

Journal of the House

Friday, April 17, 2009

At nine o'clock and thirty minutes in the forenoon the Speaker called the House to order.

Devotional Exercises

Devotional exercises were conducted by Rev. Alden Launer, Chaplain of Copley Hospital Health Systems, Morrisville, VT.

Message from the Senate No. 40

A message was received from the Senate by Mr. Gibson, its Secretary, as follows:

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has on its part passed Senate bill of the following title:

S. 89. An act relating to a maximum retail price for milk.

In the passage of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

The Senate has considered bills originating in the House of the following titles:

H. 135. An act relating to wireless communication facilities and project approvals for municipal and cooperative utilities.

H. 160. An act relating to approval of the charter of the Town of Hartford.

H. 348. An act relating to the Interstate Pest Control Compact.

And has passed the same in concurrence.

The Senate has considered bills originating in the House of the following titles:

H. 11. An act relating to the disposition of property upon death, transfer of interest in vehicle upon death, and homestead exemption.

H. 34. An act relating to automated external defibrillators.

H. 186. An act relating to authorizing the department of fish and wildlife to administer polygraph examinations to applicants for law enforcement positions.

And has passed the same in concurrence with proposals of amendment in the adoption of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Senate Proposal of Amendment Concurred in

H. 204

The Senate proposed to the House to amend House bill, entitled
An act relating to payment of diversion program fees

First: By adding a Sec. 3 to read as follows:

Sec. 3. REPORT

The attorney general shall report to the senate and house committees on judiciary no later than January 15, 2011 on the impact of Sec. 1 and Sec. 2 of this act on the state's court diversion programs, including the impact on the number of people successfully completing diversion programs.

Second: By adding a Sec. 4 to read as follows:

Sec. 4. SUNSET

Sec. 1 of this act (juvenile court diversion project) and Sec. 2 of this act (adult court diversion project) shall be repealed on July 1, 2011.

Which proposal of amendment was considered and concurred in.

Third Reading; Bill Passed

H. 171

House bill, entitled

An act relating to home mortgage protection for Vermonters

Was taken up, read the third time and passed.

Bill Amended, Read Third Time and Passed

H. 313

House bill, entitled

An act relating to near-term and long-term economic development

Was taken up and pending third reading of the bill, **Reps. Donovan of Burlington, Wizowaty of Burlington, Haas of Rochester Myers of Essex, Pellett of Chester, Lorber of Burlington, Ancel of Calais, Branagan of Georgia, Burke of Brattleboro, Cheney of Norwich, Clarkson of Woodstock, Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford, Courcelle of Rutland City, Davis of Washington, Donahue of Northfield, Edwards of Brattleboro, Emmons of Springfield, Evans of Essex, French of Randolph, Grad of**

Moretown, Head of South Burlington, Heath of Westford, Hooper of Montpelier, Johnson of South Hero, Keenan of St. Albans City, Lanpher of Vergennes, Lenes of Shelburne, Leriche of Hardwick, Manwaring of Wilmington, Martin of Springfield, Martin of Wolcott, Milkey of Brattleboro, Miller of Shaftsbury, Minter of Waterbury, Mook of Bennington, Nuovo of Middlebury, O'Brien of Richmond, Partridge of Windham, Pugh of South Burlington, Ram of Burlington, Smith of Mendon, Spengler of Colchester, Sweaney of Windsor, Taylor of Barre City, Toll of Danville, Waite-Simpson of Essex, Webb of Shelburne and Weston of Burlington moved to amend the bill as follows:

Sec. 2a. ARRA FUNDS; ECONOMIC SECURITY FOR WOMEN

(a) While all Vermonters are suffering from the current economic downturn, research indicates that women and female-headed households are likely to bear a disproportionate share of the hardship. As a result of longstanding discrimination and economic disadvantage, they often have fewer personal assets to sustain them through periods of unemployment, and they tend to feel cutbacks in traditional, public safety-net programs more acutely than men do, particularly in times of economic crisis. The general assembly, therefore, urges that the recession's disparate impact on women and children be taken into consideration as federal funds are distributed under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub.L. 111-5.

