TEAM SELF-ASSESSMENT

ACCREDITATION STANDARDS

This tool is designed to assist teams in assessing their compliance with the national accreditation standards and to collaboratively develop action plans that will move the team process forward to meet those standards. The self-assessment questions were developed from a tool created by the Kansas Chapter of Children's Advocacy Centers, which drew information from the following sources: Accreditation Boot Camp (2008); Standards discussion at MRCAC's Regional Chapter Summit (2008); NCA Web Streaming Education Calls (2009) and from feedback received by CACs following Accreditation site visits.

(Note: For purposes of this assessment tool the term, "protocol" is commonly used to identify the written document that outlines the team response and indicated the document all team members have reviewed and have given their approval.)

MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM - A multidisciplinary team for response to child abuse allegations includes representation from the following: law enforcement, child protective services, prosecution, medical, mental health, victim advocacy and children's advocacy center.

Written Criteria Essential Components	Self-Assessment Does our team include: county/municipal law	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline 0-6 mo 6-12
	enforcement agencies, local DCF office, county/state's attorney, child abuse medical examiners, qualified therapists (see qualifications), victim advocacy & CAC staff?			12-18 18+mo
The CAC/MDT has a written interagency agreement signed by authorized representatives of all MDT components that clearly commits the signed parties to the CAC model for its multidisciplinary child abuse intervention response. (From notes: documents signed by team should indicate the entire team has reviewed and signed off on the protocol.)	Is our IA or protocol dated and signed by <i>current</i> agency leadership legally authorized to sign their agency to a policy? Do signatories represent all seven disciplines and are the primary providers of the services for CAC clients? Does the signed document specifically reference (a) agreement to use the CAC model as the practice standard for investigating CSA; (b) agreement to following the protocol?			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
All members of the MDT including appropriate CAC staff, as defined by the needs of the case, are routinely involved in investigations and/or MDT	Is it the standard of (usual) practice that all CAC disciplines are routinely involved in each case as the needs of the case dictate?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The written documents address information sharing that ensures the timely exchange of relevant information among MDT members, staff and volunteers and is consistent with legal, ethical and professional standards of practice.	Can we cut/paste/highlight in our protocol our policies for information sharing —how information is communicated in timely manner between LE/DCF/CAC/Med/MH, etc? Can we show our written confidentiality policies that specifically apply to the MDT, staff and volunteers?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Rated Criteria The CAC provides opportunities for MDT members to provide feedback and suggestions regarding procedures/operations of the CAC/MDT.	Can we demonstrate the specific mechanism(s) by which MDT members provide feedback? If there is a question/concern in the team, can the team articulate the mechanism by which they could communicate with the CAC?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC.MDT participates in ongoing and relevant training educational opportunities, including cross-discipline, MDT, peer review and skills-based training.	Can we document continuing education for team members – i.e. list training events, retain sign-in sheets, attendance at web streaming, sponsorship of team members at conferences, formal peer review, etc.?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo

END-MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM

CULTURAL COMPETENCY AND DIVERSITY – Culturally competent services are routinely made available to all CAC clients and coordinated with the multidisciplinary team response.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Essential Components The CAC has developed a cultural competency plan that includes community assessment, goals and strategies.	Do we have a written plan that outlines our community assessment and the strategies to ensure services are culturally competent?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC must ensure that provisions are made for non-English speaking and deaf/hard of hearing children and their non-offending family members throughout the investigation process.	If a deaf or non-English speaking child/family comes to the CAC, can we demonstrate our plan to provide equivalent services in the language of their choice for the interview, advocacy, medical, therapy & court involvement? Can we identify interpreter resources? A CAC may have agency linkage agreements or individual translator agreements.			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC/MDT ensures that all services are provided in a manner that addresses culture and development throughout the investigation, intervention and case management process?	Is our CAC's physical environment inclusive of different ages, ethnicities, faiths, physical abilities, etc.? Are written materials –brochures, handouts, forms - reflective of this diversity? Are services inclusive of all diverse cultures? Is culture addressed as part of the team response?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Rated Criteria The CAC engages in community outreach with underserved populations.	Can we demonstrate how we've actively reached out to underserved populations? Have we developed any partnerships with agencies that serve/represent these populations, initiated speaking engagements, etc.?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC actively recruits staff, volunteers and board members that reflect the demographics of the community.	Can we demonstrate what we've done to recruit a diverse staff, board, volunteers? Ex. advertising in other newspapers, speaking engagements, etc.			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
The CAC's cultural competency plan has been implemented and evaluated.	When our plan is written, what is our process for evaluating its implementation and making adjustments?			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo

END-CULTURAL COMPETENCY

FORENSIC INTERVIEWS – Forensic interviews are conducted in a manner that is legally sound, of a neutral, fact finding nature, and are coordinated to avoid duplicative interviewing.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Essential Components Forensic interviews are provided by MDT or CAC staff who have specialized training in conducting forensic interviews.	Can we demonstrate that all interviewers at the CAC have completed a week-long recognized forensic interview training that included child development?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC/MDT's written documents describe the general forensic interview process including pre- and post-interview information sharing and decision-making, and interview procedures.	Can we cut-and-paste from our protocol (use as a checklist-the site reviewer will): • What are the criteria for choosing a trained interviewer for a specific case? (What are the considerations for selecting the interviewer that best meets the needs of the child?) • Who is expected to routinely attend/observe the interview? (LE, DCF, other?) Who should not attend? • How is the interviewer prepared for the interview (what information is, and is not, shared with the interviewer – full-knowledge; limited knowledge, etc.)? • What interview aides (i.e. diagrams, dolls, other?) may be used and how should those aides be utilized? • How are interpreters used (how selected, training, interpreter guidelines, quality assurance)? • How does the MDT engage in private communication with the interviewer (ear bug, break, computer feed, other) to provide input/ensure questions are asked? • How is the interview recorded/documented? Who has access? How stored? • What are the general guidelines for the interview process?			□ 0-6 mo □ 6-12 □ 12-18 □ 18+mo
Forensic interviews are conducted in a manner that is legally sound, non-duplicative, non-leading and neutral.	Are there processes in place – maybe articulated in the written documents – to provide for limiting duplicative interviews? How can we discuss how the interviews are neutral for each case? What practices to we have in place to provide for neutrality?			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
MDT members with investigative responsibilities are present for the forensic interview(s).	Is it the standard of (usual) practice that law enforcement and DCF (if assigned) are routinely present for the interview?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Forensic interviews are routinely conducted at the CAC.	Is it the standard of practice for LE/DCF to conduct the forensic interviews at the CAC? Is this stated in the protocol?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18

			☐ 18+mo
Rated Criteria The CAC/MDT's written documents include: selection of an appropriate, trained interviewer; sharing of information among MDT members; and a mechanism for collaborative case planning.	(See essential criteria above) Add: Can we cut-and-paste our procedures for case planning – i.e. how do the attending team members collaboratively plan following the interview?		0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC/MDT provides opportunities for those who conduct forensic interviews to participate in ongoing training and peer review.	Can we describe our formal process for peer review – how frequent? Who reviews? (Needs to be a formalized process to get any points) Can we demonstrate each of our forensic interviewers have received continuing education specific to child maltreatment &/or forensic interviewing?		0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC/MDT coordinate information gathering whether through history taking, assessment or forensic interview(s) to avoid duplication.	Can we demonstrate our practices on how information from the interview is passed to other professionals (i.e. medical/mental health/prosecution) so that the child/family does not have to repeat the disclosure information? If LE/DCF cannot be present for interview, how do they get information without having to conduct a separate interview?		0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo

END-FORENSIC INTERVIEWS

VICTIM SUPPORT AND ADVOCACY – Victim support and advocacy services are routinely made available to all CAC clients and their non-offending family members as part of the multidisciplinary team response.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Essential Components Crisis intervention and ongoing support services are routinely made available for children and their non-offending family members on-site or through linkage agreements with other appropriate agencies or providers.	Do we have a comprehensive, defined practice in place so that advocacy is consistently made available to all children and families? (Comment from Boot Camp – It is the expectation that there is someone in the advocate role available at all times when a family comes for their appointment.) If other agencies provide some components of advocacy, do we have written agreements in place?			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
Education regarding the dynamics of abuse, coordinated multidisciplinary response, treatment and access to services is routinely available for children and their non-offending family members.	Do we have practices in place that ensure families receive some initial education regarding the roles of each agency at their initial visit; that provide education regarding the court process as the case progresses.			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Information regarding the rights of a crime victim is routinely available to children and their non-offending family members and is consistent with legal, ethical and professional standards of practice.	Are children and their caregivers provided information on their rights as crime victims? Do we share information about crime victims' compensation?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC/MDT's written documents include availability of victim support and advocacy services for all CAC clients.	How families access advocacy? Who provides the advocacy? If different providers are responsible for different components of advocacy (i.e. a state's attorney's advocate, a community based advocate or mental health case worker) is it clear who provides what services AND how those transitions are made? Are the above clearly delineated in writing?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Rated Criteria A designated, trained individual(s) provides comprehensive, coordinate victim support and advocacy services including, but not limited to Information regarding the dynamics of abuse and the coordinated multidisciplinary response Updates on case status	Do we have a designated individual for each case responsible for advocacy? Can we demonstrate that individual has received training on advocacy? Is it clearly defined: > Who provides initial information about dynamics of abuse and the roles of different agencies? > Who contacts families to provide updates on case			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
 Updates on case status Assistance in accessing/obtaining victims' rights as outlined by law Court education, support and accompaniment 	status? >Is it clearly outlined who will assist families in accessing victims' rights information/services? >Is it defined who will provide treatment referrals,			

