FY 2019 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET REC]									
AGENCY NAME:	7									
DEPARTMENT NAME:	DEPARTMENT NAME: Liquor Control									
DIVISION NAME:	Compliance & Enforcement Division, Licensing	Division, Education Division								
PRIMARY APPROPRIATION #	2300002000									
PROGRAM NAME										
PROGRAM NUMBER (if used)										
FY 2019 Appropriation \$\$	\$ 23									
Budget Amounts in Primary appropriation not related to this program:	\$ 2,707,702.00									
TODO VALABLE TIPES IN THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	\$ 2,707,702.00	SECONDARY APPROPRIATION #								
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ (40.1)									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ 55%									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ (4)		-							
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$									
TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET FY 2019	\$ (2,707,702.00)	n/a	i							
	The state of the s		Population-Level Outcomes Drop Down (scroll and select):	ļ.						
POPULATION-LEVEL OUTCOME:	(4) Vermont is a safe place to live.		Incord down and select II Vermont has personerous economy. (2) Vermontes are healthy (3) Vermontes ere incalled. (4) Vermontes are noncoment is them and sustainable. (4) Vermont is a sale place to live: (5) Vermont's benefice are after northwenty stalide, and supported. (6) Vermont's children and syoning people activises their potamist 7) Vermont's effective five with display in artifusige they prefer.	3						
			(B) Verminations with distribution for a dignity in settings they prefer (II) Verminat has open, effective, and inclusive government. (10) Verminate's State inhabitorities must be needs of Verminations the economy and the environment.							
POPULATION-LEVEL INDICATOR:			An Indicator is: A measurable condition of well-being for children, adults, families,							
			communities. Examples: violent crime rate, median house price; unemployment rate, % of electric generation from renewable sources, % registered voters voting in general election; % structurally deficient bridges; etc. Not all performance measures have measurable indicators, although the performance measure may well inform the ultimate Outcome and/or the stele of the Outcome.							
					Per	formance Me	asure Data	(Calendar or	Fiscal Year)	
			Performance Measures Types (scroll and select):		2015	2016	2017	2016 (As reported last year)	2018 Projection	2019 Forecast
Performance Measure A:			(scroll down and select)		2010	2010	2017	lust your	Trojection	Toredadi
Alcohol underage compliance testing passifall rate			1. How much did we do? (a k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) 2. How well did we do it? (a k.a. quality or efficiency) is not a fine party.	27	88%	84%	90%	90%	90%	88%
Type of PM At	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or eff	iciency) (Better PM)	3 Is anyone better off? (a k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Bert PM)					D040 (A-		T
					2015	2016	2017	2018 (As reported last year)	2018 Projection	2019 Forecast
Performance Measure B:			1. How much did we do? (a k a. quantity or output) (Good PM)					77		
Time of DM Di	Tobacco underage compliance testing pass 2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or eff		How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Botter PM) Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	28	89%	90%	91.08%	91%	90%	
Type of PM B.	z. now well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality of ell	Clericy (Better Pm)						2018 (As reported	2018	2019
			Troubleton and reliab		2015	2016	2017	last year)	Projection	
Performance Measure C:	DLC Server Training test results pass/fall rat		(cored down and select)	29	97%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or eff		The state of the s					2040./4		
								2018 (As reported	2018	2019
			(scraft down and select) 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good MM)	_	2015	2016	2017	last year)	Projection	Forecast
Performance Measure D:			2. How well did we do (t? Is k.s. quality or efficiency) (factor PM) 1. Is anyone better off? Is k.s. effectiveners or requir/outcome) (fact 9M)	30						
Type of PM D:	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or eff	ciency) (Better PM)		30						-
			(scroll dean and select)		2015	2040	0047	2018 (As reported	2018	2019
Performance Measure E:			How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Good FM) How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	30	2015	2016	2017	last year)	Projection	Forecast
Type of PM E:	(scroll down and select)			50		-				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							2018 (As reported	2018	2019
NARRATIVE/COMMENTS/STORY: Describe the program.		mitations or caveats? Explain trend or		31	2015	2016	2017	last year)	Projection	Forecast
Providing Education & training in order to increase comp		selling to minors or intoxicated	1		,1,-					