	NS - PROGRAM PERF									
AGENCY NAME:	Secretary of State's Office									
DEPARTMENT NAME:										
DIVISION NAME:	Corporations/Business Service	ces Division								
PRIMARY APPROPRIATION #	2230013000									
PROGRAM NAME	Corporations									
PROGRAM NUMBER (if used)	29600									
FY 2019 Appropriation \$\$	\$ 756,294.00									
udget Amounts in Primary appropriation not related to										
this program:	\$ -									
	/	APPROPRIATION #								
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	s -									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	s -									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:										
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:										
TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET FY 2019		n/a								
		11/4	Population-Level Outcomes Drop Down (scroll and select):							
POPULATION-LEVEL OUTCOME:	(10) Vermont's State Infrast	ructure meets the	(scroll down and select)							
	needs of Vermonters, the ed	conomy and the	(1) Vermont has a prosperous economy. (2) Vermonters are healthy.							
	environment.		 (3) Vermont's environment is clean and sustainable. (4) Vermont is a safe place to live. 							
			 (5) Vermont's families are safe, nurturing, stable, and supported. (6) Vermont's children and young people achieve their potential. 							
			(7) Vermont's elders live with dignity in settings they prefer.							
			 (8) Vermonters with disbalilities live in dignity in settings they prefer. (9) Vermont has open, effective, and inclusive government. 							
			(10) Vermont's State Infrastructure meets the needs of Vermonters, the economy and the envir	onment.						
POPULATION-LEVEL INDICATOR:	Business renewals corporati	ons/business	An Indicator is: A measurable condition of well-being for children, adults, familie	is.						
	services revenue are measure		communities. Examples: violent crime rate; median house price; unemployment i	rate; %						
	business climate and comme	rcial retention rate.	of electric generation from renewable sources; % registered voters voting in gene	oral						
	Number of online transactions	s evaluates the ease	election; % structurally deficient bridges; etc. Not all performance measures have measurable Indicators, although the performance measure may well inform the u	9 Itimate						
	in which businesses can inter	ract with the State.	Outcome and/or the state of the Outcome	umato						
	l									
					Pe	formance Me	asure Data		Fiscal Year)	1
								2018 (As reported	2018	2019
			Performance Measures Types (scroll and select):		2015	2016	2017	last year)	Projection	Forecas
Performance Measure A:			(scroll down and select)					,		
	Number of business renewa	als.	 How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM) 	27	48,630	51,654	54,825	50,000	55,000	58,00
Type of PM A:	3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.		Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)							
								2018 (As		
					2015	0010	2017	reported	2018 Projection	2019 Earona
Derfermence Messure Pr			(scroll down and select)		2015	2016	2017	reported last year)	2018 Projection	
Performance Measure B:	Corporations/Business Son	vice Revenue	(scroll down and select) 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)					last year)	Projection	Forecas
	Corporations/Business Ser 1. How much did we do? (a.		How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) Arrow well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	28		2016 6,122,754	2017 7,000,000		Projection	Forecas
	Corporations/Business Ser 1. How much did we do? (a.		1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)	28				last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773	Forecas 7,000,00
			How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) Arrow well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	28	5,936,069	6,122,754	7,000,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported	Projection 6,441,773 2018	Forecas 7,000,00 2019
Type of PM B:			LHow much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) Alow weld did we do? (a.k.a. quift) or efficiency (Better PM) S. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	_28				last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773	Forecas 7,000,00
		.k.a. quantity or		28	5,936,069	6,122,754	7,000,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported	Projection 6,441,773 2018	Forecas 7,000,00 2019
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa transactions.	.k.a. quantity or actions of all		28	2015	6,122,754	7,000,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported	Projection 6,441,773 2018	Forecas 7,000,00 2019
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa	.k.a. quantity or actions of all			2015	6,122,754 2016	7,000,000 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97%	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa transactions.	.k.a. quantity or actions of all			2015	6,122,754 2016	7,000,000 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50%	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 97
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa transactions.	.k.a. quantity or actions of all	LHow much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) Low well did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) So anyone better of? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) So anyone better of? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM) fscroil down and select)		2015	6,122,754 2016	7,000,000 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 97 2019
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa transactions.	.k.a. quantity or actions of all			2015 90.74%	6,122,754 2016 92.94%	7,000,000 2017 95%	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50%	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 97
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici	LHow much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) Low well did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) So anyone better of? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) So anyone better of? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM) fscroil down and select)		2015 90.74% 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94%	7,000,000 2017 95%	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year)	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 97 2019
