

Journal of the House

Special Session

Monday, June 25, 2018

At ten o'clock in the forenoon the Speaker called the House to order.

Devotional Exercises

A moment of silence was observed in lieu of devotions.

Pledge of Allegiance

The Speaker led the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Remarks Journalized

On motion of **Rep. Jickling of Randolph**, the following remarks by **Rep. Parent of St. Albans Town** were ordered printed in the Journal:

“Madam Speaker:

When my wife and I first learned last fall that we were expecting our first child, due in late June, I never imagined I’d be able to make this announcement, but here we are and here I am. In the wee hours of Wednesday morning on June 20th we welcomed our first child, a beautiful baby boy, William James Parent, to the world.”

Reconsideration Agreed to; Senate Proposal Agreed to with a Further Amendment Thereto

H.16

Rep. Turner of Milton, assuring the Chair that he voted with the prevailing side when the House voted to concur in the Senate proposal of amendment, moved to reconsider its vote, which was agreed to.

Pending the question, Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment with a further proposal of amendment as moved by **Rep. Toll of Danville**? **Rep. Turner of Milton** moved to substitute an amendment for the amendment as offered by **Rep. Toll of Danville** as follows:

First: In Sec. C.1000 (Fiscal Year 2018 General Fund One Time Appropriations, Transfers, and Reversions), subdivision (e)(1) by striking the number “12,000,000” and inserting in lieu thereof “\$10,000,000”

Second: In Sec. C.1000 (Fiscal Year 2018 General Fund One Time Appropriations, Transfers, and Reversions) subdivision (e) by inserting a new

subdivision (2) to read as follows:

“(2) \$8,500,000 shall be transferred to the Education Fund.” and by renumbering the remaining sections to be numerically correct.

Third: In Sec. H.10 (Property Dollar Equivalent Yield, Income Dollar Equivalent Yield, and Nonresidential Rate for Fiscal Year 2019), subdivision (c) by striking the number “\$1.58” and inserting in lieu thereof “\$1.56”.

Pending the question, Shall the amendment offered by Rep. Turner of Milton be substituted for the amendment by Rep. Toll of Danville? **Rep. Wright of Burlington** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the amendment offered by Rep. Turner of Milton be substituted for the amendment by Rep. Toll of Danville? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 51. Nays, 74.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ainsworth of Royalton	Graham of Williamstown	Pajala of Londonderry
Bancroft of Westford	Harrison of Chittenden	Parent of St. Albans Town
Baser of Bristol	Hebert of Vernon	Quimby of Concord
Batchelor of Derby	Helm of Fair Haven	Read of Fayston
Beyor of Highgate	Juskiewicz of Cambridge	Rosenquist of Georgia
Brennan of Colchester	Keefe of Manchester	Savage of Swanton
Browning of Arlington	LaClair of Barre Town	Scheuermann of Stowe
Burditt of West Rutland	Lawrence of Lyndon	Shaw of Pittsford
Canfield of Fair Haven	Lefebvre of Newark	Smith of Derby
Cupoli of Rutland City	Lewis of Berlin	Smith of New Haven
Devereux of Mount Holly	Marcotte of Coventry	Strong of Albany
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Martel of Waterford	Terenzini of Rutland Town
Donahue of Northfield	Mattos of Milton	Turner of Milton
Fagan of Rutland City	McCoy of Poultney	Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh
Feltus of Lyndon	McFaun of Barre Town	Viens of Newport City
Frenier of Chelsea	Morrissey of Bennington	Wright of Burlington
Gamache of Swanton	Murphy of Fairfax	
	Myers of Essex	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais	Gardner of Richmond	Mrowicki of Putney
Beck of St. Johnsbury	Gonzalez of Winooski	Noyes of Wolcott
Belaski of Windsor	Grad of Moretown	Ode of Burlington
Bissonnette of Winooski	Haas of Rochester	Partridge of Windham
Bock of Chester	Head of South Burlington	Poirier of Barre City
Botzow of Pownal	Hill of Wolcott	Potter of Clarendon
Briglin of Thetford	Hooper of Montpelier	Pugh of South Burlington
Brumsted of Shelburne	Hooper of Randolph	Rachelson of Burlington
Burke of Brattleboro	Houghton of Essex	Scheu of Middlebury
Carr of Brandon	Howard of Rutland City	Sharpe of Bristol
Chesnut-Tangerman of	Jessup of Middlesex	Squirrell of Underhill

