

# Firearm-Related Injury and Death in the United States: A Call to Action From 8 Health Professional Organizations and the American Bar Association

Steven E. Weinberger, MD; David B. Hoyt, MD; Hal C. Lawrence III, MD; Saul Levin, MD, MPA; Douglas E. Henley, MD; Errol R. Alden, MD; Dean Wilkerson, JD, MBA; Georges C. Benjamin, MD; and William C. Hubbard, JD

Deaths and injuries related to firearms constitute a major public health problem in the United States. In response to firearm violence and other firearm-related injuries and deaths, an interdisciplinary, interprofessional group of leaders of 8 national health professional organizations and the American Bar Association, representing the official policy positions of their organizations, advocate a series of measures aimed at reducing the health and public health consequences of firearms. The specific recommendations include universal background checks of gun purchasers, elimination of physician "gag laws," restricting the manufacture and sale of military-style assault weapons and large-capacity magazines for civilian use, and research to support strategies for

reducing firearm-related injuries and deaths. The health professional organizations also advocate for improved access to mental health services and avoidance of stigmatization of persons with mental and substance use disorders through blanket reporting laws. The American Bar Association, acting through its Standing Committee on Gun Violence, confirms that none of these recommendations conflict with the Second Amendment or previous rulings of the U.S. Supreme Court.

Ann Intern Med. doi:10.7326/M15-0337

www.annals.org

For author affiliations, see end of text.

This article was published online first at www.annals.org on 24 February 2015.

**A**cross the United States, physicians have first-hand experience with the effects of firearm-related injuries and deaths and the impact of such events on the lives of their patients. Many physicians and other health professionals recognize that this is not just a criminal violence issue but also a major public health problem (1, 2).

Because of this, we, the executive staff leadership of 7 physician professional societies (whose members include most U.S. physicians), renew our organizations' call for policies to reduce the rate of firearm injuries and deaths in the United States and reiterate our commitment to be a part of the solution in mitigating these events. We represent the American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, American College of Emergency Physicians, American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, American College of Physicians, American College of Surgeons, and American Psychiatric Association. The American Public Health Association, which is committed to improving the health of the population, and the American Bar Association (ABA), which is committed to helping lawyers and the public understand that the Second Amendment does not impede reasonable measures to limit firearm violence, join the physician organizations in articulating the principles and consensus-based recommendations summarized herein.

The recommendations presented here are based substantially on the various positions approved and adopted by our organizations (3-12).

## BACKGROUND

In the United States, firearm-related deaths and injuries are a major public health problem that requires diligent and persistent attention. Each year, more than 32 000 persons die as a result of firearm-related vio-

lence, suicides, and accidents in the United States; this rate is by far the highest among industrialized countries (13, 14). Firearms are the second-leading cause of death due to injury after motor vehicle crashes for adults and adolescents (15). What's more, the number of nonfatal firearm injuries is more than double the number of deaths (16). Although much attention has been given to the mass shootings that have occurred in the United States in recent years, the 88 deaths per day due to firearm-related homicides, suicides, and unintentional deaths are equally concerning (17).

Approximately 300 000 000 guns are owned by U.S. civilians, ranking the United States first among 178 countries in terms of the number of privately owned guns (18-20). Although some persons suggest that firearms provide protection, substantial evidence indicates that firearms increase the likelihood of homicide or, even more commonly, suicide. Access in the home and general access to firearms have also been shown to increase risk for suicide among adolescents and adults (21). This violence comes at a substantial price to our nation, with a total societal cost of \$174 billion in 2010 (22).

Our organizations support a public health approach to firearm-related violence and prevention of firearm injuries and deaths. Similar approaches have produced major achievements in the reduction of tobacco use, motor vehicle deaths (seat belts), and unintentional poisoning and can serve as models going forward. Along with our colleagues in law and public health, those of us who represent the nation's physicians are aware of the significant political and philo-

### See also:

Related article ..... 1

## MEDICINE AND PUBLIC ISSUES

### Firearm-Related Injury and Death in the United States

sophical differences about firearm ownership and regulation in the United States, but we are committed to reaching out to bridge these differences, with the goal of improving the health and safety of our patients and their families. We strongly support a multifaceted public health approach.

To reduce firearm-related injuries and deaths, it is essential to address culture, firearm safety, and regulation that maximizes safety while being consistent with the Second Amendment. In addition, improving the diagnosis and treatment of persons with mental and substance use disorders is critical, especially because of the risk for firearm-related suicides in persons with these conditions. However, we believe that efforts to address firearm-related violence should focus on reducing availability to persons who may pose a threat to themselves or others and not simply single out persons with any mental or substance use disorder.

