RESULTS OF VIT ONLIN	E USER SURVEY									
What is the name of your organization?	What was the primary purpose of your VIT use?	Has your organization identified an alternative to meet your videoconference or distance learning needs after January 1, 2016?	What alternative technology or other methods have you identified to meet your needs?	How does this compare to VIT in terms of availability, ease of use for you and your customers, and expense?	What alternative technology or other methods have you considered?	What features of VIT are most difficult for your organization to replace through an alternative technology/method?	What impact will there be on your organization when VIT services are no longer available?	How many times per year did your organization use VIT?	How many people participated per meeting/class held by you on VIT?	How many sites per meeting/class on the VIT system did you use ?
							difficult to reach people throughout the state. will have to have more meetings, where people can't hear each other's			
	Government Business/Meeting	No				web cast meeting space	ideas, or have one meeting where likely fewer people will attend	2-4 times per year	20 to 50	10 to 17
					ViDyo, desktop VC superior to Skype NOT FREE anyway, requires equipment on site as	Equipment on site and properly provisioned IP connection for	we will not be able to videoconference with Vermont participants, no other	5 or more times		
StandByVideo Vermont Department of	Other Business/Meeting	No			well	videoconference the quality of the transmission	alternatives we will need to schedule some in person meetings in lieu of this service, which will be less cost	per year 2-4 times per	Less than 5	One
•	Education	No			Web Ex	can't be beat	effective	year	10 to 20	6 to 10
IBEW Local 300	Non Profit Business/Meeting	No			We would prefer similar technology that allows multiple location access.	Multiple location access.	Communications to members will be diminished. Face-to-face interaction will be limited.	5 or more times per year	20 to 50	2 to 6
VTAPTA (Vermont Chapter of American Physical	Education	No			Online webinar (Go-To-	Live presentations at host site will be difficult to replace	J J	5 or more times	20 to 50	2 to 6
Therapy Association)	Education	No			Webinar/Meeting style)	Interactive nature; participants being able to see each other and	It will drastically increase our costs for hosting trainings and participants costs/time to attend	per year 2-4 times per	20 to 30	2 10 6
Vermont Afterschool	Education Government	No			Webinars	talk with one another	trainings More travel for the public to	year 5 or more times	20 to 50	6 to 10
US Bankruptcy Court-VT Vermont Association for the Blind and Visually	Business/Meeting Non Profit	No			Self hosted with limited access	Multiple sites	access Bankruptcy court having to pay mileage for staff members to drive further to	per year 5 or more times	10 to 20	2 to 6
Impaired Senate Appropriations	Business/Meeting	No			Google Hangout, teleconference	Having a face to face meeting	meetings	per year	10 to 20	6 to 10
Committee (VT Legislature)	Government Business/Meeting	No			Other video conferencing methods		Will have to utilize other video conferencing methods	once a year or less	More than 50	10 to 17
Vermont Association for	Education	N-					we will no longer be able to reach all of our statewide members for impt Spring and Fall continmuing	2-4 times per	20.4 50	2: 5
Justice	Education	No			Live streaming	efficiency, professionalism, cost	legal ed seminars	year	20 to 50	2 to 6

RESULTS OF VIT ONLIN	E USER SURVEY									
What is the name of your organization?	What was the primary purpose of your VIT use?	Has your organization identified an alternative to meet your videoconference or distance learning needs after January 1, 2016?	What alternative technology or other methods have you identified to meet your needs?	How does this compare to VIT in terms of availability, ease of use for you and your customers, and expense?	What alternative technology or	What features of VIT are most difficult for your organization to replace through an alternative technology/method?	What impact will there be on your organization when VIT services are no longer available?	How many times per year did your organization use VIT?	How many people participated per meeting/class held by you on VIT?	How many sites per meeting/class on the VIT system did you use ?