(b) The director of the office of economic stimulus and recovery, working with the commissioner of labor, shall report to the extent possible the number of new jobs created for women and the number of grants awarded to agencies and organizations primarily serving women or women and children to the general assembly on or before January 15, 2010, and at six-month intervals thereafter, until all ARRA funds are disbursed.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Reps. Donovan of Burlington, et al? **Rep. Donovan of Burlington** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Reps. Donovan of Burlington, et al? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 128. Nays, 12.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Bissonnette of Winooski	Canfield of Fair Haven
Adams of Hartland	Bohi of Hartford	Cheney of Norwich
Ancel of Calais	Botzow of Pownal	Clark of Vergennes
Andrews of Rutland City	Bray of New Haven	Clarkson of Woodstock
Aswad of Burlington	Browning of Arlington	Clerkin of Hartford
Atkins of Winooski	Burke of Brattleboro	Condon of Colchester

Conquest of Newbury	Klein of East Montpelier	O'Brien of Richmond
Consejo of Sheldon	Koch of Barre Town	Obuchowski of Rockingham
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	Komline of Dorset	Orr of Charlotte
Corcoran of Bennington	Krawczyk of Bennington	Partridge of Windham
Courcelle of Rutland City	Lanpher of Vergennes	Pearce of Richford
Crawford of Burke	Larocque of Barnet	Pellett of Chester
Davis of Washington	Larson of Burlington	Peltz of Woodbury
Deen of Westminster	Lawrence of Lyndon	Perley of Enosburg
Devereux of Mount Holly	Lenes of Shelburne	Potter of Clarendon
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Leriche of Hardwick	Pugh of South Burlington
Donahue of Northfield	Lewis of Derby	Ram of Burlington
Donovan of Burlington	Lippert of Hinesburg	Reis of St. Johnsbury
Edwards of Brattleboro	Lorber of Burlington	Rodgers of Glover
Emmons of Springfield	Macaig of Williston	Savage of Swanton
Evans of Essex	Maier of Middlebury	Shand of Weathersfield
Fagan of Rutland City	Malcolm of Pawlet	Sharpe of Bristol
Fisher of Lincoln	Manwaring of Wilmington	Smith of Mendon
Frank of Underhill	Marcotte of Coventry	South of St. Johnsbury
French of Shrewsbury	Marek of Newfane	Spengler of Colchester
French of Randolph	Martin of Springfield	Stevens of Waterbury
Geier of South Burlington	Martin of Wolcott	Stevens of Shoreham
Gilbert of Fairfax	Masland of Thetford	Sweaney of Windsor
Grad of Moretown	McCullough of Williston	Till of Jericho
Greshin of Warren	McDonald of Berlin	Toll of Danville
Haas of Rochester	McFaun of Barre Town	Townsend of Randolph
Head of South Burlington	McNeil of Rutland Town	Waite-Simpson of Essex
Heath of Westford	Milkey of Brattleboro	Westman of Cambridge
Higley of Lowell	Miller of Shaftsbury	Weston of Burlington
Hooper of Montpelier	Minter of Waterbury	Wheeler of Derby
Howard of Rutland City	Mitchell of Barnard	Wilson of Manchester
Hubert of Milton	Mook of Bennington	Winters of Williamstown
Jerman of Essex	Moran of Wardsboro	Wizowaty of Burlington
Jewett of Ripton	Morley of Barton	Wright of Burlington
Johnson of South Hero	Morrissey of Bennington	Young of St. Albans City
Keenan of St. Albans City	Mrowicki of Putney	Zenie of Colchester
Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Myers of Essex	Zuckerman of Burlington
	Nease of Johnson	
	Nuovo of Middlebury	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Baker of West Rutland	Howrigan of Fairfield	O'Donnell of Vernon
Brennan of Colchester	Hube of Londonderry	Peaslee of Guildhall
Donaghy of Poultney	Johnson of Canaan	Scheuermann of Stowe
Helm of Castleton	McAllister of Highgate	Turner of Milton

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Ainsworth of Royalton	Flory of Pittsford	Taylor of Barre City
Audette of South Burlington	Kilmartin of Newport City	Trombley of Grand Isle
Branagan of Georgia	Poirier of Barre City	Webb of Shelburne

Rep. Pellett of Chester in Chair.

