

# Journal of the House

---

**Thursday, April 30, 2009**

At nine o'clock and thirty minutes in the forenoon the Speaker called the House to order.

## **Devotional Exercises**

Devotional exercises were conducted by Rev. Abe Gross of the Cavendish Baptist Church.

## **Message from the Senate No. 48**

A message was received from the Senate by Mr. Marshall, its Assistant Secretary, as follows:

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has considered a bill originating in the House of the following title:

**H. 64.** An act relating to eligibility for the state youth hunting programs.

And has passed the same in concurrence.

The Senate has considered joint resolution originating in the House of the following title:

**J.R.H. 26.** Joint resolution relating to classified state employees.

And has adopted the same in concurrence.

The Senate has considered House proposal of amendment to Senate bill of the following title:

**S. 27.** An act relating to tastings and sale of wines, fortified wines and spirits.

And has concurred therein.

Pursuant to the request of the House for Committees of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the following House bills the President announced the appointment as members of such Committees on the part of the Senate:

**H. 26.** An act relating to plans for treatment of unmarked burial sites.

Senator Illuzzi  
Senator Ashe  
Senator Carris

**H. 438.** An act relating to the state's transportation program.

Senator Mazza  
Senator Scott  
Senator Kitchel

**H. 445.** An act relating to capital construction and state bonding.

Senator Scott  
Senator Mazza  
Senator Campbell

#### **Joint Resolution Placed on Calendar**

#### **J.R.H. 27**

Joint house resolution urging Congress to enact H.R. 676, the National Health Insurance Act (or the Expanded and Improved Medicare for All Act)

Offered by: Representatives Haas of Rochester, Obuchowski of Rockingham, McFaun of Barre Town, Ancel of Calais, Andrews of Rutland City, Aswad of Burlington, Bissonnette of Winooski, Bohi of Hartford, Botzow of Pownal, Bray of New Haven, Browning of Arlington, Burke of Brattleboro, Cheney of Norwich, Clarkson of Woodstock, Conquest of Newbury, Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford, Courcelle of Rutland City, Davis of Washington, Deen of Westminster, Donovan of Burlington, Edwards of Brattleboro, Emmons of Springfield, Evans of Essex, Fisher of Lincoln, Frank of Underhill, French of Shrewsbury, French of Randolph, Geier of South Burlington, Gilbert of Fairfax, Grad of Moretown, Head of South Burlington, Heath of Westford, Hooper of Montpelier, Howard of Rutland City, Jerman of Essex, Jewett of Ripton, Johnson of South Hero, Keenan of St. Albans City, Kitzmiller of Montpelier, Klein of East Montpelier, Lanpher of Vergennes, Larson of Burlington, Lenes of Shelburne, Leriche of Hardwick, Lippert of Hinesburg, Lorber of Burlington, Macaig of Williston, Malcolm of Pawlet, Manwaring of Wilmington, Marek of Newfane, Martin of Springfield, Martin of Wolcott, Masland of Thetford, McCullough of Williston, Milkey of Brattleboro, Miller of Shaftsbury, Minter of Waterbury, Mitchell of Barnard, Moran of Wardsboro, Mrowicki of Putney, Nease of Johnson, Nuovo of Middlebury, O'Donnell of Vernon, Orr of Charlotte, Partridge of Windham, Pellett of Chester, Peltz of Woodbury, Poirier of Barre City, Potter of Clarendon, Pugh of South Burlington, Ram of Burlington, Rodgers of Glover,

Shand of Weathersfield, Sharpe of Bristol, Smith of Mendon, Spengler of Colchester, Stevens of Waterbury, Stevens of Shoreham, Sweaney of Windsor, Taylor of Barre City, Webb of Shelburne, Weston of Burlington, Wheeler of Derby, Wilson of Manchester, Wizowaty of Burlington, Zenie of Colchester and Zuckerman of Burlington

Whereas, every person in Vermont and the United States deserves access to affordable, quality health care, and

Whereas, there is a growing crisis in health care in the United States of America, manifested in rising health care costs, increased premiums, increased out-of-pocket spending, and decreased international business competitiveness, and

Whereas, over 47,000 Vermonters still lack health insurance coverage, and

Whereas, public and private health care costs in Vermont have risen from \$2.2 billion in 2002 to \$4.2 billion in 2007, a greater percentage increase than the increase in national health care spending during the same time period, and

Whereas, these greatly increased costs have resulted in higher school and municipal budgets, which increase the property tax burden placed on all Vermonters, and

Whereas, the establishment of new small businesses can be a major force contributing to an improved Vermont economy, and health care concerns should not be a barrier to this important economic stimulus, and

Whereas, many individuals are dissuaded from establishing their own business enterprises because they fear that leaving their current jobs will mean a loss of health insurance coverage, and

Whereas, those insured are all too often underinsured, and

Whereas, one-half of all personal bankruptcies are due to illnesses or medical bills, and

Whereas, the increasing expense of Medicaid and the rising costs of insuring public sector employees can best be met by creating a national publicly funded health insurance program, and

Whereas, the complex bureaucracy arising from our fragmented, for-profit, multipayer system of health care financing has overhead expenses of nearly 30 percent, while Medicare operates with an overhead of only three percent, and

Whereas, Vermont's ability to step forward on universal health care depends on action at the federal level, and

Whereas, U.S. Representative John Conyers, Jr. has introduced H.R. 676, the United States National Health Insurance Act (or the Expanded and Improved Medicare for All Act), and

Whereas, this act would provide a universal and comprehensive system of high-quality national health insurance, and

Whereas, in the Senate, U.S. Senator Bernie Sanders has introduced S. 703, the American Health Security Act of 2009 that “establishes a state-based American Health Security Program to provide every U.S. resident who is a U.S. citizen, national, or lawful resident alien with health care services,” and “requires each participating state to establish a state health security program,” and

Whereas, S. 703 would create a system of comprehensive health care hospital services, professional services (including patient education training in self-management), community-based primary health services, long-term, acute, and chronic care services, prescription drugs, biologicals, insulin, and medical foods, dental services, mental health and substance abuse treatment services, diagnostic tests, and other services, including outpatient therapy, durable medical equipment, home dialysis, ambulance service, prosthetic devices, and addition items and services, and

Whereas, these new state health security programs would replace Medicare, Medicaid, SCHIP, and other existing federal health care programs, be funded through new federal health care income and payroll taxes, and be exempt from the limitations on state health care programs under ERISA, and

Whereas, individual state health care security programs could be tailored to the specific needs of an individual state while minimum national standards would be maintained through the newly established American Health Care Security Standards Board, now therefore be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That the General Assembly urges Congress to enact H.R. 676, the United States National Health Insurance Act (or the Expanded and Improved Medicare for All Act) or, in the alternative, S. 703, the American Health Care Security Act of 2009, and be it further

Resolved: That the Secretary of State be directed to send a copy of this resolution to the members of the Vermont Congressional Delegation.