 Assistance with access to treatment, PFAs, housing, public assistance, DV intervention and transportation. 	refer/secure community resources, etc?		
Procedures are in place to provide initial and on-going support and advocacy with the child &/or non-offending family members.	Does your protocol articulate how each component of advocacy is provided so that all MDT members clearly understand how services are provided, and by whom?		☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo

END-VICTIM SUPPORT AND ADVOCACY

MEDICAL EVALUTION – Specialized medical evaluation and treatment services are routinely made available to all CAC clients and coordinated with the multidisciplinary team response.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Does every child have the opportunity to be medically evaluated by a trained medical professional?				
Essential Components Medical evaluations are provided by health care providers with pediatric experience and child abuse expertise.	Can we list each of our specialized medical providers and document his/her training that meets the stated requirements? (Providers for pediatric patients must have a minimum 16 hrs. of formal training – a specific training course - in pediatric abuse evaluation.)			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Specialized medical evaluations for the child client are routinely made available onsite or through linkage agreements with other appropriate agencies or providers.	Do we have a <u>written</u> linkage agreement with a hospital/clinic/contracted providers that meet the criteria (should list educational requirements) that outlines how CAC clients will be provided with specialized medical evaluations? (For in-house or contract providers, can we produce the contract?) (NCA recommends the linkage agreement be referenced in the protocol OR can draft a highly detailed protocol in place of the linkage agreement.)			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Specialized medical evaluations are available and accessible to all CAC clients regardless of ability to pay.	Can we demonstrate how an uninsured client receives equivalent medical services? Can we ensure parents are NOT directly billed for securing necessary medical services for our child clients?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18
CAC/MDT written documents include access to appropriate medical evaluation and treatment for all CAC clients.	Does our written protocol specifically outline how all children will be given the opportunity to access appropriate medical care?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18
Rated Criteria The CACs written documents include: (Consider this a checklist) • the circumstances under which a medical evaluation is recommended. • the purpose of the medical evaluation	Can we cut-and-paste: (Each item must be included in team protocol and/or linkage agreements - some may be appropriate to include in both documents. Must be developed with input from medical provider) > When we refer for acute/urgent/scheduled exam, timing, how is decision made between team and med provider? > Purposes are listed in the standards document			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
how the medical evaluation is made available	➤ Does our protocol explain how, when & where a medical evaluation is available			
how medical emergency situations are addressed	➤ Does our protocol describe how emergency or after- hours acute exams are handled? Does it explain what			

	constitutes an 'emergency' (i.e. not all after-hours disclosures require an immediate exam)?		
how multiple medical evaluations are limited	➤ Does our protocol state (by example) how first- responders are educated to refer to specialized providers;		
how medical care is documented	Photo-documentation is the standard. Does protocol reference expectation of photo-documentation? How are photos/records made available to investigators/prosecutors?		
 how the medical evaluation is coordinated with the MDT in order to avoid duplication of interviewing and history taking 	➤ Does our protocol outline how interview information is transferred to medical provider prior to exam to prevent re-interviewing? Does our protocol outline how exam information gets back to the team in a timely manner?		
 procedures are in place for medical intervention in cases of suspected physical abuse, if applicable. 	➤ If our CAC also includes serious physical abuse in our case load, does our protocol outline what resources are available for specialized physical abuse evaluations? Who are trained providers? How clients access? etc.		
CAC/MDT provides opportunities for those who conduct medical evaluation to participate in ongoing training and peer review.	For all medical providers - Can we demonstrate our medical providers have a system in place to have positive findings reviewed by someone with an "advanced medical consultant?" Who is the peer reviewer for positive findings? What is the expectation for his/her training? Can we document that each of our medical providers has received ongoing education in child sexual abuse of at least 3 hours per every 2 years of CEU/CME credits?		0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
MDT members and CAC staff are trained regarding the purpose and nature of the evaluation and can educate clients &/or non-offending caregivers regarding the medical evaluation.	How can we demonstrate other team members are trained (esp. LE/DCF/advocates) to understand and articulate to parents a general exam overview and the purpose of the exam?		0-6 mb 6-12 12-18 18+mb
Findings of the medical evaluation and shared with the MDT in a routine and timely manner.	Do we have a system in place so that medical findings are reported in a timely manner (within X days of the exam) to pertinent team members? (How do we know those findings are reported, and do not go into a "black hole" or are kept from investigators?)		0-6 mb 6-12 12-18 18+mb

