

# AGC of America

THE ASSOCIATED GENERAL CONTRACTORS OF AMERICA

**Quality People. Quality Projects.**



**PREPARING THE NEXT GENERATION OF  
SKILLED CONSTRUCTION WORKERS:  
A WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE 21<sup>st</sup>  
CENTURY**

*2014*

## **Introduction: Why America Needs a Construction Workforce Development Plan**

As the construction industry emerges from a severe downturn that began more than seven years ago, many firms report having a hard time finding enough skilled workers to fill key positions. These workforce shortages may at first seem counter intuitive for an industry that was forced to lay off more than 2 million workers since 2006. However, these shortages are the consequence of a series of policy, education, demographic and economic factors that have decimated the once robust education pipeline for training new construction workers.

The lack of a sufficient number of secondary-school career and technical education training programs across the country means that as the construction industry expands to meet growing demand, an increasing number of firms will have a hard time finding skilled workers. Left unaddressed, these shortages will ultimately undermine the industry's recovery and impact broader economic growth as staffing shortages lead to construction delays. That is why the Associated General Contractors of America has identified an array of measures that federal, state and local officials should adopt to expand secondary-school career and technical education and post-secondary training opportunities so more people can enter into a growing number of high-paying construction jobs. Those measures are outlined in this plan.

### **Background**

#### *How Construction Worker Shortages Could Slow the Economy*

Construction worker shortages pose a threat not just to firms looking to recruit new talent. These shortages have the potential to undermine broader economic growth. As construction firms struggle to fill key positions, they will be forced to propose slower schedules for vital projects, tempering the pace of economic development. After all, construction is one of the few industries where the vast majority of work must be performed on-site and cannot be off-shored.

As those new commercial, industrial and institutional projects languish and maintenance on water and transportation facilities falls further behind, the jobs they offer will also be delayed. In addition, understaffed construction firms may be more hesitant to bid on new projects knowing they lack the manpower to complete the work on schedule. With fewer bidders competing for work, owners are likely to spend more for the projects. As construction projects become more expensive, the appetite and available financing for new projects are likely to be dampened.

#### *What Happened to the Once Robust Construction Education Pipeline?*

A number of changing trends have combined to cripple what was once a robust education pipeline for new construction workers. Those factors include the dismantling of the public vocational and technical education programs, declining participation in union apprenticeship training and an increasing focus on college preparatory programs at the high school level.

While the nation once had a thriving public vocational-technical educational program that provided training for a host of skills, including construction, many of those programs have been closed over the past several decades. One reason is that federal funding has largely shifted to promoting college-preparatory programs on the misguided theory that everyone should, can and wants to attend college. During the past eight years alone, federal funding for career and technical education has declined from \$1.3 billion a year to a 2014 level of just \$1.12 billion. That is a 29 percent decline after taking inflation into account.

In the wake of declining support for secondary career and technical education training, many remaining programs have struggled to find sufficient support to fund not only operations, but to acquire the often-costly equipment needed to provide meaningful skills training. Many program operators report having to get creative about seeking private-sector funding and donations to acquire needed equipment and materials. Federal, state and local funding cuts for career and technical education are occurring despite the fact these programs have higher graduation rates (90 percent versus 75 percent according to the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Vocational & Adult Education) than college-preparatory education programs.

Another factor contributing to the dismantling of the construction education pipeline is increasing focus on, and demand for, college preparatory programs. As the U.S. economy shifts from manufacturing to knowledge-based, there has been a corresponding shift in interest in establishing educational programs designed to prepare students for college. The consequence has been the overwhelming impression among youths, their parents and teachers that career and technical education is unacceptable, despite the fact construction jobs often pay better than many post-college options, especially post-downturn.

As institutions continue to dismantle public career education programs, changing labor trends have diminished participation in union-based apprenticeship training programs. The number of construction workers who chose union representation shrank by 23 percent, from 1.195 million in 2008 to 915,000 in 2013, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics' most recent union membership data. As a result, the reach of union apprenticeship training programs has become increasingly limited.

Unfortunately, federal and often state rules make it difficult for open-shop contractors to create similar apprenticeship programs. Federal antitrust rules, for example, make it more difficult for multiple open-shop employers to work together to create apprenticeship training programs. As a result, many firms are hesitant to pay the cost of training on their own, knowing other firms may hire away their newly-trained staff and underbid them for new projects.

### *Why an Industry that Shed Two Million Jobs has a Hard Time Finding Workers*

While the dismantling of the construction education pipeline helps explain why there aren't many new young construction workers available to meet growing demand, different factors explain why there also aren't many older available construction workers. The number of unemployed construction workers currently seeking employment has tumbled from 2.2 million in January 2010 to 1,000,000 in January 2014, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Meanwhile, construction consultancy FMI estimates that the construction industry will need to add 1.5 million new workers to keep pace with demand and replace retirees by the end of the year.

