# Clean Water Law Overview

David Mears & Trey Martin

### Introduction

- In the modern era of clean water regulation, we are no longer talking only about what comes out of the end of a pipe, but the way we work and live on the land

#### Federal Clean Water Act

#### Cooperative Federalism

♦ EPA sets minimum national standards

 States implement the law subject to EPA oversight

#### Federal Clean Water Act

#### NPDES and Point Sources

- ◆ TBELs v. WQBELs
- Waste water treatment facilities
- Stormwater (MS4, CAFO, industrial)

Non-point sources (e.g. polluted runoff from impervious surfaces such as driveways, or erosion from disturbed forests and fields)

#### Federal Clean Water Act

#### **TMDLs**

- 303(d) List of Impaired Waters
- Waste Load Allocation and Load Allocation
- Mathematical Model and Restoration Plan

### Chesapeake Bay TMDL

- State implementation plans
- Accountability framework
  - Milestones
  - Consequences
- Legal challenge

## Lake Champlain TMDL 2002

- First Lake Champlain TMDL Approved by EPA in 2002
- ♦ EPA Withdrew Approval in December 2011
- Vermont Cooperated with EPA to Develop New TMDL

## Lake Champlain TMDL 2016

- Adopted by EPA in 2016 based on commitments by State of Vermont in Phase One Implementation Plan and Vermont Clean Water Act (Act 64)

## Lake Champlain TMDL 2016 (continued)

- Accountability Framework (A la Chesapeake Bay TMDL)
  - Milestones
  - Consequences
- No Litigation (Yet)

### Lake Champlain TMDL Phase I Plan and Basin Plans

- - Phase I Plan provides milestones for reductions of P pollution including wastewater treatment facilities, stream bank erosion, and polluted runoff from developed lands, roads, and agriculture
  - Phase II Plans to be based on tactical basin planning process

#### Vermont Clean Water Law

- ♦ Vermont Clean Water Act (Act 64 of 2015)
  - 3 Acre Retrofit Requirement
  - Municipal Roads General Permit
  - ♦ MS4 Version 2.0
  - **♦** TS4 Permit
  - ♦ AMPs, RAPs and Small Farm Certification

### Conclusion

- Restoring our waters is both a good idea and required by federal and state law.
- Postponing action is costly in terms of increased pollution, more expensive solutions, litigation, and economic costs.
- Investing in state-led solutions is more costeffective, and can lead to broader public engagement and support than defaulting to EPA.