Which was read and, in the Speaker’s discretion, placed on the Calendar for action tomorrow under Rule 52.

---

**House Resolution Placed on Calendar****H.R. 18**

House resolution, entitled

House resolution relating to high mortgage fees

Offered by: Representatives Turner of Milton, Dickinson of St. Albans Town, Acinapura of Brandon, Adams of Hartland, Bissonnette of Winooski, Botzow of Pownal, Branagan of Georgia, Clark of Vergennes, Clerkin of Hartford, Donaghy of Poultney, Fagan of Rutland City, Higley of Lowell, Kitzmiller of Montpelier, Komline of Dorset, Lenes of Shelburne, Lorber of Burlington, Marcotte of Coventry, Myers of Essex, Savage of Swanton, Scheuermann of Stowe, Shand of Weathersfield, Smith of Mendon and Wilson of Manchester

Whereas, the Vermont Mortgage Bankers Association (VMBA) is a professional membership association representing the Vermont mortgage lending industry, and it comprises Vermont banks, credit unions, licensed lenders, brokers, and other affiliates who provide support services to the Vermont real estate market, and

Whereas, the VMBA's primary mission is to educate mortgage lenders and other professionals involved in real estate finance, and

Whereas, the VMBA encourages sound and ethical business practices and promotes the welfare of the mortgage lending industry in the state of Vermont, and

Whereas, the VMBA has expressed its serious concerns over excessive fees being charged typical Vermont borrowers who are trying to purchase or refinance their homes, and

Whereas, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae provide essential liquidity to the mortgage market that enables lenders to give long-term and low interest rate loans to finance homes, and

Whereas, because of the serious credit losses in some markets, significant fees known as "adverse market delivery charges, or loan level pricing adjustments" have been added, and

Whereas, these fees have been painfully high for a typical home borrower for some time, but now they are becoming significantly higher for nearly everyone, and

Whereas, borrowers are literally walking away from purchase and refinance transactions because they are unable to pay thousands of dollars in additional fees, and

Whereas, in addition to the excessive fees that have been added to nearly every loan delivered to the secondary market, the credit standards have tightened to an extent that they are strangling the market, and

Whereas, the majority of serious mortgage issues are centralized in seven states, but the whiplash credit-tightening standards have been implemented across all markets, regardless of their credit track record and the stability of their real estate market values, and

Whereas, Vermont consistently ranks as one of the lowest for foreclosures and delinquencies in the United States, with statistics at less than one-half the average for the entire country, now therefore be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives:

That excessive fees should be eliminated, and that fees should only be assessed where loans have performed poorly, and be it further

Resolved: That those regions of the country where lenders have a proven track record of properly originating, underwriting, and delivering good loans to the markets should be rewarded, not penalized, and be it further

Resolved: That the Clerk of the House be directed to send a copy of this resolution to Vermont's Congressional Delegation.

Which was read and, in the Speaker's discretion, placed on the Calendar for action tomorrow under Rule 52.

### **Bill Amended, Read Third Time and Passed**

#### **H. 75**

House bill, entitled

An act relating to interim budget and appropriation adjustments

Was taken up and pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Marcotte of Coventry** moved to amend the bill as follows:

By adding a Sec. 2 to read:

Sec. 2. 2 V.S.A. § 501(a) is amended to read:

(a) There is created a joint fiscal committee whose membership shall be appointed at the beginning of each biennial session of the general assembly.

The committee shall consist of ~~five~~ nine representatives and ~~five~~ nine senators as follows:

- (1) The chair of the house committee on appropriations;
- (2) The chair of the house committee on ways and means;
- (3) The chair of the senate committee on appropriations;
- (4) The chair of the senate committee on finance;
- (5) Two members of the house, one from each major political party, appointed by the speaker of the house;
- (6) Two members of the senate, one from each major political party, appointed by the committee on committees; ~~and~~
- (7) The chair of the house committee on commerce and economic development;
- (8) The chair of the house committee on education;
- (9) The chair of the house committee on government operations;
- (10) The chair of the house committee on human services;
- (11) The chair of the senate committee on economic development, general, and military affairs;
- (12) The chair of the senate committee on education;
- (13) The chair of the senate committee on government operations;
- (14) The chair of the senate committee on health and welfare; and
- (15) One member of the senate to be appointed by the committee on committees and one member of the house to be appointed by the speaker.

Which was disagreed to to.

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Manwaring of Wilmington** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 1, in 32 V.S.A. § 704(g), before the final period, by inserting the words “; or (3) would adjust revenues or expenditures of the education fund as prescribed by law”

Which was agreed to.

Thereupon, the bill was read the third time and passed.

---

**Third Reading; Bill Passed in Concurrence  
With Proposal of Amendment**

**S. 42**

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to the Department of Banking, Insurance, Securities, and Health Care Administration

Was taken up, read the third time and passed in concurrence with proposal of amendment.

**Bill Amended; Third Reading Ordered**

**H. 125**

**Rep. Lawrence of Lyndon**, for the committee on Agriculture, to which had been referred House bill, entitled

An act relating to farm-fresh milk

Reported in favor of its passage when amended by striking all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Sec. 1. FINDINGS

(a) Vermont farmers who currently sell unpasteurized (raw) milk directly to consumers operate in a largely unregulated environment that fails to address a full range of food safety issues, and in which restricted supply is the primary method of addressing food safety concerns.

(b) There are widely divergent views on unpasteurized milk's benefits and risks.