Many laid-off construction workers likely opted to return to work in other sectors of the economy. Many of those workers may now be driving trucks, operating heavy equipment or doing other jobs as part of the country's booming energy sector. Other unemployed construction workers may lack the skills needed to qualify for in-demand positions, like pipefitters.

Other laid-off construction workers simply decided to hang up their tool belts and retire. According to the U.S. Census Bureau's 2012 Current Population Survey, roughly 44 percent of the construction workforce is 45 years of age or older and nearly one out of every five construction workers is 55 or older. That means there is a minimum of 1.1 million construction workers who are likely to retire within 10 years.

The result of these changing demographics and employment trends is that many former construction workers are no longer seeking jobs within the industry. As a consequence, construction firms are having difficulties filling key construction positions. Nearly two-thirds of construction firms responding to a recent AGC survey reported having a hard time finding qualified workers to fill key positions, and equal numbers predicted hiring conditions will remain challenging or worsen as demand for construction expands.

### **The Plan**

Given the potential negative economic impacts of construction worker shortages, and the need to re-invigorate the construction skills education pipeline, the Associated General Contractors of America has identified a range of measures that federal, state and local officials should take. These measurements include an array of legislative and regulatory reforms that are designed to make it easier for private construction firms and not-for-profits to finance and operate their own training programs. These changes would expand training opportunities while rightly shifting additional responsibility to the private sector.

Taken together, the measures outlined below have the potential to significantly improve the scale and quality of the domestic training pipeline. That is why we urge officials to adopt this plan in its entirety, instead of as an a la carte menu. In that light, we have already reached out to Vice President Biden's office and offered to help with his review of federal training programs.

**Reform and Reinvigorate the Perkins Act.** Congress and the administration must work together to make a number of reforms to the Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Act – the primary federal funding vehicle for career and technical education programs. For example, the act should be amended to give states increased flexibility to select and fund high-quality training programs in response to labor market needs. In addition, the legislation should give states increased autonomy to establish sector partnerships focused on promoting collaboration among secondary and post-secondary training programs. And the reformed program must include clear benchmarks for success.

In addition, given the higher graduation rates of career and technical programs and expanding demand for construction jobs, Congress needs to boost funding for the Perkins Act. Specifically, funding should go from the current level of \$1.12 billion in 2014 to its 2007 level of \$1.30 billion. This additional flexibility and funding will make it easier for school districts to expand their career and technical program offerings. These programs should undergo an annual review to make sure they effectively matriculate participants into the next stage of their training, and ultimately into jobs.

**Make It Easier to Establish Public Schools Focused on Career and Technical Education.** A number of state and local governments have enacted legislation permitting charter schools to be put in place. In some of those areas AGC chapters have successfully partnered with education providers to establish construction-focused charter schools. These programs have proven to be successful. In St. Louis, for example, a chapter-supported charter school program is posting significantly higher graduation rates than other high schools in the district and state. Unfortunately, many other jurisdictions either lack such enabling legislation or their measures are too restrictive to be effective. State and local officials must enact measures to allow the establishment of new charter schools.

In addition, state and local officials should give local “traditional” public school leaders greater flexibility to establish career academies that focus on construction.

**Offer Community College Career and Technical Programs to High School Students for Free.** Other states should follow the lead of states like Kansas, which has enacted legislation allowing high school students to enroll tuition-free in public community college career and technical programs and have those courses count toward their high school diploma. Students can graduate high school with applicable technical skills and knowledge to go to work immediately, prepared for a career or to secure a good paying part-time job while attending college. Allowing high school students to take these kinds of community college courses is a relatively easy and affordable way to expand career and technical offerings in many public school districts.

**Encourage Private Funding for Craft Training Programs.** The federal courts have long recognized an exception to the federal antitrust laws for craft training programs that are the product of collective bargaining. It has long been lawful for the members of a multiemployer bargaining unit to agree that each will contribute a certain amount per hour to fund such programs as long as their agreement is embodied in a collective bargaining agreement with an appropriate union. *To meet the construction industry's future needs for craft training, open-shop contractors need to have the same option.* Congress and the administration need to enact legislation that would expand the antitrust exemption to open-shop contractors, allowing them to enter into similar agreements among themselves to provide funding for craft training programs. Such a step would empower the construction industry to self-fund much more of the craft training that it requires, and reduce the need for public support.

**Improve the Workforce Investment Act.** Congress and the administration must work together to make significant changes to the Workforce Investment Act. First, the legislation should remove the barriers that prevent Workforce Investment Boards from working more closely with registered apprenticeship programs. Specifically, the legislation should allow registered apprenticeship programs to be listed as "Eligible Training Providers." This would make it easier for trainees to use Individual Training Accounts to pay for tools and other related supplies needed for apprenticeship programs. Congress should also include in the Workforce Investment Act at least \$20 million over a three-year period for sector partnerships that are unique in aligning needed skills with local job opportunities in industries like construction.