Middletown Springs	Jickling of Randolph	Stevens of Waterbury
Christensen of Weathersfield	Keenan of St. Albans City	Sullivan of Burlington
Christie of Hartford	Kimbell of Woodstock	Taylor of Colchester
Colburn of Burlington	Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Toleno of Brattleboro
Connor of Fairfield	Krowinski of Burlington	Toll of Danville
Conquest of Newbury	Lalonde of South Burlington	Trieber of Rockingham
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	Lanpher of Vergennes	Troiano of Stannard
Corcoran of Bennington	Lippert of Hinesburg	Walz of Barre City
Deen of Westminster	Long of Newfane	Webb of Shelburne
Donovan of Burlington	Macaig of Williston	Weed of Enosburgh
Dunn of Essex	Masland of Thetford	Wood of Waterbury
Emmons of Springfield	McCormack of Burlington	Yacovone of Morristown
Forguites of Springfield	McCullough of Williston	Yantachka of Charlotte
Gannon of Wilmington	Miller of Shaftsbury	
	Morris of Bennington	

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bartholomew of Hartland	Higley of Lowell	Stuart of Brattleboro
Buckholz of Hartford	Joseph of North Hero	Sullivan of Dorset
Cina of Burlington	Lucke of Hartford	Till of Jericho
Condon of Colchester	Nolan of Morristown	Townsend of South Burlington
Conlon of Cornwall	Norris of Shoreham	Willhoit of St. Johnsbury
Dakin of Colchester	O'Sullivan of Burlington	Young of Glover
Fields of Bennington	Pearce of Richford	
Gage of Rutland City	Sheldon of Middlebury	
Giambatista of Essex	Sibilia of Dover	

Pending the question, Shall the House concur with the Senate proposal of amendment with a further amendment thereto? **Rep. Beck of St. Johnsbury** moved to substitute an amendment with for the amendment offered by **Rep. Toll of Danville** as follows:

First: In Sec. H.10 (yields and nonresidential rate), in subsection (a), by striking out “\$10,220.00” and inserting in lieu thereof “\$9,932.00”, in subsection (b), by striking out “\$12,380.00” and inserting in lieu thereof “\$12,008.00”, and by striking out subsection (c) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof:

(c) The nonresidential rate for fiscal year 2019 shall be the statutory default rate of \$1.59 per \$100.00 of equalized education property value under 32 V.S.A. § 5402(a)(1).

Second: By striking subdivision Sec. C.1000(b)(5) in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof:

(5) Deleted.

Third: By inserting new Secs. H.10a–H.10d to read as follows:

* * * Calculation of Homestead Property Tax Rates * * *

Sec. H.10a 32 V.S.A. § 5401 is amended to read:

§ 5401. DEFINITIONS

As used in this chapter:

* * *

(13)(A)(i) “Education For districts with education spending per equalized pupil that is equal to or in excess of the base spending amount, “education property tax spending adjustment” means the greater of: one or a fraction in which the numerator is the district’s education spending plus excess spending, per equalized pupil, above the base spending amount for the school year; and the denominator is the property dollar equivalent yield for the school year, as defined in subdivision (15) of this section.

(ii) For districts with education spending per equalized pupil that is less than the base spending amount, the education property tax spending adjustment shall be zero.

