

I fully support the intent to base the State educational tax upon adjusted gross income.

What I do not understand is that it appears schools can still increase their spending, and then the cost would still be bourn by local property taxes. If that is the case, it continues to place a burden upon property owners (or tenants if their landlords increase their rent to cover the increased property tax). If my interpretation is correct, then I believe that gives schools an incentive to keep increasing their budgets, thereby still burdening property owners.

Ray Rodrigues
Pownal, VT