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Ram of Burlington** moved to amend the bill as follows:

By striking Sec. 8 in its entirety and by inserting a new Sec. 8 to read as follows:

* * * Vermont Green Job Creation * * *

Sec. 8. GREEN WORKFORCE COLLABORATIVE; STIMULUS MONIES

(a) The workforce development council and the commissioner of labor shall convene a green workforce collaborative as a committee of the council. The purpose of the collaborative is to promote career training and employment opportunities for Vermonters in green industry sectors; maximize the state's use of federal funds under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), Pub.L. No. 111-5; enhance the economic and environmental vitality of the state; and give priority to programs that provide education, training, and other services to target populations of eligible individuals.

(b) Members of the collaborative shall include the career and technical education coordinator within the department of education, as well as representatives of the various workforce training programs within the departments of economic development and of labor, as appropriate, the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation, representatives from Vermont Technical College and other Vermont educational institutions, and representatives of any other programs or entities pursuing green workforce development in Vermont, as deemed appropriate by the commissioner of labor.

(c) For purposes of this section,

(1) "Green industry sectors" shall include:

(A) The energy-efficient building, construction, and retrofits industries.

(B) The renewable electric power industry.

(C) The energy-efficient and advanced drive train vehicle industry, including performance and low-emission vehicle technology, automotive computer systems, mass transit fleet conversion, and the servicing and maintenance of those technologies.

(D) The biofuels industry.

(E) The deconstruction and materials use and re-use industries.

(F) The energy-efficiency assessment industry serving the residential, commercial, or industrial sectors.

(G) Manufacturers that produce sustainable products using environmentally sustainable processes and materials.

(H) Pollution prevention and hazardous and solid waste reduction.

(I) Soil or water conservation, or forestation strategies to mitigate climate change impacts.

(J) Any other sector deemed appropriate by the green workforce collaborative.

(2) "Target populations" shall include:

(A) Workers impacted by national energy and environmental policy.

(B) Individuals in need of updated training related to the energy efficiency and renewable energy industries.

(C) Veterans, or past and present members of reserve components of the Armed Forces.

(D) Unemployed individuals.

(E) Individuals, including at-risk youth, seeking employment pathways out of poverty and into economic self-sufficiency.

(F) Formerly incarcerated, adjudicated, nonviolent offenders.

(G) Any other populations specifically referenced in Title VIII of ARRA as enacted or as amended subsequent to passage of this act.

(d) In promoting education and training in green industry sectors, the collaborative shall seek to capitalize on existing infrastructure wherever appropriate, including the Center for Sustainable Practices at Vermont Technical College, the Vermont State Colleges, the University of Vermont, the regional technical centers, and the comprehensive high schools.

(e) Funding of programs designed to promote a green workforce in Vermont is a legislative priority with respect to appropriations of unencumbered federal monies available through the state fiscal stabilization fund under § 14002(a) of Title XIV of Division A of ARRA.

(f) The commissioner of labor shall collaborate with the director of the office of economic stimulus and recovery to secure competitive grants available under Titles IV and VIII of ARRA, and shall further pursue other state or federal workforce training funds available through the Vermont departments of education and of labor, and through the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation.

(g) On June 1, 2009 and again on January 15, 2010, the commissioner of labor shall provide the house committee on commerce and economic

development, the senate committee on economic development, housing, and general affairs, and the senate and house committees on natural resources and energy a report detailing a status and needs assessment of green workforce development in Vermont pursuant to this section.

Which was agreed to.

Pending third reading of the bill, **Rep. Komline of Dorset** moved to amend the bill as follows:

In Sec. 3, 10 V.S.A. § 3(c), by adding a new subdivision (3) to read as follows:

(3) Each bill introduced for second reading by the general assembly shall be accompanied by an economic impact assessment prepared by the joint fiscal office that states whether the bill will have a positive, negative, or neutral economic impact on private enterprise in Vermont.