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		25	2015 90.74% 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection	Forecas 7,000,000 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		25	2015 90.74% 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 105,000	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas 108,00
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		25	2015 90.74% 2015 82,146	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016 93,430	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017 103,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 105,000 2018	Forecas 7,000,000 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas 108,000 2019
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D: Type of PM D:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		25	2015 90.74% 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 105,000	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas 108,00
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		30	2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 82,146 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016 93,430	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017 103,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 105,000 2018	Forecas 7,000,000 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas 108,000 2019
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D: Type of PM D: Performance Measure E:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		25	2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 82,146 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016 93,430	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017 103,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 105,000 2018	Forecas 7,000,000 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas 108,000 2019
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D: Type of PM D: Performance Measure E:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic (scroll down and select)	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		30	2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 82,146 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016 93,430	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017 103,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As reported last year) 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 105,000 2018 Projection	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 108,00 2019 Forecas 108,00 2019 Forecas
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D: Type of PM D: Performance Measure E:	1. How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transactions. 2. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic (scroll down and select)	.k.a. quantity or actions of all .k.a. quality or effici		30	2015 90.74% 2015 82,146 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016 93,430 2016	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017 103,000 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As reported last year) 2018 (As reported 2018 (As reported 100 (As reported)	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 2018 Projection 2018	Forecas 7,000,000 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas 108,000 2019 Forecas
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D: Type of PM D: Performance Measure E: Type of PM E:	How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic (scroll down and select) (scroll down and select)	.k.a. quantity or actions of all L.k.a. quality or effici-		30	2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 82,146 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016 93,430	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017 103,000	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As reported last year) 2018 (As	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 105,000 2018 Projection	Forecas 7,000,00 2019 Forecas 108,00 2019 Forecas 108,00 2019 Forecas
Type of PM B: Performance Measure C: Type of PM C: Performance Measure D: Type of PM D: Performance Measure E:	How much did we do? (a. Percentage of online transa- transactions. How well did we do it? (a Number of online transactic (scroll down and select) (scroll down and select) . Who/what does it serve? A	.k.a. quantity or Actions of all Actions of all Actions of all Actions Are there any data		30	2015 90.74% 2015 2015 2015 2015	6,122,754 2016 92.94% 2016 93,430 2016	7,000,000 2017 95% 2017 103,000 2017	last year) 6,122,754 2018 (As reported last year) 97% 2018 (As reported last year) n/a 2018 (As reported last year) 2018 (As reported 2018 (As reported 100 (As reported)	Projection 6,441,773 2018 Projection 95.50% 2018 Projection 2018 Projection 2018	Forecas 7,000,000 2019 Forecas 97 2019 Forecas 108,000 2019 Forecas

annual - for profits. This is the first report of Performance Measure C. The online system has

FY 2019 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET REC	OMMENDATIONS - PROGRAM PEI	RFORMANCE MEASURES								
	Secretary of State's Office		-]							
DEPARTMENT NAME:										
DIVISION NAME:	Elections & Campaign Finance									
PRIMARY APPROPRIATION #	2230014000 Help America to Vote Act (HAVA) Election A	ssistance Commission (EAC) - Federal								
PROGRAM NAME										
PROGRAM NUMBER (if used) FY 2019 Appropriation \$\$	29040 \$ 1,220,416.00									
udget Amounts in Primary appropriation not related	•									
to this program:	\$	SECONDARY APPROPRIATION #								
		SECONDART AFFROFRIATION #								
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:										
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$-		-							
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$-		-							
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$									
TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET FY 2019		n/a		т						
POPULATION-LEVEL OUTCOME:	(9) Vermont has open, effective, and inclusion	ve government.	Population-Level Outcomes Drop Down (scroll and select): (scroll down and select) (1) Vermoth has a prosperus economy.							