(c) Vermont's current unpasteurized milk law also limits economic development opportunities. Farmers sell their unpasteurized milk for \$5.00 to \$10.00 per gallon, which represents an economic opportunity.

(d) The purpose of this act is to allow farmers to sell larger quantities of unpasteurized milk while at the same time creating new sanitary production, marketing, and consumer education standards.

Sec. 2. 6 V.S.A. § chapter 152 is added to read:

CHAPTER 152. SALE OF UNPASTEURIZED (RAW) MILK

§ 2775. LIMITED SALE OF UNPASTEURIZED (RAW) MILK

PERMISSIBLE



The production and sale of unpasteurized milk for fluid consumption is permitted within the state of Vermont only when produced, marketed, and sold in conformance with this chapter. Such production and sale is not subject to rules adopted pursuant to section 2701 of this title.

§ 2776. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this chapter, “unpasteurized milk” or “unpasteurized (raw) milk” means unpasteurized milk sold for fluid consumption and does not include unpasteurized milk to be pasteurized or unpasteurized milk produced for use in manufacturing of milk products other than fluid milk.

§ 2777. STANDARDS FOR THE SALE OF UNPASTEURIZED (RAW)

MILK

(a) Unpasteurized milk for fluid consumption shall be sold directly from the producer to the end user and shall not be resold.

(b) Unpasteurized milk shall be sold only from the farm on which it was produced except when delivery is arranged in conformance with section 2778 of this chapter. Unpasteurized milk shall not be sold or offered as free samples at any location other than on the farm on which the milk was produced.

(c) Unpasteurized milk operations shall conform to reasonable sanitary standards, including:

(1) Unpasteurized milk should be derived from healthy animals which are subject to appropriate veterinary care, including tuberculosis and brucellosis testing and rabies vaccination, according to accepted testing and vaccinations standards as established by the agency. Test results and verification of vaccinations shall be posted on the farm in a prominent place and be easily visible to customers.

(2) The animal’s udders and teats shall be cleaned and sanitized prior to milking.

(3) The animals shall be housed in a clean, dry environment.

(4) Milking equipment shall be of sanitary construction, cleaned after each milking, and sanitized prior to the next milking.

(5) Milking shall be conducted in a clean environment appropriate for maintaining cleanliness.

(6) The farm shall have a potable water supply which is sampled for bacteriological examination according to agency standards every three years and whenever any alteration or repair of the water supply has been made.

(7) If an animal is treated with antibiotics, that animal's milk shall be tested for and found free of antibiotics before its milk is offered for sale.

(d) Unpasteurized milk shall conform to the following production and marketing standards:

(1) Registration. Each producer intending to sell unpasteurized milk pursuant to this chapter shall register with the agency.

(2) Record keeping and reporting.

(A) A producer shall collect one composite sample of unpasteurized milk each day and keep the previous 14 days' samples frozen. The producer shall provide samples to the agency if requested.

(B) A producer shall maintain a current list of all customers, including addresses, telephone numbers, and e-mail addresses when available.

(C) The producer shall maintain a list of transactions for at least one year which shall include customer names, the date of each purchase, and the amount purchased.

(D) On or before March 1 of each year, the producer shall submit to the agency a statement of the total gallons of unpasteurized milk sold in the previous 12 months.

(3) Labeling. Unpasteurized (raw) milk shall be labeled as such, and the label shall contain:

(A) The date the milk was obtained from the animal.

(B) The name, address, zip code, and telephone number of the producer.

(C) The common name of the type of animal producing the milk (e.g. cattle, goat, sheep) or an image of the animal.

(D) The words "Unpasteurized (Raw) Milk. Not pasteurized. Keep Refrigerated." on the container's principal display panel, and these words shall be clearly readable in letters at least one-eighth inch in height and prominently displayed.

(E) The words "This product has not been pasteurized and therefore may contain harmful bacteria that can cause illness particularly in children, the elderly, and persons with weakened immune systems." on the container's principal display panel and clearly readable in letters at least one-sixteenth inch in height.

(4) Temperature. Unpasteurized milk shall be cooled to 40 degrees Fahrenheit within two hours of the finish of milking and so maintained until it is obtained by the consumer.

(5) Customer inspection and notification.

(A) Prior to selling milk to a new customer, the producer shall provide the customer with a tour of the farm and any area associated with the milking operation. Customers are encouraged and shall be permitted to return to the farm at a reasonable time and at reasonable intervals to re-inspect any areas associated with the milking operation.

(B) A sign with the words "Unpasteurized (Raw) Milk. Not pasteurized. Keep Refrigerated." and "This product has not been pasteurized and therefore may contain harmful bacteria that can cause illness particularly in children, the elderly, and persons with weakened immune systems." shall be displayed prominently on the farm in a place where it can be easily seen by customers. The lettering shall be at least one inch in height and shall be clearly readable.

(e) Producers selling 12.5 or fewer gallons (50 quarts) of unpasteurized milk per day shall meet the requirements of subsections (a) through (d) of this section and shall sell unpasteurized milk only from the farm on which it was produced. A producer selling fewer than 12.5 gallons of unpasteurized milk may choose to meet the requirements of subsection (f) of this section, in which case the producer may deliver in accordance with section 2778 of this chapter.

(f) Producers selling 12.6 to 40 gallons (50.4 to 160 quarts) of unpasteurized milk per day shall meet the requirements of subsections (a) through (d) of this section as well as the following standards:

(1) Inspection. The agency shall annually inspect the producer's facility and determine that the producer is in compliance with the sanitary standards listed in subsection (c) of this section.

(2) Bottling. Unpasteurized milk shall be sold in containers which have been filled by the producer. Containers shall be cleaned by the producer except that the producer may allow customers to clean their own containers only if each customer's container is labeled with the customer's name and address and the customers use their own containers. Producers shall ensure that only clean bottles are filled and distributed.

(3) Testing.

(A) A producer shall have unpasteurized milk tested twice per month by a U.S. Food and Drug Administration accredited laboratory. Milk shall be tested for the following and the results shall be below these limits:

(i) Total bacterial (aerobic) count: 15,000 cfu/ml (cattle and goats);

(ii) Total coliform count: 10 cfu/ml (cattle and goats);

(iii) Somatic cell count: 225,000/ml (cattle); 500,000/ml (goats).

