Journal of the House

Thursday, April 17, 2014

At one o'clock in the afternoon the Speaker called the House to order.

Devotional Exercises

Devotional exercises were conducted by Ben Partridge of Windham, Vt.

House Bill Introduced

H. 894

By Reps. Hooper of Montpelier and Kitzmiller of Montpelier,

House bill, entitled

An act relating to approval of amendments to the charter of the City of Montpelier and to merging the Montpelier Fire District No. 1 into the City of Montpelier;

To the committee on Government Operations.

Senate Bill Referred

S. 202

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to the energy efficiency charge;

To the committee on Rules.

Bill Referred to Committee on Appropriations

S. 40

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to establishing an interim committee that will develop policies to restore the 1980 ratio of state funding to student tuition at Vermont State Colleges and to make higher education more affordable

Appearing on the Calendar, carrying an appropriation, under rule 35a, was referred to the committee on Appropriations.

Committee Relieved of Consideration and Bill Committed to Other Committee

H.R. 21

Rep. Moran of Wardsboro moved that the committee on General, Housing and Military Affairs be relieved of House resolution, entitled

House resolution expressing support for the continuation of the Vermont State Fair

And that the resolution be committed to the committee on Agriculture and Forest Products, which was agreed to.

Third Reading; Bill Passed

H. 586

House bill, entitled

An act relating to improving the quality of State waters

Was taken up and read the third time.

Pending the question, Shall the bill pass? **Rep. Deen of Westminster** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 137. Nays, 4.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Klein of East Montpelier Koch of Barre Town Krebs of South Hero Krowinski of Burlington Kupersmith of South Burlington Lanpher of Vergennes Larocque of Barnet Lawrence of Lyndon Lenes of Shelburne Lewis of Berlin Lippert of Hinesburg Macaig of Williston Malcolm of Pawlet Manwaring of Wilmington Marcotte of Coventry Marek of Newfane Martin of Springfield Martin of Wolcott Masland of Thetford McCarthy of St. Albans City McCormack of Burlington McCullough of Williston McFaun of Barre Town Michelsen of Hardwick Miller of Shaftsbury Mitchell of Fairfax

Mook of Bennington Moran of Wardsboro Morrissey of Bennington Mrowicki of Putney Myers of Essex Nuovo of Middlebury O'Brien of Richmond O'Sullivan of Burlington Partridge of Windham Pearce of Richford Pearson of Burlington * Peltz of Woodbury Poirier of Barre City Potter of Clarendon Pugh of South Burlington Quimby of Concord Rachelson of Burlington Ralston of Middlebury Ram of Burlington Russell of Rutland City Ryerson of Randolph Savage of Swanton Scheuermann of Stowe Sharpe of Bristol Shaw of Pittsford Smith of New Haven South of St. Johnsbury

Spengler of Colchester Stevens of Waterbury Stevens of Shoreham Stuart of Brattleboro Sweaney of Windsor Terenzini of Rutland Town Till of Jericho Toleno of Brattleboro Toll of Danville Townsend of South Burlington Trieber of Rockingham Turner of Milton Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh Waite-Simpson of Essex Walz of Barre City Webb of Shelburne Weed of Enosburgh Wilson of Manchester Winters of Williamstown Wizowaty of Burlington Woodward of Johnson Yantachka of Charlotte Young of Glover Zagar of Barnard

Those who voted in the negative are:

Buxton of Tunbridge *	Komline of Dorset
Higley of Lowell	Strong of Albany

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bouchard of Colchester	Gallivan of Chittenden	Vowinkel of Hartford
Brennan of Colchester	Head of South Burlington	Wright of Burlington
Cross of Winooski	Shaw of Derby	

Rep. Buxton of Tunbridge explained her vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

I strongly support the protection of Vermont's waterways. I do not believe that this bill does enough to support our small farming operations in complying with the accepted agricultural practices. I hope that future legislation will designate money to help support these important policies, practices and enforcement." Rep. Keenan of St. Albans City explained her vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker"

I vote yes but with disappointment. We have approved H586 without adequately addressing the low hanging fruit compromising our state waters and, with our failure to provide the needed financial resources to do so, we take the risk of spending millions on municipal discharge systems without making a dent in the poor water quality of our state and risk further action by the EPA."

