FY 2017 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS -	• PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

	Agency of Administration		1						
	AGENCY NAME: Agency of Administration DEPARTMENT NAME: Department of Human Resources								
DIVISION NAME:									
DIVISION NAME:	IDHRIO								
	4400040000								
PRIMARY APPROPRIATION #	1120010000								
PROGRAM NUMBER (if used)	<u> </u>								
FY 2017 Appropriation \$\$	\$ 8,134,704.00								
Budget Amounts in Primary appropriation not related to this program:	\$ 7,706,561.00								
this program.	\$ 7,700,501.00	SECONDARY APPROPRIATION #							
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	\$ -								
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET FY 2017	\$ 428,143.00	n/a							
	•		Population-Level Outcomes Drop Down (scroll and select):	1					
POPULATION-LEVEL OUTCOME:	ME: (8) Vermont has open, effective, and inclusive government with a supported, motivated and accountable State workforce.		<ul> <li>(5) Vermont's families are safe, nurturing, stable, and supported.</li> <li>(6) Vermont's children and young people achieve their potential, including:</li> <li>(6)(A) Pregnant women and young people thrive.</li> <li>(6)(B) Children are ready for school.</li> <li>(6)(C) Children are readity behaviors.</li> <li>(7) Vermont's elders and people with disabilities and people with mental conditions live wit</li> <li>(8) Vermont's State Infrastructure meets the needs of Vermonters, the economy and the e</li> </ul>	_					
POPULATION-LEVEL INDICATOR: Complete timely and accurate investigations involving allegations of employee misconduct		<b>An Indicator is:</b> A measurable condition of well-being for children, adults, families, communities. Examples: violent crime rate; median house price; unemployment rate; % of electric generation from renewable sources; % registered voters voting in general election; % structurally deficient bridges; etc. Not all performance measures have measurable Indicators, although the performance measure may well inform the ultimate Outcome and/or the state of the Outcome.							
						Performar	nce Measure	e Data	
							FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2017
			Performance Measures Types (scroll and select):		FY 2014	FY 2015	Budget	BAA	Budget
Performance Measure A:			(scroll down and select) . 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)						
	# of Investigations completed in 60 da		2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	25	42	31	30		33
Type of PM A:	1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quant	ity or output) (Good PM)	3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	+			EV 0040	EV 0040	EV 0047
					FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 BAA	FY 2017 Budget
Performance Measure B:	% of Completed Cases in 60 days		(scroll down and select)  1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)  2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	26	26%	36%	60%		65%
Type of PM B:	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. qualit	v or efficiency) (Better PM)	3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	20	2078	50 /0	00 /0		00 /0
							FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2017
					FY 2014	FY 2015	Budget	BAA	Budget
Performance Measure C:	% of Completed Cases in 50 days whe From Duty (RFD) status	ere Employee was on paid Relief	(scroll down and select)  1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)  2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	27	18%	20%	25%		35%
Type of PM C:	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. qualit	v or efficiency) (Better PM)	3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)		1070	2070	2070		0070
		y or officiency/ (Dettor I m/	ų,**,**,**,**	L					

## FY 2017 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS - PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

AGENCY NAME:	Agency of Administration
DEPARTMENT NAME:	Department of Human Resources
DIVISION NAME:	DHRIU
VE/COMMENTS/STORY, Describe the measurem	Whether deep it come? Are there only deta limitations or coverta?

NARRATIVE/COMMENTS/STORY: Describe the program. Who/what does it serve? Are there any data limitations or caveats? Explain trend or recent changes. Speak to new initiatives expected to have future impact.

The Department of Human Resources Investigations Unit (DHRIU) examines allegations of misconduct by State employees. Unit Investigators examine cases based on their high level of complexity, criminal component, or severity of the offense. The Unit's overall goal is to prepare clear, concise and detailed investigative reports based on interviews and evidence. It is critical that the investigative reports are completed in a timely manner. Late or stale investigative reports jeopardize the ability to issue discipline and lowers morale. Investigative cases where an employee is placed on paid Relief from Duty (RFD) are paramount. The investigators prioritize these cases insuring that they are completed expeditiously. The goal is to limit costs associated with employees who are out of work for alleged misconduct. Quick turnaround time for investigations involving employees on RFD expedites the process of whether to dismiss the employee or return them to active status. Additionally, it reduces the need to compensate other workers with overtime to fill the void left by the employee on RFD. It is important to note that Investigations are sometimes prolonged because of factors outside of the Unit's control. These factors include but are not limited to when an employee is on Family Medical Leave, Workman's Compensation or is under investigation by law enforcement and a DHRIU investigation could jeopardize a criminal case/prosecution.