(B) If any test results exceed these limits, it is recommended that the laboratory notify the agency of the results, and the producer shall notify the agency within five days of receiving the results.

(C) Test results shall be kept on file for one year and shall be posted on the farm in a prominent place and be easily visible to customers. The producer shall provide test results to the farm's customers or the agency if requested.

(4) Prearranged delivery of unpasteurized milk is permitted and shall be in compliance with section 2778 of this chapter.

(g) The sale of more than 40 gallons (160 quarts) of unpasteurized milk in any one day is prohibited.

#### § 2778. DELIVERY OF UNPASTEURIZED (RAW) MILK

(a) Delivery of unpasteurized milk is permitted only within the state of Vermont and only by those producers meeting the requirements of subsection 2777(f) of this chapter.

(b) Delivery shall conform to the following requirements:

(1) Delivery shall be to customers who have purchased milk in advance either by a one-time payment or through a subscription;

(2) Delivery shall be directly to the customer at the customer's home or to a customer's home if that home is outfitted with refrigerated storage capable of maintaining the milk at 40 degrees Fahrenheit until obtained by the customer.

(3) During delivery, milk shall be protected from exposure to direct sunlight.

(4) During delivery, milk shall be kept at 40 degrees Fahrenheit or lower at all times.

(c) A producer may contract with another individual to deliver milk in accordance with this section.

Sec. 3. 6 V.S.A. § 2723 is amended to read:

§ 2723. -EXEMPTIONS

Handlers' licenses shall not be required from the following persons:

(1) Producers, except producers who sell fluid dairy products at retail in Vermont.

(2) A hotel, restaurant, or other public eating place that sells fluid dairy products for consumption on the premises, or a store which sells packaged dairy products, provided the entire supply of fluid dairy products is purchased from licensed milk handlers.

~~(3) A person who produces and sells or offers for sale less than 50 quarts of milk in any one day, but in such case an inspection may be made and reasonable sanitary requirements shall be complied with~~ A person producing unpasteurized milk under section 2777 of this title.

and that the title of the bill be amended to read:

“An act relating to the sale of unpasteurized (raw) milk”

The bill, having appeared on the Calendar one day for notice, was taken up and read the second time.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by the Committee on Agriculture? **Rep. Wright of Burlington** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by the Committee on Agriculture? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 96. Nays, 40.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Conquest of Newbury	Fisher of Lincoln
Ainsworth of Royalton *	Copeland-Hanzas of	French of Shrewsbury
Ancel of Calais	Bradford	French of Randolph
Atkins of Winooski	Crawford of Burke	Grad of Moretown
Audette of South Burlington	Davis of Washington	Greshin of Warren
Bohi of Hartford	Deen of Westminster	Haas of Rochester
Botzow of Pownal	Devereux of Mount Holly	Head of South Burlington
Bray of New Haven	Donahue of Northfield	Higley of Lowell
Browning of Arlington	Edwards of Brattleboro	Hooper of Montpelier
Burke of Brattleboro	Emmons of Springfield	Howard of Rutland City
Cheney of Norwich	Evans of Essex	Hube of Londonderry
Clarkson of Woodstock	Fagan of Rutland City	Hubert of Milton

---

Jerman of Essex	Milkey of Brattleboro	Smith of Mendon
Jewett of Ripton	Minter of Waterbury	South of St. Johnsbury
Johnson of South Hero	Mitchell of Barnard	Spengler of Colchester
Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Moran of Wardsboro	Stevens of Waterbury
Klein of East Montpelier	Morley of Barton	Stevens of Shoreham
Koch of Barre Town	Mrowicki of Putney	Sweaney of Windsor
Komline of Dorset	Nease of Johnson	Taylor of Barre City
Larson of Burlington	Nuovo of Middlebury	Toll of Danville
Lawrence of Lyndon	O'Brien of Richmond	Townsend of Randolph
Lenes of Shelburne	Obuchowski of Rockingham	Waite-Simpson of Essex *
Leriche of Hardwick	O'Donnell of Vernon	Webb of Shelburne
Lippert of Hinesburg	Pellett of Chester	Westman of Cambridge
Lorber of Burlington	Peltz of Woodbury	Weston of Burlington
Maier of Middlebury	Poirier of Barre City	Wheeler of Derby
Malcolm of Pawlet	Potter of Clarendon	Wilson of Manchester
Manwaring of Wilmington	Pugh of South Burlington *	Wizowaty of Burlington
Marek of Newfane	Ram of Burlington	Wright of Burlington
Martin of Springfield	Reis of St. Johnsbury	Zenie of Colchester
Martin of Wolcott	Rodgers of Glover	Zuckerman of Burlington
McCullough of Williston	Shand of Weathersfield	
McFaun of Barre Town	Sharpe of Bristol	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Adams of Hartland	Donaghy of Poultney	Miller of Shaftsbury
Andrews of Rutland City	Flory of Pittsford	Mook of Bennington
Baker of West Rutland	Frank of Underhill	Morrissey of Bennington
Bissonnette of Winooski	Gilbert of Fairfax	Myers of Essex
Branagan of Georgia	Helm of Castleton	Pearce of Richford
Brennan of Colchester	Kilmartin of Newport City	Peaslee of Guildhall
Canfield of Fair Haven	Krawczyk of Bennington	Perley of Enosburg
Clark of Vergennes *	Larocque of Barnet	Savage of Swanton
Clerkin of Hartford	Lewis of Derby	Scheuermann of Stowe
Condon of Colchester	Macaig of Williston	Till of Jericho
Consejo of Sheldon	Marcotte of Coventry	Turner of Milton
Corcoran of Bennington	McAllister of Highgate	Winters of Williamstown
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	McDonald of Berlin	Young of St. Albans City
	McNeil of Rutland Town	

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Aswad of Burlington	Johnson of Canaan	Partridge of Windham
Courcelle of Rutland City	Keenan of St. Albans City	Smith of Morristown
Geier of South Burlington	Lanpher of Vergennes	Trombley of Grand Isle
Heath of Westford	Masland of Thetford	
Howrigan of Fairfield	Orr of Charlotte	

**Rep. Ainsworth of Royaltown** explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

Although I have concerns about the sale of raw milk, this bill is better than current law.”