Pending the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Komline of Dorset? **Rep. Komline of Dorset** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill be amended as offered by Rep. Komline of Dorset? was decided in the negative. Yeas, 36. Nays, 100.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Flory of Pittsford	McNeil of Rutland Town
Adams of Hartland	Greshin of Warren	Morley of Barton
Baker of West Rutland	Higley of Lowell	O'Donnell of Vernon
Brennan of Colchester	Hube of Londonderry	Pearce of Richford
Canfield of Fair Haven	Hubert of Milton	Peaslee of Guildhall
Clark of Vergennes	Johnson of Canaan	Savage of Swanton
Clerkin of Hartford	Komline of Dorset	Scheuermann of Stowe
Crawford of Burke	Krawczyk of Bennington	Turner of Milton
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Larocque of Barnet	Westman of Cambridge
Donaghy of Poultney	Lawrence of Lyndon	Winters of Williamstown
Donahue of Northfield	Lewis of Derby	Wright of Burlington
Fagan of Rutland City	McAllister of Highgate	
	McDonald of Berlin	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ancel of Calais	Browning of Arlington	Corcoran of Bennington
Andrews of Rutland City	Burke of Brattleboro	Courcelle of Rutland City
Aswad of Burlington	Cheney of Norwich	Davis of Washington
Atkins of Winooski	Clarkson of Woodstock	Deen of Westminster
Audette of South Burlington	Condon of Colchester	Donovan of Burlington
Bissonnette of Winooski	Conquest of Newbury	Edwards of Brattleboro
Bohi of Hartford	Consejo of Sheldon	Emmons of Springfield
Botzow of Pownal	Copeland-Hanzas of	Evans of Essex
Bray of New Haven	Bradford	Fisher of Lincoln

Frank of Underhill	Malcolm of Pawlet	Poirier of Barre City
French of Shrewsbury	Marcotte of Coventry	Potter of Clarendon
French of Randolph	Marek of Newfane	Pugh of South Burlington
Geier of South Burlington	Martin of Springfield	Ram of Burlington
Gilbert of Fairfax	Martin of Wolcott	Reis of St. Johnsbury
Grad of Moretown	Masland of Thetford	Rodgers of Glover
Haas of Rochester	McCullough of Williston	Shand of Weathersfield
Head of South Burlington	McFaun of Barre Town	Sharpe of Bristol
Heath of Westford	Milkey of Brattleboro	Smith of Mendon
Helm of Castleton	Miller of Shaftsbury	South of St. Johnsbury
Hooper of Montpelier	Minter of Waterbury	Spengler of Colchester
Howard of Rutland City	Mitchell of Barnard	Stevens of Waterbury
Jerman of Essex	Mook of Bennington	Sweaney of Windsor
Jewett of Ripton	Moran of Wardsboro	Till of Jericho
Johnson of South Hero	Morrissey of Bennington	Toll of Danville
Keenan of St. Albans City	Mrowicki of Putney	Townsend of Randolph
Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Myers of Essex	Waite-Simpson of Essex
Klein of East Montpelier	Nease of Johnson	Weston of Burlington
Larson of Burlington	Nuovo of Middlebury	Wheeler of Derby
Lenes of Shelburne	O'Brien of Richmond	Wilson of Manchester
Leriche of Hardwick	Obuchowski of Rockingham	Wizowaty of Burlington
Lippert of Hinesburg	Orr of Charlotte	Young of St. Albans City
Lorber of Burlington	Partridge of Windham	Zenie of Colchester
Macaig of Williston	Peltz of Woodbury	Zuckerman of Burlington
Maier of Middlebury	Perley of Enosburg	

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Ainsworth of Royalton	Koch of Barre Town	Taylor of Barre City
Branagan of Georgia	Lanpher of Vergennes	Trombley of Grand Isle
Devereux of Mount Holly	Manwaring of Wilmington	Webb of Shelburne
Howrigan of Fairfield	Smith of Morristown	
Kilmartin of Newport City	Stevens of Shoreham	

Rep. Marcotte of Coventry explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

Although I vote no on the amendment because of many unanswered questions, I support the spirit of the amendment. Just as Ways and Means and the Appropriations committees look at what the fiscal impacts are on the state, it is only natural that we look at what the fiscal impacts are on private enterprise. I call upon our Government Operations and our Rules committees to come up with a positive solution to this issue.”

