SFIREG Joint Working Committee Performance Measures for Managed Pollinator Protection Plans (MP3)

Measuring successes of Managed Pollinator Protection Plans

General Considerations:

- The purpose of a state MP3 is to increase pollinator protection while allowing for necessary crop, property, or human health protection
- MP3 measures are meant to be flexible and based on individual state pollinator protection plans or activities.
- Realizing all states are different and may not have the same technical capabilities or legal authorities, MP3 measures should allow states flexibility to pick and choose those elements that are in line with each state's specific capabilities and goals.
- A state's ability to report measures will be resource and program dependent. Includes: the types of laws, rules and authorities in place, technical expertise, and availability of staff, funding, etc. to support collection of data.
- In some cases states will use technical or quantitative data while others will have to rely on qualitative data. In other cases a state may use a combination of both.
- Where possible establish baseline for data or information will be collecting.

Development of Measures:

- Identify what the purpose and focus of a state's plan is. This may be different for each plan if a state has multiple plans (ag/non-ag, commodity specific, region/location specific, etc.)
 - List the goal(s) which are to be achieved under the plan based on the purpose. (communication, use of BMPS, fewer incidents, regulatory compliance, etc.)
 - o Develop objectives and measures which demonstrate progress toward or meeting of the intended goals.
- National reporting mechanism (like POINTS, CPARD) voluntary use or use of applicable sections only...EPA, NASDA Foundation, NASS Survey, NPIC reporting, etc.

This document identifies 5 MP3 Goal areas each with one or more specific objectives. Possible measures for each objective are then listed with potential measures identified. The 5 goals are:

- 1. Behavioral Changes Which Increase Pollinator Protection practice changes, communication, collaboration, usage of educational resources, adoption of MP3 risk reduction recommendations.
- 2. Reducing Exposure of Bees to Pesticides decrease bee kills due to pesticides, decreased pesticides in pollen analysis
- 3. Improve/Maintain Pollinator Health adequate healthy hive populations and honey production
- 4. Compliance availability of adequate and effective compliance assistance, label modifications protective to pollinators
- 5. National Improvement in Pollinator Health this goal is an overall goal to show collectively the success of implementation of state MP3s.

A state may choose different or additional objectives, measures or metrics based on their state's MP3.

Goal of MP3	Specific Objective / Element	Target Group	Measure	Potential Metrics
1. Behavioral Changes which increase pollinator protection	a. Practice Changes resulting in increased protections for pollinators	Beekeepers -Growers -Land Owners/Managers -Pesticide Applicators	 (1) Adoption of protective practices related to pesticide application. Practice changes can be modifications of application timing, product selection, reduced pesticide applications, reduced areas, or timing modifications, etc. (2) Adoption of focused BMP's by beekeepers and applicators designed to reduce pollinator exposure. (3) Shift in product usage. Track increased use of alternative pesticides or reduction of the use of current pesticides that are proven risks to pollinators. Can be tracked via sales records, crop advisor surveys, or surveys through the states or NASS. It should be noted if could be due to other factors. 	 # or % aware of plan # implemented change in practices Types of product selected – use of lower RT # in compliance with regulations related to bees and pesticides # IPM adoption in general beyond protecting bees Qualitative Satisfaction of beekeepers/producers that exposure is decreased or eliminated Knowledge of info available – IPM, products selection, timing Models

Goal of MP3	Specific Objective / Element	Target Group	Measure	Potential Metrics
	b. Improvements in communication between applicators, growers, and beekeepers	-Beekeepers -Growers -Pesticide Applicators -Land Owners/Managers** -State/Federal Agencies	(1) Accounting of pre-application meetings and ongoing notification between growers and beekeepers	 Quantitative Is communication occurring? Has contact occurred, % reporting communication Types of communication available – web, GIS/mapping, notification programs, etc. # Contracts utilized # using those types available # meetings on topic of communication Qualitative Level of 'trust' between groups Greater transparency between groups Regular communication habit established Ease of contact and availability of contact info
	c. Improved and continuing collaboration among stakeholders	-Beekeepers -Growers -Pesticide Applicators -Land Owner/Managers -Stakeholder groups	(1) Demonstrate continued stakeholder engagement	 # Involved in plan development, review and revision – # meetings, or input opportunities # Outreach # trainings # adopting plan elements Qualitative Ongoing stakeholder activities Who is involved – down to public level? Type of relationships established