~~(B) “Education income tax spending adjustment” means the greater of: one or a fraction in which the numerator is the district’s education spending plus excess spending, per equalized pupil, for the school year; and the denominator is the income dollar equivalent yield for the school year, as defined in subdivision (16) of this section. [Repealed.]~~

* * *

(15) “Property dollar equivalent yield” means the amount of education spending per equalized pupil that would result if the homestead tax rate were \$1.00 per \$100.00 of equalized education property value, and the statutory reserves under 16 V.S.A. § 4026 and section 5402b of this title were maintained, calculated as if total statewide education spending per equalized pupil were equal to the total statewide education spending per equalized pupil minus the total statewide base spending amount per equalized pupil.

~~(16) “Income dollar equivalent yield” means the amount of spending per equalized pupil that would result if the income percentage in subdivision 6066(a)(2) of this title were 2.0 percent, and the statutory reserves under 16 V.S.A. § 4026 and section 5402b of this title were maintained. “Base income percentage” means a percentage set by the General Assembly each year under subsection 5402b(b) of this title.~~

(17) “Base spending amount” means the amount that results from the following calculation:

(A) the sum of the total projected Education Fund revenue sources under 16 V.S.A. § 4025(a)(1)-(7) for the following fiscal year, plus any surplus

from the prior fiscal year, minus the total projected amount of revenue raised by the statewide education homestead tax in the following fiscal year, without regard to any adjustment under chapter 154 of this title; minus

(B) an amount equal to the projected Education Fund expenditures for the following fiscal year, minus the projected education payments under 16 V.S.A. § 4028 for the following fiscal year, and plus any projected transfer to the Education Fund Budget Stabilization Reserve Fund established under 16 V.S.A. § 4026; plus

(C) the projected amount of revenue raised by the statewide education homestead tax that would result if the homestead tax rate were \$1.00 per \$100.00 of equalized education property value in the following fiscal year; divided by

(D) the total projected count of equalized pupils in the following fiscal year.

Sec. H.10b 32 V.S.A. § 5402 is amended to read:

§ 5402. EDUCATION PROPERTY TAX LIABILITY

(a) A statewide education tax is imposed on all nonresidential and homestead property at the following rates:

(1) The tax rate for nonresidential property shall be \$1.59 per \$100.00.

(2) The tax rate for homestead property shall be \$1.00 ~~multiplied by~~ plus the education property tax spending adjustment for the municipality per \$100.00 of equalized education property value as most recently determined under section 5405 of this title. The homestead property tax rate for each municipality ~~which that~~ that is a member of a union or unified union school district shall be calculated as required under subsection (e) of this section.

* * *

Sec. H.10c 32 V.S.A. § 5402b is amended to read:

§ 5402b. STATEWIDE EDUCATION TAX YIELDS;

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMISSIONER

(a) Annually, ~~no later than~~ on or before December 1, the Commissioner of Taxes, after consultation with the Secretary of Education, the Secretary of Administration, and the Joint Fiscal Office, shall calculate and recommend a property dollar equivalent yield, ~~an income dollar equivalent yield~~ a base income percentage, the base spending amount, and a nonresidential property tax rate for the following fiscal year. The Commissioner shall also indicate the impact on these variables of holding education spending level with the prior

fiscal year. In making these calculations, the Commissioner shall assume:

(1) the homestead base tax rate in subdivision 5402(a)(2) of this title is \$1.00 per \$100.00 of equalized education property value;

(2) ~~the applicable percentage in subdivision 6066(a)(2) of this title is 2.0;~~ [Repealed.]

(3) the statutory reserves under 16 V.S.A. § 4026 and this section ~~were~~ are maintained at five percent; and

(4) the percentage change in the ~~median~~ average education tax bill applied to nonresidential property, and the percentage change in the ~~median~~ average education tax bill of homestead property, and the percentage change in the ~~median~~ average education tax bill for taxpayers who claim an adjustment under subsection 6066(a) of this title are equal.

(b) For each fiscal year, the General Assembly shall set a property dollar equivalent yield and ~~an income dollar equivalent yield,~~ a base income percentage consistent with the definitions in this chapter.