Rep. Milkey of Brattleboro explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

The debate, confusion and rewriting of this amendment on the House floor

points out precisely why we have committees and why this issue should be addressed in committee, rather than in haste on the House floor. The committee of jurisdiction has stated that it will do just that. For that reason, I vote no.”

Rep. Sharpe of Bristol explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

I find it ironic that this confusing, and what I believe to be a very expensive amendment, comes to the floor for consideration without a fiscal note.”

Thereupon, the bill was read the third time and passed.

Rep. Morrissey of Bennington explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

As a member who talks often on this floor about committee process, I vote “no” with great anticipation that our Government Operations committee will seriously take up this important issue. Accountability, especially in financial matters, is critical in our deliberations on matters that most affect our Vermont citizens and the taxpayers.

It amazes me that today many members spoke passionately about the committee process and yet last week three amendments to the budget were presented that never saw the light of day in committee. What short memories we have! I suggest we consider adding more funding to our good friends in the Alzheimer’s Association.”

Recess

At twelve o'clock and fifteen minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker declared a recess until the fall of the gavel.

At two o'clock and thirty-five minutes in the afternoon the Speaker called the House to order.

Third Reading; Bill Passed

H. 444

House bill, entitled

An act relating to health care reform;

Was taken up and pending the question, Shall the bill pass? **Rep. Maier of Middlebury** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the bill pass? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 130. Nays, 2.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Haas of Rochester	Mook of Bennington
Adams of Hartland	Head of South Burlington	Moran of Wardsboro
Ancel of Calais	Heath of Westford	Morley of Barton
Andrews of Rutland City	Helm of Castleton	Morrissey of Bennington
Atkins of Winooski	Higley of Lowell	Mrowicki of Putney
Baker of West Rutland	Hooper of Montpelier	Myers of Essex
Bissonnette of Winooski	Howard of Rutland City	Nease of Johnson
Bohi of Hartford	Hube of Londonderry	Nuovo of Middlebury
Botzow of Pownal	Hubert of Milton	O'Brien of Richmond
Bray of New Haven	Jerman of Essex	Obuchowski of Rockingham
Brennan of Colchester	Jewett of Ripton	O'Donnell of Vernon
Browning of Arlington	Johnson of South Hero	Orr of Charlotte
Burke of Brattleboro	Johnson of Canaan	Partridge of Windham
Canfield of Fair Haven	Keenan of St. Albans City	Pearce of Richford
Cheney of Norwich	Kitzmiller of Montpelier	Peaslee of Guildhall
Clark of Vergennes	Klein of East Montpelier	Peltz of Woodbury
Clarkson of Woodstock	Koch of Barre Town	Perley of Enosburg
Clerkin of Hartford	Komline of Dorset	Pugh of South Burlington
Conquest of Newbury	Krawczyk of Bennington	Ram of Burlington
Consejo of Sheldon	Lanpher of Vergennes	Reis of St. Johnsbury
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	Larocque of Barnet	Rodgers of Glover
Courcelle of Rutland City	Larson of Burlington	Savage of Swanton
Crawford of Burke	Lenes of Shelburne	Scheuermann of Stowe
Davis of Washington	Leriche of Hardwick	Shand of Weathersfield
Deen of Westminster	Lewis of Derby	Sharpe of Bristol
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	Lippert of Hinesburg	Smith of Mendon
Donaghy of Poultney	Lorber of Burlington	South of St. Johnsbury
Donahue of Northfield	Macaig of Williston	Spengler of Colchester
Donovan of Burlington	Maier of Middlebury	Stevens of Waterbury
Edwards of Brattleboro	Malcolm of Pawlet	Stevens of Shoreham
Emmons of Springfield	Manwaring of Wilmington	Sweaney of Windsor
Evans of Essex	Marcotte of Coventry	Till of Jericho
Fagan of Rutland City	Marek of Newfane	Toll of Danville
Fisher of Lincoln	Martin of Springfield	Townsend of Randolph
Flory of Pittsford	Martin of Wolcott	Turner of Milton
Frank of Underhill	Masland of Thetford	Waite-Simpson of Essex
French of Shrewsbury	McAllister of Highgate	Westman of Cambridge
French of Randolph	McCullough of Williston	Weston of Burlington
Geier of South Burlington	McDonald of Berlin	Wheeler of Derby
Gilbert of Fairfax	McFaun of Barre Town	Wilson of Manchester
Grad of Moretown	McNeil of Rutland Town	Wizowaty of Burlington
Greshin of Warren	Milkey of Brattleboro	Young of St. Albans City
	Miller of Shaftsbury	Zenie of Colchester
	Minter of Waterbury	Zuckerman of Burlington