**Rep. Clark of Vergennes** explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

I’m voting no, not because there isn’t any interest in the bill in my district, but because of the liability that could occur as an unintended consequence of this bill’s passage.”

**Rep. Pugh of South Burlington** explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

I vote yes, but reluctantly due to my concerns about the health risks. However, regulating the sale is better for the consumer than the current unregulated practice. I look forward to amendments tomorrow adding additional testing for disease and bacteria.”

**Rep. Waite-Simpson of Essex** explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

I have great concerns about the health risks in consuming raw milk. I vote “yes” because current law poses a greater health risk than the bill we are considering.”

Thereupon, third reading was ordered.

### **Recess**

At twelve o'clock and fifteen minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker declared a recess until the fall of the gavel.

At one o'clock and thirty-five minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker called the House to order.

### **Proposal of Amendment Agreed to; Third Reading Ordered**

#### **S. 129**

**Rep. O'Brien of Richmond**, for the committee on Health Care, to which had been referred Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to containing health care costs by decreasing variability in health care spending and utilization

Reported in favor of its passage in concurrence with proposal of amendment as follows:

By striking all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

\* \* \* Variation in Health Care Utilization \* \* \*

Sec. 1. STUDY OF HEALTH CARE UTILIZATION

(a)(1) The commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration shall analyze variations in the use of health care provided both by hospitals and by physicians treating Vermont residents as measured across the appropriate geographic unit or units. The commissioner shall contract with the Vermont program for quality in health care (VPQHC) pursuant to 18 V.S.A. § 9416 and may contract or consult with other qualified professionals or entities as needed to assist in the analysis and recommendations. To the extent possible, the analysis shall include information already available in medical literature and the Vermont quality report.

(2) The purpose of the analysis is to identify treatments or procedures for which the utilization rate varies significantly among geographic regions within Vermont, where the utilization rates are changing faster in one geographic region than another, to determine the reasons for the variations and changes in utilization, and to recommend solutions to contain health care costs by appropriately reducing variation, including by promoting the use of equally or more effective, lower-cost treatments and therapies provided by all health care professionals licensed in the state. The commissioner may examine the utilization rates of comparable, out-of-state hospitals or entities and regions if necessary to complete this analysis.

(3) The secretary of human services shall collaborate with the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration in the analysis required by this section. To the extent that the agency has data to contribute to the analysis that may not be shared directly, the agency shall provide the analysis to the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration.

(4) The commissioner and the secretary may begin the analysis with the following services:

(A) whose utilization is governed largely by patient preference, including:

(i) cataract surgery;



- (ii) joint replacement;
- (iii) back surgery; and
- (iv) elective cardiac and vascular procedures.

(B) whose utilization appears to be governed largely by the available supply of the service, including:

- (i) total physician visits, including to specialists and primary care physicians;
- (ii) medical admissions to hospitals, including number of inpatient days and outpatient visits, including emergency room visits;
- (iii) ambulatory-sensitive conditions;
- (iv) advanced imaging;
- (v) diagnostic tests; and
- (vi) minor procedures.

(b)(1) In fiscal year 2010, the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration shall collect the same amount under subsection 9416(c) of Title 18 as was collected in state fiscal year 2009 for the expenses incurred under that section.

(2) In fiscal year 2010, the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration may redistribute up to \$150,000.00 of the amount collected under subsection 9416(c) of Title 18 in order to ensure that the analyses and report required by this section are completed.

(c) No later than January 15, 2010, the secretary of human services and the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration shall provide a report to the house committee on health care and the senate committee on health and welfare containing a summary of their analysis of health care utilization, including explanations for variations or increases in spending and recommendations for containing health care costs by reducing variation, including promoting the use of equally or more effective lower cost treatment alternatives, prevention, or other methods of appropriately changing utilization.

## Sec. 2. UTILIZATION REVIEW AND REMEDIATION PLAN

No later than January 15, 2010, using the analysis required in Sec. 1 of this act as the primary source of analysis, the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration shall consult with the Vermont

Association of Hospitals and Health Systems, Inc., the Vermont Medical Society, insurers, and others to recommend:

(1) A process to ensure appropriate utilization in treatments or procedures across Vermont, including:

(A) identifying inappropriately low or high utilization in a geographic region for which there is a method of changing utilization;

(B) prioritizing variation identified in a geographic region by considering the impact a change in inappropriately low or high variations could have on cost or quality and the potential to develop strategies to rectify inappropriate variations;

(C) determining the causes of inappropriately low or high utilization identified pursuant to the process developed under this subdivision in a particular geographic region;

(D) providing the information gathered pursuant to the process developed under this subdivision to the health care professionals and facilities in the geographic region and in a publicly available format; and

(E) monitoring the health care professionals and facilities in the geographic region's progress.

(2) Modifications, if any, to existing regulatory processes, including the certificate of need process or the annual hospital budget process.

(3) Solutions to reduce inappropriate low or high utilization, including initiatives to improve public health and change reimbursement methodologies.

(4) Incentives for hospitals and health care professionals to change inappropriately low or high utilization.

\* \* \* Administrative Cost\* \* \*

### Sec. 3. HEALTH PLAN ADMINISTRATIVE COST REPORT

(a) No later than December 15, 2009, the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration, in collaboration with the secretary of human services and the commissioner of human resources, shall provide a health plan administrative cost report to the health care reform commission, the house committee on health care, and the senate committee on health and welfare.

(b) The report shall:

(1) identify a common methodology based on the current rules for insurer reports to the department of banking, insurance, securities, and health

care administration for calculating costs of administering a health plan in order to provide useful comparisons between the administrative costs of:

(A) private insurers;

(B) entities administering self-insured health plans, including the state employees' and retirees' health benefit plans; and

(C) offices or departments in the agency of human services; and

(2) compare administrative costs across the entities in Vermont providing health benefit plans.

