

## **Notice of Concern**

The institution was issued a formal Notice of Concern because the Commission determined, through the periodic review process, that the institution is in danger of being found not to meet one or more of the *Standards for Accreditation* if current circumstances or trends continue.

In accordance with the Commission's Policy on Notice of Concern, the institution will undergo an evaluation within two years to assess the institution's success in addressing the identified concerns. If the Commission finds that the concerns have not been satisfactorily addressed, the Commission may issue a continued formal Notice of Concern. If the Commission finds that the institution fails to comply with one or more *Standards for Accreditation*, the Commission will ask the institution to show cause why it should not be on probation or why its accreditation status should not be withdrawn.

## **Placed on Probation**

The institution was placed on probation based on a show cause hearing that included the report of the institution, material evidence applicable to the circumstances, and a face-to-face interview with the Commission. After carefully evaluating all of the relevant materials, the Commission determined that the institution is out of compliance with one or more of the Commission's *Standards for Accreditation*. The judgment of "being out of compliance" is a qualitative judgment made by the Commission, consisting of peers and members of the public; in making this judgment the Commission gives principal attention to the statement of the standard for each of the Commission's *Standards for Accreditation*. A public statement about the institution's probation status can be found here.