Rep. Pearson of Burlington explained his vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker

It's heartening to see such strong support for cleaning up our lakes and waterways. But today is a small step. I can only hope that when it comes time to fund subsequent steps, support will remain strong."

Favorable Report; Third Reading Ordered

H. 870

Rep. Lewis of Berlin, for the committee on Government Operations, to which had been referred House bill, entitled

An act relating to the merger of the Town of Pittsford and the Pittsford Fire District No. 1

Reported in favor of its passage. The bill, having appeared on the Calendar one day for notice, was taken up, read the second time and third reading ordered.

Bill Committed to Committee

H. 123

The Senate proposed to the House to amend House bill, entitled

An act relating to Lyme disease and other tick-borne illnesses

<u>First</u>: By striking Sec. 2 in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new Sec. 2 to read as follows:

Sec. 2. PURPOSE

<u>The purpose of this act is to ensure that patients have access to treatment for</u> Lyme disease and other tick-borne illnesses in accordance with their needs, the clinical judgment of their physicians, and any of the guidelines referenced in Sec. 3(4) of this act. <u>Second</u>: By striking out Sec. 3 in its entirety and inserting in lieu thereof a new Sec. 3 to read as follows:

Sec. 3. POLICY STATEMENT

A policy statement clearly communicating the following shall be issued by the Vermont State Board of Medical Practice to physicians licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 23 and to physician assistants licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 31; the Vermont Board of Osteopathic Physicians to physicians licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 33; the Office of Professional Regulation to naturopathic physicians licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 81; and the Vermont Board of Nursing to advanced practice registered nurses licensed pursuant to 26 V.S.A. chapter 28:

(1) a physician, physician assistant, naturopathic physician, or nurse practitioner, as appropriate, shall document the basis for diagnosis of and treatment for Lyme disease, other tick-borne illness, or coinfection in a patient's medical record;

(2) a physician, physician assistant, naturopathic physician, or nurse practitioner, as appropriate, shall obtain a patient's informed consent regarding the potential inaccuracy of a diagnostic Lyme disease test prior to its administration;

(3) a physician, physician assistant, naturopathic physician, or nurse practitioner, as appropriate, shall obtain a patient's informed consent in writing prior to administering any proposed long-term treatment for Lyme disease, other tick-borne illness, or coinfection; and

(4) the Board or Office of Professional Regulation shall not pursue disciplinary action against a physician, physician assistant, naturopathic physician, or nurse practitioner, as appropriate, solely for the use of medical care recognized by the guidelines of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Infectious Diseases Society of America, or International Lyme and Associated Diseases Society for the treatment of a patient's symptoms when the patient is clinically diagnosed with Lyme disease or other tick-borne illness; however, this does not preclude discipline for errors, omissions, or other unprofessional conduct when practicing within such guidelines.

Third: By adding a new section to be numbered Sec. 4 to read as follows:

Sec. 4. REPORT

On or before January 15, 2015 and 2016 the Commissioner of Health shall report to the House Committee on Health Care and to the Senate Committee on Health and Welfare on the following:

(1) the trends in the spread of Lyme disease and other tick-borne illnesses throughout Vermont, including a description of the surveillance criteria used in evaluating the spread of these diseases; and

(2) the Department of Health's public education initiatives to date regarding the prevention and treatment of Lyme disease and other tick-borne illnesses, including an assessment of each initiative's effectiveness.

And by renumbering the remaining section to be numerically correct.

Which proposal of amendment was considered.

