Journal of the House

Thursday, January 22, 2015

At one o'clock in the afternoon the Speaker called the House to order.

Devotional Exercises

Devotional exercises were conducted by Reverend Elissa Johnk, Old Meeting House, East Montpelier Center, Vt.

House Bills Introduced

House bills of the following titles were severally introduced, read the first time and referred to committee or placed on the Calendar as follows:

H. 41

By Reps. Hubert of Milton, Branagan of Georgia, Brennan of Colchester, Christie of Hartford, Cole of Burlington, Cupoli of Rutland City, Dame of Essex, Donahue of Northfield, Donovan of Burlington, Evans of Essex, French of Randolph, Jerman of Essex, Johnson of South Hero, Juskiewicz of Cambridge, Krebs of South Hero, Lewis of Berlin, Morrissey of Bennington, Myers of Essex, Parent of St. Albans City, Savage of Swanton, Sweaney of Windsor, Terenzini of Rutland Town, Townsend of South Burlington, Turner of Milton, Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh and Wright of Burlington,

House bill, entitled

An act relating to requiring that mandated reporters report all allegations of child sexual abuse to the Department for Children and Families;

To the committee on Human Services.

H. 42

By Rep. Condon of Colchester,

House bill, entitled

An act relating to energy assistance program fees;

To the committee on Commerce & Economic Development.

H. 43

By Rep. Condon of Colchester,

House bill, entitled
An act relating to repeal of the State law requiring broadcasters to report to the Attorney General retransmission fees charged to Vermont cable companies;

To the committee on Commerce & Economic Development.

**H. 44**


House bill, entitled

An act relating to a moratorium on legislation increasing education property tax rates;

To the committee on Education.

**H. 45**

By Rep. Till of Jericho,

House bill, entitled

An act relating to Vermont Prescription Monitoring System reporting;

To the committee on Human Services.

**H. 46**

By Reps. Haas of Rochester, French of Randolph and McFaun of Barre Town,
House bill, entitled
An act relating to the creation of a Vulnerable Adult Fatality Review Team;
To the committee on Human Services.

Remarks Journalized

On motion of Rep. Clarkson of Woodstock, the following remarks by Rev. Elissa Johnk of the Old Meeting House in East Montpelier, were ordered printed in the Journal:

At my church, just over the hill there, we do a lot of blessing. On the Sunday before school starts, we bless backpacks. The children and youth turn and face the congregation, holding up their backpacks, and we bless them. We bless them, so that their straps might not break, we bless them that the burdens they carry might be light. But most of all, we bless those backpacks, so that the children might know that when they go out into the world they do not go alone. We bless them, so that they might know their work is important.

As I listened to the State of the Union on Tuesday, thought back over the Martin Luther King, Jr. day of service this weekend, and looked over your packed-full legislative calendar for today – I wondered what it was that you might need from these “devotional exercises” this afternoon. What it was that I could offer to you who give so much? I realized that it was blessing. Gratitude.

A blessing is our way of saying that “this work you do, this life that you live, it is sacred, and important, and we are glad for it. We are glad for you. And you are not alone in it.”

So Representatives, on behalf of my little corner of Vermont, I offer you this:

Bless you.
Bless you, Representatives, for showing up.
For being willing to stand up and be counted,
for having the tough conversations, and the important ones.
This work you do is sacred. Bless you for it.

Bless you, Representatives, for your time,
for the sacrifices you make,
for the sacrifices your families make,
so that others might have roofs over their heads,
food on their table, books in their classrooms,
and laws to keep them safe.
Bless you, House of Representatives, for your hope. For your willingness to work for what can be instead of settling for what has been. For working from a place of abundance not scarcity, for modeling how, if we work together, we are, indeed, better.

Thank you – for the late nights and early mornings, for the endless reports and roll calls, for walking the walk better than most of us can talk it.

Bless you, Representatives, for believing – in the power of an idea, and the power of people, and in the power of good government. Thank you for being good government.

Bless you for taking the right position, even when it is unpopular. For putting people before profits, and beings before the bottom line.

Bless you for your courage – not simply standing against but working for something.