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ainsworth of Royalton	Winters of Williamstown
-----------------------	-------------------------

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Aswad of Burlington	Howrigan of Fairfield	Smith of Morristown
Audette of South Burlington	Kilmartin of Newport City	Taylor of Barre City
Branagan of Georgia	Lawrence of Lyndon	Trombley of Grand Isle
Condon of Colchester	Mitchell of Barnard	Webb of Shelburne
Corcoran of Bennington	Poirier of Barre City	Wright of Burlington
Devereux of Mount Holly	Potter of Clarendon	

Bill Amended; Third Reading Ordered

H. 297

Rep. Martin of Wolcott, for the committee on Government Operations, to which had been referred House bill, entitled

An act relating to approval of the adoption of the charter of the Morristown Corners Water Corporation;

Reported in favor of its passage when amended as follows:

In Sec. 2, 24 V.S.A. App. § 701-5(a), in the third sentence, after “majority vote” and before “concerning”, by inserting “of the entire board”

The bill, having appeared on the Calendar one day for notice, was taken up, read the second time, report of the committee on Government Operations agreed to and third reading ordered.

Action on Bill Postponed

H. 232

House bill, entitled

An act relating to fiscal year 2009 budget adjustment

Was taken up and pending the question, Shall the House adopt the report of the Committee of Conference? on motion of **Rep. Heath of Westford**, action on the bill was postponed until Tuesday, April 21, 2009.

Joint Resolution Adopted

J.R.H. 22

Joint resolution, entitled

Joint resolution honoring municipal public works employees and designating May 17-23 as Public Works Week in Vermont;

Was taken up and adopted on the part of the House.

Recess

At two o'clock and fifty minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker declared a recess until the fall of the gavel.

At four o'clock and fifteen minutes in the afternoon, the Speaker called the House to order.

Message from the Senate No. 41

A message was received from the Senate by Mr. Gibson, its Secretary, as follows:

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House that:

The Senate has on its part passed Senate bill of the following title:

S. 145. An act relating to town highway aid payments in April 2009.

In the passage of which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Thereupon, **Rep. Nease of Johnson** moved to adjourn the House until Monday, April 20, 2009 at one o'clock in the afternoon.

Pending the question, Shall the House adjourn until Monday, April 20, at one o'clock in the afternoon? **Rep. Komline of Dorset** demanded the Yeas and Nays, which demand was sustained by the Constitutional number. The Clerk proceeded to call the roll and the question, Shall the House adjourn until Monday April 20, at one o'clock in the afternoon? was decided in the affirmative. Yeas, 84. Nays, 35.

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Ancel of Calais	Emmons of Springfield	Keenan of St. Albans City
Andrews of Rutland City	Evans of Essex	Kitzmiller of Montpelier
Atkins of Winooski	Fisher of Lincoln	Klein of East Montpelier
Bissonnette of Winooski	Frank of Underhill	Lanpher of Vergennes
Bohi of Hartford	French of Randolph	Lenes of Shelburne
Botzow of Pownal	Geier of South Burlington	Leriche of Hardwick
Bray of New Haven	Gilbert of Fairfax	Lippert of Hinesburg
Browning of Arlington	Grad of Moretown	Lorber of Burlington
Burke of Brattleboro	Greshin of Warren	Macaig of Williston
Cheney of Norwich	Haas of Rochester	Maier of Middlebury
Clarkson of Woodstock	Head of South Burlington	Malcolm of Pawlet
Conquest of Newbury	Heath of Westford	Manwaring of Wilmington
Consejo of Sheldon	Helm of Castleton	Marek of Newfane
Copeland-Hanzas of Bradford	Hooper of Montpelier	Martin of Springfield
Davis of Washington	Howard of Rutland City	Martin of Wolcott
Deen of Westminster	Jerman of Essex	Masland of Thetford
Edwards of Brattleboro	Jewett of Ripton	McCullough of Williston
	Johnson of South Hero	Milkey of Brattleboro