<u>-</u>	Non Profit	•		·		Having the IT support at other	This is a huge impact on how we	2-4 times per		
SASH/Cathedral Square	Business/Meeting	No			Skype or Go To Training	sites	will traing statewide	year	20 to 50	6 to 10
	Non Profit				1	The travel for people coming		5 or more times		
IBEW Local 2326	Business/Meeting	No			Still investigating	from remote areas	Huge inconveniences	per year	More than 50	6 to 10
								5 or more times		
Connexus, Inc.	Other Business/Meeting	No			Skype or FaceTime	Video conference quality	Less reliable poor quality meeting	per year	Less than 5	One
						Providing education courses				
Vermont Insurance Agents					Expanding our webinar and	throughout the state in multiple	Increased costs for instructors	2-4 times per		
Association	Education	No			streaming partnerships	locations	and hotels	year	More than 50	2 to 6
Vermont Agency of	Government					Convenience of location, ADA accessability, provision for sign language interpretation or ESL		2-4 times per		40.4-
Transportation	Business/Meeting	No			Skype, Webex etc	translation A sense of connectivity across the	Not yet determined	year	20 to 50	10 to 17
Vermont Businesses for	Non Profit					state, personalized touches at		once a year or		
Social Responsibility	Business/Meeting	No				locations.		less		6 to 10
' '	Non Profit					Multiple locations available with		once a year or		
Vermont	Business/Meeting	No			Setting up our own technology.	room for many people	Not certain	less		6 to 10
Vermont Public Service	Government				Researching videoconferencing	Unknown until research is	We will need to find an	once a year or		
	Business/Meeting Non Profit	No			options	completed	alternative	less	20 to 50	10 to 17
	Business/Meeting	No								
	Non Profit	INO						once a year or		
	Business/Meeting	No			videoconferencing	Geography and Distance	Uncertain	less		2 to 6
Sisterillood	Dusiness/ Meeting	INO			GoToMeeting, Webinar through	deography and Distance	Officertain	1033	10 10 20	2 10 0
					UVM meduical Center Telemedicine, recording a video					
					of a speaker to share with school	tech support at each site; number	We have discontinued Grand	5 or more times		
	Education	No			nurses.	and location of sites	Rounds for School Nurses	per year	More than 50	10 to 17
							Great staff and travel costs to staff multiple meetings			
	Government				In face meetings - at strategic	Cost effectiveness of holding	geographically dispersed around	5 or more times		
State of vermont	Business/Meeting	No		11. 11.	geographic locations	statewide meetings.	the state.	per year		10 to 17
VSAC	Education	Yes	Hotel-based meeting	Limits access we will offer 2 instead of 6+ locations.				2-4 times per year		6 to 10
UVM Extension Master				less expensive, easier to use for organization, may be more				5 or more times		
Gardener	Education	Yes	Online webinar software	difficult for customers				per year	More than 50	10 to 17

RESULTS OF VIT ONLIN	NE USER SURVEY								
What is the name of your organization?	What was the primary purpose of your VIT use?	Has your organization identified an alternative to meet your videoconference or distance learning needs after January 1, 2016?	What alternative technology or	How does this compare to VIT in terms of availability, ease of use for you and your customers, and expense?	What features of VIT are most difficult for your organization to replace through an alternative technology/method?	What impact will there be on your organization when VIT services are no longer available?	-	How many people participated per meeting/class held by you on VIT?	How many sites per meeting/class on the VIT system did you use ?
				1. Availability of venue sites and					
				space is greatly reduced. 2. The					
				ease of use for both attendees and provider is greatly diminished					
			We have been forced to move	almost to the point of					
			away from current video-	'hardship' for many individuals.					
			conferencing and distance	3. The difference concerning					
			learning technology. We are	expense is harder to predict, but					
			attempting to establish full day	evidence suggests expenses will			5 or more times		
The VLPA	Education	Yes	live attendance seminars.	increase significantly. Library system not high quality,			per year	More than 50	2 to 6
				students would have to drive					
				farther, online and adobe					
			Adobe Connect, Blackboard	connect don't foster the sense of					
Continuing and Distance			online courses, ITV systems at	cohort that the program is known			5 or more times		
Education at UVM	Education	Yes	local public libraries	for			per year	10 to 20	2 to 6
Chata af Manna ant	Government	V	Co. To Marchine (Marchine)	People will be able to log on from			2-4 times per	NA	C+- 40
State of Vermont	Business/Meeting	Yes	Go - To Meeting/Webinar	their home computers. not as easy to use, visual is less		+	year	More than 50	6 to 10
	Non Profit			clear, having outside groups joinis			5 or more times		
VR	Business/Meeting	Yes	in-house video conferencing	more difficult			per year	More than 50	2 to 6
				So far, VIT offered better visibility			5 or more times		
Vermont Tech	Education	Yes	some type of videoconferencing	'			per year	20 to 50	2 to 6