Bless you for your diligence with the facts and the dollars, and for your patience with the process. Bless you for giving voice to the voiceless, and for listening to them when others don’t hear a sound.

Bless you for your willingness to disagree, and your willingness to work together.

Bless you for making Vermont a state we are all clearly so proud of, and invested in. Bless you, Representatives, for understanding that each of us is called, in our own way, to serve. And bless you in your service, this day and always.
Consideration Interrupted by Recess

J.R.H. 2

Joint resolution supporting a woman’s right to decide her own reproductive choices, commemorating the 42nd anniversary of the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Roe v. Wade, and the 50th anniversary of Planned Parenthood of Northern New England


Whereas, on January 22, 1973, the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), established that the U.S. Constitution protects a woman’s right to privacy and limits government interference with medical decisions, and

Whereas, the General Assembly believes that the decision in Roe v. Wade ensures that abortion remains a safe and legal procedure for a woman to consider, if and when she needs it, and

Whereas, as the State of Vermont pursues major health care reform, it should be a public policy goal to enhance the health of all citizens, including women of all ages, and to strengthen individuals and families by encouraging and promoting access to comprehensive family planning services and to prenatal support services that help ensure planned pregnancies remain healthy throughout their entire term, and

Whereas, the General Assembly believes that abortion is a deeply personal and often complex decision for a woman, and therefore whether to choose
adoption, end a pregnancy, or raise a child must be left to the woman, her family, and her faith, with the counsel of her doctor or health care provider, now therefore be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That on the 42nd anniversary of Roe v. Wade and the 50th anniversary of Planned Parenthood of Northern New England, the General Assembly urges Congress and other state legislatures to preserve the rights protected in Roe v. Wade, and be it further

Resolved: That the General Assembly recognizes the critical importance of continued access to safe and legal abortion, and be it further

Resolved: That the General Assembly reaﬃrms the right of every Vermont woman to privacy, autonomy, and safety in making personal decisions regarding reproduction and family planning, and be it further

Resolved: That the General Assembly acknowledges and celebrates Planned Parenthood of Northern New England’s 50 years of providing high quality health services to Vermonters and advocating for women’s health, and be it further

Resolved: That the Secretary of State be directed to send a copy of this resolution to the Vermont Congressional Delegation.

Pending the question, Shall the resolution be adopted?

Recess

At one o'clock and twenty minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker declared a recess until one o'clock and forty-five minutes in the afternoon.

At one o'clock and forty-five minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker called the House to order.

Consideration Resumed; Resolution Adopted

J.R.H. 2

Consideration resumed on Joint resolution, entitled

Joint resolution supporting a woman’s right to decide her own reproductive choices, commemorating the 42nd anniversary of the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Roe v. Wade, and the 50th anniversary of Planned Parenthood of Northern New England

Pending the question, Shall the resolution be adopted? Rep. Grad of Moretown demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the
Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the resolution be adopted? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 100. Nays, 43.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:


Greshin of Warren  Haas of Rochester  Head of South Burlington  Hooper of Montpelier  Huntley of Cavendish  Jerman of Essex  Jewett of Ripton  Johnson of South Hero  Keenan of St. Albans City  Kitzmiller of Montpelier  Klein of East Montpelier  Krebs of South Hero  Krowinski of Burlington  LaClair of Barre Town  Lanpher of Vergennes  Lefebvre of Newark  Lenes of Shelburne  Lewis of Berlin  Lippert of Hinesburg  Long of Newfane  Lucke of Hartford  Macaig of Williston  Manwaring of Wilmington  Martel of Waterford  Martin of Wolcott  Masland of Thetford  McCormack of Burlington  McCoy of Poultney  McCullough of Williston  McFaun of Barre Town  Miller of Shaftsbury  Morris of Bennington  Mrowicki of Putney  Murphy of Fairfax  Myers of Essex *