END-MEDICAL EVALUATION

MENTAL HEALTH – Specialized trauma-focused mental health services, designed to meet the unique needs of the children and non-offending family members, are routinely made available as part of the multidisciplinary team response.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Does every child have the opportunity to receive mental health treatment? Is the treatment made available to child clients trauma-focused?				
Essential Components Mental health services are provided by professionals with pediatric experience and child abuse expertise.	Can we list each of our mental health providers and document his/her training that meets the stated requirements? (These may be included in a linkage agreement with an agency – indicating any provider assigned to CAC clients will meet criteria.)			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Specialized trauma-focused mental health services for the child client are routinely made available on-site or through linkage agreements with other appropriate agencies or providers.	Do we have written linkage agreements with each agency and/or individual practitioners to which we refer? Does the treatment available to clients include: (may wish to include in linkage agreements) • crisis intervention services • trauma-specific assessment including trauma history • use of standardized measures (assessment tools) (What are they? CBCL? Achenbach?) • family/caregiver engagement • individualized treatment plan (periodically re-assessed) • individualized evidence-informed treatment appropriate for the children and family seen • referral to other community services as needed • clinical supervision			□ 0-6 mo □ 6-12 □ 12-18 □ 18+mo
Mental health services are available and accessible to all CAC clients regardless of ability to pay.	Can we demonstrate how an uninsured client receives equivalent mental health services?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18
The CAC/MDT's written documents include access to appropriate mental health evaluation and treatment for all CAC clients.	Does our protocol specifically include a section that outlines how clients will be referred to, access and receive mental health treatment AND who provides such services?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Rated Criteria The CAC/MDT's written documents include: • the role of the mental health professional	Can we cut-and-paste: (Each item must be included in team protocol and/or linkage agreements - some may be appropriate to include in both documents. >Can our mental health provider articulate his/her role			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo

on the MDT including provisions for	on the team? Does the protocol provide for a mental		
attendance at case review.	health professional's attendance at case review?		
 provisions regarding sharing relevant 	➤ Does our document discuss how mental health		
information with the MDT while	information is shared and how client confidentiality is		
protecting the clients' rights to privacy	protected? Some providers may ask clients to sign		
how the forensic process is separate from	release, etc.		
mental health treatment	➤ Does our document outline how mental health		
	assessment and treatment is not part of the forensic		
	interview session/process? "Better" practice is to		
	ensure the interviewer is separate from the therapist.		
The CAC/MDT provides opportunities for	Do we make mental health providers aware of		☐ 0-6 mo
those who provide mental health services	continuing education opportunities relevant to trauma-		☐ 6-12
to participate in ongoing training and peer	focused treatment? Can each of our providers document		12-18
review.	at least 8 contact hours of annual continuing education?		☐ 18+mo
Mental health services for non-offending	Does our protocol address mental health services that		☐ 0-6 mo
family members &/or caregivers are	are available to non-offending family members? Do we		☐ 6-12
routinely made available on-site or through	have linkage agreements in place with providers of such		12-18
linkage agreements with other agencies.	services?		18+mo