Pending the question, Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment? **Rep. Till of Jericho** moved that the bill be committed to the committee on Health Care, which was agreed to.

Action on Bill Postponed

H. 356

House bill, entitled

An act relating to prohibiting littering in or on the waters of the State

Was taken up and pending the question, Shall the House concur in the Senate proposal of amendment? on motion of **Rep. Deen of Westminster**, action on the bill was postponed until April 23, 2014.

Senate Proposal of Amendment Concurred in

H. 373

The Senate proposed to the House to amend House bill, entitled

An act relating to updating and reorganizing Title 33

<u>First</u>: In Sec. 112, 15 V.S.A. § 1140(b), in subdivisions (10) and (13), by striking out "<u>Network Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault</u>" and inserting in lieu thereof <u>Network Against Domestic and Sexual Violence</u>

<u>Second</u>: In Sec. 135, effective dates, after the following: "<u>§ 4809</u>)" by inserting the word <u>shall</u>

Which proposal of amendment was considered and concurred in.

Joint Resolution Adopted

J.R.H. 20

Joint resolution, entitled

Joint resolution authorizing Green Mountain Boys State educational program to use the State House;

Was taken up and adopted on the part of the House.

Remarks Not Journalized

Rep. Turner of Milton, moved to journalize the remarks by **Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City.**

Pending the question, Shall the House journalize the remarks of Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City? **Rep. Donahue of Northfield** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the House journalize the remarks of Rep. Kilmartin of Newport City? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 53. Nays, 79.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Batchelor of Derby			
Beyor of Highgate			
Branagan of Georgia *			
Burditt of West Rutland			
Campion of Bennington			
Canfield of Fair Haven			
Clarkson of Woodstock			
Connor of Fairfield			
Consejo of Sheldon			
Corcoran of Bennington			
Cupoli of Rutland City			
Davis of Washington			
Devereux of Mount Holly			
Dickinson of St. Albans			
Town			
Donaghy of Poultney			
Donahue of Northfield			
Fagan of Rutland City			
Feltus of Lyndon			

Goodwin of Weston Hebert of Vernon Helm of Fair Haven Higley of Lowell Hubert of Milton Huntley of Cavendish Johnson of Canaan Juskiewicz of Cambridge Klein of East Montpelier Komline of Dorset Larocque of Barnet Lawrence of Lyndon Lewis of Berlin Marcotte of Coventry McFaun of Barre Town Mitchell of Fairfax Myers of Essex Pearce of Richford Poirier of Barre City *

Quimby of Concord Rachelson of Burlington * Savage of Swanton Scheuermann of Stowe * Shaw of Pittsford Smith of New Haven Strong of Albany Sweaney of Windsor Terenzini of Rutland Town Toll of Danville Townsend of South Burlington Trieber of Rockingham Turner of Milton Van Wyck of Ferrisburgh Winters of Williamstown Wright of Burlington

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais Bartholomew of Hartland Bissonnette of Winooski Botzow of Pownal Burke of Brattleboro Buxton of Tunbridge Carr of Brandon Christie of Hartford Condon of Colchester Conquest of Newbury Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford Cross of Winooski * Dakin of Chester Deen of Westminster Donovan of Burlington Ellis of Waterbury Emmons of Springfield Fay of St. Johnsbury Fisher of Lincoln Frank of Underhill French of Randolph Gage of Rutland City Grad of Moretown Greshin of Warren Haas of Rochester Heath of Westford Hooper of Montpelier Hoyt of Norwich Jerman of Essex Jewett of Ripton Johnson of South Hero Keenan of St. Albans City Kitzmiller of Montpelier Koch of Barre Town * Krebs of South Hero Krowinski of Burlington Kupersmith of South Burlington * Lanpher of Vergennes Lenes of Shelburne Lippert of Hinesburg Macaig of Williston Malcolm of Pawlet Manwaring of Wilmington Marek of Newfane Martin of Springfield Masland of Thetford McCarthy of St. Albans City McCormack of Burlington McCullough of Williston Michelsen of Hardwick Miller of Shaftsbury Mook of Bennington Moran of Wardsboro Mrowicki of Putney * Nuovo of Middlebury O'Brien of Richmond Partridge of Windham Pearson of Burlington Peltz of Woodbury Potter of Clarendon Pugh of South Burlington Ralston of Middlebury Ram of Burlington Ryerson of Randolph South of St. Johnsbury Spengler of Colchester Stevens of Waterbury Stuart of Brattleboro Till of Jericho Toleno of Brattleboro Waite-Simpson of Essex Walz of Barre City Webb of Shelburne Weed of Enosburgh * Wilson of Manchester Wizowaty of Burlington Woodward of Johnson Yantachka of Charlotte Zagar of Barnard