Nuovo of Middlebury  O'Brien of Richmond  Olsen of Londonderry  O'Sullivan of Burlington  Parent of St. Albans City  Partridge of Windham  Patt of Worcester  Pearson of Burlington  Poirier of Barre City  Pugh of South Burlington  Rachelson of Burlington  Ram of Burlington  Russell of Rutland City  Ryerson of Randolph  Sharpe of Bristol  Sheldon of Middlebury  Sibilia of Dover  Stevens of Waterbury  Stuart of Brattleboro  Sullivan of Burlington  Sweaney of Windsor  Till of Jericho  Toleno of Brattleboro  Townsend of South  Burlington  Trier of Rockingham  Troiano of Stannard  Walz of Barre City  Webb of Shelburne  Woodward of Johnson  Wright of Burlington  Young of Glover  Zagar of Barnard

Those who voted in the negative are:

Batchelor of Derby  Beck of St. Johnsbury  Beyor of Highgate  Bissonnette of Winooski  Branagan of Georgia  Brennan of Colchester  Burditt of West Rutland *  Canfield of Fair Haven  Cupoli of Rutland City  Dame of Essex

Devereux of Mount Holly  Dickinson of St. Albans  Town  Donahue of Northfield  Eastman of Orwell
Fagan of Rutland City
Feltus of Lyndon
Fiske of Enosburgh
Gage of Rutland City
Gamache of Swanton
Graham of Williamstown
Hebert of Vernon
Helm of Fair Haven
Higley of Lowell
Hubert of Milton
Juskiewicz of Cambridge
Lawrence of Lyndon
Marcotte of Coventry
Morrissey of Bennington
Pearce of Richford
Quimby of Concord
Scheuermann of Stowe
Shaw of Derby
Smith of New Haven
Strong of Albany
Tate of Mendon
Terenzini of Rutland Town
Turner of Milton
Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh
Viens of Newport City
Willhoit of St. Johnsbury

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bancroft of Westford
Komline of Dorset
Lalonde of South Burlington
Potter of Clarendon
Toll of Danville
Toll of Danville
Yantachka of Charlotte

Rep. Burditt of West Rutland explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

Sometime late in 1919 a baby was conceived behind a barn in West Rutland, VT. That child grew up to be my father. In 1978 my daughter was conceived out of wedlock by two ill-prepared young adults. As life would have it my daughter had a son 16 years ago September as a single mother. If history is any indicator one would think I would be 100% against this resolution. However, given my beliefs in one’s right to choose…I am split by my heart, my principles, my caucus and my constituents.

Even though I vote no it is more for the contentiousness this issue breeds between two different beliefs than it is the content.”

Rep. Myers of Essex explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

I voted yes for this resolution. I have been pro-choice for many years, long before I entered this House. But it is now time to put an end to the yearly introduction of this resolution. Roe v. Wade is the law of the land and will not be repealed. At 50 years of age, Planned Parenthood is here to stay. We need to call an end to this yearly display that accentuates our disagreements and get on with the work on which, in many cases, we can agree.”

Joint Resolution Amended and Adopted

J.R.H. 1

Joint resolution expressing support for the Public Service Board’s establishment of a FairPoint Communications service investigation docket and
urging the company to negotiate in good faith to settle the current employee strike


Whereas, since 2008, FairPoint Communications (FairPoint) has been Vermont’s primary provider of landline telecommunications services, and

Whereas, although FairPoint promised to add hundreds of new jobs in the three northern New England states, the company’s post-2009 bankruptcy workforce is now 20 percent smaller than in 2008, and

Whereas, in August 2014, FairPoint made what the company described as its last offer to its unionized employees for a new contract, and

Whereas, the proposal would have resulted in reduced benefits, but the unionized employees remained at work for two months and offered FairPoint $200 million in concessions, and

Whereas, after their best efforts and much frustration, in October 2014 the employees’ two unions, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 2326 and Communications Workers of America Local 1400, authorized a strike against FairPoint, and
Whereas, since the strike started, consumer complaints have risen approximately 500 percent resulting in much personal and commercial inconvenience, and

Whereas, on November 28, 2014, the State’s E-911 system missed 83 calls in part due to FairPoint’s operational problems, and