In addition, Congress and the administration should ensure that the basic skills training – such as remedial math, English and reading – provided through the workforce investment system are aligned with the skills needed to successfully apply for and complete registered apprenticeship training programs. Congress and the administration must also include accountability measures, including annual reviews, to ensure that these programs are delivering clear and tangible results.

Finally, Congress and the administration should amend the legislation to require pre-apprenticeship programs that are receiving federal grants to connect – such as through a memorandum of understanding – with a registered apprenticeship program. This is the best way to ensure that the skills being taught in the pre-apprenticeship program are those most necessary for a future successful apprenticeship. Moreover, these connections will make it easier for participants in the pre-apprenticeship program to transition to the registered apprenticeship program.

**Make it Easier for Veterans to Get Training and to be Hired.** Congress should enact measures to allow veterans participating in pre-apprenticeship training programs to receive the same amount of educational assistance as individuals participating in apprenticeship programs. This will make it easier for veterans to begin the process of acquiring construction skills. In addition, Congress should extend the Work Opportunity Tax Credit, which aids employers in hiring veterans, as well as individuals receiving disability rehabilitation and residents of empowerment zones and rural renewal counties.

**Encourage Partnerships Between Registered Apprenticeship Programs and Community Colleges.**

A growing number of registered apprenticeship programs have paired with local community colleges to offer associate's degrees to apprentices who successfully complete their training. Given that apprentices are paid during their training program, this means they are earning community college degrees without amassing large student debt. Officials should encourage more of these collaborations by requiring that recipients of the U.S. Department of Labor Trade Adjustment Community College and Career Training grants (which provided \$2 billion worth of grants during the past two years) – or any future similar grant programs – partner with at least one registered apprenticeship program that demonstrates measurable success. Similarly, any grant programs funded through the U.S. Department of Education – such as the \$500 million awarded in 2011 – should only be given to those colleges that have articulation agreements with at least one registered apprenticeship program and a proven track record of success. Extra incentives should go to those community colleges that dedicate at least one staff position to serve as a liaison to the registered apprenticeship community.

**Expand Federal Apprenticeship Resources and Collect More Comprehensive Data on All Apprenticeship Programs.**

Funding for the federal Office of Apprenticeship has been largely flat-lined over the past few years. The federal government funded the office at less than \$28 million in fiscal 2013 even though the office administers 21,000 registered apprenticeship programs serving more than 358,000 apprentices. By comparison, the administration is spending \$1.59 billion in fiscal 2014 for the Department of Labor's Job Corps program, which serves 60,000 youths – ranging in age from 16 to 24 – annually. Congress and the administration should increase funding for the Office to at least \$30 million, a 7.7 percent increase compared to 2013, while including clear performance measures and annual reviews for the Office. This increase in funding will provide the Office with the resources it needs to collect data on the quantity and performance of all registered apprenticeship programs across the country – including in states that have established their own apprenticeship agencies – and create new, enhanced tools to provide information on apprenticeship programs and connect job-seekers with local programs.

**Enact Immigration Reform.**

Congress and the administration need to ensure that the millions of undocumented workers who have been participating in the domestic economy for years have a way to attain legal status. Congress and the administration should enact comprehensive immigration reform that provides such a path to legal status and allows for significantly more construction workers to enter the U.S. While legislation recently passed in the Senate includes a number of vital reforms, it also includes arbitrary caps on the number of construction workers entering the country on a temporary basis. Left in place, these caps will undermine the industry's short-term ability to attract workers in sufficient quantity while the other reforms outlined in this plan are enacted and have time to increase the domestic supply of skilled construction workers.

## **Conclusion**

As demand continues to pick up around the country, the construction industry will face worker shortages with increasing frequency. Decades of converging policy, educational, demographic and economic trends have combined to dismantle the once robust vocational education system that provided a steady supply of skilled construction workers. Meanwhile, the regulatory measures put in place when more workers chose union representation no longer make sense in an industry where roughly 85 percent of construction workers are employed by open-shop contractors according to the Department of Labor.

This association and construction firms are working hard to recruit the next generation of construction workers. A number of AGC chapters, for example, have established “Go Build” recruiting programs in their states including in Alabama and Georgia. And the statewide AGC of Wisconsin chapter has established a similar Build Wisconsin recruiting program which AGC of America has made available as a template website for chapters and firms in other states to use. These are just a few examples of the many ongoing efforts by AGC chapters and members to connect, recruit and train young people, veterans and nontraditional populations, such as women, for promising careers in construction.

Yet while the industry stands ready to ma

ke the investments needed, and take the steps required to prepare the next generation of construction workers, current regulations and investment patterns limit their ability to solve the challenge of worker shortages on their own. Fortunately, through a combination of new investments, pragmatic changes and measures like immigration reform, we will have the ability to provide sufficient workers in the short term and a more robust domestic supply in the long term. That is why the Associated General Contractors of America will work to encourage officials at all levels of government to implement the measures outlined in this plan. The consequences of inaction are simply too severe – for both the construction industry and for the broader economy.