* * *

(d) Annually, on or about January 15, the Joint Fiscal Office and Secretary of Administration shall provide to the Emergency Board a calculation of the base spending amount for the upcoming fiscal year. The Emergency Board shall review the calculation at its January meeting and shall adopt a base spending amount for the upcoming fiscal year.

Sec. H.10d 32 V.S.A. § 6066(a)(2) is amended to read:

(2) “Income percentage” in this section means ~~two percent,~~ the base income percentage adopted by the General Assembly for the fiscal year, plus the base income percentage multiplied by the education income property tax spending adjustment under subdivision 5401(13)(B)(A) of this title for the property tax year which that begins in the claim year for the municipality in which the homestead residence is located.

* * * Excess Spending * * *

Sec. H.10e REPEALS

The following are repealed:

(1) 16 V.S.A. § 4001(6)(B) (definition of education spending for the purpose of excess spending).

(2) 32 V.S.A. § 5401(12) (excess spending penalty).

Sec. H.10f 16 V.S.A. § 4011(i) is amended to read:

(i) Annually, ~~by on~~ or before October 1, the Secretary shall send to school boards for inclusion in town reports and publish on the Agency website the following information:

(1) the statewide average district spending per equalized pupil for the current fiscal year; ~~and 125 percent of that average spending;~~ and

(2) a statewide comparison of student-teacher ratios among schools that are similar in number of students and number of grades.

Sec. H.10g 24 V.S.A. § 2804(b) is amended to read:

~~(b) If a reserve fund is established under subsection (a) of this section to pay a school district's future school capital construction costs approved under 16 V.S.A. chapter 123, any funds raised by the district as part of its education spending to pay for those future costs shall be considered "approved school capital construction spending" in calculating excess spending under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(12). Districts shall submit to the Agency of Education annually a report of deposits into and expenditures from a school capital construction reserve fund. If the Agency of Education determines that any amount in the reserve fund has not been used for approved school capital construction within five years after deposit into the fund, then 150 percent of that amount shall be added to the district's education spending in the then-current year for purposes of calculating the excess spending penalty. The definitions in 16 V.S.A. chapter 133 shall apply to this subsection.~~

Fourth: In Sec. H.31 (effective dates) by inserting a subdivision (7) to read as follows:

(7) Secs. H.10a–H.10g (calculation of spending-adjusted property tax rates and elimination of excess spending penalty) shall take effect on July 1, 2019 and apply to fiscal year 2020 and after, except that:

(A) for fiscal year 2020 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 35 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(B) for fiscal year 2021 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 40 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(C) for fiscal year 2022 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 45 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(D) for fiscal year 2023 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 50 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(E) for fiscal year 2024 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 55 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(F) for fiscal year 2025 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 60 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(G) for fiscal year 2026 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 65 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be; and

(H) for fiscal year 2027 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 70 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(I) for fiscal year 2028 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 75 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(J) for fiscal year 2029 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 80 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(K) for fiscal year 2030 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 85 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be;

(L) for fiscal year 2031 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 90 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be; and

(M) for fiscal year 2032 only, the base spending amount shall be calculated as if the amount under 32 V.S.A. § 5401(17)(C) were 95 percent of what it would otherwise be calculated to be.

Which was disagreed to.

Pending the question, Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment with a further amendment thereto? **Rep. Browning of Arlington** moved to amend the amendment offered by **Rep Toll of Danville** as follows:

First: In Sec. C.1000 (fiscal year 2018 General Fund one-time appropriations, transfers, and reversions), in subdivision (b)(6), by striking out “\$14,100,000” and inserting in lieu thereof “\$15,000,000”

Second: In Sec C.1000 (fiscal year 2018 General Fund one-time appropriations, transfers, and reversions), in subdivision (e)(1) by striking out “\$12,000,000” and inserting in lieu thereof “\$10,000,000”

Third: By inserting a new section to be Sec. H.10a to read as follows:

Sec. H.10a 32 V.S.A. § 5402b(b) is amended to read:

(b) For each fiscal year, ~~the General Assembly shall set a property dollar equivalent yield and an income dollar equivalent yield, consistent with the definitions in the chapter~~ the property dollar equivalent yield and the income dollar equivalent yield shall be the same as in the prior fiscal year, unless set otherwise by the General Assembly.