On the basis of this background and our organizational policies, we believe that the following recommendations appropriately integrate the multidisciplinary perspectives of medical, public health, and legal professionals.

### BACKGROUND CHECKS FOR FIREARM PURCHASES

Our organizations strongly support requiring criminal background checks for all firearm purchases, including sales by gun dealers, sales at gun shows, and private sales between individuals. Although current laws require background checks at gun stores, purchases at gun shows do not require such checks. This loophole must be closed. In 2010, of the 14 million persons who submitted to a background check to purchase or transfer possession of a firearm, 153 000 were prohibited purchasers and blocked from making a purchase (23). Background checks clearly help to keep firearms out of the hands of persons at risk for using them to harm themselves or others. However, 40% of firearm transfers take place through means other than a licensed dealer; as a result, an estimated 6.6 million firearms are sold annually with no background checks (24). The only way to ensure that all prohibited purchasers are prevented from acquiring firearms is to make background checks a universal requirement for all gun purchases or transfers of ownership.

### PHYSICIAN “GAG LAWS”

Patients trust their physicians to advise them on issues that affect their health, and physicians can answer questions and educate the public on the risks of firearm ownership and the need for firearm safety. Often, these confidential conversations occur during regular examinations and are a natural part of the patient-physician relationship. Because of this, our organizations oppose state and federal mandates that interfere with physician free speech and the patient-physician relationship, including laws that forbid physicians to discuss a patient's gun ownership (25).

When appropriate, physicians can intervene with patients who are at risk for injuring themselves or others due to firearm access. To do so, physicians must be allowed to speak freely to their patients in a nonjudgmental manner about firearms, provide patients with factual information about firearms relevant to their health and the health of those around them, fully answer their patients' questions, and advise them on the course of behaviors that promote health and safety without fear of liability or penalty. Physicians must also be able to document these conversations in the medical record as they are able and required to do with discussion of other behaviors that can affect health.

### MENTAL HEALTH

Although mental and substance use disorders in and of themselves are only a small factor in societal violence, they can be a significant factor in firearm-related suicide. Access to mental health care is critical for all persons who have a mental or substance use disorder. The health professional organizations represented in this article support improved access to mental health care and caution against broadly including all persons with any mental or substance use disorder in a category of persons prohibited from purchasing firearms. We also support adequate resources to facilitate coordination among physicians and state, local, and community-based behavioral health systems so they can provide care to patients, raise awareness, and reduce social stigma.

Early identification, intervention, and treatment of mental and substance use disorders would reduce the consequences of firearm-related injury and death (9). The overall proportion of violent acts committed by persons with mental or substance use disorders is relatively low, and those who receive adequate treatment from health professionals are less likely to commit acts of violence (26, 27). Reducing firearm-related violence and suicide requires keeping firearms out of the hands of persons who may harm themselves or others, but it is important that restrictions be applied appropriately by limiting access to such individuals rather than limiting access solely on the basis of a mental or substance use disorder (28).

### REPORTING LAWS

Blanket reporting laws that compel physicians and other health professionals to report patients who are displaying signs that they might cause serious harm to themselves or others may have unintended consequences. They can stigmatize persons with mental or substance use disorders, create a disincentive for them to seek treatment, and undermine the patient-physician relationship. The health professional organizations represented in this article urge legislators considering such proposals to do so in a way that protects confidentiality and does not deter patients from seeking treatment of a mental or substance use disorder. For persons whose right to purchase or possess a firearm has

been suspended on grounds relating to a mental or substance use disorder, there should be a fair, equitable, and reasonable process established for restoration that balances the individual's rights with public safety.

### ASSAULT WEAPONS

The need for reasonable federal laws, compliant with the Second Amendment, about "assault weapons" and large-capacity magazines has been debated recently. We believe that private ownership of military-style assault weapons and large-capacity magazines represents a grave danger to the public, as several recent mass shooting incidents in the United States have demonstrated. Although evidence to document the effectiveness of the Federal Assault Weapons Ban of 1994 on the reduction of overall firearm-related injuries and deaths is limited, our organizations believe that a common-sense approach compels restrictions for civilian use on the manufacture and sale of large-capacity magazines and firearms with features designed to increase their rapid and extended killing capacity. It seems that such restrictions could only reduce the risk for casualties associated with mass shootings.