Whereas, at the request of the Department of Public Service, the Public Service Board, on December 9, 2014, established Docket 8390 to investigate the service problems FairPoint has encountered, and

Whereas, Governor Peter Shumlin, the congressional delegation, and the leadership of the General Assembly’s Working Vermonters Caucus have written letters to FairPoint’s CEO Paul Sunu calling on FairPoint to return in good faith to the negotiating table, and the Caucus’ letter indicated that failure to reach a fair and timely settlement would result in General Assembly members’ examination of FairPoint’s “financial strength and managerial competence to properly serve the people of Vermont,” and

Whereas, on January 4, 2015, in Washington, D.C., the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service convened a meeting between the parties at which the Service assumed a more proactive role than in a prior session, now therefore be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That the General Assembly expresses support for the Public Service Board’s establishment of a FairPoint Communications service investigation docket and urges the management of FairPoint Communications to settle the current employee strike in order to restore reliable telecommunications services in Vermont, and be it further

Resolved: That the Secretary of State be directed to send a copy of this resolution to FairPoint Communications CEO Paul Sunu, FairPoint Vermont President Beth Fastiggi, Governor Peter Shumlin, the Public Service Department, and the Public Service Board.

Was taken up and pending the question, Shall the resolution be adopted?

Reps Davis of Washington and Donovan of Burlington moved that the resolution be amended by striking out the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“Joint resolution expressing support for the Public Service Board’s establishment of a FairPoint Communications service investigation docket and urging the management of FairPoint Communications to settle the current
employee strike in order to restore reliable telecommunications services in Vermont”

Which was agreed to.

Pending the recurring question, Shall the resolution be adopted? Rep. Donahue of Northfield moved to amend the resolution as follows:

By striking the resolution in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Joint resolution expressing support for the Public Service Board’s establishment of a FairPoint Communications service investigation docket and urging the parties to negotiate to settle the current employee strike

Whereas, since 2008 FairPoint Communications (FairPoint) has been Vermont’s primary provider of landline telecommunications services, and

Whereas, the company’s post-2009 bankruptcy workforce is now 20 percent smaller than in 2008, and

Whereas, in August 2014 FairPoint made an offer to its unionized employees for a new contract that would have resulted in reduced benefits, but the unionized employees remained at work for two months and attempted further negotiations, and

Whereas, in October 2014 the employees’ two unions, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 2326 and Communications Workers of America Local 1400, authorized a strike against FairPoint, and

Whereas, since the strike started, consumer complaints have risen significantly, and

Whereas, on November 28, 2014, the State’s E-911 system missed 83 calls, and

Whereas, at the request of the Department of Public Service, the Public Service Board, on December 9, 2014, established Docket 8390 to investigate the service problems FairPoint has encountered, and

Whereas, Governor Peter Shumlin, the congressional delegation, and the leadership of the General Assembly’s Working Vermonters Caucus have written letters to FairPoint’s CEO Paul Sunu calling on FairPoint to return to the negotiating table, and

Whereas, on January 4, 2015, in Washington, D.C., the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service convened a meeting between the parties at which the
Service assumed a more proactive role than in a prior session, now therefore be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That the General Assembly expresses support for the Public Service Board’s establishment of a FairPoint Communications service investigation docket and urges the parties to negotiate to settle the current employee strike in order to restore reliable telecommunications services in Vermont, and be it further

Resolved: That the Secretary of State be directed to send a copy of this resolution to FairPoint Communications CEO Paul Sunu, FairPoint Vermont President Beth Fastiggi, Governor Peter Shumlin, the Public Service Department, and the Public Service Board.