\* \* \* Shared Decision-making \* \* \*

#### Sec. 4. SHARED DECISION-MAKING DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

(a) No later than January 15, 2010, the secretary of administration or designee shall present a plan to the house committee on health care and the senate committee on health and welfare for a shared decision-making demonstration project to be integrated with the Blueprint for Health. The purpose of shared decision-making shall be to improve communication between patients and health care professionals about equally or more effective treatment options where the determining factor in choosing a treatment is the patient's preference. The secretary shall consider existing resources and systems in Vermont as well as other shared decision-making models. The plan shall analyze potential barriers to health care professionals participating in shared decision-making, including existing law on informed consent, and recommend solutions or incentives to encourage participation by health care professionals in the demonstration project.

(b) "Shared decision-making" means a process in which the health care professional and patient or patient's representative discuss the patient's health condition or disease, the treatment options available for that condition or disease, the benefits and harms of each treatment option, information on the limits of scientific knowledge on patient outcomes from the treatment options, and the patient's values and preferences for treatment with the use of a patient decision aid.

\* \* \*Health Care Quality\* \* \*

#### Sec. 5. BISHCA; REVIEW OF HEALTH QUALITY INITIATIVES

(a) The commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration, in collaboration with the Vermont program for quality in health care, shall conduct a review of health care quality organizations in other states and countries to identify and evaluate quality improvement strategies,

initiatives, and best practices. The review shall determine how other jurisdictions conduct health care quality reviews, including what types of organizations are providing health care quality analysis, the content of the analysis, the methods used by the organization to do the analysis, and other relevant information.

(b) No later than January 15, 2010, the commissioner shall provide a report to the house committee on health care and the senate committee on health and welfare including its findings, a comparison of Vermont's program with other jurisdictions, and any recommendations for modifying the program.

\* \* \* Accountable Care Organization Pilot Project \* \* \*

#### Sec. 6. ACCOUNTABLE CARE ORGANIZATION WORK GROUP

(a) It is the intent of the general assembly that all Vermonters receive affordable and appropriate health care at the appropriate time, and that health care costs be contained over time. In order to achieve this goal and to ensure the success of health care reform, it is essential to pursue innovative approaches to a system of health care delivery that integrates health care at a community level and contains costs through community-based payment reform, such as developing an accountable care organization. It is also the intent of the general assembly to ensure sufficient state involvement and action in designing and implementing an accountable care organization in order to comply with federal anti-trust provisions by replacing competition between payers and others with state regulation and supervision.

(b)(1)(A) The commission on health care reform shall convene a work group to support the development of an application by at least one Vermont network of community health care providers for participation in a national accountable care organization (ACO) state learning collaborative sponsored by the Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice and the Brookings Institution with the intent that at least one ACO pilot project be implemented in Vermont no later than July 1, 2010. The network of community health care providers shall include primary care professionals, specialists, hospitals, and other health care providers and entities.

(B) An accountable care organization is an entity that enables networks of community health care providers to become accountable for the overall costs and quality of care for the population they jointly serve and to share in the savings created by improving quality and slowing spending growth as described in *Fostering Accountable Health Care: Moving Forward in Medicare* by Fisher et al, Health Affairs w219, 2009.

(2) The commission shall research other opportunities to create proposals to establish an ACO pilot project or another similar payment reform

pilot project, which may become available through participation in a demonstration waiver in Medicare, payment reform in Medicare, national health care reform, or other federal changes that support the development of accountable care organizations.

(c)(1) The commission shall solicit participation in the work group from a broad group of interested stakeholders, including the secretary of administration or designee, the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration or designee, the director of the office of Vermont health access or designee, representatives of private insurers, employers, consumers, and representatives of health care professionals and facilities interested in participating in the ACO pilot project.

(2) To the extent required to avoid federal anti-trust violations, the commissioner of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration shall facilitate and supervise the participation of health care professionals, health care facilities, and insurers in the planning and implementation of an accountable care organization. The department shall ensure that the application includes sufficient state supervision over these entities to comply with federal anti-trust provisions. The department shall propose to the commission any legislation necessary for implementation of the ACO pilot project.

(3) The director of the office of Vermont health access shall propose to the commission a plan for including Medicaid, VHAP, and Dr. Dynasaur in the accountable care organization, including a model for recapturing a portion of anticipated savings from participation in an ACO which would be reinvested with health care professionals and facilities. Notwithstanding section 1901 of Title 33, the commission may approve the director of Vermont health access' plan for including Medicaid, VHAP, and Dr. Dynasaur in the ACO pilot project if it is necessary for the director to apply for the waiver amendment outside of the legislative session to ensure implementation of the ACO pilot project no later than July 1, 2010.

(d) The work group shall:

(1) identify local community health care professional and facility networks interested in participating in the ACO pilot project and assist them in qualifying as a site;

(2) develop a financial model for the community provider network involved in the accountable care organization to estimate the fiscal impact of the ACO pilot project on payers, the local community health care professional and facility network, and the state, including a model for recapturing a portion

of anticipated savings from participation in an ACO which would be reinvested with health care professionals and facilities; and

(3) ensure that the ACO pilot project proposal is coordinated with the Blueprint for Health, existing medical home projects, and shared decision-making pilot projects.

(e) No later than January 15, 2010, the commission on health care reform shall report to the house committee on health care and the senate committee on health and welfare on the ACO state learning collaborative application, the status of the development of an application by a Vermont network of health care providers, and any proposed legislation necessary for the implementation of the ACO pilot project.

(f) The work group shall cease to exist on January 1, 2011.

Sec. 7. ACCOUNTABLE CARE ORGANIZATION PILOT; MEDICAID WAIVER

If the plan provided for under Sec. 6(c)(3) of this act is approved by the commission on health care reform, the director of Vermont health access shall apply to the Centers on Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for an amendment to the Global Commitment for Health Medicaid Section 1115 waiver to allow for participation in a national accountable care organization state learning collaborative sponsored by the Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice and the Brookings Institution.

\* \* \* Health Care Administration \* \* \*

Sec. 8. 18 V.S.A. § 9401 is amended to read:

§ 9401. POLICY

(a) It is the policy of the state of Vermont to ~~insure~~ ensure that all residents have access to quality health services at costs that are affordable. To achieve this policy it is necessary that the state ensure the quality of health care services provided in Vermont and, until health care systems are successful in controlling their costs and resources, to oversee cost containment.

(b) It is further the policy of the state of Vermont that the health care system should:

(1) Maintain and improve the quality of health care services offered to Vermonters.