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Bouchard of Colchester	Gallivan of Chittenden	Sharpe of Bristol
Brennan of Colchester	Head of South Burlington	Shaw of Derby
Browning of Arlington	Martin of Wolcott	Stevens of Shoreham
Cole of Burlington	Morrissey of Bennington	Vowinkel of Hartford
Evans of Essex	Russell of Rutland City	Young of Glover

Those who abstained:

Kilmartin of Newport City O'Sullivan of Burlington

Rep. Branagan of Georgia explained her vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

This is an unfortunate situation. But when there is no apology where one is due, hurt lasts a long time. Very unfortunate."

Rep. Cross of Winooski explained his vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

I voted no on this motion, although I support the concept that every member of this body has the right to speak his or her mind."

Rep. Koch of Barre Town explained his vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

Sometimes we say things that unintentionally offend others. Sometimes we voice our offense. Sometimes we respond. The time comes when all that needs to be said has been said, and it behooves us to move on.

I respect all those who have engaged in this discussion, and I do not think it is helpful to memorialize their disagreements in our Journal."

Rep. Kupersmith of South Burlington explained her vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

I understand the wish to have these remarks journalized, but I fear the precedent of having this sort of disagreement extended beyond the verbal."

Rep. Mrowicki of Putney explained his vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

Obviously we are getting to that time in the session when fatigue feeds misunderstanding and the cumulative stress of the session can amplify those misunderstandings.

I hope we can all take a lesson here as we head to the end of the session to take care in all we do or say – and take an extra breath before we do."

Rep. Poirier of Barre City explained his vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

I voted yes because I believe this situation is a bad example of how the Vermont legislature should conduct itself. The member from Burlington was out of order to offer her privileged remarks during the orders of the day."

Rep. Rachelson of Burlington explained her vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

I may not fully understand all the implications of requesting journalizing remarks, but do not want to deny anyone's request for this. I regret that so much time has been spent that is diverting us from the important work we have to do.

I hope moving forward we can find another way besides this in the chamber to address underlying issues between members."

Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe explained her vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

I voted yes to journalize the remarks, not only because I appreciated the member's further explanation of his comment yesterday, but because I cannot ever remember voting against a motion to journalize a member's remarks.

Given all of the recent talk of etiquette and respect, it is exceptionally disappointing to see the vote fail.

I am hopeful that, sometime soon, we will see the return in this body of mutual respect and understanding."

Rep. Weed of Enosburg explained her vote as follows:

"Mr. Speaker:

I voted no because the member's remarks cannot be journalized accurately."

Message from the Senate No. 49

A message was received from the Senate by Mr. Marshall, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has considered bills originating in the House of the following titles:

H. 112. An act relating to the labeling of food produced with genetic engineering.

H. 260. An act relating to electronic insurance notices and credit for reinsurance.

H. 483. An act relating to adopting revisions to Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code.

And has passed the same in concurrence with proposals of amendment in the adoption of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Adjournment

At two o'clock and twenty minutes in the afternoon, on motion of **Rep. Turner of Milton**, the House adjourned until tomorrow at nine o'clock and thirty minutes in the forenoon.