relating to workforce development

Was taken up and pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Shaw of Pittsford** moved to amend the bill as follows:

By adding a Sec. 12a to read:

#### Sec. 12a. CORRECTIONS; WORKFORCE TRAINING

- (a)(1) On or before October 10, 2019, the Department of Corrections and the Department of Labor shall execute a memorandum of understanding regarding a standardized program of education and training for all new and existing probation and parole officers that includes components related to:
- (A) minimizing barriers for offenders to obtaining and maintaining employment; and
- (B) minimizing the impact of program and supervision requirements on the offender's employment, including monitoring and facilitating compliance with Department of Corrections case plan goals based on best practices and consistent with public safety.
- (2) The Departments shall provide written notice when the memorandum of understanding is executed to the chairs of the House Committees on Commerce and Economic Development and on Corrections and Institutions and to the Senate Committees on Economic Development, Housing and General Affairs and on Institutions.
- (3) The Departments shall ensure that all incumbent probation and parole officers receive the education and training under the program on or before July 1, 2020.
- (b) The Department of Corrections shall collaborate with the Department of Motor Vehicles and other partners as necessary to ensure that a sentenced inmate is provided with at least one form of government-issued identification, not to include an inmate identification card, upon release from incarceration.

#### (c) Reports.

(1) On or before August 15, 2019, the Departments of Corrections and Labor shall report to the Joint Legislative Justice Oversight Committee concerning the Departments' progress towards developing the memorandum of understanding as required by this section.

(2) On or before December 15, 2020, the Departments of Corrections and Labor shall report to the House Committees on Commerce and Economic Development and on Corrections and Institutions and to the Senate Committees on Economic Development, Housing and General Affairs and on Institutions concerning the implementation of this section.

Which was agreed to. Thereupon, the bill was read the third time.

Pending the question, Shall the bill pass? **Rep. Marcotte of Coventry** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 124. Nays, 0.

#### Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais Anthony of Barre City Austin of Colchester Bancroft of Westford Bartholomew of Hartland Batchelor of Derby Bates of Bennington Beck of St. Johnsbury Birong of Vergennes **Bock of Chester** Briglin of Thetford Brownell of Pownal Browning of Arlington Brumsted of Shelburne Burditt of West Rutland Burke of Brattleboro Campbell of St. Johnsbury Canfield of Fair Haven Carroll of Bennington Chase of Colchester Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs Christensen of Weathersfield Coffey of Guilford Colburn of Burlington Colston of Winooski Conlon of Cornwall Conquest of Newbury Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford Corcoran of Bennington Cordes of Lincoln Cupoli of Rutland City Demrow of Corinth Dickinson of St. Albans

Forguites of Springfield Gamache of Swanton Gannon of Wilmington Giambatista of Essex Goslant of Northfield Grad of Moretown Graham of Williamstown Haas of Rochester Hango of Berkshire Harrison of Chittenden Hashim of Dummerston Helm of Fair Haven Higley of Lowell Hill of Wolcott Hooper of Montpelier Hooper of Randolph Hooper of Burlington Houghton of Essex James of Manchester Jerome of Brandon Jessup of Middlesex Jickling of Randolph Killacky of South Burlington Kimbell of Woodstock Kornheiser of Brattleboro Krowinski of Burlington LaClair of Barre Town Lanpher of Vergennes Leffler of Enosburgh Long of Newfane Macaig of Williston Marcotte of Coventry Mattos of Milton

McCarthy of St. Albans City

McCormack of Burlington

Norris of Shoreham Notte of Rutland City Noyes of Wolcott Ode of Burlington O'Sullivan of Burlington Page of Newport City Pajala of Londonderry Palasik of Milton Partridge of Windham Patt of Worcester Pugh of South Burlington **Quimby of Concord** Rachelson of Burlington Ralph of Hartland Redmond of Essex Rogers of Waterville Rosenquist of Georgia Scheu of Middlebury Scheuermann of Stowe Seymour of Sutton Shaw of Pittsford Sheldon of Middlebury Sibilia of Dover Smith of New Haven Squirrell of Underhill Stevens of Waterbury Sullivan of Dorset Sullivan of Burlington Taylor of Colchester Terenzini of Rutland Town Till of Jericho Toleno of Brattleboro Toll of Danville Toof of St. Albans Town Townsend of South

Town	McCoy of Poultney	Burlington
Dolan of Waitsfield	McCullough of Williston	Troiano of Stannard
Durfee of Shaftsbury	McFaun of Barre Town	Walz of Barre City
Elder of Starksboro	Morgan of Milton	Webb of Shelburne
Emmons of Springfield	Morrissey of Bennington	Yacovone of Morristown
Fagan of Rutland City	Murphy of Fairfax	Yantachka of Charlotte
Fegard of Berkshire	Myers of Essex	Young of Greensboro
Feltus of Lyndon	Nicoll of Ludlow	

Those who voted in the negative are: none

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Brennan of Colchester	Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Savage of Swanton
Christie of Hartford	LaLonde of South	Smith of Derby
Cina of Burlington	Burlington	Strong of Albany
Donahue of Northfield	Lefebvre of Newark	Szott of Barnard
Donovan of Burlington	Lippert of Hinesburg	Trieber of Rockingham
Gardner of Richmond	Martel of Waterford	White of Hartford
Gonzalez of Winooski	Masland of Thetford	Wood of Waterbury
Gregoire of Fairfield	Mrowicki of Putney	·
Howard of Rutland City	O'Brien of Tunbridge	
•	Potter of Clarendon	

# Adjournment

At six o'clock and fifty-two minutes in the evening, on motion of **Rep. McCoy of Poultney**, the House adjourned until tomorrow at ten o'clock in the forenoon.