END-MENTAL HEALTH

CASE REVIEW – A formal process in which multidisciplinary discussion and information sharing regarding the investigation, case status and services needed by the child and family is to occur on a routine basis.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Essential Components The CAC/MDT written documents include criteria for case review procedures.	 Can we cut-and-paste from our protocol (checklist): What is the frequency of our meetings (min. monthly) Who are the designated attendees – front-line responders assigned to each case? Supervisors? All disciplines represented? What are our criteria for case selection? How do we triage what cases will be reviewed? Who facilitates the meetings (leads discussion; solicits dialogue)? Who coordinates the meetings (advance agenda, invites attendees, etc.)? What is our mechanism for notifying team members in advance what cases will be staffed? What are the procedures for making sure follow-up recommendations are addressed? Where is the location of the meeting(s)? 			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
A forum for the purpose of reviewing cases is conducted on a regularly scheduled basis (not less than once a month).	Are case review meetings conducted not less than once a month?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Case review is an informed decision-making process with input from all necessary MDT members based on the need of the case.	Do our case review meetings: Review interview outcomes? Discuss and monitor investigation progress? Review medical evaluations? Discuss child protection and safety issues? Provide input for prosecution decisions? Discuss emotional support/treatment needs and strategizing to meet those needs? Assess the family's response? Review criminal/case disposition? Discuss cultural issues related to our cases?			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
A designated individual coordinates and facilitates the case review process, including notification of cases that will be reviewed.	Does our protocol clearly identify who is responsible for sending advanced notification to relevant team members?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Rated Criteria	Do our case review meetings consistently include: law			□ 0-6 mo

anfarcament, DCF, procedutar/s), madical providers,			□ 6.13
			6-12
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			12-18
representative cannot attend, is there another			☐ 18+mo
mechanism in which to get input while decisions are			
being made (i.e. phone-in)?			
0(. p).			
Do we have policies regarding those required to attend?			
<u> </u>			
<i>5</i> ,			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			☐ 0-6 mo
recommendations from case review are communicated			☐ 6-12
to the appropriate parties? Is there a follow up			12-18
component?			18+mo
Do we use our MDT meetings as knowledge-building			□ 0-6 mo
			6-12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			12-18
•			18+mo
			10+1110
·			
that issue? Examples – review of research articles, cross-			
training about the work of different agencies, guest			
speakers on an issue of concern?			
•			
	being made (i.e. phone-in)? Do we have policies regarding those required to attend? Do we have a mechanism for communicating with and MDT members who cannot regularly attend? Can we articulate our process by which recommendations from case review are communicated to the appropriate parties? Is there a follow up component? Do we use our MDT meetings as knowledge-building opportunities for participants? Is there some in-depth discussion around child abuse issues that help create greater understanding? Is our meeting a forum where a participant can ask a general question regarding abuse dynamics or case issues and expect to be educated on that issue? Examples — review of research articles, crosstraining about the work of different agencies, guest	mental health; victim advocate(s); and CAC staff? If a representative cannot attend, is there another mechanism in which to get input while decisions are being made (i.e. phone-in)? Do we have policies regarding those required to attend? Do we have a mechanism for communicating with and MDT members who cannot regularly attend? Can we articulate our process by which recommendations from case review are communicated to the appropriate parties? Is there a follow up component? Do we use our MDT meetings as knowledge-building opportunities for participants? Is there some in-depth discussion around child abuse issues that help create greater understanding? Is our meeting a forum where a participant can ask a general question regarding abuse dynamics or case issues and expect to be educated on that issue? Examples — review of research articles, crosstraining about the work of different agencies, guest	mental health; victim advocate(s); and CAC staff? If a representative cannot attend, is there another mechanism in which to get input while decisions are being made (i.e. phone-in)? Do we have policies regarding those required to attend? Do we have a mechanism for communicating with and MDT members who cannot regularly attend? Can we articulate our process by which recommendations from case review are communicated to the appropriate parties? Is there a follow up component? Do we use our MDT meetings as knowledge-building opportunities for participants? Is there some in-depth discussion around child abuse issues that help create greater understanding? Is our meeting a forum where a participant can ask a general question regarding abuse dynamics or case issues and expect to be educated on that issue? Examples — review of research articles, crosstraining about the work of different agencies, guest

END-CASE REVIEW

CASE TRACKING – Children's Advocacy Centers must develop and implement a system for monitoring case progress and tracking case outcomes for all MDT components.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Essential Components The CAC/MDT's written documents include tracking case information until final disposition.	Can we cut-and-paste from our protocol: How case tracking is accomplished? What system? Who is primarily responsible for case tracking?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC tracks and minimally is able to retrieve Demographic info about child, family Demographic info about alleged offender Type(s) of abuse Relationship of alleged offender to child MDT involvement & outcomes Charges filed and case disposition (criminal) Child protection outcomes (DCF) Status/outcome of medical and mental health referrals	Does our system collect and report all of the required data sets? Can we track if non-offending caregiver's follow through with medical/mental health referrals?			□ 0-6 mo □ 6-12 □ 12-18 □ 18+mo
Rated Criteria An individual is identified to implement the case tracking process.	Do we have an identified individual responsible for coordinating the case review process – who makes sure the information is collected and inputted?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
All MDT partner agencies provide their specific case information and disposition.	Can we cut-and-paste in our protocol where it outlines How case information is obtained from partner agencies? What is the timeline?			☐ 0-6 mo ☐ 6-12 ☐ 12-18 ☐ 18+mo
MDT partner agencies have access to case information as defined by the CACs written documents.	Does our protocol outline how partners can get data information out of the system? (Are they provided with reports? Can they request information from the system on a particular case?)			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo

END-CASE TRACKING

ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY – A designated legal entity responsible for program and fiscal operations has been established and implements basic sound administrative policies and procedures.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Essential Components The CAC is an incorporated, private non- profit organization or government-based agency, or a component of such an organization or agency.	Can we produce our legal documents (articles of incorporation, current tax-exempt approval, etc.) to meet the standard?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC maintains (at minimum): current general commercial liability, professional liability, and Director's & Officers liability (for non-profit)	Can we produce current certificates of insurance for each of the required policies?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC has written administrative policies and procedures that apply to staff, MDT members, board members, volunteers and clients.	Can we produce our written personnel policies (i.e. employee manuals, financial policies, facility use, etc.)? Do we have written policies specific to the roles and conduct of volunteers? Are job descriptions current?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC has an annual independent financial audit.	Can we produce our (less than one year old) financial audit and related documents (i.e. auditor's letter to management, board response to auditor's letter)?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC has personnel responsible for its operations and program services.	Is there a designated individual specifically responsible for the operations of the CAC?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC has, and demonstrates compliance with, written screening policies for staff that include criminal background and child abuse registry checks and provides training and supervision.	Can we produce our written policy that outlines the requirements for employee background checks? Have all employees undergone a national criminal background check and a check of the child abuse registry? Can we demonstrate compliance with our policy?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC has, and demonstrates compliance with, written screening policies for on-site volunteers that include criminal background and child abuse registry checks and provides training and supervision.	Can we produce our written policy that outlines the requirement for volunteer background checks? Have all on-site volunteers undergone a national criminal background check and a check of the child abuse registry? Can we demonstrate compliance?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Rated Criteria The CAC provides education and community awareness on child abuse issues.	Can we respond to a community request regarding child abuse education?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC has addressed its sustainability through the development of a strategic plan that includes a funding component.	Can we produce our current strategic plan – and does it include a section on funding/sustainability? OR Do we have an annual development plan?			0-6 mo

CHILD-FOCUSED SETTING – The child-focused setting is comfortable, private, and both physically and psychologically safe for diverse populations and children and their non-offending family members.

Written Criteria	Self-Assessment	Self-Evaluation (Yes/No/Comment)	Action Steps	Timeline
Essential Components The CAC is a designated, well-defined, task appropriate facility or contiguous space within an existing structure.	Look at our facility – is the CAC work area clearly defined? If in an existing structure is the CAC space geographically separate from other operations (separate entrance); children should not have to go through shared space; Is the facility "neutral" - from the <i>child's</i> perspective?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC has written policies and procedures that ensure separation of victims and alleged offenders.	Can we produce our written policy(ies) regarding separation of victims and alleged offenders? Do we have a plan in place should an alleged offender come to the CAC. There should be NO opportunity for interaction between victims/families and offenders.			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The CAC makes reasonable accommodations to make the facility physically accessible.	Is our facility accessible to persons in a wheelchair or who may have a physical disability?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The facility allows for live observation of interviews by MDT members.	Can we demonstrate how our observers view forensic interviews live and provide input into the interview as its taking place?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Rated Criteria The CAC is maintained in a manner that is physically safe and "child-proof."	Is our physical environment safe (i.e., outlet plugs, bookshelves secured to walls, etc.)? Are toys checked for small or broken parts? Is there a plan to regularly sanitize toys?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Children and families are observed or supervised by staff, volunteers and/or MDT members.	Do we have practices in place so that children and/or family members are <i>always</i> supervised? Who sits with the child when caregivers are meeting with advocate or team? Is this policy articulated somewhere?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
Separate and private area(s) are available for those awaiting services, for case consultation and discussion, and for meetings or interviews.	Can we clearly identify a designated, private (outside of other's earshot) space for case discussion? For advocacy meetings with caregivers? For interviews/observation?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo
The location of the CAC is convenient and accessible to clients and MDT members.	How do we accommodate transportation needs? Bus route? Are we located within a reasonable distance from the majority of our clients and or team members?			0-6 mo 6-12 12-18 18+mo