(2) Promote ~~market or other~~ planning mechanisms that contain or reduce increases in the cost of delivering services so that health care costs do not consume a disproportionate share of Vermonters' incomes or the moneys

available for other services required to insure the health, safety, and welfare of Vermonters.

(3) Encourage regional and local participation in decisions about health care delivery, financing, and provider supply.

(4) Promote ~~market or other~~ planning mechanisms that will achieve rational allocation of health care resources in the state.

(5) Facilitate universal access to preventive and medically necessary health care.

(6) Support efforts to integrate mental health and substance abuse services with overall medical care.

Sec. 9. 18 V.S.A. § 9402 is amended to read:

§ 9402. DEFINITIONS

\* \* \*

(6) “Health care facility” means all institutions, whether public or private, proprietary or nonprofit, which offer diagnosis, treatment, inpatient, or ambulatory care to two or more unrelated persons, and the buildings in which those services are offered. The term shall not apply to any facility operated by religious groups relying solely on spiritual means through prayer or healing, but includes all institutions included in subdivision ~~9432(7)~~ 9432(10) of this title, except health maintenance organizations.

\* \* \*

(10) “Health resource allocation plan” means the plan ~~developed adopted~~ adopted by the commissioner ~~and adopted by the governor of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration~~ under section 9405 of this title.

(11) “Home health agency” means a for-profit or ~~not for profit~~ nonprofit health care facility providing part-time or intermittent skilled nursing services and at least one of the following other therapeutic services made available on a visiting basis, in a place of residence used as a patient’s home: physical, speech, or occupational therapy; medical social services; home health aide services; or other non-nursing therapeutic services, including the services of nutritionists, dieticians, psychologists, and licensed mental health counselors.

\* \* \*

(13) “Hospital” means an acute care hospital licensed under chapter 43 of this title ~~and falling within one of the following four distinct categories, as defined by the commissioner by rule:~~

- ~~(A) Category A1: tertiary teaching hospitals.~~
- ~~(B) Category A2: regional medical centers.~~
- ~~(C) Category A3: community hospital systems.~~
- ~~(D) Category A4: critical access hospitals.~~

\* \* \*

\* \* \* Certificate of Need \* \* \*

Sec. 10. 18 V.S.A. § 9434 is amended to read:

§ 9434. CERTIFICATE OF NEED; GENERAL RULES

(a) A health care facility other than a hospital shall not develop, or have developed on its behalf a new health care project without issuance of a certificate of need by the commissioner. For purposes of this subsection, a “new health care project” includes the following:

\* \* \*

(5) The offering of a health care service or technology having an annual operating expense which exceeds \$500,000.00 for either of the next two budgeted fiscal years, if the service or technology was not offered or employed, either on a fixed or a mobile basis, by the health care facility within the previous three fiscal years.

(6) The construction, development, purchase, lease, or other establishment of an ambulatory surgical center.

\* \* \*

Sec. 11. 18 V.S.A. § 9440(c)(2) is amended to read:

(c) The application process shall be as follows:

\* \* \*

(2)(~~A~~) Prior to filing an application for a certificate of need, an applicant shall file an adequate letter of intent with the commissioner no less than 30 days or, in the case of review cycle applications under section 9439 of this title, no less than 45 days prior to the date on which the application is to be filed. The letter of intent shall form the basis for determining the applicability of this subchapter to the proposed expenditure or action. A letter of intent shall become invalid if an application is not filed within six months of the date that the letter of intent is received or, in the case of review cycle applications under section 9439 of this title, within such time limits as the commissioner shall establish by rule. Except for requests for expedited review under subdivision (5) of this subsection, public notice of such letters of intent shall be provided in



newspapers having general circulation in the region of the state affected by the letter of intent. The notice shall identify the applicant, the proposed new health care project, and the date by which a competing application or petition to intervene must be filed. In addition, a copy of the public notice shall be sent to the clerk of the municipality in which the health care facility is located. Upon receipt, the clerk shall post the notice in or near the clerk's office and in at least two other public places in the municipality.

(B) Applicants who agree that their proposals are subject to jurisdiction pursuant to section 9434 of this title shall not be required to file a letter of intent pursuant to subdivision (2)(A) of this subsection and may file an application without further process.

Sec. 12. 18 V.S.A. § 9443 is amended to read:

§ 9443. EXPIRATION OF CERTIFICATES OF NEED

~~The commissioner shall adopt rules providing for the expiration of certificates of need.~~

(a) Unless otherwise specified in the certificate of need, a project shall be implemented within five years or the certificate shall be invalid.

(b) No later than 180 days before the expiration date of a certificate of need, an applicant that has not yet implemented the project approved in the certificate of need may petition the commissioner for an extension of the implementation period. The commissioner may grant an extension in his or her discretion.

(c) Certificates of need shall expire on the date the commissioner accepts the final implementation report filed in connection with the project implemented pursuant to the certificate.

(d) An action or expenditure that is related to a service or expenditure that was the subject of a certificate of need shall not be considered a material or non-material change to that project if the original certificate of need expired, as provided in this section, at least two years before the action is proposed. The proposed action shall require a certificate of need only if the change itself would be considered a new health care project under section 9434 of this title.

Sec. 13. 18 V.S.A. § 9432 is amended to read:

§ 9432. DEFINITIONS

As used in this subchapter:

\* \* \*

---

(2) “Annual operating expense” means that expense which, by generally accepted accounting principles, is incurred by a new health care service during the first fiscal year in which the service is in full operation after completion of the project.

~~(2)~~(3) “Applicant” means a person who has submitted an application or proposal requesting issuance of a certificate of need.

~~(3)~~(4) “Bed capacity” means the number of licensed beds operated by the facility under its most current license under chapter 43 of this title and of facilities under chapter 71 of Title 33.

~~(4)~~(5) “Capital expenditure” means an expenditure for the plant or equipment which is not properly chargeable as an expense of operation and maintenance and includes acquisition by purchase, donation, leasehold expenditure, or lease which is treated as capital expense in accordance to the accounting standards established for lease expenditures by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, calculated over the length of the lease for plant or equipment, and includes assets having an expected life of at least three years. A capital expenditure includes the cost of studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications and other activities essential to the acquisition, improvement, expansion, or replacement of the plant and equipment.

