Comparison of Designation Programs

Sound under the went out of south the sticked sticked and the sticked sticked sticked and the sticked sticked

Established UNISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS pplication meeting with DHCD staff plete application submitted by the legislative body of a municipality* ce sent to RPC and RDC of intent to apply	2793 1998 √	2793a 2002	2793b 2002	2793c	2793e
Established IINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS pplication meeting with DHCD staff plete application submitted by the legislative body of a municipality* ce sent to RPC and RDC of intent to apply	1998				2793e
INISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS pplication meeting with DHCD staff plete application submitted by the legislative body of a municipality* te sent to RPC and RDC of intent to apply		2002	2002		
pplication meeting with DHCD staff plete application submitted by the legislative body of a municipality* te sent to RPC and RDC of intent to apply	√			2006	2013
plete application submitted by the legislative body of a municipality* see sent to RPC and RDC of intent to apply	$\sqrt{}$				
ee sent to RPC and RDC of intent to apply		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark	$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark
	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
ee of application published in local newspaper	$\sqrt{}$				
ee of application sent to adjoining towns and interested parties				\checkmark	
of proposed designation**	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
minary application required				$\sqrt{}$	
ber of days to a designation decision after application received	45	45	45	90	45
ber of years the designation remains in effect (renewal required to maintain designation)	8	8	8	20	***
im review required (interval of years) ***	4		4	5	***
AL CAPACITY AND CHARACTERISTICS					
listed or eligible for National Register of Historic Places	$\sqrt{}$				
munity reinvestment agreement - signed by municipality & others	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$		
ce of funding for necessary improvements	$\sqrt{}$				
rganizational structure for long-term revitalization	$\sqrt{}$				
requirements for sewage and water systems	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
ing or planned multi-modal transport options including transit			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
ing or planned mix of uses				$\sqrt{}$	
ing or planned civic and public buildings			$\sqrt{}$		
ing or planned public spaces				$\sqrt{}$	
ing or planned pedestrian friendly features				$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
to use household energy conserving devices					√
NNING STATUS					
irmed Planning Process (Municipal Plan approved by RPC)	√	V	√	√	√
addresses intention to apply for designation and support for goals****	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
ar plan for growth with local and regional growth projections				√	
oted Zoning and Subdivision Regulations				$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark
v provisions that support the goals of designation	$\sqrt{}$		√	√	√
regulatory programs that support the designation				\checkmark	
al budget and program for public infrastructure	$\sqrt{}$		√	√	
icipal center plan			$\sqrt{}$		
rept plan showing vision for the area				√	
ial Map (optional for Growth Centers)			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
showing resources and development constraints				√	√
showing existing and planned public facilities				$\sqrt{}$	
ication for designation location and boundaries			√	√	√
for mixed income housing			V		
cts on natural resources avoided				√	V
patibility with cultural and historic resources				$\sqrt{}$	
onal Planning Commission (RPC) description of regional context				V	
confirms that designation is in conformance with regional plan				√	

^{*} For Neighborhoods, landowners may apply directly. ** Growth Center map requirements differ from others.

^{***} Neighborhood review and renewal is concurrent with the underlying designation. **** Growth Center plan requirements differ from others.

Overview of Designation Brogram Penefits	DOWN	OWN JILLAGE LITE	e wentow	the with	TER CHRORY
Overview of Designation Program Benefits	bon,	VIII CENT	MEM CENT	ER GROWIN	AFICK PEAR
AUTHORITY					
Statute Section (24 V.S.A. Chapter 76A)	2793	2793a	2793b	2793c	2793e
Date Established	1998	2002	2002	2006	2013
PURPOSE					
Historic Preservation*	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Economic Revitalization*	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Smart Growth	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Economic Development	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
BENEFITS					
10% State Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credit		V			
25% Façade Improvement Tax Credit	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$			
50% Code Improvement Tax Credit	$\sqrt{}$	V			
50% Technology Tax Credits	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$			
Downtown Transportation Fund	$\sqrt{}$				
Sprinkler System Rebate	$\sqrt{}$				
Special Assessment District	$\sqrt{}$	√	V		
Reallocation of Sales Tax on Construction Materials	$\sqrt{}$				
Traffic Calming Options	$\sqrt{}$				
Signage Options	$\sqrt{}$				
No appeal of decision on character of neighborhood for housing	$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Act 250 Threshold and Exemption for "Priority Housing Projects"	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Act 250 Limited Review & Criteria - "Downtown Findings" Act					
250 Findings and Conclusions for Growth Centers				$\sqrt{}$	
Act 250 Master Plan Permit Application				$\sqrt{}$	
Act 250 Mitigation for Loss of Primary Agricultural Soils** Act	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
250 fee reduction					$\sqrt{}$
Act 250 Existing Settlement under criterion 9(L)	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Exemption from land gains tax					
ANR Wastewater fee capped at \$50/application					$\sqrt{}$
PRIORITY CONSIDERATION***					
All State Agencies and Funding Programs - first priority Specific	V	V			
State Agencies and Funding Programs:					
Municipal Planning Grants	$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$	V
Bike/Ped and Transportation Alternatives Grants	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
Property Assessment Fund (Contaminated Sites / Brownfields)	V	V		V	V
	1	,		,	,

ANR Wastewater funding State affordable housing funds

Locating State Buildings

Community Development Block Grants

^{*}May not apply to all growth centers.

^{**}Agricultural soil mitigation benefits apply to designated neighborhood development areas only when they are associated with a designated downtown and applies only to new town centers created before 1/1/14.

^{***}Statute establishes that designated downtowns and village centers should have greater priority for state funding and programs than new town centers and growth centers. (24 V.S.A. §2790(d) and 24 V.S.A. §2793c(i)(2)(A))