Miller of Shaftsbury	Pellett of Chester	Toll of Danville
Minter of Waterbury	Pugh of South Burlington	Townsend of Randolph
Mook of Bennington	Ram of Burlington	Waite-Simpson of Essex
Moran of Wardsboro	Shand of Weathersfield	Westman of Cambridge
Mrowicki of Putney	Sharpe of Bristol	Wilson of Manchester
Nease of Johnson	Smith of Mendon	Wizowaty of Burlington
Nuovo of Middlebury	South of St. Johnsbury	Young of St. Albans City
O'Brien of Richmond	Spengler of Colchester	Zenie of Colchester
Obuchowski of Rockingham	Stevens of Waterbury	Zuckerman of Burlington
Orr of Charlotte	Sweaney of Windsor	
Partridge of Windham	Till of Jericho	

Those who voted in the negative are:

Acinapura of Brandon	Flory of Pittsford	Myers of Essex
Adams of Hartland	Higley of Lowell	O'Donnell of Vernon
Ainsworth of Royalton	Hubert of Milton	Pearce of Richford
Baker of West Rutland	Koch of Barre Town *	Peaslee of Guildhall
Canfield of Fair Haven	Komline of Dorset *	Perley of Enosburg
Clark of Vergennes	Krawczyk of Bennington	Reis of St. Johnsbury
Clerkin of Hartford	Marcotte of Coventry	Savage of Swanton
Dickinson of St. Albans Town	McDonald of Berlin	Scheuermann of Stowe *
Donaghy of Poultney	McFaun of Barre Town	Stevens of Shoreham
Donahue of Northfield	McNeil of Rutland Town	Turner of Milton
Fagan of Rutland City	Morley of Barton	Wheeler of Derby
	Morrissey of Bennington	Winters of Williamstown

Those members absent with leave of the House and not voting are:

Aswad of Burlington	French of Shrewsbury	Mitchell of Barnard
Audette of South Burlington	Howrigan of Fairfield	Peltz of Woodbury
Branagan of Georgia	Hube of Londonderry	Poirier of Barre City
Brennan of Colchester	Johnson of Canaan	Potter of Clarendon
Condon of Colchester	Kilmartin of Newport City	Rodgers of Glover
Corcoran of Bennington	Larocque of Barnet	Taylor of Barre City
Courcelle of Rutland City	Larson of Burlington	Trombley of Grand Isle
Crawford of Burke	Lawrence of Lyndon	Webb of Shelburne
Devereux of Mount Holly	Lewis of Derby	Weston of Burlington
Donovan of Burlington	McAllister of Highgate	Wright of Burlington

Rep. Adams of Hartland explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

We have wasted hours today simply for the purposes of political posturing. Let’s do the work before us for the benefit of our cities and towns instead of adjourning for the weekend.”

Rep. Koch of Barre Town explained his vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

After waiting around for two hours, it seems all we can do now is adjourn in an effort to play inter-chamber games. Our towns have been waiting for their highway funds; they deserve them, and we have the chance to deliver them. Instead, we adjourn and head home.”

Rep. Komline of Dorset explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

We had an opportunity today to take up S. 145 – a bill that would have given our towns the highway aid payments that they are due.

It is unfortunate that this body was reluctant to support our towns during these difficult times.”

Rep. Scheuermann of Stowe explained her vote as follows:

“Mr. Speaker:

Towns throughout Vermont have been clamoring for the restoration of the FY 2009 Town Highway Aid Program to ensure they don't have to raise local property taxes. We had an opportunity today to do just that. As the Chair of the Stowe Select Board, I am extremely disappointed.”