~~(5)~~(6) “Construction” means actual commencement of any construction or fabrication of any new building, or addition to any existing facility, or any expenditure relating to the alteration, remodeling, renovation, modernization, improvement, relocation, repair, or replacement of a health care facility, including expenditures necessary for compliance with life and health safety codes.

~~(6)~~(7) “To develop,” when used in connection with health services, means to undertake activities which on their completion will result in the offer of a new health care project, or the incurring of a financial obligation in relation to the offering of a service.

~~(7)~~(8) “Health care facility” means all persons or institutions, including mobile facilities, whether public or private, proprietary or not for profit, which offer diagnosis, treatment, inpatient, or ambulatory care to two or more unrelated persons, and the buildings in which those services are offered. The term shall not apply to any institution operated by religious groups relying solely on spiritual means through prayer for healing, but shall include but is not limited to:

\* \* \*

~~(8)~~(9) “Health care provider” means a person, partnership, corporation,

facility, or institution, licensed or certified or authorized by law to provide professional health care service in this state to an individual during that individual's medical care, treatment, or confinement.

~~(9)~~(10) "Health services" mean activities and functions of a health care facility that are directly related to care, treatment, or diagnosis of patients.

(11) "Material change" means a change to a health care project for which a certificate of need has been issued which:

(A) constitutes a new health care project as defined in section 9434 of this title; or

(B) increases the total costs of the project by more than 10 percent of the approved amount.

(12) "Nonmaterial change" means a modification that does not meet the cost threshold of a material change as defined in subdivision (11) of this section, but otherwise modifies the kind, scope, or capacity of a project for which a certificate of need has been granted under this subchapter.

~~(10)~~(13) "Obligation" means an obligation for a capital expenditure which is deemed to have been incurred by or on behalf of a health care facility or health maintenance organization.

~~(11)~~(14) "To offer," when used in connection with health services, means that a health care provider holds itself out as capable of providing, or as having the means for the provision of, specified health services.

~~(12) "Annual operating expense" means that expense which, by generally accepted accounting principles, is incurred by a new health care service during the first fiscal year in which the service is in full operation after completion of the project.~~

Sec. 14. 18 V.S.A. § 9444 is amended to read:

§ 9444. REVOCATION OF CERTIFICATES; MATERIAL CHANGE

(a) The commissioner may revoke a certificate of need for substantial noncompliance with the scope of the project as designated in the application, or for failure to comply with the conditions set forth in the certificate of need granted by the commissioner.

~~(b)(1) In the event that after a project has been approved, its proponent wishes to materially change the scope or cost of the approved project, all such changes are subject to review under this subchapter. If a change itself would be considered a new health care project as defined in section 9434 of this title, it shall be considered as material. If the change itself would not be considered a~~

~~new health care project as defined in section 9434 of this title, the commissioner may decide not to review the change and shall notify the applicant and all parties of such decision. Where the commissioner decides not to review a change, such change will be deemed to have been granted a certificate of need.~~

(2) Applicants shall notify the commissioner of a nonmaterial change to the approved project. If the commissioner decides to review a nonmaterial change, he or she may provide for any necessary process, including a public hearing, before approval. Where the commissioner decides not to review a change, such change will be deemed to have been granted a certificate of need.

\* \* \*CONSUMER INFORMATION\* \* \*

Sec. 15. 18 V.S.A. § 9410(a)(2)(A) is amended to read:

(2)(A) The program authorized by this section shall include a consumer health care price and quality information system designed to make available to consumers transparent health care price information, quality information, and such other information as the commissioner determines is necessary to empower individuals, including uninsured individuals, to make economically sound and medically appropriate decisions. On the front page of Vermont's state government website, the secretary of administration or designee shall prominently post a link, worded in a clear and understandable manner, to the price and quality information for consumers. The price and quality information shall be available in an easy-to-use format that is understandable to the average consumer.

Sec. 16. IMPLEMENTATION

Sec. 12 of this act, amending section 9443 of Title 18, shall apply to certificates of need issued on or after July 1, 2009.

and that, upon passage, the title of the bill shall read "An act relating to containing health care costs"

**Rep. Manwaring of Wilmington**, for the committee on Appropriations, recommended the bill ought to pass in concurrence when amended as recommended by the committee on Health Care.

The bill, having appeared on the Calendar one day for notice, was taken up and read the second time.

Pending the question, Shall the House propose to the Senate to amend the bill as recommended by the committee on Health Care? **Rep. Pugh of South Burlington** moved to amend the recommendation of proposal of amendment offered by the committee on Health Care, as follows:

First: In Sec. 4(a), by striking the term “committee on health care” and inserting in lieu thereof “committees on health care and on human services”

Second: In Sec. 6(c)(3) in the second sentence by inserting the term “, with consultation from the health access oversight committee,” after the term “Notwithstanding section 1901 of Title 33, the commission”

Third: In Sec. 6(e), by striking the term “committee on health care” and inserting in lieu thereof “committees on health care and on human services”

Which was agreed to.

Thereupon, the recommendation of proposal of amendment offered by the committee on Health Care, as amended, was agreed to and third reading ordered.

### **House Resolution Adopted**

#### **H.R. 17**

House resolution, entitled

House resolution designating the third week in October of 2009 as disability history week

Was taken up and adopted on the part of the House.

### **Joint Resolution Adopted in Concurrence**

#### **J.R.S. 31**

Joint resolution, entitled

Joint resolution urging Congress to address the dramatic rise of electronic payment interchange rates that merchants and consumers are assessed

Was taken up and adopted in concurrence.

### **Rules Suspended; Bills Messaged to Senate Forthwith**

On motion of **Rep. McDonald of Berlin**, the rules were suspended and the following bills were ordered messaged to the Senate forthwith:

#### **H. 75**

House bill, entitled

An act relating to interim budget and appropriation adjustments

#### **S. 42**

Senate bill, entitled

An act relating to the Department of Banking, Insurance, Securities, and Health Care Administration

**Adjournment**

At two o'clock and thirty-five minutes in the afternoon, on motion of **Rep. McDonald of Berlin**, the House adjourned until tomorrow at nine o'clock and thirty minutes in the forenoon.