

Testimony in Support of Amending S.285 Submitted to the Vermont House Committee on Natural Resources, Fish and Wildlife

Chairman Deen and Committee Members,

My name is Bruce Westcott; I serve as General Manager of the Central Vermont Solid Waste Management District and am Vice-Chair of the Vermont Solid Waste District Manager's Association.

S.285 proposes to amend § 6607a.(g)(1)(C) to strike a requirement that haulers "offer collection of food residuals separate from other solid waste", etc. The Committee has expressed interest in the concept of retaining that requirement in denser areas of some Vermont municipalities.

The Chair asked that I work with John Adams (VCGI), and seek guidance from Cathy Jamieson, Solid Waste Program Manager to provide data which could:

- ✓ Make easier the understanding of the "density" concept by legislators, haulers, and citizens,
- ✓ Allow for simpler on-the-ground, operational guidance to haulers and their customers, and
- ✓ Give the Committee flexibility in determining what areas might be included.

I attempted to look at some new data – populations of each municipality, in addition to the number of residential structures in each municipality. I have relied on data publicly available from the Vermont Agency of Transportation, and the Department of Health.

I also identified Vermont municipalities with "large" populations; i.e., more than 5,000 residents. There are 27 such entities, 22 of which are listed on these pages. Vermont includes five "large" municipalities that do not contain dense areas – for example: Jericho.

I attempted to answer two questions prompted by your earlier discussion:

- 1. Across the square mileage of each municipality, what is the overall population density?
- 2. Along all the road miles in each municipality (excluding Interstates, forest trails, etc.), what is the average population density?

The tables on the following two pages show the ranked results.

<u>Across the square mileage of each municipality, what is the</u> <u>overall population density?</u>

These municipalities contain nearly 45% of Vermont's population. Of them, five have significantly lower overall densities. All other municipalities have even lower population densities.

| | Total | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|
| | Residential | | Structures/ | | People/ |
| Town/City | Structures | Area (sq.mi.) | Sq.Mi. | Population | Sq.Mile |
| St. Albans City | 2,191 | 1.96 | 1118.33 | 6,792 | 3,466.8 |
| Winooski | 1,597 | 1.47 | 1085.14 | 7,128 | 4,843.4 |
| Burlington | 10,562 | 15.35 | 688.20 | 42,260 | 2,753.6 |
| Rutland City | 5,277 | 7.67 | 688.10 | 15,601 | 2,034.3 |
| Barre City | 2,540 | 4.03 | 630.78 | 8,710 | 2,163.0 |
| Vergennes | 853 | 2.53 | 336.75 | 2,599 | 1,026.1 |
| Montpelier | 2,445 | 10.25 | 238.49 | 7,535 | 735.0 |
| Newport City | 1,631 | 7.62 | 213.99 | 4,328 | 567.8 |
| South Burlington | 6,276 | 30.78 | 203.87 | 18,971 | 616.3 |
| Essex | 6,594 | 39.42 | 167.28 | 21,199 | 537.8 |
| Bennington | 5,223 | 42.26 | 123.58 | 15,290 | 361.8 |
| Brattleboro | 3,922 | 32.65 | 120.12 | 11,607 | 355.5 |
| Williston | 3,557 | 31.08 | 114.43 | 9,578 | 308.1 |
| Barre Town | 3,108 | 30.73 | 101.15 | 7,792 | 253.6 |
| Colchester | 5,830 | 60.41 | 96.51 | 17,319 | 286.7 |
| Hartford | 4,275 | 45.98 | 92.98 | 9,671 | 210.3 |
| Rutland Town | 1,561 | 19.22 | 81.21 | 4,061 | 211.3 |
| Springfield | 3,470 | 49.58 | 69.99 | 9,044 | 182.4 |
| Milton | 4,065 | 60.96 | 66.68 | 10,759 | 176.5 |
| Windsor | 1,300 | 19.67 | 66.10 | 3,419 | 173.8 |
| St. Johnsbury | 2,416 | 36.85 | 65.57 | 7,250 | 196.8 |
| Shelburne | 2,869 | 43.77 | 65.54 | 7,726 | 176.5 |
| Middlebury | 2,385 | 39.69 | 60.09 | 8,654 | 218.0 |
| Swanton | 2,896 | 61.68 | 46.95 | 6,514 | |
| St. Albans Town | 2,829 | 60.83 | 46.50 | 6,432 | |
| Rockingham | 1,855 | 42.16 | 43.99 | 5,062 | |
| Westminster | 1,464 | 45.20 | 32.39 | 3,034 | |
| Mendon | 564 | 37.94 | 14.87 | 1,022 | 26.9 |
| TOTAL | 93,555 | 882 | | 279,357 | |
| % of Vermont | 33.9% | 9.2% | | 44.7% | |