Goal of MP3	Specific Objective / Element	Target Group	Measure	Potential Metrics
	d. Usage of Educational Resources	-Beekeepers -Growers -Pesticide Applicators -Land Owner/Managers -Public	(1) Track use of educational materials provided in the various available formats. (2) Track number of educational program deliveries (in person) to beekeepers, grower groups, pesticide applicators or others focused on MP3 Most educational materials are provided through state agencies or extension offices. Delivering this information via the web would allow states to track use of this material by the public.	 # publications # attending trainings # visiting web or social media sites Qualitative Stakeholder awareness and attitude about type and availability of educational resources
	e. Adoption of MP3 recommendations on risk reduction	-Beekeepers and/or beekeeper organizations -Pesticide applicators and/or applicator associations -Grower and/or grower organizations or commodity groups	(1) Track number of adoptions of plan elements by beekeeper and grower organizations above baseline. A minimum number of plan elements adoptions should be considered when documenting progress. (2) Track the increase in development or improvement of Best Management Practices developed and implemented. May also consider BMPs additional to those specified within the MP3	 # selecting lower toxicity or lower concentration pesticides selected and other general preventive practices used – timing, equipment, technique # based on prevention or risk reduction models # crop producers reporting making pest mgt. decisions resulting in pollinator risk reduction # pollinator managers who report modifying pollinator placement or management based on notification of pest management used for the commodity. Qualitative Model development and results Attitude of plan and if mitigated risk # who think plans have mitigated risk

Goal of MP3	Specific Objective / Element	Target Group	Measure	Potential Metrics
2. Reducing Exposure of Bees to Pesticides	a. Decrease Bee kills due to pesticides	-Beekeepers -State Regulatory Agency	(1) Document the number of bee kills reported. (2) Document scope of kills that are reported. If kills are reported, implementation of MP3's should result in smaller incidents. This should be considered especially within the first year where we may see reports spike. Adoption of MP3's should show downward trends. Recommend that trend analysis not occur until after the first year of MP3's adoption. (3) Based on current baselines, track the number of exposure incidents (both lethal and sub lethal) (4) Conduct hive analysis and document changes to "in hive" exposure. Shows change to what pesticides are being brought back to the hive.	 # incidents demonstrated to be related to pesticide exposure through use of bee kill investigation protocol # reports of pests # hives registered the % of hive loss/increase # hives passing inspections # honey production # pollination service providers Change in hive health reports # bee kills in general Baseline # for hives health and regular monitoring of change in level Movement of bees Qualitative Satisfaction of beekeepers in hive health Hive health 'scale' rating established Improvements to bee habitats — forage, resting areas, etc.
	b. Decreased pesticide levels in pollen analysis	-Beekeepers -State Regulatory Agencies	(1) Conduct and track analysis of hive pollen for changes in pesticide potential exposure. <i>Proper protocols must be used for samples.</i> Documents decreases in impactful pesticide concentrations for hive pollen.	 Quantitative Laboratory analysis results Should be careful with comparison based on limit of detection. Types of pesticides detected

Goal of MP3	Specific Objective / Element	Target Group	Measure	Potential Metrics
3. Improve/Maintain Pollinator Health	a. Demonstrate adequate hive populations	-Beekeepers -State/federal agencies -State Apiary Inspector	(1) Based on state / federal surveys document changes in hive populations (single) or overall hive numbers. (2) Track changes in USDA pollinator surveys. Population surveys need to consider both the changes in the overall number of hives and the hive specific population in order to get a true and accurate picture. Also consider other factors — weather, overwintering	 Quantitative State/federal population surveys Other population or survey sources Hive info listed in goal 2
	b. Adequate Honey Production	-Beekeepers or beekeeper organizations -State regulatory agencies -Commodity organization	(1) Track changes in commercial honey production. Increases would tend to point to better overall hive health due to MP3 implementation. Should note other possible impacts.	Quantitative
4. Compliance	a. Availability of adequate and effective in field assistance to regulated community about pollinator protection.	-Beekeepers -Growers -State Regulatory Agency	(1) On-site technical assistance visits that are either voluntary or occur as a result of complaint. Compliance visits can provide for an effective method to address specific issues related to pesticide exposure to pollinators. May consider more than one program area's activities or inspections.	 Number of inspections and/or compliance visits by state agency program(s) Sampling results of hives/bees/honey or environments for residue(s)

Goal of MP3	Specific Objective / Element	Target Group	Measure	Potential Metrics
	b. Label modifications protective of pollinators	-State Regulatory Agencies	(1) State specific label restrictions that occur in order to address pollinator issues. The results of this activity can be a good measure of MP3's effectiveness, if limits are implemented at the request of beekeepers/growers this could be considered a success of MP3's, if this is an action deemed necessary in spite of having an MPPP then this is an indication that changes to the MP3 may be required.	 # state registration actions implemented to address protection of pollinators beyond the federal label.
5. National improvement in pollinator Health	Implementation of successful plans by states	-Beekeepers -Growers -State Regulatory Agencies -Federal Agencies -Organizations -Researchers -Manufacturers -Public	(1) An increase in the awareness nationally of pollinator protection(2) The implementation of state MP3s and utilization by beekeepers, growers, and pesticide users	 Amount of research Number of states with plans Label statements mitigating risk to bees Amount of habitat availability and improvements along major pollinator bee routes National hive loss/increase data Qualitative Attitudes on the topic Groups and Assn. Bee inspectors NGOs Public Regional Activities Efforts by manufacturers in availability of lower risk products or technologies to bees