### NEED FOR RESEARCH

As data-driven decision makers, we advocate for robust research about the causes and consequences of firearm violence and unintentional injuries and for strategies to reduce firearm-related injuries. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institutes of Health, and National Institute of Justice should receive adequate funding to study the effect of gun violence and unintentional gun-related injury on public health and safety. Access to data should not be restricted, so researchers can do studies that enable the development of evidence-based policies to reduce the rate of firearm injuries and deaths in this nation.

### CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS

These recommendations do not come solely from a group of health organizations without expertise in constitutional law but have been developed in collaboration with colleagues from the ABA, which has confirmed that these recommendations are constitutionally sound. For 50 years, the ABA has acknowledged the tragic consequences of firearm-related injury and death in our society and expressed strong support for meaningful reforms to the nation's gun laws, as well as for other measures designed to reduce gun violence that do not fall under Second Amendment scrutiny. Because the courts have repeatedly held that the Second Amendment is consistent with a wide variety of laws to reduce gun-related deaths and injuries in our nation (yet confusion exists among the public about whether the Second Amendment is an obstacle to sensible laws), 1 mission of the ABA has been to educate its

members, as well as the public at large, about the true meaning and application of the Second Amendment.

The Supreme Court, in its controlling 2008 decision, *District of Columbia v. Heller*, concluded that Second Amendment rights are not unlimited with regard to who may possess firearms, what kinds of firearms they may possess, or where they may possess them (29). The Court made clear that the Second Amendment should not be understood as conferring a "right to keep and carry any weapon whatsoever in any manner whatsoever and for whatever purpose"; identified a nonexhaustive list of "presumptively lawful regulatory measures"; and noted that the Second Amendment is consistent with laws banning "dangerous and unusual weapons" not in common use, such as firearms that are most typically used by the military (29).

Further, after *Heller*, more than 900 court decisions have upheld a wide variety of regulations to reduce gun violence (30), and only a few rulings have struck down certain types of firearm laws (31, 32). No ruling of the Supreme Court (or any other court, for that matter) calls into question any of the specific proposals that we recommend.

### CONCLUSION

We believe that multidisciplinary, interprofessional collaboration is critical to bringing about meaningful changes to reduce the burden of firearm-related injuries and death on persons, families, communities, and society in general. We are committed to working with all stakeholders to find effective solutions through reasonable regulation to keep firearms out of the hands of persons who are at risk for using them to intentionally or unintentionally harm themselves or others, as well as prevention, early intervention, and treatment of mental and substance use disorders.

From American College of Physicians, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; American College of Surgeons and American Bar Association, Chicago, Illinois; American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and American Public Health Association, Washington, DC; American Psychiatric Association, Arlington, Virginia; American Academy of Family Physicians, Leawood, Kansas; American Academy of Pediatrics, Elk Grove Village, Illinois; and American College of Emergency Physicians, Irving, Texas.

**Acknowledgment:** The authors thank Renee Butkus for her invaluable role in developing the document. They also thank the persons who reviewed and provided input about the document for their professional organization, including Robert Doherty, David W. Clark, Kate Connors, Judith C. Dolins, Kristin Kroeger Ptakowski, Dr. Debra A. Pinals, Dr. Marco Coppola, Dr. Alex Rosenau, and Dr. Sandra Schneider.

**Disclosures:** Disclosures can be viewed at [www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M15-0337](http://www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M15-0337).

**Requests for Single Reprints:** Steven E. Weinberger, MD, American College of Physicians, 190 N. Independence Mall

West, Philadelphia, PA 19106; e-mail, [sweinberger@acponline.org](mailto:sweinberger@acponline.org).

Current author addresses and author contributions are available at [www.annals.org](http://www.annals.org).