Along all the road miles in each municipality (excluding Interstates, forest trails, etc.), what is the average population <u>density?</u>

These municipalities contain nearly 45% of Vermont's population, and about 27% of Vermont road miles (excluding Interstates, forest trails, etc.). Of them, the bottom two have significantly lower population per road mile. Other municipalities have similarly low distributions of people along roads.

| | Total | | | | _ |
|------------------|-------------|------------|------------------|------------|-----------|
| - / | Residential | | Structures/ | | People/ |
| Town/City | Structures | Road_Miles | Road Mile | Population | Road Mile |
| Burlington | 10,562 | 104.91 | 100.68 | 42,260 | 402.82 |
| Winooski | 1,597 | 16.78 | 95.16 | 7,128 | 424.74 |
| St. Albans City | 2,191 | 24.82 | 88.27 | 6,792 | 273.64 |
| Rutland City | 5,277 | 76.06 | 69.38 | 15,601 | 205.12 |
| South Burlington | 6,276 | 101.01 | 62.13 | 18,971 | 187.81 |
| Essex | 6,594 | 106.64 | 61.84 | 21,199 | 198.80 |
| Vergennes | 853 | 14.38 | 59.31 | 2,599 | 180.71 |
| Barre City | 2,540 | 50.32 | 50.48 | 8,710 | 173.11 |
| Montpelier | 2,445 | 56.72 | 43.10 | 7,535 | 132.84 |
| Colchester | 5,830 | 144.48 | 40.35 | 17,319 | 119.87 |
| Newport City | 1,631 | 45.04 | 36.21 | 4,328 | 96.09 |
| Bennington | 5,223 | 146.27 | 35.71 | 15,290 | 104.53 |
| Shelburne | 2,869 | 88.19 | 32.53 | 7,726 | 87.60 |
| Brattleboro | 3,922 | 122.15 | 32.11 | 11,607 | 95.02 |
| Williston | 3,557 | 114.71 | 31.01 | 9,578 | 83.50 |
| Barre Town | 3,108 | 105.55 | 29.45 | 7,792 | 73.82 |
| St. Albans Town | 2,829 | 96.71 | 29.25 | 6,432 | 66.51 |
| Milton | 4,065 | 139.09 | 29.23 | 10,759 | 77.35 |
| Windsor | 1,300 | 47.89 | 27.15 | 3,419 | 71.40 |
| Swanton | 2,896 | 108.27 | 26.75 | 6,514 | 60.17 |
| Springfield | 3,470 | 139.67 | 24.84 | 9,044 | 64.75 |
| Middlebury | 2,385 | 97.76 | 24.40 | 8,654 | 88.52 |
| Rutland Town | 1,561 | 66.22 | 23.57 | 4,061 | 61.32 |
| Rockingham | 1,855 | 79.33 | 23.38 | 5,062 | 63.81 |
| Hartford | 4,275 | 189.89 | 22.51 | 9,671 | 50.93 |
| St. Johnsbury | 2,416 | 117.32 | 20.59 | 7,250 | 61.80 |
| Mendon | 564 | 41.22 | 13.68 | 1,022 | 24.79 |
| Westminster | 1,464 | 112.06 | 13.07 | 3,034 | 27.08 |
| TOTAL | 93,555 | 2,553 | | 279,357 | |
| % of Vermont | 33.9% | 26.6% | | 44.7% | |

Approach

- 1. "Dense areas" are hard to identify conceptually or on the ground. Refocus analysis on existing municipal boundaries that are fixed and familiar to all.
- 2. In the cases of almost all of the 28 towns containing dense areas of residences, density of the entire municipality is a good proxy for the "dense areas".
- 3. Analysis of population located on the total land area or along total road miles in each municipality are easily-understood yardsticks of areal density or linear density.

Data sources:

- 1. Road miles: <u>http://geodata.vermont.gov/datasets/VTrans::vt-road-centerline</u>
- 2. Estimated 2016 population: <u>http://www.healthvermont.gov/health-statistics-vital-records/vital-records-population-data/vermont-population-estimates</u>
- 3. Municipal areas: <u>http://geodata.vermont.gov/datasets/vt-data-e911-specific-town-boundaries</u>
- Residential sites: <u>http://geodata.vermont.gov/datasets/vt-data-e911-site-locations-address-points</u>