			 Vermont has a prosperous economy. Vermonters are healthy. Vermont's environment is clean and sustainable. 							
			 (3) Vermont's environment is clean and sustainable. (4) Vermont is a safe place to live. (5) Vermont's families are safe, nurturing, stable, and supported. 							
			(3) Vermont's tollifer and young people achieve their potential. (3) Vermont's leders live with dignalities live indignity in settings they prefer. (8) Vermonters with disbalities live in dignity in settings they prefer.							
			 (8) Vermonters with disbalilities live in dignity in settings they prefer. (9) Vermont has open, effective, and inclusive government. (10) Vermont's State Infrastructure meets the needs of Vermonters, the economy and the environmen 	-						
			(10) Vermont's State Infrastructure meets the needs of Vermonters, the economy and the environment	t						
POPULATION-LEVEL INDICATOR:	Percentage of Voter Turnout		An Indicator is: A measurable condition of well-being for children, adults, families, communities. Examples: violent crime rate; median house price; unemployment rate; %	T						
			of electric generation from renewable sources; % registered voters voting in general							
			election; % structurally deficient bridges; etc. Not all performance measures have measurable Indicators, although the performance measure may well inform the ultimate							
			Outcome and/or the state of the Outcome							
					Per	formance Me	asure Data	(Calendar or	Fiscal Year)	1
								2018 (As	2018	
			Performance Measures Types (scroll and select):		2015	2016	2017	reported last year)	Projection	
Performance Measure A:			(scroll down and select) . 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)							
	Percentage of 246 Municipalities with Town training on Elections Management suite sin	Clerks (and Assistants) who received	How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM) J. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	27	99.00%	99.00%	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	1
Type of PM A:	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or e	fficiency) (Better PM)			00.0070	00.0070	00.0070			
								2018 (As reported	2018	
Performance Measure B:			(scroll down and select)		2015	2016	2017	last year)	Projection	Fo
	Electronic Voting Tabulators purchased an	d maintenance paid by HAVA - owned	How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) Annu context of the second							
	by municipalities - eliminates errors and de ballots. We are striving for 100% placemen	lay caused by manual counting of	3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)							
	ultimately it is up to the municipality to acc	ept.		28	81.00%	89.00%	89.00%	89.00%	89.00%	5 1
Type of PM B:	1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or	output) (Good PM)	1					2018 (As		
					2015	2016	2017	reported last year)	2018 Projection	Fo
Performance Measure C:			(scroll down and select) . 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)		2010	2010				
	Registered Voters		3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	29	428,444	471,619	465,698	485,000	475,000	49
Type of PM C:	1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or	output) (Good PM)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					2018 (As		
			(scroll down and select)		2015	2016	2017	reported	2018 Projection	Fo
Performance Measure D:			How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)		2015	2010	2017	last year)	Projection	10
Type of DM D	(scroll down and select)		3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	30						
Type of PM D:	iter and and activity							2018 (As		
			(scroll down and select) - 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)		2015	2016	2017	reported last year)	2018 Projection	Fo
Performance Measure E:			How much did we doi? (a.k.a. quanity or output) (Good PM) How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM) Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)							
Type of PM E:	(scroll down and select)		J. IS anyone petter off ((a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM) .	30						
	- 4		_					2018 (As	2018	
			_		2015	2016	2017	reported last year)	2018 Projection	Fo
RRATIVE/COMMENTS/STORY: Describe the program	. Who/what does it serve? Are there any dat	a limitations or caveats? Explain trend								
ecent changes. Speak to new initiatives expected to	have future impact			31						

As of the 2010 census there were 496,508 citizens of voting age in Vermont, and as of November 8, 2016, there were 471,619 registered voters and 67.95% voting (turnout) in the 2016 general election. In 2014 turnout was 41.5%, 2012 (presidential) turnout was 65%. 2016