Pending the question, Shall the resolution be amended as recommended by Rep. Donahue of Northfield? Rep. Turner of Milton demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the resolution by amended as offered by the Representative from Northfield? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 56. Nays, 89.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bancroft of Westford  Fiske of Enosburg  Myers of Essex
Baser of Bristol  Gage of Rutland City  Olsen of Londonderry
Batchelor of Derby  Gamache of Swanton  Parent of St. Albans City
Beyor of Highgate  Graham of Williamstown  Pearce of Richford
Botzow of Pownal  Greshin of Warren  Purvis of Colchester
Branagan of Georgia  Hebert of Vernon  Quimby of Concord
Brennan of Colchester  Helm of Fair Haven  Savage of Swanton
Browning of Arlington  Higley of Lowell  Scheuermann of Stowe
Burditt of West Rutland  Hubert of Milton  Shaw of Pittsford
Canfield of Fair Haven  Huntley of Cavendish  Shaw of Derby
Corcoran of Bennington  Jewett of Ripton  Sibilia of Dover
Cupoli of Rutland City  LaClair of Barre Town  Smith of New Haven
Dame of Essex  Lawrence of Lyndon  Strong of Albany
Devereux of Mount Holly  Lewis of Berlin  Tate of Mendon
Dickinson of St. Albans  Marquette of Coventry  Turner of Milton
Town  Martel of Waterford  Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh
Donahue of Northfield  McCoy of Poultney  Viens of Newport City
Fagan of Rutland City  Morrissey of Bennington  Willhoit of St. Johnsbury
Feltus of Lyndon  Murphy of Fairfax  Wright of Burlington

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais  Bartholomew of Hartland  Beck of St. Johnsbury
Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Dakin of Colchester  Potter of Clarendon
Lalonde of South Burlington  Toll of Danville

Rep. Dame of Essex explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

Just before the vote I had the opportunity to ask the Rep. sponsoring the bill what his objection to the amendment was. In summary he agreed that it was ‘too neutral’. Here on the second roll call vote of a session in which we have many difficult challenges that face Vermonters like Education, Health Care and basic affordability. I would remind us all that Vermonters sent us here to work together to find the solution that we can all agree on. As a freshman legislator I came to this body optimistic - but perhaps I was just naive. I was hopeful that we could start our work this session on common ground where we
can agree. We have already wasted 2 hours of the people's time on resolutions that do nothing to change what faces the average Vermonter - but has done much to instead divide and paint our own members along ideological and partisan lines that do not serve to unite us for the important work we have ahead of us. I hope that we can do more the rest of this session that will unite rather than divide. We are also told that anecdotally VT is not ‘business friendly’. I think that this body, which is legislative and not judicial, that has rejected an amendment that is ‘too neutral’ may be part of the problem, not the solution.”

Thereupon, Rep. Hebert of Vernon moved to commit the resolution to the committee on General, Housing and Military Affairs, which was disagreed to.

Pending the question, Shall the resolution be adopted? Rep. Poirier of Barre City demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the resolution be adopted? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 93. Nays, 52.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais
Bartholomew of Hartland
Beck of St. Johnsbury
Berry of Manchester
Bissonnette of Winooski
Botzow of Pownal
Briglin of Thetford
Burditt of West Rutland
Burke of Brattleboro
Buxton of Tunbridge
Carr of Brandon
Chesnut-Tangerman of Middletown Springs
Christie of Hartford
Clarkson of Woodstock
Cole of Burlington *
Condon of Colchester
Connor of Fairfield
Conquest of Newbury
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford
Corcoran of Bennington
Dakin of Chest
Dakin of Colchester
Davis of Washington *
Deen of Westminster
Donovan of Burlington
Ellis of Waterbury
Emmons of Springfield
Evans of Essex
Fields of Bennington
Forguieres of Springfield
Frank of Underhill
French of Randolph
Gonzalez of Winooski
Grad of Moretown
Haas of Rochester
Head of South Burlington
Hooper of Montpelier
Huntley of Cavendish
Jerman of Essex
Jewett of Ripton
Johnson of South Hero
Juskiewicz of Cambridge
Keenan of St. Albans City
Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Klein of East Montpelier
Krebs of South Hero
Krowinski of Burlington
LaPhener of Vergennes
Lefebvre of Newark
Lenes of Shelburne
Lippert of Hinesburg
Long of Newfane
Lucke of Hartford
Macaig of Williston
Manwaring of Wilmington
Martin of Wolcott
Masland of Thetford
McCormack of Burlington
McCullough of Williston
McFaun of Barre Town
Miller of Shaftsbury
Morris of Bennington
Mrowicki of Putney
Nuovo of Middlebury
O'Brien of Richmond
O'Sullivan of Burlington
Partridge of Windham
Patt of Worcester
Pearson of Burlington
Poirier of Barre City
Pugh of South Burlington
Rachelson of Burlington
Ram of Burlington *
Russell of Rutland City
Ryerson of Randolph
Sharpe of Bristol
Sheldon of Middlebury
Stevens of Waterbury
Stuart of Brattleboro
Sullivan of Burlington    Townsend of South    Webb of Shelburne
Sweaney of Windsor       Burlington            Woodward of Johnson
Terenzini of Rutland Town   Trieber of Rockingham    Yantachka of Charlotte
Till of Jericho          Triano of Stannard    Young of Glover
Toleno of Brattleboro    Walz of Barre City    Zagar of Barnard