Pending the question, Shall the amendment offered by Rep. Toll of Danville be amended as offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington? **Rep. Browning of Arlington** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the amendment offered by Rep. Toll of Danville be amended as offered by Rep. Browning of Arlington? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 40. Nays, 85.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ainsworth of Royalton	Gamache of Swanton	Myers of Essex
Bancroft of Westford	Graham of Williamstown	Parent of St. Albans Town
Batchelor of Derby	Harrison of Chittenden	Quimby of Concord
Beyor of Highgate	Keefe of Manchester	Rosenquist of Georgia
Brennan of Colchester	LaClair of Barre Town	Savage of Swanton
Browning of Arlington *	Lawrence of Lyndon	Smith of Derby
Burditt of West Rutland	Lefebvre of Newark	Smith of New Haven
Canfield of Fair Haven	Lewis of Berlin	Strong of Albany
Cupoli of Rutland City	Marcotte of Coventry	Terenzini of Rutland Town
Devereux of Mount Holly	Martel of Waterford	Turner of Milton
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Mattos of Milton	Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh
Donahue of Northfield	McCoy of Poultney	Viens of Newport City
Frenier of Chelsea	McFaun of Barre Town	Wright of Burlington
	Morrissey of Bennington	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais	Gardner of Richmond	Mrowicki of Putney
Baser of Bristol	Gonzalez of Winooski	Murphy of Fairfax
Beck of St. Johnsbury	Grad of Moretown	Noyes of Wolcott
Belaski of Windsor	Haas of Rochester	Ode of Burlington
Bissonnette of Winooski	Head of South Burlington	Pajala of Londonderry
Bock of Chester	Hebert of Vernon	Partridge of Windham
Botzow of Pownal	Helm of Fair Haven	Poirier of Barre City
Briglin of Thetford	Hill of Wolcott	Potter of Clarendon
Brumsted of Shelburne	Hooper of Montpelier	Pugh of South Burlington
Burke of Brattleboro	Hooper of Randolph	Rachelson of Burlington
Carr of Brandon	Houghton of Essex	Read of Fayston
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs	Howard of Rutland City	Scheu of Middlebury
	Jessup of Middlesex	Scheuermann of Stowe

Christensen of Weathersfield	Jickling of Randolph	Sharpe of Bristol
Christie of Hartford	Juskiewicz of Cambridge	Shaw of Pittsford
Colburn of Burlington	Keenan of St. Albans City	Squirrell of Underhill
Connor of Fairfield	Kimbell of Woodstock	Stevens of Waterbury
Conquest of Newbury	Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Sullivan of Burlington
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	Krowinski of Burlington	Taylor of Colchester
Corcoran of Bennington	Lalonde of South Burlington	Toleno of Brattleboro
Deen of Westminster	Lanpher of Vergennes	Toll of Danville
Donovan of Burlington	Lippert of Hinesburg	Trieber of Rockingham
Dunn of Essex	Long of Newfane	Troiano of Stannard
Emmons of Springfield	Macaig of Williston	Walz of Barre City
Fagan of Rutland City	Masland of Thetford	Webb of Shelburne
Feltus of Lyndon	McCormack of Burlington	Weed of Enosburgh
Forguites of Springfield	McCullough of Williston	Wood of Waterbury
Gannon of Wilmington	Miller of Shaftsbury	Yacovone of Morristown
	Morris of Bennington	Yantachka of Charlotte

