Testimony re ACOs & All-Payer Waiver

Senate Health & Welfare January 7, 2016

John Michael Hall Executive Director CVAA



Services and Support for Seniors

- The All-Payor Waiver & ACO Proposal is Misguided
- The Reality Is: HEALTH HAPPENS AT HOME, Not in Hospitals
- It is Time to Stop Chasing Disease From Hospitals -- and Instead Incent Primary Care & Community-Based Providers to <u>Prevent</u> Disease
- The State's Proposal is Neither Integrated, Nor Coordinated Care
- The State's Proposal Fails to Align Provider Incentives
- The Legislature Should Require Hospitals & ACOs to Build Care Coordination Capacity Primarily by Investing in & Fortifying the Existing Community-Based Infrastructure and Primary Care
- The Legislature Should Require Hospitals & ACOs to Buy Services from Existing Primary & Community-Based Providers, Not Build Internal, Redundant Capacity – "<u>Buy It, Don't Build It</u>"
- The Legislature Should Require an Explicit Roadmap & Timeline for Including Behavioral Health, Long-Term Care and Other Community-Based Services in the All-Payer Waiver / Total Cost of Care

The All-Payor Waiver / ACO Proposal is Misguided

- The State is aggressively pushing a hospital-centric proposal, empowering tertiary hospitals to manage Vermont's health care system – entrusting Vermont's most expensive health care providers to "control" health care costs
- This despite the fact 60-70% of determinants of health care outcomes & expenditures are driven by these environmental / social variables and patient behaviors occurring outside and beyond the reach of the hospitals



 Hospitals lack visibility into patients' homes – they have limited/no ability to influence environmental variables or optimize compliance/adherence

The Reality Is: HEALTH HAPPENS AT HOME, Not in Hospitals

- Vermont cannot control hospital costs or Vermont's health care spending trends -- you cannot reduce the incidence / impact of chronic disease – with hospital-based strategies
- Some argue that the State is focusing on hospitals because "that's where the money is."
- The truth is this: the money is <u>in</u> the hospitals only because we do an terrible job of keeping people <u>out</u> of hospitals, in the first place
- Vermont's escalating hospital costs & Medicaid budget are a byproduct of unmanaged chronic disease, our failure to address – at their root -- patient behaviors, diet, adherence, environmental and social conditions
- But, Vermont for years has been *underinvesting or disinvesting in primary and community-based care*, cutting or flat funding community-based services, <u>hollowing out community-based</u> <u>health & human services providers</u> ... even while it grants annual rate increases to hospitals and nursing homes

It is Time to Stop Chasing Disease From Hospitals -- and Instead Empower Communities to Prevent Disease

- We cannot sustainably reduce Vermont's health care spending by controlling or capping hospital costs
- To attain better outcomes, reduced chronic disease and reduced cost trends, Vermont must
 - prioritize primary and community-based care
 - focus on prevention, wellness, adherence, chronic disease management -- driven by existing providers at the grass roots with boots on the ground in our communities
 - utilize providers working with patients in their homes and communities

The State's Proposal is Neither Integrated, Nor Coordinated Care

- Carving out pharmacy, behavioral health, long-term care, home & community-based services is neither coordinated nor integrated
- The proposed All-Payor Waiver *perpetuates fractured, uncoordinated, unmanaged care*
- The State's laissez-faire approach -- leaving it up to hospitals to decide when <u>or whether</u> they will access other providers is not a strategic plan, and it is not health reform
- The State's passive approach betrays the <u>lack</u> of a plan, and their fundamental misunderstanding of what drives healthcare costs

The State's Proposal Fails to Align Provider Incentives

- True coordination is achieved <u>only</u> when providers' are incentives and behaviors are aligned, where they have a shared stake in the outcome, and <u>where reimbursement</u> <u>drives coordination</u>
- Any serious healthcare reform should be premised on aligning provider incentives and behaviors – providers behave the way you pay them
- By proposing to include only hospital-based services (Medicare A & B) within the Full Risk Model, the State proposes to <u>leave the rest of the health care system in</u> <u>unmanaged, uncoordinated, volume-driven Fee-for-Service</u>
- <u>At least 75% of Vermont's Medicaid spending is outside</u> the proposed All-Payer Waiver

The Legislature Should Require Hospitals & ACOs to Buy Services from Existing Primary & Community-Based Providers, Not Build Internal, Redundant Capacity – "<u>Buy It, Don't Build It</u>"

- The taxpayers have invested four decades and millions of dollars building capacity in Vermont's behavioral health, Area Agencies on Aging, VNAs/Home Health, FQHCs, Adult Day programs, etc.
- New investments in services, infrastructure & capacity building *particularly federal & state taxpayer dollars* - should strengthen these networks, not duplicate or obsolete their work

The Legislature Should Require an Explicit Roadmap & Timeline for Including Behavioral Health, Long-Term Care and Other Community-Based Services in the Proposed Waiver

- The State Must Propose to CMS a Clear Roadmap & Timeline – a Date Certain -- for Phasing Community-Based Services Into the Waiver
- The State Must Begin Making Parallel Capacity-Building Investments to Equip Community-Based Providers for Integration into the Waiver