## References

1. Frattaroli S, Webster DW, Wintemute GJ. Implementing a public health approach to gun violence prevention: the importance of physician engagement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;158:697-8. [PMID: 23400374] doi:10.7326/0003-4819-158-9-201305070-00597
2. Laine C, Taichman DB, Mulrow C, Berkwitz M, Cotton D, Williams SV. A resolution for physicians: time to focus on the public health threat of gun violence [Editorial]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;158:493-4. [PMID: 23277894]
3. Butkus R, Doherty R, Daniel H; Health and Public Policy Committee of the American College of Physicians. Reducing firearm-related injuries and deaths in the United States: executive summary of a policy position paper from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014;160:858-60. [PMID: 24722815] doi:10.7326/M14-0216
4. American Academy of Family Physicians. Firearms and Safety Issues. Accessed at [www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/weapons-laws.html](http://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/weapons-laws.html) on 30 January 2015.
5. American Academy of Pediatrics. Federal Policies to Keep Children Safe. Accessed at [www.aap.org/en-us/advocacy-and-policy/federal-advocacy/Pages/AAPFederalGunViolencePreventionRecommendationstoWhiteHouse.aspx](http://www.aap.org/en-us/advocacy-and-policy/federal-advocacy/Pages/AAPFederalGunViolencePreventionRecommendationstoWhiteHouse.aspx) on 30 January 2015.
6. American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Gun Violence and Safety. Washington, DC: American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2014. Accessed at [www.acog.org/-/media/Statements-of-Policy/Public/2014GunViolenceAndSafety.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20150130T1447428199](http://www.acog.org/-/media/Statements-of-Policy/Public/2014GunViolenceAndSafety.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20150130T1447428199) on 30 January 2015.
7. American College of Emergency Physicians. Firearm Safety and Injury Prevention. Irving, TX: American College of Emergency Physicians; 2013. Accessed at [www.acep.org/Clinical-Practice-Management/Firearm-Safety-and-Injury-Prevention](http://www.acep.org/Clinical-Practice-Management/Firearm-Safety-and-Injury-Prevention) on 30 January 2015.
8. American College of Surgeons. Statement on Firearm Injuries. Chicago, IL: American College of Surgeons; 2013. Accessed at [www.facs.org/about-acs/statements/12-firearm-injuries](http://www.facs.org/about-acs/statements/12-firearm-injuries) on 30 January 2015.
9. American Psychiatric Association. Position Statement on Firearm Access, Acts of Violence and the Relationship to Mental Illness and Mental Health Services. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2014. Accessed at [www.psychiatry.org/File%20Library/Learn/Archives/Position-2014-Firearm-Access.pdf](http://www.psychiatry.org/File%20Library/Learn/Archives/Position-2014-Firearm-Access.pdf) on 30 January 2015.
10. American Public Health Association. Handgun Injury Reduction. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 1998. Accessed at [www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-database/2014/07/29/14/37/handgun-injury-reduction](http://www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-database/2014/07/29/14/37/handgun-injury-reduction) on 2 February 2015.
11. American Public Health Association. Support Renewal with Strengthening of the Federal Assault Weapons Ban. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 2003. Accessed at [www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-database/2014/07/24/17/55/support-renewal-with-strengthening-of-the-federal-assault-weapons-ban](http://www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-database/2014/07/24/17/55/support-renewal-with-strengthening-of-the-federal-assault-weapons-ban) on 2 February 2015.
12. American Bar Association Standing Committee on Gun Violence. Policy. Accessed at [www.americanbar.org/groups/committees/gun\\_violence/policy.html](http://www.americanbar.org/groups/committees/gun_violence/policy.html) on 30 January 2015.
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. FastStats: All Injuries. Accessed at [www.cdc.gov/nchs/faststats/injury.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/faststats/injury.htm) on 30 January 2015.
14. Richardson EG, Hemenway D. Homicide, suicide, and unintentional firearm fatality: comparing the United States with other high-income countries, 2003. *J Trauma*. 2011;70:238-43. [PMID: 20571454] doi:10.1097/TA.0b013e3181dbaddf
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Injury Prevention & Control: Data & Statistics (WISQARS). Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2014. Accessed at [www.cdc.gov/ncipc/wisqars](http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/wisqars) on 12 January 2015.
16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Nonfatal Injury Reports, 2001–2013. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 28 March 2013. Accessed at <http://webappa.cdc.gov/sasweb/ncipc/nfirates2001.html> on 13 February 2015.
17. Hoyert DL, Xu J. Deaths: preliminary data for 2011. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2012;61(6):1-51. [PMID: 24984457]
18. Karp A. Completing the Count: Civilian Firearms. Accessed at [www.smallarmssurvey.org/fileadmin/docs/A-Yearbook/2007/en/full/Small-Arms-Survey-2007-Chapter-02-EN.pdf](http://www.smallarmssurvey.org/fileadmin/docs/A-Yearbook/2007/en/full/Small-Arms-Survey-2007-Chapter-02-EN.pdf) on 10 February 2015.
19. Krouse WJ. Gun Control Legislation. Washington, DC: U.S. Congressional Research Service; 14 November 2012:8-9. Accessed at [www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL32842.pdf](http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL32842.pdf) on 11 February 2015.
20. Alpers P, Rossetti A, Salinas D, Wilson M. Rate of Civilian Firearm Possession per 100 Population. United States—Gun Facts, Figures and the Law. Sydney, Australia: Sydney School of Public Health, The University of Sydney; 2015. Accessed at [www.gunpolicy.org/firearms/region/united-states](http://www.gunpolicy.org/firearms/region/united-states) on 12 February 2015.
21. Anglemeyer A, Horvath T, Rutherford G. The accessibility of firearms and risk for suicide and homicide victimization among household members: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014;160:101-10. [PMID: 24592495]
22. Miller TR. The Cost of Firearm Violence. Calverton, MD: Children's Safety Network; 2012. Accessed at [www.childrensafetynetwork.org/publications/cost-firearm-violence](http://www.childrensafetynetwork.org/publications/cost-firearm-violence) on 30 January 2015.
23. Federal Bureau of Investigation. National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) Operations 2010. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice; 2010. Accessed at [www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics/reports/2010-operations-report/2010-operations-report-pdf](http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics/reports/2010-operations-report/2010-operations-report-pdf) on 30 January 2015.
24. Cook PJ, Ludwig J. Guns in America: National Survey on Private Ownership and Use of Firearms. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice Research in Brief, May 1997. Accessed at [www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles/165476.pdf](http://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles/165476.pdf) on 30 January 2015.
25. Weinberger SE, Lawrence HC 3rd, Henley DE, Alden ER, Hoyt DB. Legislative interference with the patient-physician relationship. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367:1557-9. [PMID: 23075183] doi:10.1056/NEJMs1209858
26. Treatment Advocacy Center. Treatment Advocacy Center Briefing Paper: Law enforcement and people with several mental illness. Arlington, VA: Treatment Advocacy Center; 2005. Accessed at [www.popcenter.org/problems/mental\\_illness/PDFs/TAC\\_2005a.pdf](http://www.popcenter.org/problems/mental_illness/PDFs/TAC_2005a.pdf) on 9 February 2015.
27. Friedman RA. Violence and mental illness—how strong is the link? *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355:2064-6. [PMID: 17108340]
28. Fisher CE, Lieberman JA. Getting the facts straight about gun violence and mental illness: putting compassion before fear. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;159:423-4. [PMID: 23836046] doi:10.7326/0003-4819-159-5-201309030-00679
29. District of Columbia v. Heller, 128 S.Ct. 2783 (2008).
30. Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence. Post-Heller Litigation Summary. 21 November 2014. Accessed at <http://smartgunlaws.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/Post-Heller-Litigation-Summary-November-2014.pdf> on 9 February 2015.
31. Moore v. Madigan, 702 F3d 933, 942 (7th Cir 2012).
32. Ezell v. City of Chicago, 651 F3d 684 (7th Cir 2011).