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bartholomew of Hartland	Higley of Lowell	Stuart of Brattleboro
Buckholz of Hartford	Joseph of North Hero	Sullivan of Dorset
Cina of Burlington	Lucke of Hartford	Till of Jericho
Condon of Colchester	Nolan of Morristown	Townsend of South Burlington
Conlon of Cornwall	Norris of Shoreham	Willhoit of St. Johnsbury
Dakin of Colchester	O'Sullivan of Burlington	Young of Glover
Fields of Bennington	Pearce of Richford	
Gage of Rutland City	Sheldon of Middlebury	
Giambatista of Essex	Sibilia of Dover	

Rep. Browning of Arlington explained her vote as follows:

“Madam Speaker:

I vote yes to maintain the current Education Fund share in future General Fund surpluses, which could reduce future property tax rates. Vermonters deserve no less.”

Thereupon, the House concurred in the Senate proposal of amendment with a further proposal of amendment as moved by **Rep. Toll of Danville**.

House Bill Introduced

H. 18

Rep. Turner of Milton introduced a bill, entitled

An act relating to making short-term appropriations for the support of State government and setting the yield and nonresidential rate

Which was read the first time and referred to the committee on Appropriations.

Adjournment

At eleven o'clock and forty-eight minutes in the forenoon, on motion of **Rep. Turner of Milton**, the House adjourned until Wednesday June 27, 2018 at ten o'clock in the forenoon.

Message from the Senate No. 7**Special Session**

A message was received from the Senate by Mr. Bloomer, its Secretary, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has considered House proposal of amendment to Senate proposal of amendment to House bill of the following title:

H. 16. An act relating to vital records.

And has concurred therein.

Message from Governor

A message was received from His Excellency, the Governor, by Ms. Jaye Pershing Johnson, Secretary of Civil and Military Affairs, Secretary of Civil and Military Affairs, as follows:

Madam Speaker:

I am directed by the Governor to inform the House of Representatives that on the twenty-second day of June 2018, he signed a bill originating in the House of the following title:

H. 9 An act relating to the fair repair of consumer electronic devices

Concurrent Resolutions Adopted

The following concurrent resolutions, having been placed on the Consent Calendar on the preceding legislative day, and no member having requested floor consideration as provided by Joint Rules of the Senate and House of Representatives, are hereby adopted in concurrence.

H.C.R. 2

House concurrent resolution honoring outstanding Windham County music educator Andrew Davis;

H.C.R. 3

House concurrent resolution congratulating Gisele Seymour of Derby on her centennial birthday;

H.C.R. 4

House concurrent resolution in memory of orchestral conductor and music scholar Andrew Massey of Montgomery;

H.C.R. 5

House concurrent resolution congratulating the 2018 St. Johnsbury Academy Hilltoppers girls' track and field team on winning its fourth consecutive Division I championship;

H.C.R. 6

House concurrent resolution congratulating the 2018 Essex High School State championship boys' tennis team;

H.C.R. 7

House concurrent resolution congratulating the 2018 Essex High School Hornets Division I championship softball team;

H.C.R. 8

House concurrent resolution congratulating Molly McCreedy of Calais on her achievements as a high school shot put athlete;

H.C.R. 9

House concurrent resolution congratulating the U-32 High School Raiders on winning their sixth consecutive Division II boys' track and field championship;

H.C.R. 10

House concurrent resolution congratulating the "Timeless Oldies Variety Show" on its 200th weekly radio broadcast;

H.C.R. 11

House concurrent resolution congratulating the 2018 Essex High School Hornets Division I boys' track and field championship team;

H.C.R. 12

House concurrent resolution congratulating the 2018 Lake Region Union High School Rangers Division II championship baseball team;

H.C.R. 13

House concurrent resolution congratulating Preston Gordon on winning the 2018 boys singles individual State tennis tournament;

H.C.R. 14

House concurrent resolution congratulating the 2018 South Burlington Wolves Division I championship baseball team;

[The full text of the concurrent resolutions appeared in the House Calendar Addendum on the preceding legislative day and will appear in the Public Acts and Resolves of the 2018, seventy-fourth Biennial session.]