Those who voted in the negative are:
Bancroft of Westford     Gamache of Swanton    Parent of St. Albans City
Baser of Bristol         Graham of Williamstown  Pearce of Richford
Batchelor of Derby       Greshin of Warren     Purvis of Colchester
Beyor of Highgate        Hebert of Vernon      Quimby of Concord
Branagan of Georgia      Helm of Fair Haven    Savage of Swanton
Brennan of Colchester    Higley of Lowell      Scheuermann of Stowe
Browning of Arlington    Hubert of Milton      Shaw of Pittsfld
Canfield of Fair Haven   Komline of Dorset     Shaw of Derby
Cupoli of Rutland City   LaClair of Barre Town Sibilia of Dover
Dame of Essex            Lawrence of Lyndon     Smith of New Haven
Dickinson of St. Albans  Lewis of Berlin       Strong of Albany
Town                    Marcotte of Coventry  Tate of Mendon
Donahue of Northfield    Martel of Waterford   Turner of Milton
Eastman of Orwell        McCoy of Poultney      Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh
Fagan of Rutland City    Morrissey of Bennington* Vienes of Newport City
Feltus of Lyndon         Murphy of Fairfax      Willhoit of St. Johnsbury
Fiske of Enosburgh       Myers of Essex        Wright of Burlington
Gage of Rutland City     Olsen of Londonderry

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:
Devereux of Mount Holly  Potter of Clarendon
Lalonde of South Burlington  Toll of Danville

Rep. Cole of Burlington explained her vote as follows:
“Mr. Speaker:

Telecom Services are Public Services that we should protect. Many Vermonters have been without reliable broadband and telephone that they have contracted for in Vermont.

My understanding is that the FairPoint administrators make more in compensation than they are willing to give to their other employees. It does not seem like they have been negotiating to help the majority of Vermonters. May VT speak loudly that Fair Point is not serving us as we expected.”

Rep. Davis of Washington explained her vote as follows:
“Mr. Speaker:
It’s no surprise that I voted in favor of this resolution. Today I ‘walk the walk’ in my support for working Vermonters. FairPoint workers are a skilled, dedicated work force with good, well-paying jobs and I urge FairPoint to settle a fair contract to workers.”

**Rep. Morrissey of Bennington** explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

I vote no, not because I do not support our FairPoint workers, but because there are presently negotiations going on in Washington between the two parties. Both parties have agreed to certain legal stipulations during this process. My hope, and I am sure the hope of everyone in this body, is that we all want a timely and positive resolve that gets our FairPoint workers back to work. I do not believe that this resolution will be beneficial in helping to resolve this important issue.”

**Rep. Ram of Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

Some here argued that this is not the right time to get involved. If not now, then when is the right time to stand up for restoring vital communications service to all Vermonters and ensuring workers can earn a decent living?”

**Bill Read Second Time; Third Reading Ordered**

**H. 10**


House bill entitled

An act relating to approval of amendments to the charter of the Town of Barre

Having appeared on the Calendar one day for notice, was taken up, read the second time and third reading ordered.

**Adjournment**

At three o'clock in the afternoon, on motion of **Rep. Turner of Milton**, the House adjourned until tomorrow at nine o'clock and thirty minutes in the forenoon.