**Current Author Addresses:** Dr. Weinberger: American College of Physicians, 190 N. Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

Dr. Hoyt: American College of Surgeons, 633 North Saint Clair Street, Chicago, IL 60611.

Dr. Lawrence: American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 409 12th Street Southwest, Washington, DC 20024.

Dr. Levin: American Psychiatric Association, 1000 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1825, Arlington, VA 22209.

Dr. Henley: American Academy of Family Physicians, 11400 Tomahawk Creek Parkway, Leawood, KS 66211.

Dr. Alden: American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007.

Mr. Wilkerson: American College of Emergency Physicians, 1125 Executive Circle, Irving, TX 75038.

Dr. Benjamin: American Public Health Association, 8001 I Street Northwest, Washington, DC 20001.

Mr. Hubbard: American Bar Association, 321 North Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60654.

**Author Contributions:** Conception and design: S.E. Weinberger, H.C. Lawrence, E.R. Alden.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: H.C. Lawrence, D. Wilkerson.

Drafting of the article: S.E. Weinberger, H.C. Lawrence, D.E. Henley, D. Wilkerson, G.C. Benjamin.

Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: S.E. Weinberger, D.B. Hoyt, H.C. Lawrence, S. Levin, E.R. Alden, D. Wilkerson, W.C. Hubbard.

Final approval of the article: S.E. Weinberger, D.B. Hoyt, H.C. Lawrence, S. Levin, D. Henley, E.R. Alden, D. Wilkerson, G.C. Benjamin, W.C. Hubbard.

Administrative, technical, or logistic support: S.E. Weinberger, H.C. Lawrence.

Collection and assembly of data: H.C. Lawrence, E.R. Alden.